* X 6003 b 54

THE SPIRIT OF LAWS.

THE BRITISH MUSEUM
MAY

THE SPIRIT OF LAWS.

Tranſlated from the FRENCH of

M. DE SECONDAT,
BARON DE MONTESQUIEU.

By THOMAS NUGENT, LL.D.

VOL. II.

. . . . . *Docuit quæ maximus Atlas.*

THE FOURTH EDITION,
Carefully reviſed and improved with conſiderable Additions by the Author.

LONDON,
Printed for J. NOURSE, and P. VAILLANT, in the *Strand*.
MDCCLXVI.

THE SPIRIT OF LAWS.

CONTENTS

OF THE

SECOND VOLUME.

BOOK XX.

OF laws in relation to commerce, conſidered in its nature and diſtinctions.

Chap. I. *Of commerce,* Page 1
Chap. II. *Of the ſpirit of commerce,* 2
Chap. III. *Of the poverty of the people,* 3
Chap. IV. *Of commerce in different governments,* 4
Chap. V. *Of nations that have entered into an œconomical commerce,* 6
Chap. VI. *Some effects of an extenſive navigation,* 7
Chap. VII. *The ſpirit of England, with reſpect to commerce,* 8
Chap. VIII. *In what manner the œconomical commerce has been ſometimes reſtrained,* 9
Chap. IX. *Of the prohibition of commerce,* 10
Chap. X. *An inſtitution adapted to œcononomical commerce,* 11
Chap. XI. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 12
Chap. XII. *Of the freedom of commerce,* ibid.
Chap. XIII. *What it is that deſtroys this liberty,* 13
Chap. XIV. *The laws of commerce concerning the confiſcation of merchandizes,* 14

Chap. XV. *Of ſeizing the perſons of merchants,* P. 14
Chap. XVI. *An excellent law,* 15
Chap. XVII. *A law of Rhodes,* 16
Chap. XVIII. *Of the judges of commerce,* ibid.
Chap. XIX. *That a prince ought not to engage himſelf in commerce,* 17
Chap. XX. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 18
Chap. XXI. *Of the commerce of the nobility in a monarchy,* ibid.
Chap. XXII. *A ſingular reflection,* 19
Chap. XXIII. *To what nations commerce is prejudicial,* 20

BOOK XXI.

Of laws relative to commerce conſidered in the revolutions it has met with in the world.

Chap. I. *Some general conſiderations,* 24
Chap. II. *Of the people of Africa,* 25
Chap. III. *That the wants of the people in the ſouth are different from thoſe of the north,* ibid.
Chap. IV. *The principal difference between the commerce of the ancients and the moderns,* 26
Chap. V. *Other differences,* 27
Chap. VI. *Of the commerce of the ancients,* 28
Chap. VII. *Of the commerce of the Greeks,* 35
Chap. VIII. *Of Alexander. His conqueſts,* 39
Chap. IX. *Of the commerce of the Grecian kings after the death of Alexander,* 43
Chap. X. *Of the circuit of Africa,* 50
Chap. XI. *Of Carthage, and Marſeilles,* 54
Chap. XII. *The iſle of Delos. Mithridates,* 60
Chap. XIII. *Of the genius of the Romans as to maritime affairs,* 62

Chap.

Chap. XIV. *Of the genius of the Romans with reſpect to commerce,* Page 63
Chap. XV. *Of the commerce of the Romans with the Barbarians,* 65
Chap. XVI. *Of the commerce of the Romans with Arabia, and the Indies,* 66
Chap. XVII. *Of commerce after the deſtruction of the Weſtern empire,* 70
Chap. XVIII. *A particular regulation,* 72
Chap. XIX. *Of commerce after the decay of the Roman power in the eaſt,* ibid.
Chap. XX. *How commerce broke through the barbariſm of Europe,* ibid.
Chap. XXI. *The diſcovery of two new worlds, and in what manner Europe is affected by it,* 76
Chap. XXII. *Of the riches which Spain drew from America,* 81
Chap. XXIII. *A problem,* 86

BOOK XXII.

Of laws in relation to the uſe of money.

Chap. I. *The reaſon of the uſe of money,* 88
Chap. II. *Of the nature of money,* 89
Chap. III. *Of ideal money,* 92
Chap. IV. *Of the quantity of gold and ſilver,* 93
Chap. V. *The ſame ſubject continued,* ibid.
Chap. VI. *The reaſon why intereſt was lowered one half after the conqueſt of the Indies,* 94
Chap. VII. *How the price of things is fixt in the variation of the ſign of riches,* 95
Chap. VIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 96
Chap. IX. *Of the relative ſcarcity of gold and ſilver,* 98

Chap. X. *Of exchange,* Page 357
Chap. XI. *Of the proceedings of the Romans with reſpect to money,* 110
Chap. XII. *The circumſtances in which the Romans changed the value of their ſpecie,* 112
Chap. XIII. *Proceedings with reſpect to money in the time of the emperors,* 114
Chap. XIV. *How exchange is a conſtraint on deſpotic power,* 115
Chap. XV. *The practice of ſome countries in Italy,* 116
Chap. XVI. *The aſſiſtance a ſtate may derive from bankers,* 117
Chap. XVII. *Of public debts,* ibid.
Chap. XVIII. *Of the payment of public debts,* 119
Chap. XIX. *Of lending upon intereſt,* 120
Chap. XX. *Of maritime uſury,* 122
Chap. XXI. *Of lending by contract and the ſtate of uſury amongſt the Romans,* ibid.
Chap. XXII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 123

BOOK XXIII.

Of laws in the relation they bear to the number of inhabitants.

Chap. I. *Of men and animals with reſpect to the multiplication of their ſpecies,* 131
Chap. II. *Of marriage,* 132
Chap. III. *Of the condition of children,* 133
Chap. IV. *Of families,* ibid.
Chap. V. *Of the ſeveral orders of lawful wives,* 134
Chap. VI. *Of baſtards in different governments,* 135
Chap. VII. *Of the father's conſent to marriage,* 136
Chap. VIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 138

Chap. IX. *Of young women,* Page 138
Chap. X. *What it is that determines to marriage,* 139
Chap. XI. *Of the ſeverity of government,* ibid.
Chap. XII. *Of the number of males and females in different countries,* 141
Chap. XIII. *Of ſea-port towns,* ibid.
Chap. XIV. *Of the productions of the earth which require a greater or leſs number of men,* 142
Chap. XV. *Of the number of inhabitants with relation to the arts,* 143
Chap. XVI. *The concern of the legiſlator in the propagation of the ſpecies,* 144
Chap. XVII. *Of Greece, and the number of its inhabitants,* 145
Chap. XVIII. *Of the ſtate and number of people before the Romans,* 147
Chap. XIX. *Of the depopulation of the globe,* ibid.
Chap. XX. *That the Romans were under a neceſſity of making laws, to encourage the propagation of the ſpecies,* 148
Chap. XXI. *Of the laws of the Romans relating to the propagation of the ſpecies,* 149
Chap. XXII. *Of the expoſing of children,* 162
Chap. XXIII. *Of the ſtate of the world after the deſtruction of the Romans,* 163
Chap. XXIV. *The changes which happened in Europe, with regard to the number of the inhabitants,* 164
Chap. XXV. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 165
Chap. XXVI. *Conſequences,* 166
Chap. XXVII. *Of the law made in France to encourage the propagation of the ſpecies,* ibid.
Chap. XXVIII. *By what means we may remedy a depopulation,* ibid.

Chap. XXIX. *Of hoſpitals,* Page 168

BOOK XXIV.

Of laws as relative to religion, conſidered in itſelf, and in its doctrine.

Chap. I. *Of religion in general,* 171
Chap. II. *A paradox of Mr. Bayle's,* 172
Chap. III. *That a moderate government is moſt agreeable to the chriſtian religion, and a deſpotic government to the Mahometan,* 174
Chap. IV. *Conſequences from the character of the chriſtian religion and that of the Mahometan,* 175
Chap. V. *That the catholic religion is moſt agreeable to a monarchy, and the proteſtant to a republic,* 176
Chap. VI. *Another of Mr. Bayle's paradoxes,* 177
Chap. VII. *Of the laws of perfection in religion,* 178
Chap. VIII. *Of the connection between the moral laws, and thoſe of religion,* 179
Chap. IX. *Of the Eſſenes,* ibid.
Chap. X. *Of the ſect of ſtoics,* 180
Chap. XI. *Of contemplation,* 181
Chap. XII. *Of penances,* 182
Chap. XIII. *Of inexpiable crimes,* ibid.
Chap. XIV. *In what manner religion has an influence on civil laws,* 183
Chap. XV. *How falſe religions are ſometimes corrected by the civil laws,* 186
Chap. XVI. *How the laws of religion correct the inconveniencies of a political conſtitution,* ibid.
Chap. XVII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 187
Chap. XVIII. *How the laws of religion have the effect of civil laws,* 188

Chap.

Chap. XIX. *That it is not ſo much the truth or falſity of a doctrine which renders it uſeful or pernicious to men in civil government, as the uſe or abuſe of it,* Page 189
Chap. XX. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 191
Chap. XXI. *Of the metempſychoſis,* ibid.
Chap. XXII. *That it is dangerous for religion to inſpire an averſion for things in themſelves indifferent,* 192
Chap. XXIII. *Of feſtivals,* ibid.
Chap. XXIV. *Of the local laws of religion,* 194
Chap. XXV. *The inconveniency of tranſplanting a religion from one country to another,* 195
Chap. XXVI. *The ſame ſubject continued,* ibid.

BOOK XXV.

Of laws as relative to the eſtabliſhment of religion and its external polity.

Chap. I. *Of religious ſentiments,* 197
Chap. II. *Of the motives of attachment to different religions,* ibid.
Chap. III. *Of temples,* 200
Chap. IV. *Of the miniſters of religion,* 202
Chap. V. *Of the bounds which the laws ought to preſcribe to the riches of the clergy,* 204
Chap. VI. *Of monaſtries,* 206
Chap. VII. *Of the luxury of ſuperſtition,* ibid.
Chap. VIII. *Of the pontificate,* 207
Chap. IX. *Of toleration in point of religion,* 208
Chap. X. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 209
Chap. XI. *Of changing a religion,* ibid.
Chap. XII. *Of penal laws,* 210

Chap. XIII. *A moſt humble remonſtrance to the inquiſitors of Spain and Portugal,* Page 211
Chap. XIV. *Why the chriſtian religion is ſo odious in Japan,* 215
Chap. XV. *Of the propagation of religion,* 216

BOOK XXVI.

Of laws as relative to the order of things on which they determine.

Chap. I. *Idea of this book,* 218
Chap. II. *Of laws divine and human,* 219
Chap. III. *Of civil laws contrary to the law of nature,* 220
Chap. IV. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 222
Chap. V. *Caſes in which we may judge by the principles of the civil law, in limiting the principles of the law of nature,* 223
Chap. VI. *That the order of ſucceſſion or inheritance depends on the principles of political or civil law, and not on thoſe of the law of nature,* 224
Chap. VII. *That we ought not to decide by the precepts of religion, what belongs only to the law of nature,* 227
Chap. VIII. *That we ought not to regulate by the principles of the canon law, things which ſhould be regulated by thoſe of the civil law,* 227
Chap. IX. *That things which ought to be regulated by the principles of civil law, can ſeldom be regulated by thoſe of religion,* 229
Chap. X. *In what caſe we ought to follow the civil law, which permits, and not the law of religion, which forbids,* 231

Chap. XI. *That human courts of juſtice ſhould not be regulated by the maxims of thoſe tribunals which relate to the other life,* Page 231
Chap. XII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 232
Chap. XIII. *In what caſes, with regard to marriages, we ought to follow the laws of religion; and in what caſes we ſhould follow the civil laws,* ibid.
Chap. XIV. *In what inſtances marriages between relations ſhould be regulated by the laws of nature; and in what inſtances by the civil laws,* 234
Chap. XV. *That we ſhould not regulate by the principles of the political law, thoſe things which depend on the principles of civil law,* 240
Chap. XVI. *That we ought not to decide by the rules of the civil law, when it is proper to decide by thoſe of the political law,* 242
Chap. XVII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 244
Chap. XVIII. *That it is neceſſary to enquire, whether the laws which ſeem contradictory, are of the ſame claſs,* 245
Chap. XIX. *That we ſhould not decide thoſe things by the civil law, which ought to be decided by domeſtic laws,* ibid.
Chap. XX. *That we ought not to decide by the principles of the civil laws, thoſe things which belong to the law of nations,* 246
Chap. XXI. *That we ſhould not decide by political laws, things which belong to the law of nations,* 247
Chap. XXII. *The unhappy ſtate of the Ynca Athualpa,* 248
Chap. XXIII. *That when by ſome circumſtance, the political law becomes deſtructive to the ſtate, we ought to decide by ſuch a political law, as will pre-*

preſerve it, which ſometimes becomes a law of nations, Page 248
Chap. XXIV. *That the regulations of the police are of a different claſs from other civil laws,* 250
Chap. XXV. *That we ſhould not follow the general diſpoſition of the civil law, in things which ought to be ſubject to particular rules drawn from their own nature,* 251

BOOK XXVII.

Chap. I. *Of the origin and revolutions of the Roman laws on ſucceſſions,* 253

BOOK XXVIII.

Of the origin and revolutions of the civil laws among the French.

Chap. I. *Different character of the laws of the ſeveral people of Germany,* 269
Chap II. *That the laws of the Barbarians, were all perſonal,* 273
Chap. III. *Capital difference between the Salic laws, and thoſe of the Viſigoths and Burgundians,* 274
Chap. IV. *In what manner the Roman law came to be loſt in the country ſubject to the Franks, and preſerved in that ſubject to the Goths and Burgundians,* 276
Chap. V. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 280
Chap. VI. *How the Roman law kept its ground in the demeſne of the Lombards,* 281
Chap. VII. *How the Roman law came to be loſt in Spain,* 282
Chap. VIII. *A falſe capitulary,* 284
Chap. IX. *In what manner the codes of Barbarian laws, and the capitularies came to be loſt,* ibid.

Chap.

Chap. X. *The ſame ſubject continued,* Page 286
Chap. XI. *Other cauſes of the diſuſe of the codes of Barbarian laws, as well as of the Roman law, and of the capitularies,* 287
Chap. XII *Of local cuſtoms. Revolution of the laws of barbarous nations, as well as of the Roman law,* 288
Chap. XIII. *Difference between the Salic law or that of the Salian Franks, and that of the Ripuarian Franks, and other barbarous nations,* 291
Chap. XIV. *Another difference,* 293
Chap. XV. *A reflection,* 394
Chap. XVI. *of the ordeal, or trial by boiling water, eſtabliſhed by the Salic law,* 295
Chap. XVII. *Particular notions of our anceſtors,* 296
Chap. XVIII. *In what manner the cuſtom of judicial combats gained ground,* 299
Chap. XIX. *A new reaſon of the diſuſe of the Salic and Roman laws, as alſo of the capitularies,* 273
Chap. XX. *Origin of the point of honour,* 275
Chap. XXI. *A new reflexion on the point of honour among the Germans,* 277
Chap. XXII. *Of the manners relative to judical combats,* 278
Chap. XXIII. *Of the code of laws on judicial combats,* 280
Chap. XXIV. *Rules eſtabliſhed in the judicial combat,* 281
Chap. XXV. *Of the bounds preſcribed to the cuſtom of judicial combats,* 283
Chap. XXVI. *Of the judiciary combat between one of the parties, and one of the witneſſes,* 286
Chap. XXVII. *Of the judicial combat between one of the parties, and one of the lord's peers. Appeal of falſe judgment,* 288

Chap.

Chap. XXVIII. *Of the appeal of default of juſtice,* Page 311
Chap. XXIX. *Epoch of the reign of St. Lewis,* 316
Chap. XXX. *Obſervations on appeals,* 320
Chap. XXXI. *The ſame ſubject continued,* ibid.
Chap. XXXII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 321
Chap. XXXIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 322
Chap. XXXIV. *In what manner the proceedings at law became ſecret,* 323
Chap. XXXV. *Of the coſts,* 325
Chap. XXXVI. *Of the public proſecutor,* 327
Chap. XXXVII. *In what manner the inſtitutions of St. Lewis fell into oblivion,* 330
Chap. XXXVIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 333
Chap. XXXIX. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 336
Chap. XL. *In what manner the judiciary forms were borrowed from the decretals,* 337
Chap. XLI. *Flux and reflux of the eccleſiaſtic and temporal juriſdiction,* 338
Chap. XLII. *The revival of the Roman law, and the reſult thereof. Change in the tribunals,* 341
Chap. XLIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 344
Chap. XLIV. *Of the proof by witneſſes,* 345
Chap. XLV. *Of the cuſtoms of France,* 346

BOOK XXIX.

Of the manner of compoſing laws.

Chap. I. *Of the ſpirit of a legiſlator,* 350
Chap. II. *The ſame ſubject continued,* ibid.
Chap. III. *That the laws which ſeem to deviate from the views of the legiſlator, are frequently agreeable to them,* 351

Chap. IV. *Of the laws contrary to the views of the legiſlator,* Page 352
Chap. V. *The ſame ſubject continued,* ibid.
Chap. VI. *That laws which appear the ſame, have not always the ſame effect,* 353
Chap. VII. *The ſame ſubject continued. Neceſſity of compoſing laws in a proper manner,* 354
Chap. VIII. *That laws which appear the ſame, were not always made through the ſame motive,* 355
Chap. IX. *That the Greek and Roman laws puniſhed ſuicide, but not through the ſame motive,* ibid.
Chap. X. *That laws which ſeem contrary proceed ſometimes from the ſame ſpirit,* 357
Chap XI. *How we are to judge of the difference of laws,* ibid.
Chap. XII. *That laws which appear the ſame, are ſometimes really different,* 358
Chap. XIII. *That we muſt not ſeparate the laws from the end for which they were made: of the Roman laws on theft,* 359
Chap. XIV. *That we muſt not ſeparate the laws from the circumſtances in which they were made,* 362
Chap. XV. *That ſometime it is proper the law ſhould amend itſelf,* 363
Chap. XVI. *Things to be obſerved in the compoſing of laws,* ibid.
Chap. XVII. *A bad method of giving laws,* 370
Chap. XVIII. *Of the ideas of uniformity,* 371
Chap. XIX. *Of legiſlators,* ibid.

BOOK XXX.

Theory of the feudal laws among the Franks, in the relation they bear to the eſtabliſhment of the monarchy.

Chap.

Chap. I. *Of feudal laws,* Page 373
Chap. II. *Of the ſource of feudal laws,* 374
Chap. III. *The origin of vaſſalage,* 375
Chap. IV. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 376
Chap. V. *Of the conqueſts of the Franks,* 378
Chap. VI. *Of the Goths, Burgundians, and Franks,* ibid.
Chap. VII. *Different ways of dividing the land,* 379
Chap. VIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 380
Chap. IX. *A juſt application of the law of the Burgundians and of that of the Viſigoths in relation to the diviſion of lands,* 381
Chap. X. *Of ſervitudes,* 382
Chap. XI. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 384
Chap. XII. *That the lands belonging to the diviſion of the Barbarians paid no taxes,* 388
Chap. XIII. *Of taxes paid by the Romans and Gauls, in the monarchy of the Franks,* 392
Chap. XIV. *Of what they called cenſus,* 395
Chap. XV. *That what they called cenſus was raiſed only on the bondmen and not on the freemen,* 397
Chap. XVI. *Of the feudal lords or vaſſals,* 401
Chap. XVII. *Of the military ſervice of freemen,* 403
Chap. XVIII. *Of the double ſervice,* 407
Chap. XIX. *Of compoſitions among the barbarous nations,* 410
Chap. XX. *Of what was afterwards called the juriſdiction of the lords,* 416
Chap. XXI. *Of the territorial juriſdiction of the churches,* 421
Chap. XXII. *That the juriſdictions were eſtabliſhed before the end of the ſecond race,* 423

Chap.

Chap. XXIII. *General idea of the Abbe Du Bos's book on the eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy in Gaul,* Page 427
Chap. XXIV. *The ſame ſubject continued, Reflection on the main part of the ſyſtem,* 428
Chap. XXV. *Of the French nobility,* 433

BOOK XXXI.

Theory of the feudal laws among the Franks, in the relation they bear to the revolution of their monarchy.

Chap. I. *Changes in the offices and in the fiefs. Of the mayors of the palace,* 442
Chap. II. *How the civil government was reformed,* 447
Chap. III. *Authority of the mayors of the palace,* 451
Chap. IV. *Of the genius of the nation in regard to the mayors,* 454
Chap. V. *In what manner the mayors obtained the command of the armies,* 455
Chap. VI. *Second epocha of the humiliation of our kings of the firſt race,* 457
Chap. VII. *Of the great offices and fiefs under the mayors of the palace,* 459
Chap. VIII. *In what manner the allodial eſtates were changed into fiefs* 460
Chap. IX. *How the church-lands were converted into fiefs,* 464
Chap. X. *Riches of the clergy,* 466
Chap. XI. *State of Europe at the time of Charles Martel,* 468
Chap. XII. *Eſtabliſhment of the tithes,* 471
Chap. XIII. *Of the election of biſhops and abbots,* 475
Chap. XIV. *Of the fiefs of Charles Martel,* 476

Chap. XV. *The ſame ſubject continued,* Page 477
Chap. XVI. *Confuſion of the royalty and mayoralty. The ſecond race,* ibid.
Chap. XVII. *A particular circumſtance in the election of the kings of the ſecond race,* 479
Chap. XVIII. *Charlemain,* 482
Chap. XIX. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 484
Chap. XX. *Lewis the Debonnaire,* ibid.
Chap. XXI. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 487
Chap. XXII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 489
Chap. XXIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 490
Chap. XXIV. *That the freemen were rendered capable of holding fiefs,* 494
Chap. XXV. *The principal cauſe of the humiliation of the ſecond race. Changes in the allodia,* 495
Chap. XXV. *Changes in the fiefs,* 499
Chap. XXVI. *Another change which happened in the fiefs,* 500
XXVII. *Changes which happened in the great offices, and in the fiefs,* 502
Chap. XXVIII. *Of the nature of the fiefs after the reign of Charles the Bald,* 504
Chap. XXIX. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 505
XXX. *In what manner the empire was transferred from the family of Charlemain,* 507
Chap. XXXI. *In what manner the crown of France was transferred to the houſe of Hugh Capet,* 508
Chap. XXXII. *Some conſequences of the perpetuity of fiefs,* 510
Chap. XXXIII. *The ſame ſubject continued,* 516

THE

THE SPIRIT OF LAWS.

BOOK XX.

Of Laws in relation to Commerce, conſidered in its Nature and Diſtinctions.

——*Docuit quæ maximus Atlas.*

VIRGIL. ÆNEID.

CHAP. I.

Of Commerce.

THE following ſubjects deſerve to be treated in a more extenſive manner, than the nature of this work will permit. Fain would I glide down a gentle river, but I am carried away by a torrent. Book XX. Chap. I.

Commerce is a cure for the moſt deſtructive prejudices; for it is almoſt a general rule, that wherever we find agreeable manners, there commerce flouriſhes; and that wherever there is commerce, there we meet with agreeable manners.

BOOK XX. Chap. 2.

Let us not be aſtoniſhed then, if our manners are now leſs ſavage than formerly. Commerce has every where diffuſed a knowledge of the manners of all nations; theſe are compared one with another, and from this compariſon ariſe the greateſt advantages.

Commercial laws, it may be ſaid, improve manners for the ſame reaſon as they deſtroy them. They corrupt the pureſt morals*; this was the ſubject of Plato's complaints: and we every day ſee, that they poliſh and refine the moſt barbarous.

CHAP. II.

Of the Spirit of Commerce.

PEACE is the natural effect of trade. Two nations who traffic with each other become reciprocally dependent; for if one has an intereſt in buying, the other has an intereſt in ſelling; and thus their union is founded on their mutual neceſſities.

But if the ſpirit of commerce unites nations, it does not in the ſame manner unite individuals. We ſee, that in (a) countries where the people move only by the ſpirit of commerce, they make a traffic of all the humane, all the moral virtues: the moſt trifling things, thoſe which humanity would demand, are there done, or there given, only for money.

(a) Holland.

The ſpirit of trade produces in the mind of man a certain ſenſe of exact juſtice, oppoſite, on

* Cæſar ſaid of the Gauls, that they were ſpoilt by the neighbourhood and commerce of Marſeilles; inſomuch that they who formerly always conquered the Germans, were now become inferior to them. *War of the Gauls*, lib. 6.

the

the one hand to robbery, and on the other to thoſe moral virtues which forbid our always adhering rigidly to the rules of private intereſt, and ſuffer us to neglect this for the advantage of others.

The total privation of trade, on the contrary, produces robbery, which Ariſtotle ranks in the number of means of acquiring: yet it is not at all inconſiſtent with certain moral virtues. Hoſpitality, for inſtance, is moſt rare in trading countries, while it is found in the moſt admirable perfection among nations of vagabonds.

It is a ſacrilege, ſays Tacitus, for a German to ſhut his door againſt any man whomſoever, whether known or unknown. He who has * behaved with hoſpitality to a ſtranger, goes to ſhew him another houſe where this hoſpitality is alſo practiſed; and he is there received with the ſame humanity. But when the Germans had founded kingdoms, hoſpitality was become burthenſome. This appears by two laws of the (b) code of the Burgundians; one of which inflicted a penalty on every barbarian, who preſumed to ſhew a ſtranger the houſe of a Roman; and the other decreed, that whoever received a ſtranger, ſhould be indemnified by the inhabitants, every one being obliged to pay his proper proportion.

(b) Tit. 38.

CHAP. III.

Of the Poverty of the People.

THERE are two ſorts of poor; thoſe who are rendered ſuch by the ſeverity of the government; theſe are indeed incapable of performing

* Et qui modò hoſpes fuerat, monſtrator hoſpitii, *De morib. Germ. Vide Cæſar. de Bello Gal.* lib. 6.

Book XX. Chap. 4.

almoſt any great action, becauſe their indigence is a conſequence of their ſlavery. Others are poor, only becauſe they either deſpiſe, or know not the conveniencies of life; and theſe are capable of accompliſhing great things, becauſe their poverty conſtitutes a part of their liberty.

CHAP. IV.

Of Commerce in different Governments.

TRADE has ſome relation to forms of government. In a monarchy it is generally founded on luxury; and though it be alſo founded on real wants, yet the principal view with which it is carried on, is to procure every thing that can contribute to the pride, the pleaſure, and the capricious whimſies of the nation. In republics, it is commonly founded on œconomy. Their merchants having an eye to all the nations of the earth, bring from one what is wanted by another. It is thus that the republics of Tyre, Carthage, Athens, Marſeilles, Florence, Venice, and Holland, engaged in commerce.

This kind of traffic has a natural relation to a republican government; to monarchies it is only occaſional. For as it is founded on the practice of gaining little, and even leſs than other nations, and of remedying this by gaining inceſſantly, it can hardly be carried on by a people ſwallowed up in luxury, who ſpend much, and ſee nothing but objects of grandeur.

Cicero was of this opinion, when he ſo juſtly ſaid *, "*that he did not like that the ſame people*

* Nolo eundem populum imperatorem & portitorem eſſe terrarum.

"*ſhould*

" *ſhould be at once both the lords and factors of the* " *whole earth.*" For this would indeed be to ſuppoſe that every individual in the ſtate, and the whole ſtate collectively, had their heads conſtantly filled with grand views, and at the ſame time with ſmall ones; which is a contradiction.

Not but that the moſt noble enterpriſes are compleated alſo in thoſe ſtates, which ſubſiſt by œconomical commerce: they have even an intrepidity, not to be found in monarchies. And the reaſon is this,

One branch of commerce leads to another, the ſmall to the moderate, the moderate to the great; thus he who has gratified his deſire of gaining a little, raiſes himſelf to a ſituation, in which he is not leſs deſirous of gaining a great deal.

Beſides, the grand enterpriſes of merchants are always neceſſarily connected with the affairs of the public. But, in monarchies, theſe public affairs give as much diſtruſt to the merchants, as in free ſtates they appear to give ſafety. Great enterpriſes therefore in commerce are not for monarchical, but for republican governments.

In ſhort, an opinion of greater certainty, as to the poſſeſſion of property in theſe ſtates, makes them undertake every thing. They flatter themſelves with the hopes of receiving great advantages from the ſmiles of fortune, and thinking themſelves ſure of what they have already acquired, they boldly expoſe it, in order to acquire more; riſking nothing but as the means of obtaining.

I do not pretend to ſay that any monarchy is entirely excluded from an œconomical commerce; but of its own nature it has leſs tendency towards

Book XX. Chap. 5.

it: neither do I mean that the republics, with which we are acquainted, are abſolutely deprived of the commerce of luxury; but it is leſs connected with their conſtitution.

With regard to a deſpotic ſtate, there is no occaſion to mention it. A general Rule: A nation in ſlavery labours more to preſerve than to acquire; a free nation, more to acquire than to preſerve.

CHAP. V.

Of Nations that have entered into an œconomical Commerce.

MARSEILLES, a neceſſary retreat in the midſt of a tempeſtuous ſea; Marſeilles, a harbour which all the winds, the ſhelves of the ſea, the diſpoſition of the coaſts, point out for a landing place, became frequented by mariners; while the ſterility (c) of the adjacent country determined the citizens to an œconomical commerce. It was neceſſary that they ſhould be laborious, to ſupply what nature had refuſed; that they ſhould be juſt, in order to live among barbarous nations, from whom they were to derive their proſperity; that they ſhould be moderate, to the end that they might always taſte the ſweets of a tranquil government; in fine, that they ſhould be frugal in their manners, to enable them to ſubſiſt by trade, a trade the more certain, as it was leſs advantageous.

(c) Juſtin. lib. 43. cap. 3.

We every where ſee violence and oppreſſion give birth to a commerce founded on œconomy, while men are conſtrained to take refuge in marſhes, in iſles,

iſles, in the ſhallows of the ſea, and even on rocks themſelves. Thus it was, that Tyre, Venice, and the cities of Holland, were founded. Fugitives found there a place of ſafety. It was neceſſary that they ſhould ſubſiſt; they drew therefore their ſubſiſtence from all parts of the world.

CHAP. VI.

Some Effects of an extenſive Navigation.

IT ſometimes happens that a nation, when engaged in an œconomical commerce, having need of the merchandizes of one country, which ſerve as a capital or ſtock for procuring the commodities of another, is ſatisfied with making very little profits, and frequently none at all in trading with the former, in expectation of gaining greatly by the latter. Thus, when the Dutch were almoſt the only nation that carried on the trade from the South to the North of Europe; the French wines, which they imported to the North, were in ſome meaſure only a capital or ſtock for conducting their commerce in that part of the world.

It is a known fact, that there are ſome kinds of merchandize in Holland, which, though imported from afar, ſell for very little more than they coſt upon the ſpot. They account for it thus: a captain who has occaſion to ballaſt his ſhip, will load it with marble; if he wants wood for ſtowage, he will buy it; and, provided he loſes nothing by the bargain, he will think himſelf a gainer. Thus it is that Holland has its quarries and its foreſts.

Book XX. Chap. 6.

Further, it may happen ſo, that not only a commerce which brings in nothing, ſhall be uſeful; but even a loſing trade ſhall be beneficial. I have heard it affirmed in Holland, that the whale-fiſhery in general does not anſwer the expence: but it muſt be obſerved, that the perſons employed in building the ſhips, as alſo thoſe who furniſh the rigging and proviſions, are jointly concerned in the fiſhery. Should they happen to loſe in the voyage, they have had a profit in fitting out the veſſel. This commerce, in ſhort, is a kind of lottery, and every one is allured with the hopes of a prize. Mankind are generally fond of gaming; and even the moſt prudent have no averſion to it, when the diſagreeable circumſtances attending it, ſuch as diſſipation, anxiety, paſſion, loſs of time, and even of life and fortune, are concealed from their view.

CHAP. VII.

The Spirit of England, *with reſpect to Commerce.*

THE Tariff, or cuſtoms of England, are very unſettled, with reſpect to other nations; they are changed, in ſome meaſure, with every parliament, either by taking off particular duties, or by impoſing new ones. They endeavour, by theſe means, ſtill to preſerve their independence. Supremely jealous with reſpect to trade, they bind themſelves but little by treaties, and depend only on their own laws.

Other nations have made the intereſts of commerce yield to thoſe of politics; the Engliſh, on the

the contrary, have ever made their political intereſts give way to thoſe of commerce.

They know better than any other people upon earth, how to value, at the ſame time, theſe three great advantages, religion, commerce, and liberty.

CHAP. VIII.

In what manner the œconomical Commerce has been ſometimes reſtrained.

IN ſeveral kingdoms, laws have been made, extremely proper to humble the ſtates that have entered into the œconomical commerce. They have forbid their importing any merchandizes, except the product of their reſpective countries; and have permitted them to traffic only in veſſels built in the kingdom to which they brought their commodities.

It is neceſſary that the kingdom which impoſes theſe laws ſhould itſelf be able eaſily to engage in commerce; otherwiſe it will, at leaſt, be an equal ſufferer. It is much more advantageous to trade with a commercial nation, whoſe profits are moderate, and who are rendered in ſome ſort dependent by the affairs of commerce; with a nation, whoſe larger views, and whoſe extended trade, enables them to diſpoſe of their ſuperfluous merchandizes; with a wealthy nation, who can take off many of their commodities, and make them a quicker return in ſpecie; with a nation under a kind of neceſſity to be faithful, pacific from principle, and that ſeeks to gain, and not to conquer: it is much better, I ſay, to trade with ſuch a nation, than with

Book XX. Chap. 9.

with others, their conſtant rivals, who will never grant ſuch great advantages.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Prohibition of Commerce.

IT is a true maxim, that one nation ſhould never exclude another from trading with it, except for very great reaſons. The Japaneſe trade only with two nations, the Chineſe and the Dutch. The (d) Chineſe gain a thouſand *per cent.* upon ſugars, and ſometimes as much by the goods they take in exchange. The Dutch make nearly the ſame profits. Every nation that acts upon Japaneſe principles, muſt neceſſarily be deceived; for it is competition which ſets a juſt value on merchandizes, and eſtabliſhes the relation between them.

(d) Du Halde, Vol. II. pag. 170.

Much leſs ought a ſtate to lay itſelf under an obligation of ſelling its manufactures only to a ſingle nation, under a pretence of their taking all at a certain price. The Poles, in this manner, diſpoſe of their corn to the city of Dantzick; and ſeveral Indian princes have made a like contract for their ſpices with the Dutch*. Theſe agreements are proper only for a poor nation, whoſe inhabitants are ſatisfied to forego the hopes of enriching themſelves, provided they can be ſecure of a certain ſubſiſtence; or for nations, whoſe ſlavery conſiſts either in renouncing the uſe of thoſe things which nature has given them, or in being obliged to ſubmit to a diſadvantageous commerce.

* This was firſt eſtabliſhed by the Portugueſe. *Fr. Pirard's Voyages*, chap. 15. part 2.

CHAP.

CHAP. X.

An Inſtitution adapted to œconomical Commerce.

IN ſtates that carry on an œconomical commerce, they have luckily eſtabliſhed banks, which, by their credit, have formed a new ſpecies of wealth; but it would be quite wrong to introduce them into governments, whoſe commerce is bounded only in luxury. The erecting of banks in countries governed by an abſolute monarch, ſuppoſes money on the one ſide, and on the other power: that is, on the one hand, the means of procuring every thing, without any power; and on the other, the power, without any means of procuring at all. In a government of this kind, none but the prince ever had, or can have a treaſure; and wherever there is one, it no ſooner becomes great, than it becomes the treaſure of the prince.

For the ſame reaſon, all aſſociations of merchants, in order to carry on a particular commerce, are ſeldom proper in abſolute governments. The deſign of theſe companies is to give to the wealth of private perſons the weight of public riches. But, in thoſe governments, this weight can be found only in the prince. Nay, they are not even always proper in ſtates engaged in œconomical commerce: for, if the trade be not ſo great as to ſurpaſs the management of particular perſons, it is much better to leave it open, than, by excluſive privileges, to reſtrain the liberty of commerce.

CHAP.

CHAP. XI.

The ſame Subject continued.

Book XX. Chap. 11. and 12.

A Free port may be eſtabliſhed in the dominions of ſtates, whoſe commerce is œconomical. That œconomy in the government, which always attends the frugality of individuals, is, if I may ſo expreſs myſelf, the ſoul of its œconomical commerce. The loſs it ſuſtains with reſpect to cuſtoms, it can repair by drawing from the wealth and induſtry of the republic. But in a monarchy, a ſtep of this kind muſt be oppoſite to reaſon; for it could have no other effect, than to eaſe luxury of the weight of taxes. This would be depriving itſelf of the only advantage that luxury can procure, and of the only curb which, in a conſtitution like this, it is capable of receiving.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Freedom of Commerce.

THE freedom of commerce is not a power granted to the merchants to do what they pleaſe: this would be more properly its ſlavery. The conſtraint of the merchant, is not the conſtraint of commerce. It is in the freeſt countries that the merchant finds innumerable obſtacles; and he is never leſs croſſed by laws, than in a country of ſlaves.

England prohibits the exportation of her wool; coals muſt be brought by ſea to the capital; no horſes, except geldings, are allowed to be exported;

orted; and the veſſels * of her colonies, trading o Europe, muſt take in water in England. The Engliſh conſtrain the merchant, but it is in favour f commerce.

CHAP. XIII.

What it is that deſtroys this Liberty.

WHEREVER commerce ſubſiſts, cuſtoms are eſtabliſhed. Commerce is the exportation and importation of merchandizes, with a view to the advantage of the ſtate: cuſtoms are a certain right over this ſame exportation and importation, founded alſo on the advantage of the ſtate. Hence it becomes neceſſary, that the ſtate ſhould be neuter between its cuſtoms and its commerce, that neither of theſe two interfere with each other, and then the inhabitants enjoy a free commerce.

The farming of the cuſtoms deſtroys commerce by its injuſtice, and vexations, as well as by the exceſs of the impoſts: but independent of this, it deſtroys it even more by the difficulties that ariſe from it, and by the formalities it exacts. In England, where the cuſtoms are managed by the king's officers, buſineſs is negotiated with a ſingular dexterity: one word of writing accompliſhes the greateſt affairs. The merchant need not loſe an infinite deal of time; he has no occaſion for a particular commiſſioner, either to obviate all the difficulties of the farmers, or to ſubmit to them.

* Act of navigation, 1660. It is only in time of war, that the merchants of Boſton and Philadelphia ſend their veſſels directly to the Mediterranean.

CHAP.

CHAP. XIV.

The Laws of Commerce concerning the Confiſcation of Merchandizes.

Book XX. Chap. 14.

THE Magna Charta of England forbids the ſeizing and confiſcating, in caſe of war, the effects of foreign merchants; except by way of repriſals. It is an honour to the Engliſh nation, that they have made this one of the articles of their liberty.

In the late war between Spain and England, the former made a * law which puniſhed with death thoſe who brought Engliſh merchandizes into the dominions of Spain: and the ſame penalty on thoſe who carried Spaniſh merchandizes into England. An ordinance like this cannot, I believe, find a precedent in any laws but thoſe of Japan. It equally ſhocks humanity, the ſpirit of commerce, and the harmony which ought to ſubſiſt in the proportion of penalties; it confounds all our ideas, making that a crime againſt the ſtate which is only a violation of civil polity.

CHAP. XV.

Of ſeizing the Perſons of Merchants.

(e) Plutarch, in his Treatiſe *againſt lending upon uſury.*

SOLON (e) made a law, that the Athenians ſhould no longer ſeize the body for civil debts. This law he (f) received from Egypt. It had been made by *Boccoris*, and renewed by *Seſoſtris*.

(f) Diodorus, book 1. part 2. chap. 3.

This law is extremely good, with reſpect to the

* Publiſhed at Cadiz, in March 1740.

generality

generality of civil * affairs; but there is ſufficient reaſon for its not being obſerved in thoſe of commerce. For, as merchants are obliged to entruſt large ſums, frequently for a very ſhort time, and to pay money as well as to receive it, there is a neceſſity, that the debtor ſhould conſtantly fulfil his engagements at the time prefixed; and hence it becomes neceſſary to lay a conſtraint on his perſon.

In affairs relating to common civil contracts, the law ought not to permit the ſeizure of the perſon; becauſe the liberty of one citizen is of greater importance to the public, than the eaſe or proſperity of another. But in conventions derived from commerce, the law ought to conſider the public proſperity, as of greater importance than the liberty of a citizen; which, however, does not hinder the reſtrictions and limitations that humanity and good policy demand.

CHAP. XVI.

An excellent Law.

ADMIRABLE is that law of Geneva which excludes from the magiſtracy, and even from the admittance into the great council, the children of thoſe who have lived or died inſolvent, except they have diſcharged their father's debts. It has this effect; it gives a confidence in the merchants, in the magiſtrates, and in the city itſelf. There

* The Greek legiſlators were to blame, in preventing the arms and plow of any man from being taken in pledge, and yet permitting the taking of the man himſelf. *Diodorus*, book 1. part 2. chap. 3.

Book XX. Chap. 17. and 18.

the credit of the individual has ſtill all the weight of public credit.

CHAP. XVII.

A law of Rhodes.

THE inhabitants of Rhodes went further. Sextus Empiricus (ε) obſerves, that among thoſe people, a ſon could not be excuſed from paying his father's debts, by renouncing the ſucceſſion. This law of Rhodes was calculated for a republic, founded on commerce. Now I am inclined to think, that reaſons drawn from commerce itſelf ſhould make this limitation, that the debts contracted by the father, ſince the ſon's entering into commerce, ſhould not affect the eſtate or property acquired by the latter. A merchant ought always to know his obligations, and to ſquare his conduct by his circumſtances and preſent fortune.

(ε) Hypotipoſes, book 1. chap. 14.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the Judges of Commerce.

XENOPHON, in his book of Revenues, would have rewards given to thoſe overſeers of commerce, who diſpatched the cauſes brought before them with the greateſt expedition. He was ſenſible of the need of our modern juriſdiction of a conſul.

The affairs of commerce are but little ſuſceptible of formalities. They are the actions of a day, and are every day followed by others of the ſame nature. Hence it becomes neceſſary, that every day

day they ſhould be decided. It is otherwiſe with thoſe actions of life which have a principal influence on futurity, but rarely happen. We ſeldom marry more than once: deeds and wills are not the work of every day; we are but once of age.

Plato (h) ſays, that in a city where there is no maritime commerce, there ought not to be above half the number of civil laws: this is very true. Commerce brings into the ſame country different kinds of people; it introduces alſo a great number of contracts, and ſpecies of wealth, with various ways of acquiring it.

(h) On laws, book 8.

Thus in a trading city, there are fewer judges, and more laws.

CHAP. XIX.

That a Prince ought not to engage himſelf in Commerce.

THEOPHILUS (i) ſeeing a veſſel laden with merchandizes for his wife Theodora, ordered it to be burnt. "*I am Emperor*, ſaid he, *and* "*you make me the maſter of a galley: by what means* "*ſhall theſe poor men gain a livelihood, if we take* "*their trade out of their hands?*" He might have added: who ſhall ſet bounds to us, if we monopolize all to ourſelves? who ſhall oblige us to fulfil our engagements? our courtiers will follow our example; they will be more greedy, and more unjuſt than we: the people have ſome confidence in our juſtice, they will have none in our opulence: all theſe numerous duties, the cauſe of their wants, are certain proofs of ours.

(i) Zonaras.

CHAP. XX.

The ſame Subject continued.

BOOK XX. Chap. 20. and 21.

WHEN the Portugueſe and Caſtilians bore ſway in the Eaſt-Indies, commerce had ſuch opulent branches, that their princes did not fail to ſeize them. This ruined their ſettlements in thoſe parts of the world.

The vice-roy of Goa granted excluſive privileges to particular perſons. The people had no confidence in theſe men; and the commerce declined, by the perpetual change of thoſe to whom it was intruſted; no body took care to improve it, or to leave it entire to his ſucceſſor. In ſhort, the profit centered in a few hands, and was not ſufficiently extended.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Commerce of the Nobility in a Monarchy.

IN a monarchical government, it is contrary to the ſpirit of commerce, that any of the nobility ſhould be merchants. *This*, ſaid the Emperors (k) Honorius and Theodoſius, *would be pernicious to cities; and would remove the facility of buying and ſelling between the merchants and the plebeians.*

(k) Leg. Nobiliores, Cod. de comm. & leg. ult. de reſcind. vendit.

It is contrary to the ſpirit of monarchy, to admit the nobility into commerce. The cuſtom of ſuffering the nobility of England to trade, is one of thoſe things which has there moſtly contributed to weaken the monarchical government.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXII.

A ſingular Reflection.

PERSONS, ſtruck with the practice of ſome ſtates, imagine, that in France they ought to make laws to engage the nobility to enter into commerce. But theſe laws would be the means of deſtroying the nobility, without being of any advantage to trade. The practice of this country is extremely wiſe; merchants are not nobles, though they may become ſo: they have the hopes of obtaining a degree of nobility, unattended with its actual inconveniencies. There is no ſurer way of being advanced above their profeſſion, than to manage it well, or with ſucceſs; the conſequence of which is generally an affluent fortune. Book XX. Chap. 22.

Laws which oblige every one to continue in his profeſſion, and to devolve it to his children, neither are nor can be of uſe in any but * deſpotic kingdoms; where no body either can, or ought to have emulation.

Let none ſay, that every one will ſucceed better in his profeſſion, when he cannot change it for another: I ſay, that a perſon will ſucceed beſt, when thoſe who have excelled, hope to ariſe to another.

The poſſibility of purchaſing honor with gold, encourages many merchants to put themſelves in circumſtances by which they may attain it. I do not take upon me to examine the juſtice of thus bartering for money the price of virtue. There are governments, where this may be very uſeful.

In France, the dignity of the long robe, which places thoſe who wear it, between the great nobility

* This is actually very often the caſe in ſuch governments.

Book XX. Chap. 22.

and the people, and without having ſuch ſhining honours as the former, has all their privileges; a dignity which, while this body, the depoſitary of the laws, is encirled with glory, leaves the private members in a mediocrity of fortune; a dignity, in which there are no other means of diſtinction, but by a ſuperior capacity and virtue, yet which ſtill leaves in view one much more illuſtrious: the warlike nobility likewiſe, who conceive, that whatever degree of wealth they are poſſeſſed of, they may ſtill increaſe their fortunes; who are aſhamed of augmenting, if they begin not with diſſipating their eſtates; who always ſerve their prince with their whole capital ſtock; and when that is ſunk, make room for others who follow their example; who take the field, that they may never be reproached with not having been there; who, when they can no longer hope for riches, live in expectation of honors; and when they have not obtained the latter, enjoy the conſolation of having acquired glory: all theſe things together, have neceſſarily contributed to augment the grandeur of this kingdom; and, if for two or three centuries it has been inceſſantly increaſing in power, this muſt be attributed not to fortune, who was never famed for conſtancy, but to the goodneſs of its laws.

CHAP. XXIII.

To what Nations Commerce is prejudicial.

RICHES conſiſt either in lands, or in moveable effects. The ſoil of every country is commonly poſſeſſed by the natives. The laws of moſt ſtates

ſtates render foreigners unwilling to purchaſe their lands; and nothing but the preſence of the owner improves them: this kind of riches therefore belongs to every ſtate in particular. But moveable effects, as money, notes, bills of exchange, ſtocks in companies, veſſels, and, in fine, all merchandizes, belong to the whole world in general; in this reſpect it is compoſed of but one ſingle ſtate, of which all the ſocieties upon earth are members. The people who poſſeſs more of theſe moveable effects than any other on the globe, are the moſt opulent. Some ſtates have an immenſe quantity, acquired by their commodities, by the labour of their mechanics, by their induſtry, by their diſcoveries, and even by chance. The avarice of nations makes them quarrel for the moveables of the whole univerſe. If we could find a ſtate ſo unhappy, as to be deprived of the effects of other countries, and at the ſame time of almoſt all its own, the proprietors of the lands would be only planters to foreigners. This ſtate, wanting all, could acquire nothing; therefore it would be much better for the inhabitants not to have the leaſt commerce with any nation upon earth; for commerce, in theſe circumſtances, muſt neceſſarily lead them to poverty.

A country that conſtantly exports fewer manufactures, or commodities, than it receives, will ſoon find the balance ſinking; it will receive leſs and leſs, until falling into extreme poverty, it will receive nothing at all.

In trading countries, the ſpecie which ſuddenly vaniſhes quickly returns; becauſe thoſe nations that have received it, are its debtors: but it never re-

Book XX. Chap. 23.

turns into thoſe ſtates of which we have juſt been ſpeaking, becauſe thoſe who have received it owe them nothing.

Poland will ſerve us for an example. It has ſcarcely any of thoſe things which we call the moveable effects of the univerſe, except corn, the produce of its lands. Some of the lords poſſeſs intire provinces; they oppreſs the huſbandmen, in order to have greater quantities of corn, which they ſend to ſtrangers, to procure the ſuperfluous demands of luxury. If Poland had no foreign trade, its inhabitants would be more happy. The grandees, who would have only their corn, would give it to their peaſants for ſubſiſtance; as their too extenſive eſtates would become burthenſome, they would divide them amongſt their peaſants; every one would find ſkins or wool in their herds or flocks, ſo that they would no longer be at an immenſe expence in providing clothes; the great, who are ever fond of luxury, not being able to find it but in their own country, would encourage the labour of the poor. This nation, I affirm, would then become more flouriſhing, at leaſt if it did not become barbarous; and this the laws might eaſily prevent.

Let us next conſider Japan. The vaſt quantity of what they receive, is the cauſe of the vaſt quantity of merchandizes they ſend abroad. Things are thus in as nice an equilibrium, as if the importation and exportation were but ſmall. Beſides, this kind of exuberance in the ſtate is productive of a thouſand advantages: there is a greater conſumption, a greater quantity of thoſe things on which the arts are exerciſed; more men employed, and more

more numerous means of acquiring power; exigencies may alſo happen, that require a ſpeedy aſſiſtance, which ſo opulent a ſtate can better afford than any other. It is difficult for a country to avoid having ſuperfluities: but it is the nature of commerce to render the ſuperfluous uſeful, and the uſeful neceſſary. The ſtate will be therefore able to afford neceſſaries to a much greater number of ſubjects.

Let us ſay then, that it is not thoſe nations who have need of nothing, that muſt loſe by trade; it is thoſe who have need of every thing. It is not ſuch people as have a ſufficiency within themſelves, but thoſe who are moſt in want, that will find an advantage in putting a ſtop to all commercial intercourſe.

BOOK XXI.

Of Laws relative to Commerce conſidered in the Revolutions it has met with in the World.

CHAP. I.

Some general Conſiderations.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 1.

THOUGH commerce be ſubject to great revolutions, yet it is poſſible that certain phyſical cauſes, as the quality of the ſoil, or the climate, may fix its nature for ever.

We, at preſent, carry on the trade of the Indies, merely by means of the ſilver which we ſend thither. The (a) Romans carried annually thither about fifty millions of ſeſterces; and this ſilver, as ours is at preſent, was exchanged for merchandizes, which were brought to the weſt. Every nation, that ever traded to the Indies, has conſtantly carried bullion, and brought merchandizes in return.

(a) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 23.

It is nature herſelf that produces this effect. The Indians have their arts adapted to their manner of living. Our luxury cannot be theirs; nor theirs our wants. Their climate neither demands, nor permits hardly any thing which comes from ours. They go in a great meaſure naked; ſuch clothes as they have, the country itſelf furniſhes; and their religion, which is deeply rooted, gives them an averſion for thoſe things that ſerve for our nouriſhment. They want therefore nothing but our bullion, to

ſerve

ſerve as the medium of value; and for this they give us merchandizes in return, with which the frugality of the people, and the nature of the country, furniſhes them in great abundance. Thoſe ancient (b) authors, who have mentioned the Indies, deſcribe them juſt as we now find them, as to their policy, cuſtoms, and manners. The Indies have ever been the ſame Indies they are at preſent; and in every period of time, thoſe who traded to that country, carried ſpecie thither, and brought none in return.

Book XXI. Chap. 2. and 3.

(b) See Pliny, book 6. chap. 19. and Strabo, book 15.

CHAP. II.

Of the People of Africa.

THE greateſt part of the people on the coaſt of Africa are ſavages and barbarians. The principal reaſon, I believe, of this, is, becauſe the ſmall countries, capable of being inhabited, are ſeparated from each other by large and almoſt uninhabitable tracts of land. They are without induſtry or arts. They have gold in abundance, which they receive immediately from the hand of nature. Every civilized ſtate is therefore in a condition to traffic with them to advantage, by raiſing their eſteem for things of no value, and receiving a very high price in return.

CHAP. III.

That the Wants of the People in the South are different from thoſe of the North.

IN Europe, there is a kind of balance between the ſouthern and northern nations. The firſt have every convenience of life, and few of its wants:

Book XXI. Chap. 3.

wants: the laſt have many wants, and few conveniencies. To one, nature has given much, and demands but little; to the other, ſhe has given but little, and demands a great deal. The equilibrium is maintained by the lazineſs of the ſouthern nations, and by the induſtry and activity which ſhe has given to thoſe in the north. The latter are obliged to undergo exceſſive labour, without which, they would want every thing, and degenerate into barbarians. This has naturalized ſlavery to the people of the ſouth: as they can eaſily diſpenſe with riches, they can more eaſily diſpenſe with liberty. But the people of the north have need of liberty, for this can beſt procure them the means of ſatisfying all thoſe wants which they have received from nature. The people of the north, then, are in a forced ſtate, if they are not either free or barbarians. Almoſt all the people of the ſouth are, in ſome meaſure, in a ſtate of violence, if they are not ſlaves.

CHAP. IV.

The principal Difference between the Commerce of the Ancients and the Moderns.

THE world has found itſelf, from time to time, in different ſituations; by which the face of commerce has been altered. The trade of Europe is, at preſent, carried on principally from the north to the ſouth; and the difference of climate is the cauſe that the ſeveral nations have great occaſion for the merchandizes of each other. For example, the liquors of the ſouth, which are carried to the north, form a commerce little known

to

to the ancients. Thus the burthen of veſſels, which was formerly computed by meaſures of corn, is at preſent determined by tons of liquor.

The ancient commerce, ſo far as it is known to us, was carried on from one port in the Mediterranean to another; and was almoſt wholly confined to the ſouth. Now the people of the ſame climate, having nearly the ſame things of their own, have not the ſame need of trading amongſt themſelves as with thoſe of a different climate. The commerce of Europe was therefore formerly leſs extended than at preſent.

This does not at all contradict what I have ſaid of our commerce to the Indies: for here the prodigious difference of climate deſtroys all relation between their wants and ours.

CHAP. V.

Other Differences.

COMMERCE is ſometimes deſtroyed by conquerors, ſometimes cramped by monarchs; it traverſes the earth, flies from the place where it is oppreſſed, and ſtays where it has liberty to breathe: it reigns at preſent where nothing was formerly to be ſeen but deſarts, ſeas, and rocks; and where it once reigned, now there are only deſarts.

To ſee Colchis in its preſent ſituation, which is no more than a vaſt foreſt, where the people are every day decreaſing, and only defend their liberty to ſell themſelves by piece-meal to the Turks and Perſians; one could never imagine, that this country had ever, in the time of the Romans, been full of

Book XXI. Chap. 6.

of cities, where commerce convened all the nations of the world. We find no monument of theſe facts in the country itſelf; there are no traces of them, except in (c) Pliny and (d) Strabo.

(c) Lib. 6.

(d) Lib. 2.

The hiſtory of commerce, is that of the communication of people. Their numerous defeats, and the flux and reflux of populations and devaſtations, here form the moſt extraordinary events.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Commerce of the Ancients.

(e) Diodorus, lib. 2.

THE immenſe treaſures of Semiramis (e), which could not be acquired in a day, give us reaſon to believe, that the Aſſyrians themſelves had pillaged other rich nations, as other nations afterwards pillaged them.

The effect of commerce is riches, the conſequence of riches, luxury; and that of luxury, the perfection of arts. We find that the arts were carried to great perfection in the time of Semiramis (f); which is a ſufficient indication, that a conſiderable commerce was then eſtabliſhed.

(f) Ibid.

In the empires of Aſia, there was a great commerce of luxury. The hiſtory of luxury would make a fine part of that of commerce. The luxury of the Perſians was that of the Medes, as the luxury of the Medes was that of the Aſſyrians.

Great revolutions have happened in Aſia. The north-eaſt parts of Perſia, viz. Hyrcania, Margiana, Bactria, &c. were formerly full of flouriſhing cities (g), which are now no more; and the north of this (h) empire, that is, the iſthmus which ſeparates the Caſpian and the Euxine ſeas, was covered

(g) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 16. & Strabo, lib. 11.

(h) Strabo, lib. 11.

covered with cities and nations, which are now deſtroyed. Book XXI. Chap. 6.

Eratoſthenes (i) and Ariſtobulus learnt from Patroclus *, that the merchandizes of India paſſed by the Oxus into the ſea of Pontus. Marcus Varro † tells us, that, the time when Pompey commanded againſt Mithridates, they were informed, that people went in ſeven days from India to the country of the Bactrians, and to the river Icarus, which falls into the Oxus; that, by this method, they were able to bring the merchandizes of India acroſs the Caſpian ſea, and to enter the mouth of Cyrus; from whence it was only five days paſſage to the Phaſis, a river that diſcharges itſelf into the Euxine ſea. There is no doubt but it was by the nations inhabiting theſe ſeveral countries, that the great empires of the Aſſyrians, Medes, and Perſians, had a communication with the moſt diſtant parts of the eaſt and weſt.

(i) Strabo, lib. 11.

An entire ſtop is now put to this communication. All theſe countries have been laid waſte by the ‡ Tartars, and are ſtill infeſted by this deſtructive nation. The Oxus no longer runs into the Caſpian ſea; the Tartars, for ſome private ‖ reaſons,

* The authority of Patroclus is of great weight, as appears from a paſſage in Strabo, lib. 2.

† Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 17. See alſo Strabo, lib. 11. upon the paſſage by which the merchandizes were conveyed from the Phaſis to the Cyrus.

‡ There muſt have been very great changes in that country ſince the time of Ptolemy, who gives us an account of ſo many rivers, that empty themſelves into the eaſt ſide of the Caſpian ſea. In the Czar's chart we find only the river of *Aſtrabat*; in that of M. Bathalſi there is none at all.

‖ See Jenkinſon's account of this, *in the Collection of Voyages to the North.* Vol. IV.

have

BOOK XXI. Chap. 6.

have changed its courſe, and it now loſes itſelf in the barren ſands.

The Jaxartes, which was formerly a barrier between the polite and barbarous nations, has had its courſe turned * in the ſame manner by the Tartars, and it no longer empties itſelf into the ſea.

(k) Claudius Cæſar, in Plin. lib. 6. cap. 11.

Seleucus-Nicator formed (k) the project of joining the Euxine to the Caſpian ſea. This project, which would have greatly facilitated the commerce of thoſe days, vaniſhed at his † death. We are not certain it could have been executed in the iſthmus which ſeparates the two ſeas. This country is at preſent very little known; it is depopulated, and full of foreſts; however, water is not wanting, for an infinite number of rivers roll into it from Mount Caucaſus: but as this mountain forms the north of the iſthmus, and extends like two arms (l) towards the ſouth, it would have been a grand obſtacle to ſuch an enterpriſe, eſpecially in thoſe times, when they had not the art of making ſluices.

(l) See Strabo, lib. 11.

It may be imagined, that Seleucus would have joined the two ſeas in the very place where Peter I. has ſince joined them; that is, in that neck of land where the Tanais approaches the Volga: but the north of the Caſpian ſea was not then diſcovered.

While the empires of Aſia enjoyed the commerce of luxury, the Tyrians had the commerce of œconomy, which they extended throughout the world. Bochard has employed the firſt book of his Canaan, in enumerating the colonies which they ſent into all the countries bordering upon the ſea: they

* I am apt to think, that from thence the lake Aral was formed.

† He was ſlain by Ptolemy-Ceraunus.

paſſed

paſſed the Pillars of Hercules, and made eſtabliſh- Book XXI. Chap. 6.
ments * on the coaſt of the ocean.

In thoſe times, their pilots were obliged to follow the coaſts, which were, if I may ſo expreſs myſelf, their compaſs. Voyages were long, and painful. The laborious voyage of Ulyſſes has been the fruitful ſubject of the fineſt poem in the world, next to that which alone has the preference.

The little knowledge which the greateſt part of the world had of thoſe who were far diſtant from them, favoured the nations engaged in the œconomical commerce. They managed trade with as much obſcurity as they pleaſed: they had all the advantages which the moſt intelligent nations could take over the moſt ignorant.

The Egyptians, a people who by their religion and their manners were averſe to all communication with ſtrangers, had ſcarcely at that time any foreign trade. They enjoyed a fruitful ſoil, and great plenty. Their country was the Japan of thoſe times; it poſſeſſed every thing within itſelf.

So little jealous were theſe people of commerce, that they left that of the Red ſea to all the petty nations that had any harbours in it. Here they ſuffered the Idumeans, the Syrians, and the Jews, to have fleets. Solomon (m) employed in this navigation the Tyrians, who knew thoſe ſeas.

(m) Kings, lib. 1. chap. 9. Chron. lib. 2. chap. 8.

Joſephus (n) ſays, that his nation being entirely employed in agriculture, knew little of navigation: the Jews therefore traded only occaſionally in the Red ſea. They took from the Idumeans Eloth and Eziongeber, from whom they received this com-

(n) Againſt Appian.

* They founded Tarteſſus, and made a ſettlement at Cadiz.

merce;

Book XXI. Chap. 6.

merce; they loſt theſe two cities, and with them loſt this commerce.

It was not ſo with the Phœnicians: theirs was not a commerce of luxury; nor was their trade owing to conqueſt: their frugality, their abilities, their induſtry, their perils, and the hardſhips they ſuffered, rendered them neceſſary to all the nations of the world.

Before Alexander, the people bordering on the Red ſea traded only in this ſea, and in that of Africa. The aſtoniſhment which filled the globe at the diſcovery of the Indian ſea, under that conqueror, is of this a ſufficient proof. I have obſerved (°), that bullion was always carried to the Indies, and never any brought from thence; now the Jewiſh fleets, which brought gold and ſilver by the way of the Red ſea, returned from Africa, and not from the Indies *.

(°) Chap. 1. of this book.

Beſides, this navigation was made on the eaſtern coaſt of Africa; for the ſtate of navigation at that time is a convincing proof, that they did not ſail to a very diſtant ſhore.

I am not ignorant, that the fleets of Solomon and Jehoſaphat returned only every three years; but I do not ſee that the time taken up in the voyage is any proof of the greatneſs of the diſtance.

Pliny and Strabo inform us, that the junks of India and the Red ſea were twenty days in performing a voyage, which a Greek or Roman veſſel would accompliſh in (P) ſeven. In this proportion, a voyage of one year, made by the fleets of Greece or

(P) See Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 22. and Strabo, lib. 15.

* The proportion betwixt gold and ſilver, as ſettled in Europe, may ſometimes render it profitable to take gold inſtead of ſilver into the Eaſt-Indies; but the advantage is very trifling.

Rome, would take very near three, when performed by thoſe of Solomon. BOOK XXI. Chap. 6.

Two ſhips of unequal ſwiftneſs do not perform their voyage in a time proportionate to their ſwiftneſs. Slowneſs is frequently the cauſe of much greater ſlowneſs. When it becomes neceſſary to follow the coaſts, and to be inceſſantly in a different poſition, when they muſt wait for a fair wind to get out of a gulph, and for another to proceed; a good ſailor takes the advantage of every favourable moment, while the other ſtill continues in a difficult ſituation, and waits many days for another change.

This ſlowneſs of the Indian veſſels, which in an equal time could make but the third of the way of thoſe of the Greeks and Romans, may be explained by what we every day ſee in our modern navigation. The Indian veſſels, which were built with a kind of ſea-ruſhes, drew leſs water than thoſe of Greece and Rome, which were of wood, and joined with iron.

We may compare theſe Indian veſſels to thoſe at preſent made uſe of in ports of little depth of water. Such are thoſe of Venice, and even of all * Italy in general, of the Baltic, and of the province of † Holland. Their ſhips, which ought to be able to go in and out of port, are built round and broad at the bottom; while thoſe of other nations, who have good harbours, are formed to ſink deep into the water. This mechaniſm renders theſe laſt-mentioned veſſels able to ſail much nearer to the wind; while the firſt can hardly ſail, except the wind be

* They are moſtly ſhallow; but Sicily has excellent ports.

† I ſay the province of Holland; for the ports of Zealand are deep enough.

Book XXI. Chap. 6.

nearly in the poop. A ſhip that ſinks deep into the water, ſails towards the ſame ſide with almoſt every wind: this proceeds from the reſiſtance which the veſſel, whilſt driven by the wind, meets with from the water, from which it receives a ſtrong ſupport; and from the length of the veſſel which preſents its ſide to the wind, while from the form of the helm the prow is turned to the point propoſed; ſo that ſhe can ſail very near to the wind, or, in other words, very near the point, from whence the wind blows. But when the hull is round and broad at the bottom, and conſequently draws little water, it no longer finds this ſteady ſupport; the wind drives the veſſel, which is incapable of reſiſtance, and can run then but with a ſmall variation from the point oppoſite to the wind. From whence it follows, that broad-bottomed veſſels are longer in performing voyages.

1. They loſe much time in waiting for the wind, eſpecially if they are obliged frequently to change their courſe. 2. They ſail much ſlower, becauſe, not having a proper ſupport from a depth of water, they cannot carry ſo much ſail. If this be the caſe at a time when the arts are every where known, at a time when art corrects the defects of nature, and even of art itſelf; if at this time, I ſay, we find this difference, how great muſt that have been, in the navigation of the ancients?

I cannot yet leave this ſubject. The Indian veſſels were ſmall, and thoſe of the Greeks and Romans, if we except their machines built for oſtentation, much leſs than ours. Now, the ſmaller the veſſel, the greater danger it encounters from foul weather. A tempeſt that would ſwallow up a ſmall veſſel, would only make a large one roll.

The more one body is ſurpaſſed by another in bigneſs, the more its ſurface is relatively ſmall. From whence it follows, that in a ſmall ſhip, there is a leſs proportion, that is, a greater difference, as to the ſurface of the veſſel, and the weight or lading ſhe can carry, than in a large one. We know that it is a pretty general practice, to make the weight of the lading equal to that of half the water the veſſel is able to contain. Suppoſe a veſſel will contain eight hundred tons, her lading then muſt be four hundred; and that of a veſſel, which would hold but four hundred tons of water, would be two hundred tons. Thus the largeneſs of the firſt ſhip will be to the weight ſhe carries, as 8 to 4; and that of the ſecond as 4 to 2. Let us ſuppoſe then, that the ſurface of the greater is to the ſurface of the ſmaller, as 8 to 6: the ſurface * of this will be to her weight as 6 to 2, while the ſurface of the former will be to her weight only, as 8 to 4. Therefore as the winds and waves act only upon the ſurface, the large veſſel will, by her weight, reſiſt their impetuoſity much more than the ſmall.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Commerce of the Greeks.

THE firſt Greeks were all pirates. Minos, who enjoyed the empire of the ſea, was only more ſucceſsful, perhaps, than others in piracy; for his maritime dominion extended no farther

* That is, to compare magnitudes of the ſame kind, the action or preſſure of the fluid upon the ſhip, will be to the reſiſtance of the ſame ſhip, as &c.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 7.

than round his own iſle. But when the Greeks became a great people, the Athenians obtained the real dominion of the ſea; becauſe this trading and victorious nation gave laws to the moſt potent monarch (q) of that time; and humbled the maritime powers of Syria, of the iſle of Cyprus, and Phœnicia.

(q) The king of Perſia.

But this Athenian lordſhip of the ſea deſerves to be more particularly mentioned. "*Athens*, ſays Xenophon (r), *rules the ſea; but as the country of Attica is joined to the continent, it is ravaged by enemies, while the Athenians are engaged in diſtant expeditions. Their leaders ſuffer their lands to be deſtroyed; and ſecure their wealth, by ſending it to ſome iſland. The populace, who are not poſſeſſed of lands, have no uneaſineſs. But if the Athenians inhabited an iſland, and, beſide this, enjoyed the empire of the ſea, they would, ſo long as they were poſſeſſed of theſe advantages, be able to annoy others, and at the ſame time to be out of all danger of being annoyed.*" One would imagine, that Xenophon was ſpeaking of England.

(r) On the Athenian republic.

The Athenians, a people whoſe heads were filled with ambitious projects; the Athenians, who augmented their jealouſy, inſtead of increaſing their influence; who were more attentive to extend their maritime empire than to enjoy it; whoſe political government was ſuch, that the common people diſtributed the public revenues amongſt themſelves, while the rich were in a ſtate of oppreſſion; the Athenians, I ſay, did not carry on ſo extenſive a commerce as might be expected from the produce of their mines, from the multitude of their ſlaves, from the number of their ſeamen, from their

influence

influence over the cities of Greece, and, above all, from the excellent inſtitutions of Solon. Their trade was almoſt wholly confined to Greece, and to the Euxine ſea; from whence they drew their ſubſiſtence.

Corinth was admirably ſituated; it ſeparated two ſeas, and opened and ſhut the Peloponneſus: it was the key of Greece, and a city of the greateſt importance, at a time when the people of Greece were a world, and the cities of Greece, nations. Its trade was more extenſive than that of Athens, having a port to receive the merchandizes of Aſia; and another thoſe of Italy: for the great difficulties which attended the doubling cape Malea, where the (f) meeting of oppoſite winds cauſes ſhipwrecks, induced every one to go to Corinth, and they could even convey their veſſels over land from one ſea to the other. Never was there a city, in which the works of art were carried to ſo high a degree of perfection. But here religion finiſhed the corruption, which their opulence began. They erected a temple to Venus, in which more than a thouſand courteſans were conſecrated to that deity; from this ſeminary came the greateſt part of thoſe celebrated beauties, whoſe hiſtory Athenæus has preſumed to commit to writing.

(f) See Strabo, lib. 8.

It ſeems, that in Homer's time the opulence of Greece centered in Rhodes, Corinth, and Orchomenus: "*Jupiter*, he ſays (t), *loved the* "*Rhodians, and made them a very wealthy na-* "*tion.*" On Corinth (u) he beſtows the epithet of rich. In like manner, when he ſpeaks of cities that have plenty of gold, he mentions Or-

(t) Iliad, lib. 2.

(u) Ibid.

Book XXI. Chap. 7.

chomenus, to which he joins Thebes in Egypt. Rhodes and Corinth preſerved their power; but Orchomenus loſt hers. The ſituation of Orchomenus in the neighbourhood of the Helleſpont, the Propontis, and the Euxine ſea, makes us naturally imagine, that ſhe was indebted for her opulence to a trade along that maritime coaſt, which had given riſe to the fable of the golden fleece: and, indeed, the name of *Minyeios* has been given to Orchomenus (x), as well as to the Argonauts. But theſe ſeas becoming afterwards more frequented, the Greeks planted along the coaſts a greater number of colonies, which traded with the barbarous nations, and at the ſame time preſerved an intercourſe with their mother country: in conſequence of this, Orchomenus began to decline, till at length it was loſt in the crowd of the other cities of Greece.

(x) Strabo, lib. 9. p. 914.

Before Homer's time, the Greeks had ſcarce any trade but among themſelves, and with a few barbarous nations; in proportion however as they formed new colonies, they extended their dominion. Greece was a large peninſula, the capes of which ſeemed to have kept off the ſeas, while its gulfs opened on all ſides to receive them. If we caſt an eye on Greece, we ſhall find, in a pretty compact country, a conſiderable extent of ſea-coaſt. Her innumerable colonies formed an immenſe circle round her; and there ſhe beheld, in ſome meaſure, the whole civilized world. Did ſhe penetrate into Sicily and Italy? ſhe formed new nations. Did ſhe navigate towards the ſea of Pontus, the coaſt of Aſia Minor, or that of Africa? ſhe acted in the ſame manner. Her cities

ties increaſed in proſperity, in proportion as they happened to have new people in their neighbourhood. And what was extremely beautiful, ſhe was ſurrounded, on every ſide, with a prodigious number of iſlands, drawn, as it were, in a line of circumvallation. Book XXI. Chap. 7.

What a ſource of proſperity muſt Greece have found in thoſe games, with which ſhe entertained, in ſome meaſure, the whole globe; in thoſe temples, to which all the kings of the earth ſent their offerings; in thoſe feſtivals, at which ſuch a concourſe of people uſed to aſſemble from all parts; in thoſe oracles, to which the attention of all mankind was directed; and, in ſhort, in that exquiſite taſte for the polite arts, which ſhe carried to ſuch a height, that to expect ever to ſurpaſs her, would be only betraying our ignorance.

CHAP. VIII.

Of Alexander. His conqueſt.

FOUR great events happened in the reign of Alexander, which entirely changed the face of commerce; the taking of Tyre, the conqueſt of Egypt, that likewiſe of the Indies, and the diſcovery of the ſea which lies ſouth of that country.

The empire of Perſia extended to the Indus (y). Darius, long before Alexander, had ſent (z) ſome veſſels, which ſailed down this river, and paſſed even into the Red ſea. How then were the Greeks the firſt who traded to the Indies by the South? Had not the Perſians done this before? Did they make no advantage of ſeas which were

(y) Strabo, lib. 15.
(z) Herotus in Melpomene.

Book XXI. Chap. 8.

ſo near them; of the very ſeas that waſhed their coaſts? Alexander, it is true, conquered the Indies; but was it neceſſary for him to conquer a country, in order to trade with it? This is what I ſhall now examine.

(a) Strabo, lib. 15.

Ariana (a), which extended from the Perſian Gulf as far as the Indus, and from the South ſea to the mountains of Paropamiſus, depended indeed in ſome meaſure on the empire of Perſia: but in the ſouthern part it was barren, ſcorched, rude, and uncultivated. Tradition (b) relates, that the armies of Semiramis and Cyrus periſhed in theſe deſarts; and Alexander, who cauſed his fleet to follow him, could not avoid loſing in this place a great part of his army. The Perſians left the whole coaſt to the Ichthyophagi (c), the Oritæ, and other barbarous nations. Beſides, the Perſians were no * great ſailors, and their very religion debarred them from entertaining any ſuch notion as that of a maritime commerce. The voyage undertaken by Darius's direction upon the Indus, and the Indian ſea, proceeded rather from the capriciouſneſs of a prince vainly ambitious of ſhewing his power, than from any ſettled regular project. It was attended with no conſequence, either to the advantage of commerce, or of navigation. They emerged from their ignorance, only to plunge into it again.

(b) Strabo, lib. 15.

(c) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 23, Strabo, lib. 15.

(d) Strabo, lib. 15.

Beſides, it was a received opinion (d) before the expedition of Alexander, that the ſouthern parts of

† They ſailed not upon the rivers, leſt they ſhould defile the elements. *Hyde's Religion of the Perſians.* Even to this day they have no maritime commerce. Thoſe who take to the ſea, are treated by them as atheiſts.

India

India were uninhabitable *. This proceeded from a tradition that (e) Semiramis had brought back from thence only twenty men, and Cyrus but ſeven. Book XXI. Chap. 8. (e) Strabo, lib. 15.

Alexander entered by the north. His deſign was to march towards the eaſt: but having found a part of the ſouth full of great nations, cities and rivers, he attempted to conquer it, and ſucceeded.

He then formed the deſign of uniting the Indies to the weſtern nations by a maritime commerce, as he had already united them by the colonies he had eſtabliſhed by land.

He ordered a fleet to be built on the Hydaſpes, then fell down that river, entered the Indus, and ſailed even to its mouth. He left his army and his fleet at Patala, went himſelf with a few veſſels to view the ſea, and marked the places where he would have ports to be opened, and arſenals erected. Upon his return from Patala he ſeparated the fleet, and took the route by land, for the mutual ſupport of fleet and army. The fleet followed the coaſt from the Indus along the banks of the country of the Oritæ, of the Ichthyophagi, of Carmania and Perſia. He cauſed wells to be dug, built cities, and would not ſuffer the Ichthyophagi † to live on fiſh, being deſirous of having the

* Herodotus (in Melpomene) ſays, that Darius conquered the Indies; this muſt be underſtood only to mean Ariana; and even this was only an ideal conqueſt.

† This cannot be underſtood of all the Ichthyophagi, who inhabited a coaſt of ten thouſand furlongs in extent. How was it poſſible for Alexander to have maintained them? How could he command their ſubmiſſion? This can be underſtood only of ſome particular tribes. Nearchus, in his book, *Rerum Indicarum*,

Book XXI. Chap. 8.

the borders of the ſea inhabited by civilized nations. Nearchus and Oneſecritus wrote a journal of this voyage, which was performed in ten months. They arrived at Suſa, where they found Alexander, who gave an entertainment to his whole army.

This prince had founded Alexandria, with a view of ſecuring his conqueſt of Egypt; this was a key to open it, in the very place where the kings his * predeceſſors had a key to ſhut it; and he had not the leaſt thought of a commerce, of which the diſcovery of the Indian ſea could alone give him the idea.

It even ſeems, that after this diſcovery, he had no new deſign, in regard to Alexandria. He had, indeed, a general ſcheme of opening a trade between the Eaſt-Indies, and the weſtern parts of his empire: but as for the project of conducting this commerce through Egypt, his knowledge was too imperfect to be able to form any ſuch deſign. It is true, he had ſeen the Indus, he had ſeen the Nile, but he knew nothing of the Arabian ſeas between the two rivers. Scarce was he returned from India, when he fitted out new fleets, and navigated on the Euleus (f), the Tigris, the Euphrates, and the ocean; he removed the cataracts, with which the Perſians had encumbered

(f) Arrian. de expedit. Alexandri, lib. 7.

carum, ſays, that at the extremity of this coaſt, on the ſide of Perſia, he had found ſome people, who were leſs Ichthyophagi than the others. I ſhould think that Alexander's prohibition related to theſe people, or to ſome other tribe, ſtill more bordering on Perſia.

* Alexandria was founded on a flat ſhore, called Rhacotis, where, in ancient times, the kings had kept a garriſon, to prevent all ſtrangers, and more particularly the Greeks, from entering the country. *Pliny, lib.* 6. *cap.* 10. *Strabo, lib.* 18.

thoſe

thoſe rivers; and he diſcovered that the Perſian gulf was a branch of the main ſea. But as he went to view this ſea (g), in the ſame manner as he had done in regard to that of India; as he cauſed a port to be opened for a thouſand ſhips, and arſenals to be erected at Babylon; as he ſent five hundred talents into Phœnicia and Syria, to draw mariners into this ſervice, whom he intended to diſtribute in the colonies along the coaſt; in fine, as he cauſed immenſe works to be erected on the Euphrates, and the other rivers of Aſſyria, there could be no doubt but he deſigned to carry on the commerce of India by the way of Babylon and the Perſic gulf.

(g) Arrian. de exped. Alexand. lib. 7.

There are ſome who pretend that Alexander wanted to ſubdue Arabia (h), and had formed a deſign to make it the ſeat of his empire: but how could he have pitched upon a place, with which he was entirely unacquainted *. Beſides, of all countries this would have been the moſt inconvenient to him; for it would have ſeparated him from the reſt of his empire. The Caliphs, who made diſtant conqueſts, ſoon withdrew from Arabia, to reſide elſewhere.

(h) Strabo, lib. 6. towards the end.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Commerce of the Grecian Kings after the Death of Alexander.

AT the time when Alexander made the conqueſt of Egypt, they had but a very imper-

* Seeing Babylon overflowed, he looked upon the neighbouring country of Arabia as an iſland. Ariſtob. in Strabo, lib. 16.

fect

Book XXI. Chap. 9.

fect idea of the Red ſea, and none at all of the ocean, which joining to this ſea, on one ſide, waſhes the coaſt of Africa, and, on the other, that of Arabia: nay, they thought it impoſſible to ſail round the peninſula of Arabia. They who attempted it on each ſide, had relinquiſhed their deſign. "How is it poſſible, ſaid they (i), to navigate to the ſouthern coaſt of Arabia, when "Cambyſes's army, which traverſed it on the "north ſide, almoſt entirely periſhed; and the "forces which Ptolemy, the ſon of Lagus, ſent to "the aſſiſtance of Seleucus Nicator at Babylon, "underwent incredible hardſhips, and, upon ac"count of the heats, could march only in the "night?"

(i) See the book, Rerum Indicarum.

The Perſians were entire ſtrangers to navigation. When they had ſubdued Egypt, they introduced the ſame ſpirit into that country as prevailed in Perſia: hence ſo great was the ſupineneſs of the Perſians, in this reſpect, that the Grecian kings found them quite ſtrangers, not only to the commerce of the Tyrians, the Idumeans, and the Jews, on the ocean, but even to the navigation of the Red ſea. I am apt to think, that the deſtruction of the firſt Tyre by Nebuchadnezzar, together with the ſubverſion of ſeveral petty nations and towns bordering on the Red ſea, had obliterated all their former knowledge of commerce.

Egypt, at the time of the Perſian monarchy, did not front the Red ſea; it (k) contained only that long narrow neck of land which the Nile covers with its inundations, and is encloſed on both ſides by a chain of mountains. They were, therefore, under the neceſſity of making a ſecond diſcovery of

(k) Strabo, lib. 16.

of the ocean and the Red ſea; and this diſcovery engaged the curioſity of the Grecian monarchs. BOOK XXI. Chap. 9.

They aſcended the Nile, and hunted after elephants in the countries ſituated between that river and the ſea; by this progreſſion they traced the ſea-coaſt; and as the diſcoveries were made by the Greeks, the names are all Grecian, and the temples are conſecrated to (l) Greek divinities.

(l) Strabo, lib. 16.

The Greeks ſettled in Egypt were able to command a moſt extenſive commerce; they were maſters of all the harbours on the Red ſea; Tyre, the rival of every trading nation, was no more; they were not conſtrained by the ancient * ſuperſtitions of the country; in ſhort, Egypt was become the center of the world.

The kings of Syria left the commerce of the ſouth to thoſe of Egypt, and attached themſelves only to the northern trade, which was carried on by means of the Oxus and the Caſpian ſea. They then imagined, that this ſea was part of the (m) northern ocean; and Alexander, ſome time before his death, had fitted out a fleet (n), in order to diſcover whether it communicated with the ocean by the Euxine ſea, or on ſome other eaſtern ſea towards India. After him, Seleucus and Antiochus applied themſelves to make diſcoveries in it, with a particular attention; and with this view they ſcoured it with their fleets (o). That part which Seleucus ſurveyed, was called the Seleucidian ſea; that which Antiochus diſcovered, received the name of the ſea of Antiochus. Attentive to the projects they might have formed on that ſide, they neglected the ſeas on the South; whether

(m) Pliny, lib. 2. cap. 67. and lib. 6. cap. 9. and 12. and Strabo, lib. 11.

(n) Arrian. de expedit. Alexandri, lib. 3. p. 74. and lib. 5. p. 104.

(o) Pliny, lib. 2. cap. 64.

* Theſe gave them an averſion to ſtrangers.

Book XXI. Chap. 9.

whether it was that the Ptolemies, by means of their fleets on the Red ſea, were already become the maſters of it; or that they had diſcovered an invincible averſion in the Perſians againſt engaging in maritime affairs. The ſouthern coaſts of Perſia ſupplied them with no ſeamen; there had been none in thoſe parts, except towards the latter end of Alexander's reign. But the Egyptian kings, being maſters of the iſle of Cyprus, of Phœnicia, and of a great number of towns on the coaſt of Aſia Minor, were poſſeſſed of all ſorts of conveniencies for undertaking maritime expeditions. They had no occaſion to force, they had only to follow the genius and bent of their ſubjects.

I am ſurprized, I confeſs, at the obſtinacy with which the ancients believed, that the Caſpian ſea was a part of the ocean. The expeditions of Alexander, of the kings of Syria, of the Parthians and the Romans, could not make them change their ſentiments; notwithſtanding theſe nations deſcribed the Caſpian ſea with a wonderful exactneſs: but men are generally tenacious of their errors. When only the ſouth of this ſea was known, it was at firſt taken for the ocean; in proportion as they advanced along the banks of the northern coaſt, inſtead of imagining it a great lake, they ſtill believed it to be the ocean, that here made a ſort of a bay: ſurveying the coaſt, their diſcoveries never went eaſtward beyond the Jaxartes, nor weſtward further than the extremity of Albania. The ſea towards the north was ſhallow *, and of courſe very unfit for navigation. Hence it was that they always looked upon this as the ocean.

* See the Czar's chart.

The

The land army of Alexander had been on the eaſt only as far as the Hypanis, which is the laſt of thoſe rivers that fall into the Indus: thus the firſt trade which the Greeks carried on to the Indies was confined to a very ſmall part of the country. Seleucus Nicator penetrated as far as the Ganges (p), and thereby diſcovered the ſea into which this river falls, that is to ſay, the bay of Bengal. The moderns diſcover countries by voyages at ſea; the ancients diſcovered ſeas by conqueſts at land. Book XXI. Chap. 9.

(p) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 17.

Strabo (q), notwithſtanding the teſtimony of Apollodorus, ſeems to doubt whether the Grecian (r) kings of Bactria proceeded farther than Seleucus and Alexander. Were it even true that they went no further to the eaſt than Seleucus, yet they went farther towards the ſouth: they diſcovered * Siger, and the ports on the coaſt of Malabar, which gave riſe to the navigation I am going to mention.

(q) Lib. 15.

(r) Apollonius Adrumatinus in *Strabo*, lib. 2.

Pliny (s) informs us, that the navigation of the Indies was ſucceſſively carried on by three different ways. At firſt they ſailed from the cape of Siagre to the iſland of Patalena, which is at the mouth of the Indus. This we find was the courſe that Alexander's fleet ſteered to the Indies. They took afterwards (t) a ſhorter and more certain courſe, by ſailing from the ſame cape or promontory to Siger: this can be no other but the kingdom of Siger, mentioned by Strabo (u), and diſcovered by the Grecian kings of Bactria. Pliny, by ſaying that this way was ſhorter than the other, can mean only that the voyage was made in leſs time: for

(s) Lib. 6. cap. 23.

(t) Pliny, lib 6. cap. 23.

(u) Lib. 11. Sigertidis regnum.

* The Macedonians of Bactria, India, and Ariana, having ſeparated themſelves from Syria, formed a great ſtate.

as

Book XXI. Chap. 9.

as Siger was diſcovered by the kings of Bactria, it muſt have been farther than the Indus: by this paſſage they muſt therefore have avoided the winding of certain coaſts, and taken advantage of particular winds. The merchants at laſt took a third way; they ſailed to Canes, or Ocelis, ports ſituated at the entrance of the Red ſea; from whence, by a weſt wind, they arrived at Muziris, the firſt ſtaple town of the Indies, and from thence to the other ports. Here we ſee, that inſtead of ſailing to the mouth of the Red ſea as far as Siagre, by coaſting Arabia-Felix to the north-eaſt, they ſteered directly from weſt to eaſt, from one ſide to the other, by means of the monſoons, whoſe regular courſe they diſcovered by ſailing in theſe latitudes. The ancients never loſt ſight of the coaſts, but when they took advantage of theſe * and the trade-winds, which were to them a kind of compaſs.

(z) Lib. 6. cap. 23.

Pliny (z) ſays, that they ſet ſail for the Indies in the middle of ſummer, and returned towards the end of December, or in the beginning of January. This is entirely conformable to our naval journals. In that part of the Indian ſea which is between the peninſula of Africa, and that on this ſide the Ganges, there are two monſoons; the firſt, during which the winds blow from weſt to eaſt, begins in the month of Auguſt or September; and the ſecond, during which the wind is in the eaſt, begins in January. Thus we ſet ſail from Africa for Malabar, at the ſeaſon of the year that Ptolemy's

* The monſoons blow part of the year from one quarter, and part from another; the trade-winds blow the whole year round from the ſame quarter.

fleet

fleet uſed to put to ſea from thence; and we return too at the ſame time as they.

Alexander's fleet was ſeven months in ſailing from Patala to Suſa. It ſet out in the month of July, that is, at a ſeaſon when no ſhip dare now put to ſea to return from the Indies. Between theſe two monſoons there is an interval of time, during which the winds vary; when a north wind meeting with the common winds, raiſes, eſpecially near the coaſts, the moſt terrible tempeſts. Theſe continue during the months of June, July, and Auguſt. Alexander's fleet, therefore, ſetting ſail from Patala in the month of July, muſt have been expoſed to many ſtorms, and the voyage muſt have been long, becauſe they ſailed againſt the monſoon.

Pliny ſays, that they ſet out for the Indies at the end of ſummer; thus they ſpent the time proper for taking advantage of the monſoon, in their paſſage from Alexandria to the Red ſea.

Obſerve here, I pray, how navigation has by little and little arrived at perfection. Darius's fleet was two years and a half (y) in falling down the Indus, and going to the Red ſea. Afterwards the fleet of Alexander (z), deſcending the Indus, arrived at Suſa in ten months, having ſailed three months on the Indus, and ſeven on the Indian ſea: at laſt, the paſſage from the coaſt of Malabar to the Red ſea was made in forty days (a).

(y) Herodotus in Melpomene.

(z) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 23.

(a) Ibid.

Strabo (b), who accounts for their ignorance of the countries between the Hypanis and the Ganges, ſays, there were very few of thoſe who ſailed from Egypt to the Indies, that ever proceeded ſo far as the Ganges. Their fleets, in fact, never went

(b) Lib. 15.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 9.

thither: they ſailed with the weſtern monſoons from the mouth of the Red ſea to the coaſt of Malabar. They caſt anchor in the ports along that coaſt, and never attempted to get round the peninſula on this ſide the Ganges by cape Comorin and the coaſt of Coromandel. The plan of navigation laid down by the kings of Egypt and the Romans was, to ſet out and return the ſame year (c).

(c) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 23.

Thus it is demonſtrable, that the commerce of the Greeks and Romans to the Indies was much leſs extenſive than ours. We know immenſe countries, which to them were entirely unknown; we traffic with all the Indian nations; we even manage their trade, and in our bottoms carry on their commerce.

But this commerce of the ancients was carried on with far greater facility than ours. And if the moderns were to trade only to the coaſt of Guzarat and Malabar, and, without ſeeking for the ſouthern iſles, were ſatisfied with what theſe iſlanders brought them, they would certainly prefer the way of Egypt to that of the cape of Good-hope (d). Strabo informs us, that they traded thus with the people of Taprobane.

(d) Lib. 15.

CHAP. X.

Of the Circuit of Africa.

WE find from hiſtory, that before the diſcovery of the mariners compaſs, four attempts were made to ſail round the coaſt of Africa. The Phœnicians ſent by * Necho, and Eudoxus (e)

(e) Pliny, lib. 2. cap. 67. Pomponius Mela, lib. 3. cap. 9.

* He was deſirous of conquering it. *Herodotus*, lib. 4.

flying

flying from the wrath of Ptolemy Lathyrus, ſet out from the Red ſea, and ſucceeded. Sataſpes (f) ſent by Xerxes, and Hanno by the Carthaginians, ſet out from the Pillars of Hercules, and failed in the attempt.

(f) Herodotus in Melpomene.

The capital point in ſurrounding Africa was, to diſcover and double the cape of Good-hope. Thoſe who ſet out from the Red ſea, found this cape nearer by half, than it would have been in ſetting out from the Mediterranean. The ſhore from the Red ſea is not ſo ſhallow, as that from the cape * to Hercules's pillars. The diſcovery of the cape by Hercules's pillars was owing to the invention of the compaſs, which permitted them to leave the coaſt of Africa, and to launch out † into the vaſt ocean, in order to ſail towards the iſland of St. Helena, or towards the coaſt of Braſil. It was therefore very poſſible for them to ſail from the Red ſea into the Mediterranean, but not to ſet out from the Mediterranean to return by the Red ſea.

Thus, without making this grand circuit, after which they could hardly ever hope to return, it was moſt natural to trade to the eaſt of Africa by the Red ſea, and to the weſtern coaſt by Hercules's pillars.

The Grecian kings of Egypt diſcovered at firſt in the Red ſea, that part of the coaſt of Africa, which

* Add to this what I ſhall ſay in chap. 11. of this book, on the navigation of Hanno.

† In the months of October, November, December, and January, the wind in the Atlantic ocean is found to blow north-eaſt; our ſhips therefore either croſs the line, and, to avoid the wind which is there generally at eaſt, they direct their courſe to the ſouth; or elſe they enter into the torrid zone, in thoſe places where the wind is at weſt.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 10.

extends from the bottom of the gulf where ſtands the town of *Heroum*, as far as *Dira*, that is, to the ſtreight, now known by the name of *Babelmandel*. From thence to the promontory of *Aromatia*, ſituate at the entrance of the Red ſea *, the coaſt had never been ſurveyed by navigators: and this is evident from what Artemidorus tells us (e), that they were acquainted with the places on that coaſt, but knew not their diſtances: the reaſon of which is, they ſucceſſively gained a knowledge of thoſe ports by land, without ſailing from one to the other.

(e) Strabo, lib. 16.

Beyond this promontory, at which the coaſt along the ocean commenced, they knew nothing, as we learn † from Eratoſthenes and Artemidorus.

Such was the knowledge they had of the coaſts of Africa in Strabo's time, that is, in the reign of Auguſtus. But after that prince's deceaſe, the Romans found out the two capes *Raptum* and *Paſſum*, of which Strabo makes no mention, becauſe they had not been as yet diſcovered. It is plain, that both thoſe names are of Roman original.

Ptolemy the geographer flouriſhed under Adrian and Antoninus Pius; and the author of the Periplus of the Red ſea, whoever he was, lived a little after. Yet the former limits known Africa (h) to cape *Praſſum*, which is in about the 14th degree of ſouth latitude; while the author of the Periplus * confines it to cape *Raptum*, which

(h) Lib. 1. cap. 7. lib. 4. cap. 9. table 4. of Africa.

* The ſea to which we give this name was called by the ancients the gulf of Arabia; the name of the Red ſea they gave to that part of the ocean, which borders on this gulf.

† Ibid. Artemidorus ſettled the borders of the known coaſt at the place called *Auſtricornu*; and Eratoſthenes, *Cinnamomiferam*.

‡ This Periplus is attributed to Arrian.

is

is nearly in the 10th degree of the ſame latitude. In all likelihood the latter took his limit from a place then frequented, and Ptolemy his from a place with which there was no longer any communication. Book XXI. Chap. 10.

What confirms me in this notion is, that the people about cape *Praſſum* were anthropophagi (i). Ptolemy takes notice (k) of a great number of places between the port or *emporium Aromatum* and cape *Raptum*, but leaves an entire blank between the capes *Raptum* and *Praſſum*. The great profits of the Eaſt-India trade muſt have occaſioned a neglect of that of Africa. In fine, the Romans never had any ſettled navigation; they had diſcovered theſe ſeveral ports by land expeditions, and by means of ſhips driven on that coaſt; and, as at preſent, we are well acquainted with the maritime parts of Africa, but know very little of the inland country *; the ancients, on the contrary, had a very good knowledge of the inland parts, but were almoſt ſtrangers to the coaſts.

(i) Ptol. lib. 4. c. 9.
(k) Lib. 4. c. 7, and 8.

I ſaid, that the Phœnicians ſent by Necho and Eudoxus under Ptolemy Lathyrus, had made the circuit of Africa: but at the time of Ptolemy the geographer, thoſe two voyages muſt have been looked upon as fabulous, ſince he places (l), after the *Sinus Magnus*, which I apprehend to be the gulf of Siam, an unknown country, extend-

(l) Lib. 7. c. 3.

* See what exact deſcriptions Strabo and Ptolemy have given us of the different parts of Africa. Their knowledge was owing to the ſeveral wars, which the two moſt powerful nations in the world had waged with the people of Africa, to the alliances they had contracted, and to the trade they had carried on with thoſe countries.

Book XXI. Chap. 11.

ing from Aſia to Africa, and terminating at cape *Praſſum*, ſo that the Indian ocean would have been no more than a lake. The ancients, who diſcovered the Indies towards the north, advancing eaſtward, placed this unknown country to the ſouth.

CHAP. XI.

Of Carthage, *and* Marſeilles.

THE law of nations which obtained at Carthage, was very extraordinary; all ſtrangers, who traded to Sardinia and towards Hercules's pillars, this haughty republic ſentenced to be drowned. Her civil polity was equally ſurprizing; ſhe forbid the Sardinians to cultivate their lands, upon pain of death. She increaſed her power by her riches, and afterwards her riches by her power. Being miſtreſs of the coaſts of Africa, which are waſhed by the Mediterranean, ſhe extended herſelf along the ocean. Hanno, by order of the ſenate of Carthage, diſtributed thirty thouſand Carthaginians from Hercules's pillars as far as Cerne. This place, he ſays, is as diſtant from Hercules's pillars, as the latter from Carthage. This ſituation is extremely remarkable. It lets us ſee, that Hanno limited his ſettlements to the 25th degree of north latitude, that is, to two or three degrees ſouth of the Canaries.

Hanno being at Cerne, undertook another voyage, with a view of making further diſcoveries towards the ſouth. He took but little notice of the continent. He followed the coaſt for twenty-ſix days, when he was obliged to return for want of proviſions. The Carthaginians, it ſeems, made

no

no uſe of this ſecond enterpriſe. Scylax (m) ſays, that the ſea is not (n) navigable beyond Cerne, becauſe it is ſhallow, full of mud and ſea-weeds: and, in fact, there are many of theſe in thoſe latitudes *. The Carthaginian merchants, mentioned by Scylax, might find obſtacles, which Hanno, who had ſixty veſſels of fifty oars each, had ſurmounted. Difficulties are at moſt but relative; beſides, we ought not to confound an enterpriſe, in which bravery and reſolution muſt be exerted, with things that require no extraordinary conduct.

(m) See his *Periplus*, under the article of Carthage.

(n) See Herodotus in Melpomene, on the obſtacles which Sataſpe encountered.

The relation of Hanno's voyage is a fine fragment of antiquity. It was written by the very man that performed it. His recital is not mingled with oſtentation. Great commanders write their actions with ſimplicity; becauſe they receive more glory from facts than from words.

The ſtile is agreeable to the ſubject: he deals not in the marvellous. All he ſays of the climate, of the ſoil, the behaviour, the manners of the inhabitants, correſpond with what is every day ſeen on this coaſt of Africa; one would imagine it the journal of a modern ſailor.

He obſerved from his fleet †, that in the daytime there was a prodigious ſilence on the continent, that in the night he heard the ſound of various muſical inſtruments, and that fires might then be every where ſeen, ſome larger than others.

* See the charts and relations in the firſt volume of voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, part 1. p. 201. This weed covers the ſurface of the water in ſuch a manner, as to be ſcarcely perceived, and ſhips can only paſs through it with a ſtiff gale.

† Pliny tells us the ſame thing, ſpeaking of mount Atlas: *Noctibus micare crebris ignibus, tibiarum cantu timpanorumque ſonitu ſtrepere, neminem interdiu cerni.*

Book XXI. Chap. 11.

Our relations are conformable to this; it has been diſcovered, that in the day the ſavages retire into the foreſts to avoid the heat of the ſun, that they light up great fires in the night to diſperſe the beaſts of prey, and that they are paſſionately fond of muſic and dancing.

The ſame writer deſcribes a volcano with all the phænomena of Veſuvius; and relates, that he took two hairy women, who choſe to die rather than follow the Carthaginians, and whoſe ſkins he carried to Carthage. This has been found not void of probability.

This narration is ſo much the more valuable, as it is a monument of Punic antiquity; and from hence alone it has been regarded as fabulous. For the Romans retained their hatred to the Carthaginians, even after they had deſtroyed them. But it was victory alone that decided whether we ought to ſay, *The Punic* or *the Roman faith.*

Some moderns * have imbibed theſe prejudices. What is become, ſay they, of the cities deſcribed by Hanno, of which even in Pliny's time there remained no veſtiges? But it would have been a wonder indeed, if any ſuch veſtiges had remained. Was it a Corinth or Athens that Hanno built on thoſe coaſts? He left Carthaginian families in ſuch places as were moſt commodious for trade, and ſecured them as well as his hurry would permit againſt ſavages and wild beaſts. The calamities of the Carthaginians put a period to the navigation of Africa; theſe families muſt neceſſarily then either periſh or become ſavages. Beſides, were the ruins of theſe cities even ſtill in being,

* Mr. Dodwell. See his *Diſſertation on* Hanno's *Periplus.*

who

who is it that would venture into the woods and marſhes to make the diſcovery? We find however, in Scylax and Polybius, that the Carthaginians had conſiderable ſettlements on thoſe coaſts. Theſe are the veſtiges of the cities of Hanno; there are no other, from the ſame reaſon that there are no other of Carthage itſelf.

The Carthaginians were in the high road to wealth; and had they gone ſo far as four degrees of north latitude, and fifteen of longitude, they would have diſcovered the Gold-coaſt. They would then have had a trade of much greater importance than that which is carried on at preſent on that coaſt, at a time when America ſeems to have degraded the riches of all other countries. They would there have found treaſures, of which they could never have been deprived by the Romans.

Very ſurpriſing things have been ſaid of the riches of Spain. If we may believe Ariſtotle (o), the Phœnicians, who arrived at Tarteſſus, found ſo much ſilver there, that their ſhips could not hold it all; and they made of this metal their meaneſt utenſils. The Carthaginians, according to Diodorus (p), found ſo much gold and ſilver in the Pyrenean mountains, that they adorned the anchors of their ſhips with it. But no foundation can be built on ſuch popular reports. Let us therefore examine into the facts themſelves.

(o) Of wonderful things.

(p) Lib. 6.

We find in a fragment of Polybius, cited by Strabo (q), that the ſilver mines at the ſource of the river Bætis, in which forty thouſand men were employed, produced to the Romans twenty-five thouſand drachmas a day, that is, about five millions of livres a year, at fifty livres to the mark.

(q) Lib. 3.

The

BOOK XXI. Chap. 11.

The mountains that contained theſe mines were called the (r) *Silver Mountains*: which ſhews they were the Potoſi of thoſe times. At preſent, the mines of Hanover do not employ a fourth part of the workmen, and yet they yield more. But as the Romans had not many copper-mines, and but few of ſilver; and as the Greeks knew none but the Attic mines, which were of little value, they might well be aſtoniſhed at their abundance.

(r) *Mons Argentarius.*

In the war that broke out for the ſucceſſion of Spain, a man called the marquis of Rhodes, of whom it was ſaid that he was ruined in golden mines, and enriched in hoſpitals *, propoſed to the court of France to open the Pyrenean mines. He alledged the example of the Tyrians, the Carthaginians, and the Romans. He was permitted to ſearch, but ſought in vain; he ſtill alledged, and found nothing.

The Carthaginians being maſters of the gold and ſilver trade, were willing to be ſo of the lead and pewter. Theſe metals were carried by land from the ports of Gaul upon the ocean to thoſe of the Mediterranean. The Carthaginians were deſirous of receiving them at the firſt hand; they ſent Himilco to make a (t) ſettlement in the iſles called Caſſiterides, which are imagined to be thoſe of Scilly.

(t) See Feſtus Avienus.

Theſe voyages from Bætica into England have made ſome perſons imagine, that the Carthaginians knew the compaſs: but it is very certain, that they followed the coaſts. There needs no other proof than Himilico's being four months in ſailing from the mouth of the Bætis to England: beſides the

* He had ſome ſhare in their management.

famous

famous piece of hiſtory of the Carthaginian (t) pilot, who, being followed by a Roman veſſel, ran a-ground, that he might not * ſhew her the way to England, plainly intimates, that thoſe veſſels were very near the ſhore, when they fell in with each other.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 11.

(t) Strabo, lib. 3. towards the end.

The ancients might have performed voyages, that would make one imagine they had the compaſs, though they had not. If a pilot was far from land, and during his voyage had ſuch ſerene weather, that in the night he could always ſee a polar ſtar, and in the day the riſing and ſetting of the ſun, it is certain he might regulate his courſe as well as we do now by the compaſs: but this muſt be a fortuitous caſe, and not a regular method of navigation.

We ſee in the treaty which put an end to the firſt Punic war, that Carthage was principally attentive to preſerve the empire of the ſea, and Rome that of the land. Hanno (u), in his negotiation with the Romans, declared, that they ſhould not be ſuffered even to waſh their hands in the ſea of Sicily; they were not permitted to ſail beyond the *promontorium pulchrum*; they were forbid to trade in Sicily †, Sardinia, and Africa, except at Carthage: an exception that lets us ſee there was no deſign to favour them in their trade with that city.

(u) Freinſhemius's Supplement to Livy, 2d Decad.

In early times there had been very great wars between Carthage and Marſeilles ‡ on the ſubject of fiſhing. After the peace they entered jointly into

* He was rewarded by the ſenate of Carthage.
† In the parts ſubject to the Carthaginians.
‡ Juſtin, lib. 43. cap. 5.

Book XXI. Chap. 12.

the œconomical commerce. Marſeilles at length grew jealous, eſpecially as being equal to her rival in induſtry, ſhe was become inferior to her in power. This is the motive of her great fidelity to the Romans. The war between the latter and the Carthaginians in Spain was a ſource of riches to Marſeilles, which was now become their magazine. The ruin of Carthage and Corinth ſtill increaſed the glory of Marſeilles, and had it not been for the civil wars, in which this republic ought on no account to have engaged, ſhe would have been happy under the protection of the Romans, who had not the leaſt jealouſy of her commerce.

CHAP. XII.

The Iſle of Delos. Mithridates.

UPON the deſtruction of Corinth by the Romans, the merchants retired to Delos, an iſland which from religious conſiderations was looked upon as a place of ſafety (x): beſides, it was extremely well ſituated for the commerce of Italy and Aſia, which, ſince the reduction of Africa, and the weakening of Greece, was grown more important.

(x) See Strabo, lib. 10.

From the earlieſt times, the Greeks, as we have already obſerved, ſent colonies to Propontis, and to the Euxine ſea; colonies which retained their laws and liberties under the Perſians. Alexander, having undertaken his expedition againſt the barbarians only, did not moleſt theſe people *.

* He confirmed the liberty of the city of Amiſus, an Athenian colony, which had enjoyed a popular government, even under the kings of Perſia. Lucullus having taken Sinone and Amiſus, reſtored them to their liberty, and recalled the inhabitants, who had fled on board their ſhips.

Neither

Neither does it appear that the kings of Pontus, who were maſters of many of thoſe colonies, ever deprived them of their own civil government*.

The power † of thoſe kings increaſed, as ſoon as they ſubdued thoſe cities. Mithridates found himſelf able to hire troops on every ſide; to repair his frequent loſſes; to have a multitude of workmen, ſhips, and military machines; to procure himſelf allies; to bribe thoſe of the Romans, and even the Romans themſelves; to keep the barbarians of Aſia and Europe in his pay (y); to continue the war for many years, and of courſe to diſcipline his troops: he found himſelf able to train them to arms, to inſtruct them in the military art of the (z) Romans, and to form conſiderable bodies out of their deſerters; in a word, he found himſelf able to ſuſtain great loſſes, and to be frequently defeated, without being ruined; neither would he have been ruined, if the voluptuous and barbarous king had not deſtroyed, in his proſperous days, what had been done by the great prince in times of adverſity.

(y) See Appian on the Mithridatic war.

(z) Ibid.

Thus it is that when the Romans were arrived at their higheſt pitch of grandeur, and ſeemed to have nothing to apprehend but from the ambition of their own ſubjects, Mithridates once more ventured to conteſt the mighty point, which the

* See what Appian writes concerning the Phanagoreans, the Amiſians, and the Synopians, in his treatiſe of the Mithridatic war.

† See Appian, in regard to the immenſe treaſures which Mithridates employed in his wars, thoſe which he had buried, thoſe which he frequently loſt by the treachery of his own people, and thoſe which were found after his death.

‡ He loſt at one time 170,000 men, yet he ſoon recruited his armies.

overthrows

Book XXI. Chap. 12.

overthrows of Philip, of Antiochus, and of Perſeus, had already decided. Never was there a more deſtructive war: the two contending parties, being poſſeſſed of great power, and receiving alternate advantages, the inhabitants of Greece and of Aſia fell a ſacrifice in the quarrel, either as foes, or as friends of Mithridates. Delos was involved in the general fatality; and commerce failed on every ſide; which was a neceſſary conſequence, the people themſelves being deſtroyed.

The Romans, in purſuance of a ſyſtem of which I have ſpoken elſewhere *, acting as deſtroyers, that they might not appear as conquerors, demoliſhed Carthage and Corinth; a practice by which they would have ruined themſelves, had they not ſubdued the world. When the kings of Pontus became maſters of the Greek colonies on the Euxine ſea, they took care not to deſtroy, what was to be the foundation of their own grandeur.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the Genius of the Romans *as to maritime Affairs.*

THE Romans laid no ſtreſs on any thing but their land forces, who were diſciplined to ſtand firm, to fight on one ſpot, and there bravely to die. They could not like the practice of ſeamen, who firſt offer to fight, then fly, then return, conſtantly avoid danger, often make uſe of ſtrata-

* In the Conſiderations on the cauſes of the riſe and declenſion of the Roman grandeur.

gem,

gem, and ſeldom of force. This was not ſuitable to the genius of the * Greeks, much leſs to that of the Romans. BOOK XXI. Chap. 14.

They deſtined therefore to the ſea only thoſe citizens who were not (a) conſiderable enough to have a place in their legions. Their marines were commonly freed-men.

(a) Polybius, lib. 5.

At this time we have neither the ſame eſteem for land-forces, nor the ſame contempt for thoſe of the ſea. In the former, (b) art is decreaſed; in the latter, it is augmented: now things are generally eſteemed in proportion to the degree of ability requiſite to diſcharge them.

(b) See the Conſiderations on the cauſes of the riſe and declenſion of the Roman grandeur.

(c) Ibid.

CHAP. XIV.

Of the Genius of the Romans *with reſpect to Commerce.*

THE Romans were never diſtinguiſhed by a jealouſy for trade. They attacked Carthage as a rival, not as a commercial nation. They favoured trading cities that were not ſubject to them. Thus they increaſed the power of Marſeilles, by the ceſſion of a large territory. They were vaſtly afraid of barbarians; but had not the leaſt apprehenſion from a trading people. Their genius, their glory, their military education, and the very form of their government, eſtranged them from commerce.

In the city, they were employed only about war, elections, factions, and law-ſuits; in the country, about agriculture; and, as for the provinces, a

* As Plato has obſerved, lib. 4. of laws.

ſevere

BOOK XXI. Chap. 14.

ſevere and tyrannical government was incompatible with commerce.

But their political conſtitution was not more oppoſite to trade, than their law of nations. "*The people*, ſays (d) Pomponius the civilian, *with whom we have neither friendſhip nor hoſpitality, nor alliance, are not our enemies; however, if any thing belonging to us falls into their hands, they are the proprietors of it; freemen become their ſlaves; and they are upon the ſame terms with reſpect to us.*"

(d) Leg. v. ff. de Captivis.

Their civil law was not leſs oppreſſive. The law of Conſtantine, after having ſtigmatiſed as baſtards the children of perſons of a mean rank, who had been married to thoſe of a ſuperior ſtation, confounds women, who retail merchandizes, with ſlaves, with the miſtreſſes of taverns, with actreſſes, with the daughters of thoſe who keep public ſtews, or who had been condemned to fight in the amphitheatre: this had its original in the ancient inſtitutions of the Romans.

I am not ignorant that men prepoſſeſſed with theſe two ideas, that commerce is of the greateſt ſervice to a ſtate, and that the Romans had the beſt-regulated government in the world, have believed that theſe people greatly honoured and encouraged commerce; but the truth is, they ſeldom troubled their heads about it.

* *Quæ mercimoniis publicè præfuit.* Leg. 5. cod. de natural. liberis.

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

Of the Commerce of the Romans *with the Barbarians.*

THE Romans having erected a vaſt empire in Europe, Aſia, and Africa; the weakneſs of the people and the tyranny of their laws united all the parts of this immenſe body. The Roman policy was then to avoid all communication with thoſe nations whom they had not ſubdued: the fear of carrying to them the art of conquering, made them neglect the art of enriching themſelves. They made laws to hinder all commerce with barbarians. "Let no body, ſaid (e) Valens and Gra- "tian, ſend wine, oil or other liquors to the bar- "barians, though it be only for them to taſte. "Let no one carry gold to them (f), adds Gra- "tian, Valentinian, and Theodoſius; rather, if "they have any, let our ſubjects deprive them of "it by ſtratagem." The exportation of iron was prohibited on pain of death. Book XXI. Chap. 15.

(e) Leg. ad barbaricum cod. *quæ res exportari non debeant.*

(f) Leg. 2, cod. *de commerc. & mercator.*

(g) Leg. 2, *quæ res exportari non debeant*, and Procopius, *war of the Perſians*, book 1.

Domitian, a prince of great timidity, ordered the (g) vines in Gaul to be pulled up; from a fear, no doubt, leſt their wines ſhould draw thither the barbarians. Probus and Julian, who had no ſuch fears, gave orders for their being planted again.

I am ſenſible, that upon the declenſion of the Roman empire, the barbarians obliged the Romans to eſtabliſh ſtaple-towns (h), and to trade with them. But even this is a proof that the minds of the Romans were averſe to commerce (i).

(h) See the Chronicles of Euſebius and Cedrenus.

(i) See the Conſiderations on the cauſes of the riſe and declenſion of the Roman grandeur,

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Commerce of the Romans *with* Arabia, *and the* Indies.

Book XXI. Chap. 16.

THE trade to Arabia-Felix, and that to the Indies, were the two branches, and almoſt the only ones of their foreign commerce. The Arabians were poſſeſſed of immenſe riches, which they found in their ſeas and foreſts; and as they ſold much, and purchaſed little, they drew to (k) themſelves the gold and ſilver of the Romans. Auguſtus (l) being well apprized of that opulence, reſolved they ſhould be either his friends, or his enemies. With this view, he ſent Elius Gallus from Egypt into Arabia. This commander found the people indolent, peaceable, and unſkilled in war. He fought battles, laid ſieges to towns, and loſt but ſeven of his men by the ſword; but the perfidy of his guides, long marches, the climate, want of proviſions, diſtempers, and ill conduct, cauſed the ruin of his army.

(k) Pliny, lib. 6. cap. 28. and Strabo, lib. 16.
(l) Ibid.

He was, therefore, obliged to be content with trading to Arabia, in the ſame manner as other nations, that is, with giving them gold and ſilver in exchange for their commodities. The Europeans trade with them ſtill in the ſame manner; the caravans of Aleppo, and the royal veſſel of Suez, carry thither immenſe ſums *.

* The caravans of Aleppo and Suez carry thither annually to the value of about two millions of livres, and as much more clandeſtinely; the royal veſſel of Suez carries thither alſo two millions.

Nature

Nature had formed the Arabs for commerce, not for war: but when thoſe quiet people came to be near neighbours to the Parthians and the Romans, they acted as auxiliaries to both nations. Elius Gallus found them a trading people; Mahomet happened to find them trained to war; he inſpired them with enthuſiaſm, which led them to glory and conqueſt. BOOK XXI. Chap. 16.

The commerce of the Romans to the Indies was very conſiderable. Strabo (m) had been informed in Egypt, that they employed in this navigation one hundred and twenty veſſels: this commerce was carried on entirely with bullion. They ſent thither annually fifty millions of ſeſterces. Pliny (n) ſays, that the merchandizes brought from thence, were ſold at Rome at *cent. per cent.* profit. He ſpeaks, I believe, too generally; if this trade had been ſo vaſtly profitable, every body would have been willing to engage in it; and then it would have been at an end.

(m) Lib. 2. pag. 81.

(n) Lib. 6. cap. 23.

It will admit of a queſtion, whether the trade to Arabia and the Indies was of any advantage to the Romans? They were obliged to export their bullion thither, though they had not, like us, the reſource of America, which ſupplies what we ſend away. I am perſuaded, that one of the reaſons of their increaſing the value of their ſpecie, by eſtabliſhing baſe coin, was the ſcarcity of ſilver, owing to the continual exportation of it to the Indies: and though the commodities of this country were ſold at Rome at the rate of *cent. per cent.* this profit of the Romans, being obtained from the Romans themſelves, could not enrich the empire.

Book XXI. Chap. 16.

It may be alledged, on the other hand, that this commerce increaſed the Roman navigation, and of courſe their power; that new merchandizes augmented their inland trade, gave encouragement to the arts, and employment to the induſtrious; that the number of ſubjects multiplied in proportion to the new means of ſupport; that this new commerce was productive of luxury, which I have proved to be as favourable to a monarchical government, as fatal to a commonwealth; that this eſtabliſhment was of the ſame date as the fall of their republic; that the luxury of Rome was become neceſſary; and that it was extremely proper, that a city which had accumulated all the wealth of the univerſe, ſhould refund it by its luxury.

Strabo * ſays, that the Romans carried on a far more extenſive commerce to the Indies, than the kings of Egypt; but it is very extraordinary, that thoſe people who were ſo little acquainted with commerce, ſhould have paid more attention to that of India, than the Egyptian kings, whoſe dominions lay ſo conveniently for it. The reaſon of this muſt be explained.

After the death of Alexander, the kings of Egypt eſtabliſhed a maritime commerce to the Indies; while the kings of Syria, who were poſſeſſed of the more eaſtern provinces, and conſequently of the Indies, maintained that commerce, of which we have taken notice in the ſixth chapter, which was carried on partly by land, and partly by rivers, and had been farther faci-

* He ſays, in his 12th book, that the Romans employed a hundred and twenty ſhips in that trade; and in the 17th book, that the Grecian kings ſcarce employed twenty.

litated

litated by means of the Macedonian colonies; inſomuch, that Europe had a communication with the Indies, both by Egypt, and by Syria. The diſmembering of the latter kingdom, from whence was formed that of Bactriana, did not prove any way prejudicial to this commerce. Marinus the Tyrian, quoted by Ptolemy (°), mentions the diſcoveries made in India by means of ſome Macedonian merchants, who found out new roads, which had been unknown to kings in their military expeditions. We find in Ptolemy (ᵖ), that they went from Peter's tower *, as far as Sera; and the diſcovery made by mercantile people of ſo diſtant a mart, ſituated in the north-eaſt part of China, was a kind of prodigy. Hence, under the kings of Syria and Bactriana, merchandizes were conveyed to the weſt from the ſouthern parts of India, by the river Indus, the Oxus, and the Caſpian ſea; while thoſe of the more eaſtern and northern parts were tranſported from Sera, Peter's tower, and other ſtaples, as far as the Euphrates. Thoſe merchants directed their route, nearly by the fortieth degree of north latitude, through countries ſituated to the weſt of China, more civilized at that time than at preſent, becauſe they had not as yet been infeſted by the Tartars.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 16.

(°) Lib. 1. cap. 2.

(ᵖ) Lib. 1. cap. 13.

Now while the Syrian empire was extending its trade to ſuch a diſtance by land, Egypt did not greatly enlarge its maritime commerce.

The Parthians ſoon after appeared, and founded their empire; and when Egypt fell under the

* Our beſt maps place Peter's tower in the hundredth degree of longitude, and about the fortieth of latitude.

Book XXI. Chap. 16.

power of the Romans, this empire was at its height, and had received its whole extenſion.

The Romans and Parthians were two rival nations, that fought not for dominion, but for their very exiſtence. Between the two empires deſerts were formed, and armies were always ſtationed on the frontiers; ſo that inſtead of there being any commerce, there was not ſo much as a communication between them. Ambition, jealouſy, religion, national antipathy, and difference of manners, completed the ſeparation. Thus the trade from eaſt to weſt, which had formerly ſo many channels, was reduced to one; and Alexandria becoming the only ſtaple, the trade to this city was immenſely enlarged.

We ſhall ſay but one word on their inland trade. Its principal branch was the corn brought to Rome for the ſubſiſtence of the people: but this was rather a political affair than a point of commerce. On this account the ſailors were favoured with ſome privileges (q), becauſe the ſafety of the empire depended on their vigilance.

(q) Suet. in Claudio, leg. 8. Cod. Theodoſ. *de naviculariis.*

CHAP. XVII.

Of Commerce after the Deſtruction of the Weſtern Empire.

AFTER the invaſion of the Roman empire, one effect of the general calamity was the deſtruction of commerce. The barbarous nations at firſt regarded it only as an opportunity for robbery; and when they had ſubdued the Romans, they honoured it no more than agriculture, and the other profeſſions of a conquered people.

Soon

Soon was the commerce of Europe almoſt intirely loſt. The nobility, who had every where the direction of affairs, were in no pain about it.

The laws of the (r) Viſigoths permitted private people to occupy half the beds of great rivers, provided the other half remained free for nets and boats. There muſt have been very little trade in countries conquered by theſe barbarians.

(r) Lib. 8. Tit. 4. § 9.

In thoſe times were eſtabliſhed the ridiculous rights of eſcheatage and ſhipwrecks. Theſe men thought, that as ſtrangers were not united to them by any civil law, they owed them on the one hand no kind of juſtice, and on the other no ſort of pity.

In the narrow bounds which nature had originally preſcribed to the people of the north, all were ſtrangers to them; and in their poverty they regarded all only as contributing to their riches. Being eſtabliſhed, before their conqueſt, on the coaſts of a ſea of very little breadth and full of rocks, from theſe very rocks they drew their ſubſiſtence.

(s) Toto titulo ff. *de incend. ruin. & naufrag.* & cod. *de naufragiis*, & leg. 3. ff. ad leg. Cornel de *ſicariis*.

But the Romans, who made laws for all the world, had eſtabliſhed the moſt (s) humane ones with regard to ſhipwrecks. They ſuppreſſed the rapine of thoſe who inhabited the coaſts; and what was more ſtill, the rapaciouſneſs of their treaſuries (t).

(t) Leg. 1. cod. *de naufragiis*.

CHAP. XVIII.

A particular Regulation.

Book XXI. Chap. 18. 19. and 20.

THE (u) law of the Viſigoths made however one regulation in favour of commerce. It ordained that foreign merchants ſhould be judged, in the differences that aroſe amongſt themſelves, by the laws and by judges of their own nation. This was founded on an eſtabliſhed cuſtom among all mixed people, that every man ſhould live under his own law: a cuſtom of which I ſhall ſpeak more at large in another place.

(u) Lib. 2. Tit. 3. § 2.

CHAP. XIX.

Of Commerce after the Decay of the Roman *Power in the Eaſt.*

THE Mahometans appeared, conquered, extended, and diſperſed themſelves. Egypt had particular ſovereigns; theſe carried on the commerce of India, and being poſſeſſed of the merchandizes of this country, drew to themſelves the riches of all other nations. The ſultans of Egypt were the moſt powerful princes of thoſe times. Hiſtory informs us with what a conſtant and well-regulated force they ſtopped the ardor, the fire, and the impetuoſity of the cruſades.

CHAP. XX.

How Commerce broke through the Barbariſm of Europe.

ARISTOTLE's philoſophy being carried to the weſt, pleaſed the ſubtil geniuſes, who were

were the virtuoſi of thoſe times of ignorance. The ſchoolmen were infatuated with it, and borrowed from that philoſopher (x) a great many notions on lending upon intereſt, whereas its ſource might have been eaſily traced in the goſpel; in ſhort, they condemned it abſolutely and in all caſes. Hence commerce, which was the profeſſion only of mean perſons, became that of knaves: for whenever a thing is forbidden, which nature permits or neceſſity requires, thoſe who do it are looked upon as diſhoneſt.

Book XXI. Chap. 20.

(x) See Ariſtot. polit. lib. 1. cap. 9. and 10.

Commerce was transferred to a nation covered with infamy; and ſoon ranked with the moſt ſhameful uſury, with monopolies, with the levying of ſubſidies, and with all the diſhoneſt means of acquiring wealth.

The Jews *, enriched by their exactions, were pillaged by the tyranny of princes; which pleaſed indeed, but did not eaſe the people.

What paſſed in England may ſerve to give us an idea of what was done in other countries. King (y) John having impriſoned the Jews, in order to obtain their wealth, there were few who had not at leaſt one of their eyes plucked out. Thus did that king adminiſter juſtice. A certain Jew who had a tooth pulled out every day for ſeven days ſucceſſively, gave ten thouſand marks of ſilver for the eighth. Henry III. extorted from Aaron a Jew, at York, fourteen thouſand marks of ſilver, and ten thouſand for the queen. In thoſe times they did by violence, what is now done in

(y) Stowe's *Survey of London*, book 3. pag. 54.

* See in *Marca Hiſpanica* the conſtitutions of Aragon in the years 1228, and 1233; and in *Bruſſel*, the agreement in the year 1206, between the king, the counteſs of Champagne, and Guy of Dampierre.

Poland

Book XXI. Chap. 20.

Poland with ſome ſemblance of moderation. As princes could not dive into the purſes of their ſubjects, becauſe of their privileges, they put the Jews to the torture, who were not conſidered as citizens.

At laſt a cuſtom was introduced of confiſcating the effects of thoſe Jews who embraced Chriſtianity. This ridiculous cuſtom is known only by the (z) law which ſuppreſſed it. The moſt vain and trifling reaſons were given in juſtification of that proceeding; it was alledged, that it was proper to try them, in order to be certain, that they had entirely ſhaken off the ſlavery of the devil. But it is evident, that this confiſcation was a ſpecies of the right of * amortiſation, to recompenſe the prince, or the lords, for the taxes levied on the Jews, which ceaſed on their embracing Chriſtianity. In thoſe times, men, like lands, were regarded as property. I cannot help remarking by the way, how this nation has been ſported with from one age to another: at one time, their effects were confiſcated, when they were willing to become Chriſtians; and at another, if they refuſed to turn Chriſtians, they were ordered to be burnt.

(z) The edict paſſed at Baville, April 4, 1392.

In the mean time, commerce was ſeen to ariſe from the boſom of vexation and deſpair. The Jews, proſcribed by turns from every country, found out the way of ſaving their effects. Thus they rendered their retreats for ever fixed; for though princes might have been willing to get rid of

* In France, the Jews were ſlaves in mortmain, and the lords their ſucceſſors. Mr. Bruſſel mentions an agreement made in the year 1206, between the king and Thibaut count of Champagne, by which it was agreed, that the Jews of the one ſhould not lend in the lands of the other.

their

their perſons, yet they did not chuſe to get rid of their money.

The * Jews invented letters of exchange; commerce, by this method, became capable of eluding violence, and of maintaining every where its ground; the richeſt merchant having none but inviſible effects, which he could convey imperceptibly wherever he pleaſed.

The theologians were obliged to limit their principles; and commerce, which they had before connected by main force with knavery, re-entered, if I may ſo expreſs myſelf, the boſom of probity.

Thus we owe to the ſpeculations of the ſchoolmen all the misfortunes † which accompanied the deſtruction of commerce; and to the avarice of princes, the eſtabliſhment of a practice which puts it in ſome meaſure out of their power.

From this time it became neceſſary, that princes ſhould govern with more prudence, than they themſelves could ever have imagined: for great exertions of authority were, in the event, found to be impolitic; and from experience it is manifeſt, that nothing but the goodneſs and lenity of a government can make it flouriſh.

We begin to be cured of Machiaveliſm, and recover from it every day. More moderation is become neceſſary in the councils of princes. What

* It is known, that under Philip-Auguſtus and Philp the Long, the Jews who were chaſed from France took refuge in Lombardy, and that there they gave to foreign merchants and travellers ſecret letters, drawn upon thoſe to whom they had entruſted their effects in France, which were accepted.

† See the 83d novel of the emperor Leo, which revokes the law of Baſil his father. This law of Baſil is in Hermenopulus, under the name of Leo, lib. 3. tit. 7. § 27.

would

Book XXI. Chap. 21.

would formerly have been called a maſter-ſtroke in politics, would be now, independent of the horror it might occaſion, the greateſt imprudence.

Happy is it for men that they are in a ſituation, in which, though their paſſions prompt them to be wicked, it is however their intereſt to be humane and virtuous.

CHAP. XXI.

The Diſcovery of two new Worlds, and in what manner Europe *is affected by it.*

THE compaſs opened, if I may ſo expreſs myſelf, the univerſe. Aſia and Africa were found, of which only ſome borders were known; and America, of which we knew nothing.

The Portugueſe, ſailing on the Atlantic ocean, diſcovered the moſt ſouthern point of Africa; they ſaw a vaſt ſea, which carried them to the Eaſt-Indies. Their danger upon this ſea, the diſcovery of Mozambique, Melinda, and Calicut, have been ſung by Camoens, whoſe poems makes us feel ſomething of the charms of the Odyſſee, and the magnificence of the Æneid.

The Venetians had hitherto carried on the trade of the Indies through the Turkiſh dominions, and purſued it in the midſt of oppreſſions and diſcouragements. By the diſcovery of the cape of Good-hope, and thoſe which were made ſome time after, Italy was no longer the center of the trading world; it was, if I may be permitted the expreſſion, only a corner of the univerſe, and is ſo ſtill. The commerce even of the Levant depending now on that of the great trading nations to both the

the Indies, Italy even in that branch can no longer be conſidered as a principal.

The Portugueſe traded to the Indies in right of conqueſt. The (*) conſtraining laws, which the Dutch at preſent impoſe on the commerce of the little Indian princes, had been eſtabliſhed before by the Portugueſe.

(*) See the Relation of Fr. Pirard, part 2. chap. 15.

The fortune of the houſe of Auſtria was prodigious. Charles V. ſucceeded to the poſſeſſion of Burgundy, Caſtile, and Aragon; he arrived afterwards at the imperial dignity; and to procure him a new kind of grandeur, the globe extended itſelf, and there was ſeen a new world paying him obeiſance.

Chriſtopher Columbus diſcovered America; and though Spain ſent thither only a force ſo ſmall, that the leaſt prince in Europe could have ſent the ſame, yet it ſubdued two vaſt empires, and other great ſtates.

While the Spaniards diſcovered and conquered the weſt, the Portugueſe puſhed their conqueſts and diſcoveries in the eaſt. Theſe two nations met each other; they had recourſe to pope Alexander VI. who made the celebrated line of partition, and adjudged the great proceſs.

But the other nations of Europe would not ſuffer them quietly to enjoy their ſhares. The Dutch chaſed the Portugueſe from almoſt all their ſettlements in the Eaſt-Indies; and ſeveral other nations planted colonies in America.

The Spaniards conſidered theſe new-diſcovered countries as the ſubject of conqueſt; while others, more refined in their views, found them to be the proper ſubjects of commerce, and upon this principle

ciple directed their proceedings. Hence ſeveral nations have conducted themſelves with ſo much wiſdom, that they have given a kind of ſovereignty to companies of merchants, who governing theſe far-diſtant countries only with a view to trade, have made a great acceſſary power, without embarraſſing the principal ſtate.

The colonies they have formed are under a kind of dependance, of which there are but very few inſtances in all the colonies of the ancients; whether we conſider them as holding of the ſtate itſelf, or of ſome trading company eſtabliſhed in the ſtate.

The deſign of theſe colonies is to trade on more advantageous conditions than could otherwiſe be done with the neighbouring people, with whom all advantages are reciprocal. It has been eſtabliſhed, that the * *metropolis*, or mother country, alone ſhall trade in the colonies, and that from very good reaſon; becauſe the deſign of the ſettlement was the extenſion of commerce, not the foundation of a city, or of a new empire.

Thus it is ſtill a fundamental law of Europe, that all commerce with a foreign colony ſhall be regarded as a mere monopoly, puniſhable by the laws of the country; and in this caſe we are not to be directed by the laws and precedents of the † ancients, which are not at all applicable.

It is likewiſe acknowledged, that a commerce eſtabliſhed between the mother countries, does not

* This, in the language of the ancients, is the ſtate which founded the colony.

† Except the Carthaginians, as we ſee by the treaty which put an end to the firſt Punic war.

include a permiſſion to trade in the colonies; for theſe always continue in a ſtate of prohibition. Book XXI. Chap. 21.

The diſadvantage of a colony that loſes the liberty of commerce, is viſibly compenſated by the protection of the mother country, who defends it by her arms, or ſupports it by her laws.

From hence follows a third law of Europe, that when a foreign commerce with a colony is prohibited, it is not lawful to trade in thoſe ſeas, except in ſuch caſes as are excepted by treaty.

Nations, who are, with reſpect to the whole globe, what individuals are in a ſtate, like theſe are governed by the laws of nature, and by particular laws of their own making. One nation may reſign to another the ſea, as well as the land. The Carthaginians forbad (b) the Romans to ſail beyond certain limits, as the Greeks had obliged the king of Perſia to keep as far diſtant from the ſea-coaſt * as a horſe could gallop.

(b) Polyb. lib. 3.

The great diſtance of our colonies is not an inconvenience that affects their ſafety; for if the mother country, on whom they depend for their defence, is remote, no leſs remote are thoſe nations who rival the mother country, and by whom they may be afraid of being conquered.

Beſides, this diſtance is the cauſe that thoſe who are eſtabliſhed there, cannot conform to the manner of living in a climate ſo different from their own; they are obliged therefore to draw from the mother country all the conveniencies of life. The (c) Carthaginians, to render the Sardinians

(c) Ariſtotle *on wonderful things.* Livy, lib. 7. Dec. 2.

* The king of Perſia obliged himſelf by treaty, not to ſail with any veſſel of war beyond the Cyanean rocks, and the Chelidonean iſles. *Plutarch, in the life of Cimon.*

and

BOOK XXI. Chap. 21.

and Corſicans more dependent, forbad their planting, ſowing, or doing any thing of the like kind, under pain of death; ſo that they ſupplied them with neceſſaries from Africa.

The Europeans have compaſſed the ſame thing, without having recourſe to ſuch ſevere laws. Our colonies in the Caribbee iſlands are under an admible regulation in this reſpect; the ſubject of their commerce is what we neither have, nor can produce; and they want what is the ſubject of ours.

A conſequence of the diſcovery of America was the connecting Aſia and Africa with Europe; it furniſhed materials for a trade with that vaſt part of Aſia, known by the name of the Eaſt-Indies. Silver, that metal ſo uſeful as the medium of commerce, became now as a merchandize, the baſis of the greateſt commerce in the world. In fine, the navigation to Africa became neceſſary, in order to furniſh us with men to labour in the mines, and to cultivate the lands of America.

Europe is arrived to ſo high a degree of power, that nothing in hiſtory can be compared to it. Whether we conſider the immenſity of its expences, the grandeur of its engagements, the number of its troops, and the regular payment even of thoſe that are leaſt ſerviceable, and which are kept only for oſtentation.

(d) Tome II. pag. 170.

Father Duhalde ſays (d), that the interior trade of China is much greater than that of all Europe. That might be, if our foreign trade did not augment our inland commerce. Europe carries on the trade and navigation of the other three parts of the world; as France, England, and Holland, do nearly that of Europe.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXII.

Of the Riches which Spain *drew from* America.

IF Europe * has derived ſo many advantages from the American trade, it ſeems natural to imagine, that Spain muſt have derived much greater. She drew from the newly-diſcovered world ſo prodigious a quantity of gold and ſilver, that all we had before could not be compared to it. Book XXI. Chap. 22.

But (what one could never have expected) this great kingdom was every where baffled by its misfortunes. Philip II. who ſucceeded Charles V. was obliged to make the celebrated bankruptcy known to all the world. There never was a prince who ſuffered more from the murmurs, the inſolence, and the revolt of troops conſtantly ill paid.

From that time the monarchy of Spain has been inceſſantly declining. This has been owing to an interior and phyſical defect in the nature of theſe riches, which renders them vain; a defect which increaſes every day.

Gold and ſilver are either a fictitious, or a repreſentative wealth. The repreſentative ſigns of wealth are extremely durable, and, in their own nature, but little ſubject to decay. But the more they are multiplied, the more they loſe their value, becauſe the fewer are the things which they repreſent.

The Spaniards, after the conqueſt of Mexico and Peru, abandoned their natural riches, in pur-

* This has been already ſhewn in a ſmall treatiſe, written by the author about twenty years ago; which has been almoſt intirely incorporated in the preſent work.

Book XXI. Chap. 22.

ſuit of a repreſentative wealth which daily degraded itſelf. Gold and ſilver were extremely ſcarce in Europe, and Spain becoming all of a ſudden miſtreſs of a prodigious quantity of theſe metals, conceived hopes to which ſhe had never before aſpired. The wealth ſhe found in the conquered countries, great as it was, did not however equal thoſe of their mines. The Indians concealed part of them; and beſides, theſe people, who made no other uſe of gold and ſilver than to give magnificence to the temples of their gods, and to the palaces of their kings, fought not for it with an avarice like ours. In ſhort, they had not the ſecret of drawing theſe metals from every mine; but only from thoſe in which the ſeparation might be made with fire: they were ſtrangers to the manner of making uſe of mercury, and perhaps of mercury itſelf.

However, it was not long before the ſpecie of Europe was doubled; this appeared from the price of commodities which every where was doubled.

The Spaniards raked into the mines, ſcooped out mountains, invented machines to draw out water, to break the ore, and ſeparate it; and, as they ſported with the lives of the Indians, they forced them to labour without mercy. The ſpecie of Europe ſoon doubled, and the profit of Spain diminiſhed in the ſame proportion; they had every year the ſame quantity of metal, which was become by one half leſs precious.

In double the time the ſpecie ſtill doubled, and the profit ſtill diminiſhed another half.

It diminiſhed even more than half: let us ſee in what manner.

To

To extract the gold from the mines, to give it the requiſite preparations, and to import it into Europe, muſt be attended with ſome certain expence; I will ſuppoſe this to be as 1 to 64. When the ſpecie was once doubled, and conſequently became by one half leſs precious, the expence was as 2 to 64. Thus the galoons which brought to Spain the ſame quantity of gold, brought a thing which really was of leſs value by one half, though the expences attending it had been one half higher.

If we proceed doubling and doubling, we ſhall find in this progreſſion the cauſe of the impotency of the wealth of Spain.

It is about two hundred years ſince they have worked their Indian mines. I ſuppoſe the quantity of ſpecie at preſent in the trading world is to that before the diſcovery of the Indies, as 32 is to 1; that is, it has been doubled five times: in two hundred years more the ſame quantity will be to that before the diſcovery, as 64 is to 1; that is, it will be doubled once more. Now at preſent, fifty (e) quintals of ore yield four, five, and ſix ounces of gold; and when it yields only two, the miner receives no more from it than his expences. In two hundred years, when the miner will extract only four, this too will only defray his charges. There will then be but little profit to be drawn from the gold mines. The ſame reaſoning will hold good of ſilver, except that the working of the ſilver mines is a little more advantageous than thoſe of gold.

(e) See Frezier's Voyages

But, if mines ſhould be diſcovered ſo fruitful as to give a much greater profit, the more fruitful they will be, the ſooner the profit will ceaſe.

BOOK XXI. Chap. 22.

The Portugueſe in Braſil have found mines of gold ſo rich *, that they muſt neceſſarily very ſoon make a conſiderable diminution in the profits of thoſe of Spain, as well as in their own.

I have frequently heard people deplore the blindneſs of the court of France, who repulſed Chriſtopher Columbus, when he made the propoſal of diſcovering the Indies. Indeed they did, though perhaps without deſign, an act of the greateſt wiſdom. Spain has behaved like the fooliſh king, who deſired that every thing he touched might be converted into gold, and who was obliged to beg of the gods to put an end to his miſery.

The companies and banks eſtabliſhed in many nations, have put a finiſhing ſtroke to the lowering of gold and ſilver, as a ſign or repreſentation of riches; for by new fictions they have multiplied in ſuch a manner the ſigns of wealth, that gold and ſilver having this office only in part, are become leſs precious.

Thus public credit ſerves inſtead of mines, and diminiſhes the profit which the Spaniards draw from theirs.

True it is, that the Dutch trade to the Eaſt-Indies has increaſed, in ſome meaſure, the value of the Spaniſh merchandize; for, as they carry bullion, and give it in exchange for the merchandizes of the Eaſt, they eaſe the Spaniards of part

* According to my Lord Anſon, Europe receives every year from Braſil two millions ſterling in gold, which is found in ſand at the foot of the mountains, or in the beds of rivers. When I wrote the little treatiſe mentioned in the firſt note of this chapter, the returns from Braſil were far from being ſo conſiderable an object as they are at preſent.

of

of a commodity, which in Europe abounds too much.

And this trade, in which Spain ſeems to be only indirectly concerned, is as advantageous to that nation, as to thoſe who are directly employed in carrying it on.

From what has been ſaid, we may form a judgment of the laſt order of the council of Spain, which prohibits the making uſe of gold and ſilver in gildings, and other ſuperfluities: a decree as ridiculous as it would be for the ſtates of Holland to prohibit the conſumption of ſpices.

My reaſoning does not hold good againſt all mines; thoſe of Germany and Hungary, which produce little more than the expence of working them, are extremely uſeful. They are found in the principal ſtate; they employ many thouſand men, who there conſume their ſuperfluous commodities; and they are properly a manufacture of the country.

The mines of Germany and Hungary promote the culture of land; the working of thoſe of Mexico and Peru deſtroys it.

The Indies and Spain are two powers under the ſame maſter; but the Indies are the principal, while Spain is only an acceſſory. It is in vain for politics to attempt to bring back the principal to the acceſſory; the Indies will always draw Spain to themſelves.

Of the merchandizes, to the value of about fifty millions of livres, annually ſent to the Indies, Spain furniſhes only two millions and a half: the Indies trade for fifty millions, the Spaniards for two and a half.

That muſt be a bad kind of riches which depends on accident, and not on the induſtry of a nation, on the number of its inhabitants, and on the cultivation of its lands. The king of Spain, who receives great ſums from his cuſtom-houſe at Cadiz, is in this reſpect only a rich individual in a ſtate extremely poor. Every thing paſſes between ſtrangers and himſelf, while his ſubjects have ſcarcely any ſhare in it: this commerce is independent both of the good and bad fortune of his kingdom.

Were ſome provinces of Caſtile able to give him a ſum equal to that of the cuſtom-houſe of Cadiz, his power would be much greater: his riches would be the effect of the wealth of the country: theſe provinces would animate all the others, and they would be all together more capable of ſupporting their reſpective charges: inſtead of a great treaſury, he would have a great people.

CHAP. XXIII.

A Problem.

IT is not for me to decide the queſtion, whether if Spain be not herſelf able to carry on the trade of the Indies, it would not be better to leave it open to ſtrangers. I will only ſay, that it is for their advantage to load this commerce with as few obſtacles as politics will permit. When the merchandizes, which ſeveral nations ſend to the Indies, are very dear, the inhabitants of that country give a great deal of their commodities, which is gold and ſilver, for very little of thoſe of foreigners: the contrary to this happens when they are at a low price.

price. It would perhaps be of uſe, that theſe nations ſhould underſell each other, to the end that the merchandizes carried to the Indies might be always cheap. Theſe are principles which deſerve to be examined, without ſeparating them however from other conſiderations; the ſafety of the Indies, the advantages of one only cuſtom-houſe, the danger of making great alterations, and the foreſeen inconveniencies, which are often leſs dangerous than thoſe which cannot be foreſeen.

BOOK XXII.

Of Laws in relation to the Uſe of Money.

CHAP. I.

The Reaſon of the Uſe of Money.

Book XXII. Chap. I.

PEOPLE who have few merchandizes, as ſavages, and among civilized nations, thoſe who have only two or three ſpecies, trade by exchange. Thus the caravans of Moors who go to Tombactu, in the heart of Africa, have no need of money, for they exchange their ſalt for gold. The Moor puts his ſalt in a heap, and the Negro his duſt in another; if there is not gold enough, the Moor takes away ſome of his ſalt, or the Negro adds more gold, till both parties are agreed.

But when a nation traffics with a great variety of merchandizes, money becomes neceſſary; becauſe a metal eaſily carried from place to place, ſaves the great expences, which people would be obliged to be at, if they always proceeded by exchange.

As all nations have reciprocal wants, it frequently happens that one is deſirous of a large quantity of the others merchandizes, when the latter will have very little of theirs, though, with reſpect to another nation, the caſe is directly oppoſite. But when

when nations have money, and proceed by buying and ſelling, thoſe who take moſt merchandizes, pay the balance in ſpecie. And there is this difference, that in the caſe of buying, the trade carried on is in proportion to the wants of the nation that has the greateſt demands; whilſt in barterring, the trade is only according to the wants of the nation, whoſe demands are the feweſt: without which the latter would be under an impoſſibility of balancing its accounts.

CHAP. II.

Of the Nature of Money.

MONEY is a ſign which repreſents the value of all merchandizes. Metal is taken for this deſign, as being durable *, becauſe it conſumes but little by uſe; and becauſe, without being deſtroyed, it is capable of many diviſions. A precious metal has been choſen as a ſign, as being moſt portable. A metal is moſt proper for a common meaſure, becauſe it can be eaſily reduced to the ſame ſtandard. Every ſtate fixes upon it a particular impreſſion, to the end that the form may correſpond with the ſtandard and the weight, and that both may be known by inſpection only.

The Athenians, not having the uſe of metals, made uſe of oxen †, and the Romans of ſheep: but one ox is not the ſame as another ox, in the

* The ſalt made uſe of for this purpoſe in Abyſſinia has this defect, that it is continually waſting away.

† Herodotus, in Clio, tells us, that the Lydians found out the art of coining money; the Greeks learnt it from them; the Athenian coin had the impreſſion of their ancient ox. I have ſeen one of thoſe pieces in the Earl of Pembroke's cabinet.

manner

BOOK XXII. Chap. 2.

manner that one piece of metal may be the ſame as another.

As ſpecie is the ſign of the value of merchandizes, paper is the ſign of the value of ſpecie; and when it is of the right ſort, it repreſents this value in ſuch a manner, that as to the effects produced by it, there is not the leaſt difference.

In the ſame manner, as money is the ſign and repreſentative of a thing, every thing is a ſign and repreſentative of money; and the ſtate is in a proſperous condition, when, on the one hand, money perfectly repreſents all things; and, on the other, all things perfectly repreſent money, and are reciprocally the ſign of each other; that is, when they have ſuch a relative value, that we may have the one as ſoon as we have the other. This never happens in any other than a moderate government, nor does it always happen there: for example, if the laws favour the diſhoneſt debtor, his effects are no longer a repreſentative or ſign of money. With regard to a deſpotic government, it would be a prodigy, did things there repreſent their ſign. Tyranny and diſtruſt make every one bury * their ſpecie: things are not there then the repreſentative of money.

Legiſlators have ſometimes had the art, not only to make things, in their own nature, the repreſentative of ſpecie, but to convert them even into ſpecie, like the current coin. Cæſar, when he was (a) dictator, permitted debtors to give their lands in payment to their creditors, at the price they

(a) Cæſar *on the Civil War*, book 3.

* It is an ancient cuſtom in Algiers, for the father of a family to have a treaſure concealed in the earth. *Hiſt. of the Kingdom of Algiers by Logier de Taſſis.*

were

were worth before the civil war. (b) Tiberius ordered, that thoſe who deſired ſpecie ſhould have it from the public treaſury, on binding over their land to double the value. Under Cæſar, the lands were the money which payed all debts: under Tiberius, ten thouſand ſeſterces in land became as current money, equal to five thouſand ſeſterces in ſilver.

Book XXII. Chap. 3. (b) Tacitus, lib. 6.

The magna charta of England provides againſt the ſeizing the lands or revenues of a debtor, when his moveable or perſonal goods are ſufficient to pay, and he is willing to give them up to his creditors: thus all the goods of an Engliſhman repreſented money.

The laws of the Germans conſtituted money a ſatisfaction for the injuries that were committed, and for the ſufferings due to guilt. But as there was but very little ſpecie in the country, they again conſtituted this money to be paid in goods or chattels. This we find appointed in a Saxon law, with certain regulations ſuitable to the eaſe and convenience of the ſeveral ranks of people. At firſt (c) the law declared the value of a ſou in cattle; the ſou of two tremiſes anſwered to an ox of twelve months, or to an ewe with her lamb; that of three tremiſes, was worth an ox of ſixteen months. With theſe people money became cattle, goods, and merchandize; and theſe again became money.

(c) The Laws of the Saxons, chap. 18.

Money is not only a ſign of things; it is alſo a ſign and repreſentative of money, as we ſhall ſee in the chapter on exchange.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

Of ideal Money.

Book XXII. Chap. 3.

THERE is both real and ideal money. Civilized nations generally make uſe of ideal money only, becauſe they have converted their real money into ideal. At firſt, their real money was ſome metal of a certain weight and ſtandard: but ſoon diſhoneſty or want made them retrench a part of the metal from every piece of money, to which they left the ſame name; for example, from a livre at a pound weight they took half the ſilver, and ſtill continued to call it a livre; the piece which was the twentieth part of a pound of ſilver, they continued to call a ſou, though it is no more the twentieth part of this pound of ſilver. By this method the livre is an ideal livre, and the ſou an ideal ſou. Thus of the other ſubdiviſions; and ſo far may this be carried, that what we call a livre, ſhall be only a ſmall part of the original livre or pound, which renders it ſtill more ideal. It may even happen, that we have no piece of money of the preciſe value of a livre, nor any piece exactly worth a ſou: then the livre and the ſou will be purely ideal. They may give to any piece of money the denomination of as many livres and as many ſous as they pleaſe; the variation may be continual; becauſe it is as eaſy to give another name to a thing, as it is difficult to change the thing itſelf.

To take away the ſource of this abuſe, it would be an excellent law for all countries, who are deſirous of making commerce flouriſh, to ordain, that

that none but real money ſhould be current; and, to prevent any methods from being taken, to render it ideal.

Nothing ought to be ſo exempt from variation, as that which is the common meaſure of all.

Trade is in its own nature extremely uncertain: and it is a great evil to add a new uncertainty to that which is founded on the nature of the thing.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Quantity of Gold and Silver.

WHILE civilized nations are the miſtreſſes of the world, gold and ſilver, whether they draw it from amongſt themſelves, or fetch it from the mines, muſt increaſe every day. On the contrary, it diminiſhes when barbarous nations prevail. We know how great was the ſcarcity of theſe metals, when the Goths and Vandals on the one ſide, and on the other the Saracens and Tartars, broke in like a torrent on the civilized world.

CHAP. V.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE bullion drawn from the American mines, imported into Europe, and from thence ſent to the Eaſt, has greatly promoted the navigation of the European nations; for it is a merchandize which Europe receives in exchange from America, and which ſhe ſends in exchange to the Indies. A prodigious quantity of gold and ſilver is therefore an advantage, when we conſider theſe metals as a merchandize: but it is otherwiſe, when we conſider them

as

Book XXII. Chap. 6.

as a ſign; becauſe their abundance gives an allay to their quality as a ſign, which is chiefly founded on their ſcarcity.

Before the firſt Punic war, copper was to ſilver as (d) 960 to 1*; it is at preſent nearly as 73 and a half to 1. When the proportion ſhall be as it was formerly, ſilver will better perform its office as a ſign.

(d) See chap. 12. of this book.

CHAP. VI.

The Reaſon why Intereſt was lowered one half after the Conqueſt of the Indies.

(e) Hiſt. of the Civil Wars of the Spaniards in the Weſt-Indies.

GARCILASSO informs us (e), that in Spain, after the conqueſt of the Indies, the intereſt, which was at ten *per cent.* fell to five. This was a neceſſary conſequence. A great quantity of ſpecie being all of a ſudden brought into Europe, much fewer perſons had need of money. The price of all things increaſed, while the value of money diminiſhed; the proportion was then broken, and all the old debts were diſcharged. We may recollect the time of the Syſtem †; when every thing was at a high price, except ſpecie. Thoſe who had money after the conqueſt of the Indies, were obliged to lower the price or hire of their merchandize; that is, in other words, their intereſt.

From this time they were unable to bring intereſt to its ancient ſtandard, becauſe the quantity of ſpecie brought to Europe has been annually

* Suppoſing a mark or eight ounces of ſilver to be worth forty-nine livres, and copper twenty ſols *per* pound.

† In France, Mr. Law's project was called by this name.

increaſing.

increaſing. Beſides, as the public funds of ſome ſtates, founded on riches procured by commerce, gave but a very ſmall intereſt, it became neceſſary for the contracts of individuals to be regulated by theſe. In ſhort, the courſe of exchange having rendered the conveying of ſpecie from one country to another remarkably eaſy, money cannot be ſcarce in a place where they may be ſo readily ſupplied with it, by thoſe who have it in plenty.

CHAP. VII.

How the Price of Things is fixt in the Variation of the Sign of Riches.

MONEY is the price of merchandizes or manufactures. But how ſhall we fix this price? or in other words, by what piece of money is every thing to be repreſented?

If we compare the maſs of gold and ſilver in the whole world, with the quantity of merchandizes therein contained, it is certain, that every commodity or merchandize in particular, may be compared to a certain portion of the intire maſs of gold and ſilver. As the total of the one is to the total of the other, ſo part of the one will be to part of the other. Let us ſuppoſe, that there is only one commodity or merchandize in the world, or only one to be purchaſed, and that this is diviſible like money: a part of this merchandize will anſwer to a part of the maſs of gold and ſilver; the half of the total of the one, to the half of the total of the other; the tenth, the hundreth, the thouſand part of the one, to the tenth, the hundreth, the thouſandth part of the other. But

Book XXII. Chap. 7.

as that which conſtitutes property amongſt mankind is not all at once in trade; and as the metals or money, which are the ſign of property, are not all in trade at the ſame time; the price is fixed in the compound ratio of the total of things with the total of ſigns, and that of the total of things in trade with the total of ſigns in trade alſo: and as the things which are not in trade to-day may be in trade to-morrow, and the ſigns not now in trade may enter into trade at the ſame time, the eſtabliſhment of the price of things fundamentally depends on the proportion of the total of things to the total of ſigns.

Thus the prince or the magiſtrate can no more aſcertain the value of merchandizes, than he can eſtabliſh by a decree, that the relation 1 has to 10, is equal to that of 1 to 20. Julian's (f) lowering the price of proviſions at Antioch, was the cauſe of a moſt terrible famine,

(f) *Hiſtory of the Church*, by Socrates, lib. 2.

CHAP. VIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE negroes on the coaſt of Africa have a ſign of value without money. It is a ſign merely ideal, founded on the degree of eſteem which they fix in their minds for every merchandize, in proportion to the need they have of it. A certain commodity or merchandze is worth three macoutes; another, ſix macoutes; another, ten macoutes; that is, as if they ſaid ſimply three, ſix, and ten. The price is formed by a compariſon of all merchandizes with each other. They have therefore no particular money; but each kind of merchandize is money to the other.

Let

Let us for a moment transfer to ourſelves this manner of valuing things, and join it with ours: all the merchandizes and goods in the world, or elſe all the merchandizes or manufactures of a ſtate, particularly conſidered as ſeparate from all others, would be worth a certain number of macoutes; and, dividing the money of this ſtate into as many parts as there are macoutes, one part of this diviſion of money will be the ſign of a macoute.

If we ſuppoſe the quantity of ſpecie in a ſtate doubled, it will be neceſſary to double the ſpecie in the macoute; but if, in doubling the ſpecie, you double alſo the macoute, the proportion will remain the ſame as before the doubling of either.

If ſince the diſcovery of the Indies, gold and ſilver have increaſed in Europe in the proportion of 1 to 20, the price of proviſions and merchandizes muſt have been inhanced in proportion of 1 to 20. But if, on the other hand, the number of merchandizes has increaſed as 1 to 2, it neceſſarily follows, that the price of theſe merchandizes and proviſions, having been raiſed in proportion of 1 to 20, and fallen in proportion of 1 to 2, it neceſſarily follows, I ſay, that the proportion is only as 1 to 10.

The quantity of goods and merchandizes increaſes by an augmentation of commerce, the augmentation of commerce by an augmentation of the ſpecie which ſucceſſively arrives, and by new communications with freſh-diſcovered countries and ſeas, which furniſh us with new commodities and new merchandizes.

CHAP. IX.

Of the relative Scarcity of Gold and Silver.

Book XXII. Chap. 9. and 10.

BESIDES the poſitive plenty and ſcarcity of gold and ſilver, there is ſtill a relative abundance and a relative ſcarcity of one of theſe metals compared to the other.

The avaricious hoard up their gold and ſilver, for as they do not care to ſpend, they are fond of ſigns that are not ſubject to decay. They prefer gold to ſilver, becauſe as they are always afraid of loſing, they can beſt conceal that which takes up the leaſt room. Gold therefore diſappears when there is plenty of ſilver, by reaſon that every one has ſome to conceal; it appears again when ſilver is ſcarce, becauſe they are obliged to draw it from its confinement.

It is then a rule: that gold is common when ſilver is ſcarce, and gold is ſcarce when ſilver is common. This lets us ſee the difference between their relative and their real abundance and ſcarcity: of which I ſhall preſently ſpeak more at large.

CHAP. X.

Of Exchange.

THE relative abundance and ſcarcity of ſpecie in different countries, forms what is called the courſe of exchange.

Exchange is a fixing of the actual and momentary value of money.

Silver, as a metal, has a value like all other merchandizes, and an additional value as it is capable of

of becoming the ſign of other merchandizes. If it were no more than a mere merchandize, it would loſe much of its value. Book XXII. Chap. 10.

Silver, as money, has a value, which the prince in ſome reſpects can fix, and in others he cannot.

1. The prince eſtabliſhes a proportion between a quantity of ſilver as metal, and the ſame quantity as money. 2. He fixes the proportion between the ſeveral metals made uſe of as money. 3. He eſtabliſhes the weight and ſtandard of every piece of money. In fine, 4. he gives to every piece that ideal value, of which I have ſpoken. I ſhall call the value of money in theſe four reſpects its *poſitive value*, becauſe it may be fixed by law.

The coin of every ſtate has, beſides this, a *relative* value, as it is compared with the money of other countries. This relative value is eſtabliſhed by the exchange; and greatly depends on its poſitive value. It is fixed by the general opinion of the merchants, never by the decrees of the prince; becauſe it is ſubject to inceſſant variations, and depends on a thouſand accidents.

The ſeveral nations, in fixing this relative value, are chiefly guided by that which has the greateſt quantity of ſpecie. If ſhe has as much ſpecie as all the others together, it is then moſt proper for the others to regulate theirs by her ſtandard; and the regulation between all the others will pretty nearly agree with the regulation made with this principal nation.

In the actual ſtate of the globe, * Holland is

* The Dutch regulate the exchange for almoſt all Europe, by a kind of determination amongſt themſelves, in a manner moſt agreeable to their own intereſts.

Book XXII. Chap. 10.

the nation we are ſpeaking of. Let us examine the courſe of exchange with relation to her.

They have, in Holland, a piece of money called a florin, worth twenty ſous, or forty half-ſous or gros. But, to render our ideas as ſimple as poſſible, let us imagine that they have not any ſuch piece of money in Holland as a florin, and that they have no other but the gros: a man who ſhould have a thouſand florins, would have forty thouſand gros; and ſo of the reſt. Now the exchange with Holland is determined by our knowing how many gros every piece of money in other countries is worth; and as the French commonly reckon by a crown of three livres, the exchange makes it neceſſary for them to know how many gros are contained in a crown of three livres. If the courſe of exchange is at fifty-four, a crown of three livres will be worth fifty-four gros; if it is at ſixty, it will be worth ſixty gros. If ſilver is ſcarce in France, a crown of three livres will be worth more gros; if plentiful, it will be worth leſs.

This ſcarcity or plenty, from whence reſults the mutability of the courſe of exchange, is not the real, but a relative ſcarcity or plenty. For example; when France has greater occaſion for funds in Holland, than the Dutch of having funds in France, ſpecie is ſaid to be common in France, and ſcarce in England; and *vice verſa*.

Let us ſuppoſe that the courſe of exchange with Holland is at fifty-four. If France and Holland compoſed only one city, they would act as we do when we give change for a crown: the Frenchman would take three livres out of his pocket, and the Dutchman fifty-four gros from his. But as there

there is ſome diſtance between Paris and Amſterdam, it is neceſſary that he, who for my crown of three livres gives me fifty-four gros, which he has in Holland, ſhould give me a bill of exchange for fifty-four gros payable in Holland. The fifty-four gros is not the thing in queſtion; but a bill for that ſum. Thus, in order to judge of the * ſcarcity or plenty of ſpecie, we muſt know if there are in France more bills of fifty-four gros drawn upon Holland, than there are crowns drawn upon France. If there are more bills from Holland, than there are from France, ſpecie is ſcarce in France, and common in Holland; it then becomes neceſſary that the exchange ſhould riſe, and that they give for my crown more than fifty-four gros; otherwiſe I will not part with it; and *vice verſa*.

Thus the various turns in the courſe of exchange form an account of debtor and creditor, which muſt be frequently ſettled; and which the ſtate in debt can no more diſcharge by exchange, than an individual can pay a debt by giving change for a piece of ſilver.

We will ſuppoſe that there are but three ſtates in the world, France, Spain, and Holland; that ſeveral individuals in Spain are indebted to France to the value of one hundred thouſand marks of ſilver; and that ſeveral individuals of France owe in Spain one hundred and ten thouſand marks: now, if ſome circumſtance both in Spain and France ſhould cauſe each to withdraw his ſpecie, what will then be the courſe of exchange? Theſe two nations will reciprocally acquit each other of

* There is much ſpecie in a place, when there is more ſpecie than paper; there is little, when there is more paper than ſpecie.

Book XXII. Chap. 10.

an hundred thouſand marks; but France will ſtill owe ten thouſand marks in Spain, and the Spaniards will ſtill have bills upon France, to the value of ten thouſand marks; while France will have none at all upon Spain.

But if Holland was in a contrary ſituation with reſpect to France, and in order to balance the account muſt pay her ten thouſand marks, the French would have two ways of paying the Spaniards; either by giving their creditors in Spain bills for ten thouſand marks upon their debtors in Holland, or elſe by ſending ſpecie to the value of ten thouſand marks to Spain.

From hence it follows, that when a ſtate has occaſion to remit a ſum of money into another country, it is indifferent, in the nature of the thing, whether ſpecie be conveyed thither, or they take bills of exchange. The advantage or diſadvantage of theſe two methods ſolely depends on actual circumſtances. We muſt enquire which will yield moſt gros in Holland, money carried thither in ſpecie, or a bill upon Holland for the like ſum *.

When money of the ſame ſtandard and weight in France yields money of the ſame ſtandard and weight in Holland, we ſay that the exchange is at par. In the actual ſtate of ſpecie (s), the par is nearly at fifty-four gros to the crown. When the exchange is above fifty-four gros, we ſay it is high: when beneath, we ſay it is low.

(s) In 1744.

In order to know the loſs and gain of a ſtate, in a particular ſituation of exchange, it muſt be conſidered as debtor and creditor, as buyer and ſeller. When the exchange is below par, it loſes

* With the expence of carriage and inſurance deducted.

as

as a debtor, and gains as a creditor; it loſes as a buyer, and gains as a ſeller. It is obvious it loſes as debtor: ſuppoſe, for example, France owes Holland a certain number of gros, the fewer gros there are in a crown, the more crowns ſhe has to pay. On the contrary, if France is creditor for a certain number of gros, the leſs number of gros there are in a crown, the more crowns ſhe will receive. The ſtate loſes alſo as buyer; for, there muſt be the ſame number of gros, to purchaſe the ſame quantity of merchandizes; and while the change is low, every French crown is worth fewer gros. For the ſame reaſon the ſtate gains as a ſeller: I ſell my merchandize in Holland for a certain number of gros; I receive then more crowns in France, when for every fifty gros I receive a crown, than I ſhould do if I received only the ſame crown for every fifty-four. The contrary to this takes place in the other ſtate. If the Dutch are indebted a certain number of crowns to France, they will gain; if this money is owing to them, they will loſe; if they ſell, they loſe; and if they buy, they gain.

It is proper to purſue this ſomething farther. When the exchange is below par; for example, if it be at fifty inſtead of fifty-four, it ſhould follow, that France, on ſending bills of exchange to Holland for fifty-four thouſand crowns, could buy merchandizes only to the value of fifty thouſand; and that, on the other hand, the Dutch ſending the value of fifty-thouſand crowns to France, might buy fifty-four thouſand, which makes a difference of $\frac{8}{54}$; that is, a loſs to France of more than $\frac{1}{7}$; ſo that France would be obliged to ſend to Holland $\frac{1}{7}$ more in ſpe-

Book XXII. Chap. 10.

cie or merchandize, than ſhe would do was the exchange at par. And as the miſchief muſt conſtantly increaſe, becauſe a debt of this kind would bring the exchange ſtill lower, France would in the end be ruined. It ſeems, I ſay, as if this ſhould certainly follow; and yet it does not, becauſe of the principle which I have (h) elſewhere eſtabliſhed; which is, that ſtates conſtantly lean towards a balance, in order to preſerve their independency. Thus they borrow only in proportion to their ability to pay, and meaſure their buying by what they ſell: and taking the example from above, if the exchange falls in France from fifty-four to fifty, the Dutch who buy merchandizes in France to the value of a thouſand crowns, for which they uſed to pay fifty-four thouſand gros, would now pay only fifty thouſand, if the French would conſent to it. But the merchandize of France will riſe inſenſibly, and the profit will be ſhared between the French and the Dutch; for when a merchant can gain, he eaſily ſhares his profit: there ariſes then a communication of profit between the French and the Dutch. In the ſame manner the French, who bought merchandizes of Holland for fifty-four thouſand gros, and who, when the exchange was at fifty-four, paid for them a thouſand crowns, will be obliged to add $\frac{1}{7}$ more in French crowns to buy the ſame merchandizes. But the French merchant being ſenſible of the loſs he ſuffers, will take up leſs of the merchandize of Holland. The French and the Dutch merchant will then be both loſers, the ſtate will inſenſibly fall into a balance, and the lowering of the exchange will not be attended with all thoſe inconveniencies which we had reaſon to fear.

(h) See book 20. chap. 21.

A

A merchant may ſend his ſtock into a foreign country, when the exchange is below par, without injuring his fortune; becauſe, when it returns, he recovers what he had loſt; but a prince, who ſends only ſpecie into a foreign country, which never can return, is always a loſer.

When the merchants have great dealings in any country, the exchange there infallibly riſes. This proceeds from their entering into many engagements, buying great quantities of merchandizes, and drawing upon foreign countries to pay for them.

A prince may amaſs great wealth in his dominions, and yet ſpecie may be really ſcarce, and relatively common; for inſtance, if this ſtate is indebted for many merchandizes to a foreign country, the exchange will be low, though ſpecie be ſcarce.

The exchange of all places conſtantly tends to a certain proportion, and that in the very nature of things. If the courſe of exchange from Ireland to England is below par, and that of England to Holland is alſo under par, that of Ireland to Holland will be ſtill lower; that is, in the compound ratio of that of Ireland to England, and that of England to Holland: for a Dutch merchant, who can have his ſpecie indirectly from Ireland, by the way of England, will not chuſe to pay dearer, by having it the direct way. This, I ſay, ought naturally to be the caſe: but, however, it is not exactly ſo; there are always circumſtances which vary theſe things; and the different profit of drawing by one place, or of drawing by another, conſtitutes the particular art and dexterity of the bankers,

Book XXII. Chap. 10.

bankers, which does not belong to the preſent ſubject.

When a ſtate raiſes its ſpecie, for inſtance, when it gives the name of ſix livres, or two crowns, to what was before called three livres, or one crown, this new denomination, which adds nothing real to the crown, ought not to procure a ſingle gros more by the exchange. We ought only to have for the two new crowns, the ſame number of gros which we before received for the old one. If this does not happen, it muſt not be imputed as an effect of the regulation itſelf; but to the novelty and ſuddenneſs of the affair. The exchange adheres to what is already eſtabliſhed, and is not altered till after a certain time.

When a ſtate, inſtead of only raiſing the ſpecie by a law, calls it in, in order to diminiſh its ſize, it frequently happens, that during the time taken up in its paſſing again through the mint, there are two kinds of money; the large, which is the old, and the ſmall, which is the new; and as the large is cried down, as not to be received as money, and bills of exchange muſt conſequently be paid in the new, one would imagine then, that the exchange ſhould be regulated by the new. If, for example, in France the ancient crown of three livres, being worth in Holland ſixty gros, was reduced one half, the new crown ought to be valued only at thirty. On the other hand, it ſeems as if the exchange ought to be regulated by the old coin; becauſe the banker who has ſpecie, and receives bills, is obliged to carry the old coin to the mint, in order to change it for the new; by which he muſt be a loſer. The exchange then ought to be fixed between

tween the value of the old coin, and that of the new. The value of the old is decreaſed, if we may call it ſo, both becauſe there is already ſome of the new in trade, and becauſe the bankers cannot keep up to the rigour of the law; having an intereſt in letting looſe the old coin from their cheſts, and being ſometimes obliged to make payments with it. Again, the value of the new ſpecie muſt riſe; becauſe the banker having this, finds himſelf in a ſituation, in which, as we ſhall immediately prove, he will reap great advantage by procuring the old. The exchange ſhould then be fixed, as I have already ſaid, between the new and the old coin. For then the bankers find it their intereſt to ſend the old out of the kingdom; becauſe by this method they procure the ſame advantage as they could receive from a regular exchange of the old ſpecie, that is, a great many gros in Holland; and in return, a regular exchange a little lower, between the old and the new ſpecie, which would bring many crowns to France.

Suppoſe that three livres of the old coin yield by the actual exchange forty-five gros, and that by ſending this ſame crown to Holland, they receive ſixty; but with a bill of forty-five gros, they procure a crown of three livres in France, which being ſent in the old ſpecie to Holland, ſtill yields ſixty gros: thus all the old ſpecie would be ſent out of the kingdom, and the bankers would run away with the whole profit.

To remedy this, new meaſures muſt be taken. The ſtate, which coined the new ſpecie, would itſelf be obliged to ſend great quantities of the old to the nation which regulates the exchange, and by

by thus gaining credit there, raiſe the exchange pretty nearly to as many gros for a crown of three livres out of the country. I ſay, to nearly the ſame; for while the profits are ſmall, the bankers will not be tempted to ſend it abroad, becauſe of the expence of carriage, and the danger of confiſcation.

It is fit that we ſhould give a very clear idea of this. Mr. Bernard, or any other banker employed by the ſtate, propoſes bills upon Holland, and gives them at one, two, or three gros higher than the actual exchange; he has made a proviſion in a foreign country, by means of the old ſpecie which he has continually been ſending thither; and thus he has raiſed the exchange to the point we have juſt mentioned. In the mean time, by diſpoſing of his bills, he ſeizes on all the new ſpecie, and obliges the other bankers, who have payments to make, to carry their old ſpecie to the mint; and, as he inſenſibly obtains all the ſpecie, he obliges the other bankers to give him bills of exchange at a very high price. By theſe means, his profit, in the end, compenſates, in a great meaſure, for the loſs he ſuffered at the beginning.

It is evident, that during theſe tranſactions, the ſtate muſt be in a dangerous criſis. Specie muſt become extremely ſcarce; 1. becauſe much the greateſt part is cried down: 2. becauſe a part will be ſent into foreign countries: 3. becauſe every one will lay it up, as not being willing to give that profit to the prince, which he hopes to receive himſelf. It is dangerous to do it ſlowly; and dangerous alſo to do it in too much haſte. If the ſuppoſed gain be immoderate, the inconveniencies increaſe in proportion.

We

We ſee, from what has been already ſaid, that when the exchange is lower than the ſpecie, a profit may be made by ſending it abroad; for the ſame reaſon, when it is higher than the ſpecie, there is profit in cauſing it to return.

But there is a caſe in which profit may be made by ſending the ſpecie out of the kingdom, when the exchange is at par; that is, by ſending it into a foreign country to be coined over again. When it returns, an advantage may be made of it, whether it be circulated in the country, or paid for foreign bills.

If a company has been erected in a ſtate with a prodigious ſtock, and this ſtock has in a few months been raiſed twenty or twenty-five times above the original purchaſe; if, again, the ſame ſtate eſtabliſhed a bank, whoſe bills were to perform the office of ſpecie, while the numerary value of theſe bills was prodigious, in order to anſwer to the numerary value of the ſtocks, (this is Mr. Law's Syſtem;) it would follow, from the nature of things, that theſe ſtocks and theſe bills would vaniſh in the ſame manner as they aroſe. Stocks cannot ſuddenly be raiſed twenty or twenty-five times above their original value, without giving a number of people the means of procuring immenſe riches in paper: every one would endeavour to ſecure his fortune; and as the exchange offers the moſt eaſy way of removing it from home, or conveying it whither one pleaſes, people would inceſſantly remit a part of their effects to the nation that regulates the exchange. A project for making continual remittances into a foreign country, muſt lower the exchange. Let us ſuppoſe, that at the time of the *Syſtem*, in proportion

Book XXII. Chap. 10.

proportion to the ſtandard and weight of the ſilver coin, the exchange was fixed at forty gros to the crown; when a vaſt quantity of paper became money, they were unwilling to give more than thirty-nine gros for a crown, and afterwards thirty-eight, thirty-ſeven, &c. This proceeded ſo far, that after a while they would give but eight gros, and at laſt there was no exchange at all.

The exchange ought in this caſe to have regulated the proportion between the ſpecie and the paper of France. I ſuppoſe, that by the weight and ſtandard of the ſilver, the crown of three livres in ſilver was worth forty gros, and that the exchange being made in paper, the crown of three livres in paper was worth only eight gros, the difference was four-fifths. The crown of three livres in paper was then worth four-fifths leſs than the crown of three livres in ſilver.

CHAP. XI.

Of the Proceedings of the Romans *with reſpect to Money.*

HOW great ſoever the exertion of authority has been in our times, with reſpect to the ſpecie of France during the adminiſtration of two ſucceſſive miniſters, ſtill it was vaſtly exceeded by the Romans; not at the time when corruption had crept into their republic, nor when they were in a ſtate of anarchy; but when they were, as much by their wiſdom as their courage, in the full vigour of the conſtitution, after having conquered the cities of Italy, and at the very time that they diſputed for empire with the Carthaginians.

And

And here I am pleaſed that I have an opportunity of examining more cloſely into this matter, that no example may be taken from what can never juſtly be called one.

In the firſt Punic war the (i) *as*, which ought to be twelve ounces of copper, weighed only two, and in the ſecond it was no more than one. This retrenchment anſwers to what we now call the raiſing of coin. To take half the ſilver from a crown of ſix livres, in order to make two crowns, or to raiſe it to the value of twelve livres, is preciſely the ſame thing.

(i) Pliny's *Natural Hiſtory*, lib. 33. art. 13.

They have left us no monument of the manner in which the Romans conducted this affair in the firſt Punic war; but what they did in the ſecond, is a proof of the moſt conſummate wiſdom. The republic found herſelf under an impoſſibility of paying her debts; the *as* weighed two ounces of copper, and the denarius, valued at ten aſes, weighed twenty ounces of copper. The republic being willing to gain half on her creditors, made the *as* (k) of an ounce of copper, and by this means paid the value of a denarius with ten ounces. This proceeding muſt give a great ſhock to the ſtate; they were obliged therefore to break the force of it, as well as they could. It was in itſelf unjuſt, and it was neceſſary to render it as little ſo as poſſible. They had in view the deliverance of the republic, with reſpect to the citizens; they were not therefore obliged to direct their view to the deliverance of the citizens, with reſpect to each other. This made a ſecond ſtep neceſſary. It was ordained, that the denarius, which hitherto contained but ten aſes, ſhould contain ſixteen. The reſult

(k) Ibid.

Book XXII. Chap. 12.

of this double operation was, that while the creditors of the republic loſt one half *, thoſe of individuals loſt only a fifth †; the price of merchandizes was increaſed only a fifth; the real change of the money was only a fifth. The other conſequences are obvious.

The Romans then conducted themſelves with greater prudence than we, who in our tranſactions involved both the public treaſure, and the fortunes of individuals. But this is not all; their affair was carried on amidſt more favourable circumſtances than ours.

CHAP. XII.

The Circumſtances in which the Romans *changed the Value of their Specie.*

THERE was formerly very little gold and ſilver in Italy. This country has few or no mines of gold or ſilver. When Rome was taken by the Gauls, they found only a thouſand (k) weight of gold. And yet the Romans had ſacked many powerful cities, and brought home their wealth. For a long time they made uſe of none but copper money; and it was not till after the peace with Pyrrhus, that they had ſilver enough to coin (l) money: they made denarii of this metal of the value of ten aſes ‡, or ten pounds of copper. At that time the proportion of ſilver was to that of copper, as 1 to 960. For as the Roman denarius was valued at ten aſes, or ten pounds of copper, it

(k) Pliny, lib. 33. art. 5.

(l) Freinſhemius, lib. 5. of the 2d Decad.

* They received ten ounces of copper for twenty.

† They received ſixteen ounces of copper for twenty.

‡ Freinſhemius, lib. 5. decad. 2. They ſtruck alſo, ſays the ſame author, half-denarii, called quinarii; and quarters, called ſeſterces,

was

was worth one hundred and twenty ounces of copper; and as the ſame denarius was valued only at one-eighth of an ounce of ſilver *, this produced the above proportion.

When Rome became miſtreſs of that part of Italy which is neareſt to Greece and Sicily, by degrees ſhe found herſelf between two rich nations, the Greeks and the Carthaginians. Silver increaſed at Rome; and as the proportion of 1 to 960 between ſilver and copper could be no longer ſupported, ſhe made ſeveral regulations with reſpect to money, which to us are unknown. However, at the beginning of the ſecond Punic war, the (m) Roman denarius was worth no more than twenty ounces of copper; and thus the proportion between ſilver and copper was no longer but as 1 to 160. The reduction was very conſiderable, ſince the republic gained five-ſixths upon all copper money. But ſhe did only what was neceſſary in the nature of things, by eſtabliſhing the proportion between the metals made uſe of as money.

(m) Pliny's Nat. Hiſt. lib. 33. art. 13.

The peace which terminated the firſt Punic war, left the Romans maſters of Sicily. They ſoon entered Sardinia; afterwards they began to know Spain; and thus the quantity of ſilver increaſed at Rome. They took meaſures to reduce the (n) denarius from twenty ounces to ſixteen, which had the effect of putting a nearer proportion between the ſilver and copper; thus the proportion, which was before as 1 to 160, was now made as 1 to 128.

(n) Ibid.

If we examine into the conduct of the Romans, we ſhall never find them ſo great as in chuſing a

* An eighth, according to Budæus; according to other authors, a ſeventh.

Book XXII. Chap. 13.

proper conjuncture for performing any extraordinary operation.

CHAP. XIII.

Proceedings with reſpect to Money in the Time of the Emperors.

IN the changes made in the ſpecie during the time of the republic, they proceeded by diminiſhing it: the ſtate repoſed in the people the knowledge of its wants; and did not pretend to deceive them. Under the emperors, they proceeded by way of allay. Theſe princes, reduced to deſpair even by their liberalities, found themſelves obliged to degrade the ſpecie; an indirect method, which diminiſhed the evil, without ſeeming to touch it. They with-held a part of the gift, and yet concealed the hand that did it; and, without ſpeaking of the diminution of the pay, or of the gratuity, it was found diminiſhed.

We even ſtill ſee (o) in cabinets a kind of medals, which are called plated; and are only pieces of copper covered with a thin plate of ſilver. This money is mentioned in a fragment of the 77th book of Dio (p).

Didius Julian firſt began to debaſe it. We find that the coin of * Caracalla had an allay of more than half; that of Alexander Severus (q) of two thirds: the debaſing ſtill increaſed, till under Gallienus (r) nothing was to be ſeen but copper ſilvered over.

(o) See Father Joubert's *Science of Medals*, Paris edit. of 1739. page 50.

(p) Extract of Virtues and Vices.

(q) See Savotte, ibid.

(r) Ibid.

* See *Savotte*, part 2. chap. 12. and *Le Journal des Sçavans* of the 28th of July, on a diſcovery of fifty thouſand medals.

It

It is evident, that ſuch violent proceedings could not take place in the preſent age; a prince might deceive himſelf, but he could deceive no body elſe, The exchange has taught the banker to draw a compariſon between all the money in the world, and to eſtabliſh its juſt value. The ſtandard of money can be no longer a ſecret. Were the prince to begin to allay his ſilver, every body elſe would continue it, and do it for him; the ſpecie of the true ſtandard would go abroad firſt, and nothing would be ſent back but baſe metal. If, like the Roman emperors, he debaſed the ſilver, without debaſing the gold, the gold would ſuddenly diſappear, and he would be reduced to his bad ſilver. The exchange, as I have ſaid in the preceding book (r), has deprived princes of the opportunity of ſhewing great exertions of authority, or at leaſt has rendered them ineffectual.

(r) Chap. 16.

CHAP. XIV.

How the Exchange is a Conſtraint on deſpotic Power.

MUSCOVY would have deſcended from its deſpotic power, but could not. The eſtabliſhment of commerce depended on that of the exchange, and the tranſactions were inconſiſtent with all its laws.

In 1745, the Czarina made a law to expel the Jews, becauſe they remitted into foreign countries the ſpecie of thoſe who were baniſhed into Siberia, as well as that of the foreigners entertained in her ſervice. As all the ſubjects of the empire are ſlaves, they can neither go abroad themſelves, nor

BOOK XXII. Chap. 15.

ſend away their effects without permiſſion. The exchange which gives them the means of remitting their ſpecie from one country to another, is therefore entirely incompatible with the laws of Muſcovy.

Commerce itſelf is inconſiſtent with the Ruſſian laws. The people are compoſed only of ſlaves employed in agriculture, and of ſlaves called eccleſiaſtics or gentlemen, who are the lords of thoſe ſlaves: there is then no body left for the third eſtate, which ought to be compoſed of mechanics and merchants.

CHAP. XV.

The Practice of ſome Countries in Italy.

THEY have made laws in ſome part of Italy to prevent ſubjects from ſelling their lands, in order to remove their ſpecie into foreign countries. Theſe laws may be good, when the riches of a ſtate are ſo connected with the country itſelf, that there would be great difficulty in transferring them to another. But ſince, by the courſe of exchange, riches are in ſome degree independent on any particular ſtate, and ſince they may with ſo much eaſe be conveyed from one country to another; that muſt be a bad law which will not permit perſons for their own intereſt to diſpoſe of their lands, while they can diſpoſe of their money. It is a bad law, becauſe it gives an advantage to moveable effects, in prejudice to the land; becauſe it deters ſtrangers from ſettling in the country; and, in ſhort, becauſe it may be eluded.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVI.

The Aſſiſtance a State may derive from Bankers.

THE bankers buſineſs is to change, not to lend money. If the prince makes uſe of them to exchange his ſpecie, as he never does it but in great affairs, the leaſt profit he can give for the remittance, becomes conſiderable; and if they demand large profits, we may be certain that there is a fault in the adminiſtration. On the contrary, when they are employed to advance ſpecie, their art conſiſts in procuring the greateſt profit for the uſe of it, without being liable to be charged with uſury.

Book XXII. Chap. 16. and 17.

CHAP. XVII.

Of public Debts.

SOME have imagined, that it was for the advantage of a ſtate to be indebted to itſelf: they thought that this multiplied riches, by increaſing the circulation.

Thoſe who are of this opinion have, I believe, confounded a circulating paper which repreſents money, or a circulating paper which is the ſign of the profits that a company has, or will make by commerce, with a paper which repreſents a debt. The two firſt are extremely advantageous to the ſtate: the laſt can never be ſo; and all that we can expect from it is, that individuals have a good ſecurity from the government for their money. But let us ſee the inconveniencies which reſult from it.

1. If foreigners poſſeſs much paper which repreſents a debt, they annually draw out of the nation a conſiderable ſum for intereſt.

2. A nation that is thus perpetually in debt, ought to have the exchange very low.

3. The taxes raiſed for the payment of the intereſt of the debt, are a hurt to the manufactures, by raiſing the price of the artificers labour.

4. It takes the true revenue of the ſtate from thoſe who have activity and induſtry to convey it to the indolent, that is, it gives the conveniencies for labour to thoſe who do not labour, and clogs with difficulties the induſtrious artiſt.

Theſe are its inconveniencies: I know of no advantages. Ten perſons have each a yearly income of a thouſand crowns, either in land or trade; this raiſes to the nation, at five *per cent.* a capital of two hundred thouſand crowns. If theſe ten perſons employed the half of their income, that is, five thouſand crowns, in paying the intereſt of an hundred thouſand crowns which they had borrowed of others, that would be only to the ſtate, as two hundred thouſand crowns; that is, in the language of the Algebraiſts, 200,000 crowns — 100,000 crowns + 100,000 crowns = 200,000.

People are thrown perhaps into this error, by reflecting, that the paper which repreſents the debt of a nation is the ſign of riches; for none but a rich ſtate can ſupport ſuch paper, without falling into decay. And if it does not fall, it is a proof that the ſtate has other riches beſides. They ſay that it is not an evil, becauſe there are reſources againſt it; and that it is an advantage, ſince theſe reſources ſurpaſs the evil.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the Payment of public Debts.

IT is neceſſary, that there ſhould be a proportion between the ſtate as creditor, and the ſtate as debtor. The ſtate may be a creditor to infinity, but it can only be a debtor to a certain degree; and when it ſurpaſſes that degree, the title of creditor vaniſhes. Book XXII. Chap. 18.

If the credit of the ſtate has never received the leaſt blemiſh, it may do what has been ſo happily practiſed in one of the kingdoms (t) of Europe; that is, it may acquire a great quantity of ſpecie, and offer to reimburſe every individual, at leaſt if they will not reduce their intereſt. When the ſtate borrows, the individuals fix the intereſt; when it pays, the intereſt for the future is fixed by the ſtate.

(t) England.

It is not ſufficient to reduce the intereſt: it is neceſſary to erect a ſinking fund from the advantage of the reduction, in order to pay every year a part of the capital: a proceeding ſo happy, that its ſucceſs increaſes every day.

When the credit of the ſtate is not entire, there is a new reaſon for endeavouring to form a ſinking fund, becauſe this fund being once eſtabliſhed, will ſoon procure the public confidence.

1. If the ſtate is a republic, the government of which is in its own nature conſiſtent with its entering into projects of a long duration, the capital of the ſinking fund may be inconſiderable: but it is neceſſary in a monarchy for the capital to be much greater.

2. The regulations ought to be ſo ordered, that all the ſubjects of the ſtate may ſupport the weight of the eſtabliſhment of theſe funds, becauſe they have all the weight of the eſtabliſhment of the debt; thus the creditor of the ſtate, by the ſums he contributes, pays himſelf.

3. There are four claſſes of men, who pay the debts of the ſtate: the proprietors of the land, thoſe engaged in trade, the labourers and artificers, and, in fine, the annuitants either of the ſtate, or of private people. Of theſe four claſſes the laſt, in a caſe of neceſſity, one would imagine, ought leaſt to be ſpared, becauſe it is a claſs entirely paſſive, while the ſtate is ſupported by the active vigour of the other three. But as it cannot be higher taxed, without deſtroying the public confidence, of which the ſtate in general, and theſe three claſſes in particular, have the utmoſt need; as a breach in the public faith cannot be made on a certain number of ſubjects, without ſeeming to be made on all; as the claſs of creditors is always the moſt expoſed to the projects of miniſters, and always in their eye, and under their immediate inſpection, the ſtate is obliged to give them a ſingular protection, that the part which is indebted may never have the leaſt advantage over that which is the creditor.

CHAP. XIX.

Of lending upon Intereſt.

SPECIE is the ſign of value. It is evident, that he who has occaſion for this ſign, ought to pay for the uſe of it, as well as for every thing elſe

elſe that he has occaſion for. All the difference is, that other things may be either hired or bought; whilſt money, which is the price of things, can only be hired, and not bought *.

To lend money without intereſt, is certainly an action laudable and extremely good; but it is obvious, that it is only a counſel of religion, and not a civil law.

In order that trade may be ſucceſsfully carried on, it is neceſſary that a price be fixed on the uſe of ſpecie; but this ſhould be very inconſiderable. If it be too high, the merchant, who ſees that it will coſt him more in intereſt than he can gain by commerce, will undertake nothing: if there is no conſideration to be paid for the uſe of ſpecie, no body will lend it; and here too the merchant will undertake nothing.

I am miſtaken, when I ſay no body will lend: the affairs of ſociety muſt ever make it neceſſary. Uſury will be eſtabliſhed; but with all the diſorders with which it has been conſtantly attended.

The laws of Mahomet confound uſury with lending upon intereſt. Uſury increaſes in Mahometan countries, in proportion to the ſeverity of the prohibition. The lender indemnifies himſelf for the danger he undergoes of ſuffering the penalty.

In thoſe eaſtern countries, the greateſt part of the people are ſecure of nothing; there is hardly any proportion between the actual poſſeſſion of a ſum, and the hope of receiving it again after having lent it: uſury then muſt be raiſed in proportion to the danger of inſolvency.

* We ſpeak not here of gold and ſilver conſidered as a merchandize.

CHAP.

CHAP. XX.

Of maritime Uſury.

Book XXII. Chap. 20. and 21.

THE greatneſs of maritime uſury is founded on two things: the danger of the ſea, which makes it proper that thoſe who expoſe their ſpecie, ſhould not do it, without conſiderable advantage; and the eaſe with which the borrower, by the means of commerce, ſpeedily accompliſhes a variety of great affairs. But uſury, with reſpect to land-men, not being founded on either of theſe two reaſons, is either prohibited by the legiſlators, or, what is more rational, reduced to proper bounds.

CHAP. XXI.

Of lending by Contract and the State of Uſury amongſt the Romans.

BESIDES the loans made for the advantage of commerce, there is ſtill a kind of lending by a civil contract, from whence reſults intereſt or uſury.

As the people of Rome increaſed every day in power, the magiſtrates ſought to inſinuate themſelves in their favour, by enacting ſuch laws as were moſt agreeable to them. They retrenched capitals; they firſt lowered, and at length prohibited intereſt; they took away the power of confining the debtor's body: in fine, the abolition of debts was contended for, whenever a tribune was diſpoſed to render himſelf popular.

Theſe continual changes, whether made by the laws, or by the plebiſcita, naturalized uſury at Rome:

Rome: for the creditors ſeeing the people their debtor, their legiſlator, and their judge, had no longer any confidence in their agreements; the people, like a debtor who has loſt his credit, could only tempt them to lend, by allowing an exorbitant intereſt; eſpecially as the laws applied a remedy to the evil only from time to time, while the complaints of the people were continual, and conſtantly intimidated the creditors. This was the cauſe that all honeſt means of borrowing and lending were aboliſhed at Rome, and that the moſt monſtrous uſury eſtabliſhed (a) itſelf in that city, notwithſtanding the ſtrict prohibition and ſeverity of the law. This evil was a conſequence of the ſeverity of the laws againſt uſury. Laws exceſſively good are the ſource of exceſſive evil. The borrower found himſelf under a neceſſity of paying for the intereſt of the money, and for the danger the creditor underwent of ſuffering the penalty of the law.

(a) Tacit. Annal. lib. 6.

CHAP. XXII.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE primitive Romans had not any laws to regulate the rate of uſury *. In the conteſts which aroſe on this ſubject between the plebeians and the patricians, even in the † ſedition on the *Mons ſacer*, nothing was alledged, on the one hand, but juſtice, and on the other, but the ſeverity of contracts.

* Uſury and intereſt among the Romans ſignified the ſame thing.

† See Dionyſius Halicar. who has deſcribed it ſo well.

They then only followed private agreements, which, I believe, were moſt commonly at twelve *per cent. per annum.* My reaſon is, that in the * ancient language of the Romans, intereſt at ſix *per cent.* was called half uſury, and intereſt at three *per cent.* quarter uſury. Total uſury muſt therefore have been intereſt at twelve *per cent.*

But if it be aſked, how ſuch great intereſt could be eſtabliſhed amongſt a people, almoſt without commerce? I anſwer, that this people, being very often obliged to go to war without pay, were under a frequent neceſſity of borrowing: and as they inceſſantly made happy expeditions, they were commonly very able to pay. This is viſible from the recital of the conteſts which aroſe on this ſubject; they did not then diſagree concerning the avarice of creditors, but ſaid, that thoſe who complained might have been able to pay, had they lived in a more † regular manner.

They then made laws, which had only an influence on the preſent ſituation of affairs: they ordained, for inſtance, that thoſe who enrolled themſelves for the war they were engaged in, ſhould not be moleſted by their creditors; that thoſe who were in priſon, ſhould be ſet at liberty; that the moſt indigent ſhould be ſent into the colonies; and ſometimes they opened the public treaſury. The people, being eaſed of their preſent burthens, became appeaſed; and as they required nothing for the future, the ſenate were far from providing againſt it.

* *Uſuræ ſemiſſes, trientes, quadrantes.* See the ſeveral titles of the digeſts and codes on uſury, and eſpecially the 17th law, with the note *ff. de Uſuris.*

† See Appius's ſpeech on this ſubject in Dionyſ. Halicarnaſſ.

At

At the time when the ſenate maintained the cauſe of uſury with ſo much conſtancy, the Romans were diſtinguiſhed by an extreme love of frugality, poverty, and moderation: but the conſtitution was ſuch, that the principal citizens alone ſupported all the expences of government, while the common people payed nothing. How then was it poſſible to deprive the former of the liberty of purſuing their debtors, and at the ſame time to oblige them to execute their offices, and to ſupport the republic amidſt its moſt preſſing neceſſities?

Tacitus (x) ſays, that the law of the twelve tables fixed the intereſt at one *per cent.* It is evident that he was miſtaken, and that he took another law, of which I am going to ſpeak, for the law of the twelve tables. If this had been regulated in the law of the twelve tables, why did they not make uſe of its authority in the diſputes which afterwards aroſe between the creditors and debtors? We find not any veſtige of this law upon lending at intereſt; and let us have but never ſo little knowledge of the hiſtory of Rome, we ſhall ſee that a law like this could not be the work of the decemvirs.

(x) Annal. lib. 6.

The Licinian law, made (y) eighty-five years after that of the twelve tables, was one of thoſe temporary regulations of which we have ſpoken. It ordained, that what had been paid for intereſt ſhould be deducted from the principal, and the reſt diſcharged by three equal payments.

(y) In the year of Rome 388. Tit. Liv. lib. 6.

In the year of Rome 398, the tribunes Duellius and Menenius cauſed a law to be paſſed, which reduced the intereſt to (z) one *per cent. per annum.* It is this law which Tacitus (a) confounds with that

(z) *Unciaria uſura.* Tit. Liv. lib. 7. See the Defence of the Spirit of Laws, article *Uſury.*

(a) Annal. lib. 6.

BOOK XXII. Chap. 22.

that of the twelve tables, and this was the firſt ever made by the Romans to fix the rate of intereſt. Ten years after *, this uſury was reduced one half (b); and in the end entirely aboliſhed (c); and if we may believe ſome authors whom Livy had read, this was under the conſulate of † C. Martius Rutilius, and Q. Servilius, in the year of Rome 413.

(b) *Semiunciaria uſura.*

(c) As Tacitus ſays, Annal. lib. 6.

It fared with this law as with all thoſe in which the legiſlator carries things to exceſs; an infinite number of ways were found to elude it. They enacted therefore many others to confirm, correct, and temper it. Sometimes they quitted ‡ the laws to follow the common practice, at others, the common practice to follow the laws; but in this caſe, cuſtom eaſily prevailed. When a man wanted to borrow, he found an obſtacle in the very law made in his favour; this law muſt be evaded by the perſon it was made to ſuccour, and by the perſon condemned. Sempronius Aſellus, the prætor, having permitted the || debtors to act in conformity to the laws, was (d) ſlain by the creditors for attempting to revive the memory of a ſeverity that could no longer be ſupported.

(d) In the year of Rome 663.

I quit the city, in order to caſt an eye on the provinces.

* Under the conſulate of L. Manlius Torquatus, and C. Plautius, according to *T. Liv.* lib. 7. This is the law mentioned by Tacitus, *Annal*, lib. 6.

† This law was paſſed at the inſtance of M. Genucius, tribune of the people. *Tit. Liv.* lib. 7. towards the end.

‡ *Veteri jam more fœnus receptum erat.* Appian, on the Civil war, lib. 1.

|| *Permiſit eos legibus agere.* Appian, on the Civil war, lib. 1. and the Epitome of Livy, lib. 64.

I have

I have ſomewhere elſe (e) obſerved, that the Roman provinces were exhauſted by a ſevere and arbitrary government. But this is not all, they were alſo ruined by a moſt ſhocking uſury.

(e) Book XXII. Chap. 22. Book 11. c. 19.

Cicero takes notice (f), that the inhabitants of Salamis wanted to borrow a ſum of money at Rome, but could not, becauſe of the Gabinian law. We muſt therefore enquire into the nature of this law.

(f) Letters to Atticus, lib. 5. ep. 21.

As ſoon as lending upon intereſt was forbidden at Rome, they contrived (g) all ſorts of means to elude the law; and as their allies (h), and the Latins, were not ſubject to the civil laws of the Romans, they employed a Latin, or an ally, to lend his name, and perſonate the creditor. The law therefore had only ſubjected the creditors to a matter of form, and the public were not relieved.

(g) Livy. (h) Ibid.

The people complained of this artifice; and Marius Sempronius, tribune of the people, by the authority of the ſenate, cauſed a plebiſcitum to be enacted (i) to this purport, that in regard to loans, the laws prohibiting uſury betwixt Roman citizens, ſhould equally take place between a citizen and an ally, or a citizen and a Latin.

(i) In the year 561 of Rome. See Livy.

At that time they gave the name of allies to the people of Italy properly ſo called, which extended as far as the Arno and the Rubicon, and was not governed in the form of a Roman province.

It is an obſervation of Tacitus (k), that new frauds were conſtantly committed, whenever any laws were paſſed for the preventing of uſury. Finding themſelves debarred from lending or borrowing in the name of an ally, they ſoon contrived

(k) Annal. lib. 6.

Book XXII. Chap. 22.

trived to borrow of ſome inhabitant of the provinces.

To remedy this abuſe, they were obliged to enact a new law; and Gabinius ([l]) upon the paſſing of that famous law, which was intended to prevent the corruption of ſuffrages, muſt naturally have reflected, that the beſt way to attain this end, was to diſcourage the lending upon intereſt: theſe were two objects naturally connected; for uſury always increaſed at the time of elections ([m]), becauſe they ſtood in need of money to bribe the voters. It is plain, that the Gabinian law had extended the Senatus Conſultum of Marcus Sempronius to the provinces, ſince the people of Salamis could not borrow money at Rome, becauſe of that very law. Brutus, under fictitious names, lent them ſome money ([n]) at four *per cent.* a month*, and obtained for that purpoſe two Senatus Conſultums; in the former of which it was expreſsly mentioned, that this loan ſhould not be conſidered as an evaſion of the law †, and that the governor of Sicily ſhould determine according to the ſtipulations mentioned in the bond of the Salaminians.

([l]) In the year 615 of Rome.

([m]) See Cicero to Atticus lib. 4. ep. 15. and 16.

([n]) Cicero to Atticus, lib. 6. ep. 10.

As lending upon intereſt was forbidden by the Gabinian law between provincials and Roman citizens, and the latter at that time had all the money of the globe in their hands, there was a neceſſity for tempting them with the bait of ex-

* Pompey having lent 600 talents to king Ariobarzanes, made that prince pay him thirty-three Attic talents every thirty days. *Cic ad Att.* lib. 3. ep. 21. lib. 6. ep. 11.

† Ut neque Salaminiis, neque cui eis dediſſet, fraudi eſſet. *Ibid.*

travagant

travagant intereſt, to the end that the avaricious might thus loſe ſight of the danger of loſing their money. And as they were men of great power in Rome, who awed the magiſtrates, and overruled the laws, they were emboldened to lend, and to extort great uſury. Hence the provinces were ſucceſſively ravaged by every one who had any credit in Rome: and as each governor, at entering upon his province, publiſhed his edict *, wherein he fixed the rate of intereſt in what manner he pleaſed, the legiſlature played into the hands of avarice, and the latter ſerved the mean purpoſes of the legiſlator.

But the public buſineſs muſt be carried on; and wherever a total inaction obtains, the ſtate is undone. On ſome occaſion the towns, the corporate bodies, and ſocieties, as well as private people, were under a neceſſity of borrowing; a neceſſity but too urgent, were it only to repair the ravages of armies, the rapacity of magiſtrates, the extortions of collectors, and the corrupt practices daily introduced; for never was there at one period ſo much poverty and opulence. The ſenate, being poſſeſſed of the executive power, granted, through neceſſity, and oftentimes through favour, a permiſſion of borrowing from Roman citizens, ſo as to enact decrees for that particular purpoſe. But even theſe decrees were diſcredited by the law; for they might give

* Cicero's edict fixed it to one *per cent.* a month, with intereſt upon intereſt, at the expiration of the year. With regard to the farmers of the republic, he engaged them to grant a reſpite to their debtors: if the latter did not pay at the time fixed, he awarded the intereſt mentioned in the bond. *Cic. ad Att.* lib. 6. ep. 1.

BOOK XXII. Chap. 22.

occaſion to the people's * inſiſting upon new rates of intereſt, which would augment the danger of loſing the capital, while they made a farther extenſion of uſury. I ſhall ever repeat it, that mankind are governed, not by extremes, but by principles of moderation.

(o) Leg. 12. ff. *de verb. ſignif.*

He pays leaſt, ſays Ulpian (o), who pays lateſt. This decides the queſtion, whether intereſt be lawful; that is, whether the creditor can ſell time, and the debtor buy it.

* See what Lucretius ſays in the 21ſt letter to Atticus, lib. 5. There was even a general Senatus Conſultum, to fix the rate of intereſt at one *per cent.* a month. See the ſame letter.

BOOK XXIII.

Of Laws in the Relation they bear to the Number of Inhabitants.

CHAP. I.

Of Men and Animals with reſpect to the Multiplication of their Species.

DELIGHT of human kind (a), and Gods above;
Parent of Rome, propitious queen of love!

* * * * * * * * *

For when the riſing ſpring adorns the mead,
And a new ſcene of nature ſtands diſplay'd;
When teeming buds, and chearful greens appear,
And weſtern gales unlock the lazy year;
The joyous birds thy welcome firſt expreſs,
Whoſe native ſongs thy genial fire confeſs:
Then ſavage beaſts bound o'er their ſlighted food,
Struck with thy darts, and tempt the raging flood:
All nature is thy gift, earth, air, and ſea;
Of all that breathes the various progeny
Stung with delight, is goaded on by thee.
O'er barren mountains, o'er the flow'ry plain,
The leafy foreſt, and the liquid main,
Extends thy uncontroul'd and boundleſs reign.
Thro' all the living regions thou doſt move,
And ſcatter'ſt where thou go'ſt the kindly ſeeds of love.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 1.

(a) Dryden's Lucr.

Book XXIII. Chap. 2.

The females of brutes have an almoſt conſtant fecundity. But in the human ſpecies, the manner of thinking, the character, the paſſions, the humour, the caprice, the idea of preſerving beauty, the pain of child-bearing, and the fatigue of a too numerous family, obſtruct propagation a thouſand different ways.

CHAP. II.

Of Marriage.

THE natural obligation of the father to provide for his children has eſtabliſhed marriage, which makes known the perſon who ought to fulfil this obligation. The people (b) mentioned by Pomponius Mela (c) had no other way of diſcovering him but by reſemblance.

(b) The Garamantes.

(c) Lib. 1. cap. 8.

Among civilized nations, the father * is that perſon on whom the laws, by the ceremony of marriage, have fixed this duty; becauſe they find in him the man they want.

Amongſt brutes this is an obligation which the mother can generally perform; but it is much more extenſive amongſt men. Their children indeed have reaſon; but this comes only by ſlow degrees. It is not ſufficient to nouriſh them; we muſt alſo direct them: they can already live; but they cannot govern themſelves.

Illicit conjunctions contribute but little to the propagation of the ſpecies. The father, who is under a natural obligation to nouriſh and educate his children, is not then fixed; and the mother, with whom the obligation remains, finds a thou-

* *Pater eſt quem nuptiæ demonſtrant.*

ſand

ſand obſtacles from ſhame, remorſe, the conſtraint of her ſex, and the rigour of laws; and beſides, ſhe generally wants the means. BOOK XXIII. Chap. 3. and 4.

Women who have ſubmitted to a public proſtitution, cannot have the conveniency of educating their children: the trouble of education is incompatible with their ſtation; and they are ſo corrupt, that they can have no protection from the law.

It follows from all this, that public continence is naturally connected with the propagation of the ſpecies.

CHAP. III.

Of the Condition of Children.

IT is a dictate of reaſon, that when there is a marriage, children ſhould follow the ſtation or condition of the father; and that when there is not, they can belong to the mother only *.

CHAP. IV.

Of Families.

IT is almoſt every where a cuſtom for the wife to paſs into the family of the huſband. The contrary is without any inconveniency eſtabliſhed at Formoſa (d), where the huſband enters into the family of the wife.

(d) Du Halde, tom. 1. pag. 165.

This law, which fixes the family in a ſucceſſion of perſons of the ſame ſex, greatly contributes, independently of the firſt motives, to the propagation of the human ſpecies. The family is a

* For this reaſon, among nations that have ſlaves, the child almoſt always follows the ſtation or condition of the mother.

Book XXIII. Chap. 5.

kind of property: a man who has children of a ſex which does not perpetuate it, is never ſatisfied if he has not thoſe who can render it perpetual.

Names, whereby men acquire an idea of a thing, which one would imagine ought not to periſh, are extremely proper to inſpire every family with a deſire of extending its duration. There are people, amongſt whom names diſtinguiſh families: there are others, where they only diſtinguiſh perſons: the latter have not the ſame advantage as the former.

CHAP. V.

Of the ſeveral Orders of lawful Wives.

LAWS and religion ſometimes eſtabliſh many kinds of civil conjunctions: and this is the caſe amongſt the Mahometans, where there are ſeveral orders of wives, the children of whom are diſtinguiſhed by being born in the houſe, by civil contracts, or even by the ſlavery of the mother, and the ſubſequent acknowledgment of the father.

It would be contrary to reaſon, that the law ſhould ſtigmatize the children for what it approved in the father. All theſe children ought therefore to ſucceed, at leaſt if ſome particular reaſon does not oppoſe it, as in Japan, where none inherit but the children of the wife given by the emperor. Their policy demands that the gifts of the emperor ſhould not be too much divided, becauſe they ſubject them to a kind of ſervice, like that of our ancient fiefs.

There

There are countries, where a wife of the ſecond rank enjoys nearly the ſame honours in a family, as in our part of the world are granted to an only conſort: there the children of concubines are deemed to belong to the firſt or principal wife. Thus it is alſo eſtabliſhed in China. Filial reſpect (e), and the ceremony of deep mourning, are not due to the natural mother, but to her appointed by the law.

(e) Du Halde, vol. 2. p. 129.

By means of this fiction, they have no baſtard children; and where ſuch a fiction does not take place, it is obvious, that a law to legitimate the children of concubines, muſt be conſidered as an act of violence, as the bulk of the nation would be ſtigmatized by ſuch a decree. Neither is there any regulation in thoſe countries, with regard to children born in adultery. The recluſe lives of women, the locks, the incloſures, and the eunuchs, render all infidelity to their huſbands ſo difficult, that the law judges it impoſſible. Beſides, the ſame ſword would exterminate the mother and the child.

CHAP. VI.

Of Baſtards in different Governments.

THEY have, therefore, no ſuch thing as baſtards, where polygamy is permitted; this diſgrace is known only in countries, in which a man is allowed to marry but one wife. Here they were obliged to ſtamp a mark of infamy upon concubinage, and conſequently they were under a neceſſity of ſtigmatizing the iſſue of ſuch unlawful conjunctions.

Book XXIII. Chap. 6.

In republics, where it is neceſſary that there ſhould be the pureſt morals, baſtards ought to be more degraded than in monarchies.

The laws made againſt them at Rome were perhaps too ſevere. But as the ancient inſtitutions laid all the citizens under a neceſſity of marrying; and as marriages were alſo ſoftened by the permiſſion to repudiate, or make a divorce; nothing but an extreme corruption of manners could lead them to concubinage.

It is obſervable, that as the quality of a citizen was a very conſiderable thing in a democratic government, where it carried with it the ſovereign power, they frequently made laws in reſpect to the ſtate of baſtards, which had leſs relation to the thing itſelf, and to the honeſty of marriage, than to the particular conſtitution of the republic. Thus the people have ſometimes admitted baſtards into the number (f) of citizens, in order to increaſe their power in oppoſition to the great. Thus the Athenians excluded baſtards from the privilege of being citizens, that they might poſſeſs a greater ſhare of the corn ſent them by the king of Egypt. In fine, Ariſtotle informs us (g), that in many cities where there was not a ſufficient number of citizens, their baſtards ſucceeded to their poſſeſſions; and that when there was a proper number, they did not inherit.

(f) Ariſtotle, Politics, lib. 6. cap. 4.

(g) Ibid. lib. 3. cap. 3.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Father's Conſent to Marriage.

THE conſent of fathers is founded on their authority, that is, on their right of property.

It is alſo founded on their love, on their reaſon, and on the uncertainty of that of their children, whom youth confines in a ſtate of ignorance, and paſſion in a ſtate of ebriety.

In the ſmall republics, or ſingular inſtitutions already mentioned, they might have laws which gave to magiſtrates that right of inſpection over the marriages of the children of citizens, which nature had already given to fathers. The love of the public might there equal or ſurpaſs all other love. Thus Plato would have marriages regulated by the magiſtrates: this the Lacedæmonian magiſtrates performed.

But in common inſtitutions, fathers have the diſpoſal of their children in marriage: their prudence in this reſpect is always ſuppoſed to be ſuperior to that of a ſtranger. Nature gives to fathers a deſire of procuring ſucceſſors to their children, when they have almoſt loſt the deſire of enjoyment themſelves. In the ſeveral degrees of progeniture, they ſee themſelves inſenſibly advancing to a kind of immortality. But what muſt be done, if oppreſſion and avarice ariſe to ſuch a height as to uſurp all the authority of fathers? Let us hear what Thomas Gage (h) ſays in regard to the conduct of the Spaniards in the Weſt-Indies.

(h) A New Survey of the Weſt-Indies, by Thomas Gage, p. 345. 3d edit.

According to the number of the ſons and daughters that are marriageable, the fathers tribute is raiſed and increaſed, until they provide huſbands and wives for their ſons and daughters, who, as ſoon as they are married, are charged with tribute; which that it may increaſe, they will ſuffer none above fifteen years of age to live unmarried. Nay, the ſet time of marriage, appointed for the Indians, is at fourteen years for

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 7.

for the man, and thirteen for the woman; alledging, that they are ſooner ripe for the fruit of wedlock, and ſooner ripe in knowledge and malice, and ſtrength for work and ſervice, than any other people. Nay, ſometimes they force them to marry, who are ſcarce twelve and thirteen years of age, if they find them well limbed and ſtrong in body, explaining a point of one of the canons, which alloweth fourteen and fifteen years, NISI MALITIA SUPPLEAT ÆTATEM. He ſaw a liſt of theſe taken. It was, ſays he, a moſt ſhameful affair. Thus in an action which ought to be the moſt free, the Indians are the greateſt ſlaves.

CHAP. VIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

IN England, the law is frequently abuſed by the daughters marrying according to their own fancy, without conſulting their parents. This cuſtom is, I am apt to imagine, more tolerated there than any where elſe, from a conſideration, that as the laws have not eſtabliſhed a monaſtic celibacy, the daughters have no other ſtate to chuſe but that of marriage, and this they cannot refuſe. In France, on the contrary, young women have always the reſource of celibacy; and therefore the law which ordains that they ſhall wait for the conſent of their fathers, may be more agreeable. In this light the cuſtom of Italy and Spain muſt be leſs rational; convents are there eſtabliſhed, and yet they may marry without the conſent of their fathers.

CHAP.

CHAP. IX.

Of young Women.

YOUNG women who are conducted by marriage alone to liberty and pleaſure; who have a mind which dares not think, a heart which dares not feel, eyes which dare not ſee, ears which dare not hear, who appear only to ſhew themſelves ſilly, condemned without intermiſſion to trifles and precepts, have ſufficient inducements to lead them on to marriage: it is the young men that want to be encouraged.

Book XXIII. Chap. 9. 10. and 11.

CHAP. X.

What it is that determines to Marriage.

WHEREVER a place is found in which two perſons can live commodiouſly, there they enter into marriage. Nature has a ſufficient propenſity to it, when unreſtrained by the difficulty of ſubſiſtence.

A riſing people increaſe and multiply extremely. This is, becauſe with them it would be a great inconveniency to live in celibacy; and none to have many children. The contrary of which is the caſe when a nation is formed.

CHAP. XI.

Of the Severity of Government.

MEN who have abſolutely nothing, ſuch as beggars, have many children. This proceeds from their being in the caſe of a riſing people:

Book XXIII. Chap. 11.

ple: it coſts the father nothing to give his art to his offspring, who even in their infancy are the inſtruments of this art. Theſe people multiply in a rich or ſuperſtitious country, becauſe they do not ſupport the burthen of ſociety; but are themſelves the burthen. But men who are poor, only becauſe they live under a ſevere government; who regard their fields leſs as the ſource of their ſubſiſtence, than as a cauſe of vexation; theſe men, I ſay, have few children: they have not even ſubſiſtence for themſelves; how then can they think of dividing it? they are unable to take care of their own perſons, when they are ſick; how then can they attend to the wants of creatures whoſe infancy is a continual ſickneſs?

It is pretended by ſome who are apt to talk of things which they have never examined, that the greater the poverty of the ſubjects, the more numerous their families; that the more they are loaded with taxes, the more induſtriouſly they endeavour to put themſelves in a ſtation in which they will be able to pay them: two ſophiſms, which have always deſtroyed, and will for ever be the deſtruction of monarchies.

The ſeverity of government may be carried to ſuch an extreme, as to make the natural ſentiments deſtructive of the natural ſentiments themſelves. Would the women of (i) America have refuſed to bear children, had their maſters been leſs cruel?

(i) A New Survey of the Weſt-Indies, by Thomas Gage, p. 97. 3d edit.

CHAP.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Number of Males and Females in different Countries.

I Have already obſerved (k), that there are born in Europe rather more boys than girls. It has been remarked, that in * Japan there are born rather more girls than boys: all things compared, there muſt be more fruitful women in Japan than in Europe, and conſequently it muſt be more populous.

Book XXIII. Chap. 12. and 13.

(k) Book 16. Chap. 4.

We are informed (l), that at Bantam there are ten girls to one boy. A diſproportion like this muſt cauſe the number of families there, to be to the number of thoſe of other climates, as 1 to $5\frac{1}{2}$; which is a prodigious difference. Their families may be much larger indeed; but there muſt be few men in circumſtances ſufficient to provide for ſo large a family.

(l) Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of the Eaſt-India company, vol. 1. p. 347.

CHAP. XIII.

Of Sea-port Towns.

IN ſea-port towns, where men expoſe themſelves to a thouſand dangers, and go abroad to live or die in diſtant climates, there are fewer men than women: and yet we ſee more children there than in other places. This proceeds from the greater eaſe with which they procure the means of ſubſiſtence. Perhaps even the oily parts of fiſh are more proper to furniſh that matter which con-

* See Kempfer, who gives a computation of the people of Meaco.

tributes

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 14.

tributes to generation. This may be one of the cauſes of the infinite number of people in * Japan and China †, where they live almoſt wholly on (m) fiſh. If this be the caſe, certain monaſtic rules, which oblige the monks to live on fiſh, muſt be contrary to the ſpirit of the legiſlator himſelf.

(m) See Du Halde, tom. 2. p. 139—142.

CHAP. XIV.

Of the Productions of the Earth which require a greater or leſs Number of Men.

PAſture-lands are but little peopled, becauſe they find employment only for a few. Corn lands employ a great many men, and vineyards infinitely more.

It has been a frequent complaint in England ‡, that the increaſe of paſture-land diminiſhed the inhabitants; and it has been obſerved in France, that the prodigious number of vineyards is one of the great cauſes of the multitude of people.

Thoſe countries where coal-pits furniſh a proper ſubſtance for fuel, have this advantage over others, that not having the ſame occaſion for foreſts, the lands may be cultivated.

In countries productive of rice, they are at vaſt pains in watering the land; a great number of

* Japan is compoſed of a number of iſles, where there are many banks, and the ſea is there extremely full of fiſh.

† China abounds in rivers.

‡ The greateſt number of the proprietors of land, ſays biſhop Burnet, finding more profit in ſelling their wool than their corn, incloſed their eſtates: the commons, ready to periſh with hunger, roſe up in arms; they inſiſted on a diviſion of the lands: the young king even wrote on this ſubject. And proclamations were made againſt thoſe who incloſed their lands. *Abridg. of the Hiſt. of the Reformation.*

men

men muſt therefore be employed. Beſides, there is leſs land required to furniſh ſubſiſtence for a family, than in thoſe which produce other kinds of grain. In fine, the land which is elſewhere employed in raiſing cattle, ſerves immediately for the ſubſiſtence of man; and the labour, which in other places is performed by cattle, is there performed by men; ſo that the culture of the ſoil becomes to man an immenſe manufacture.

CHAP. XV.

Of the Number of Inhabitants with relation to the Arts.

WHEN there is an Agrarian law, and the lands are equally divided, the country may be extremely well peopled, though there are but few arts; becauſe every citizen receives from the cultivation of his land whatever is neceſſary for his ſubſiſtence, and all the citizens together conſume all the fruits of the earth. Thus it was in ſome republics.

In our preſent ſituation, in which lands are ſo unequally diſtributed, they produce much more than thoſe who cultivate them are able to conſume; if the arts, therefore, ſhould be neglected, and nothing minded but agriculture, the country could not be peopled. Thoſe who cultivate, or employ others to cultivate, having corn to ſpare, nothing would engage them to work the following year: the fruits of the earth would not be conſumed by the indolent; for theſe would have nothing with which they could purchaſe them. It is neceſſary then that the arts ſhould be eſtabliſhed, in order

Book XXIII. Chap. 15.

that the produce of the land may be conſumed by the labourer and the artificer. In a word, it is now proper that many ſhould cultivate much more than is neceſſary for their own uſe. For this purpoſe, they muſt have a deſire of enjoying ſuperfluities; and theſe they can receive only from the artificer.

The machines deſigned to abridge art, are not always uſeful. If a piece of workmanſhip is of a moderate price, ſuch as is equally agreeable to the maker and the buyer, thoſe machines which would render the manufacture more ſimple, or, in other words, diminiſh the number of workmen, would be pernicious. And if water-mills were not every where eſtabliſhed, I ſhould not have believed them ſo uſeful as is pretended, becauſe they have deprived an infinite multitude of their employment, a vaſt number of perſons of the uſe of water, and great part of the land of its fertility.

CHAP. XVI.

The Concern of the Legiſlator in the Propagation of the Species.

REGULATIONS on the number of citizens depend greatly on circumſtances. There are countries, in which nature does all: the legiſlator then has nothing to do. What need is there of inducing men by laws to propagation, when a fruitful climate yields a ſufficient number of inhabitants? Sometimes the climate is more favourable than the ſoil; the people multiply, and are deſtroyed by famine: this is the caſe of China. Hence a father ſells his daughters, and expoſes his

his children. In Tonquin (n), the ſame cauſes produce the ſame effects; ſo we need not, like the Arabian travellers mentioned by Renaudot, ſearch for the origin of this in their ſentiments (o) on the metempſychoſis.

For the ſame reaſon, the religion of the iſle of Formoſa (p) does not ſuffer the women to bring their children into the world, till they are thirty-five years of age: the prieſteſs before this age, by bruiſing the belly, procures abortion.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 17.

(n) Dampiere's Voyages, vol. 2.

(o) Ibid. pag. 167.

(p) See the Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of the Eaſt-India company, vol. 1. part 1. pag. 182. and 188.

CHAP. XVII.

Of Greece, *and the Number of its Inhabitants.*

THAT effect which in certain countries of the eaſt ſprings from phyſical cauſes, was produced in Greece by the nature of the government. The Greeks were a great nation, compoſed of cities, each of which had a diſtinct government and ſeparate laws. They had no more the ſpirit of conqueſt and ambition, than thoſe of Swiſſerland, Holland, and Germany, have at this day. In every republic the legiſlator had in view the happineſs of the citizens at home, and their power abroad, leſt it ſhould prove inferior * to that of the neighbouring cities. Thus, with the enjoyment of a ſmall territory and great happineſs, it was eaſy for the number of the citizens to increaſe to ſuch a degree as to become burthenſome. This obliged them inceſſantly to ſend out colonies †; and, as the Swiſs do now, to let their

* In valour, diſcipline, and military exerciſes.

† The Galls, who were in the ſame circumſtances, acted in the ſame manner.

Book XXIII. Chap. 17.

men out to war. Nothing was neglected that could hinder the too great multiplication of children.

They had amongſt them republics, whoſe conſtitution was very remarkable. The nations they had ſubdued were obliged to provide ſubſiſtence for the citizens. The Lacedæmonians were fed by the Helotes, the Cretans by the Periecians, and the Theſſalians by the Peneſtes. They were obliged to have only a certain number of freemen, that their ſlaves might be able to furniſh them with ſubſiſtence. It is a received maxim in our days, that it is neceſſary to limit the number of regular troops: now the Lacedæmonians were an army maintained by the peaſants: it was proper therefore that this army ſhould be limited; without this the freemen, who had all the advantages of ſociety, would increaſe beyond number, and the labourers be overloaded.

The politics of the Greeks were particularly employed in regulating the number of citizens. Plato (q) fixes them at five thouſand and forty, and he would have them ſtop or encourage propagation, as was moſt convenient, by honours, ſhame, and the advice of the old men; he would even (r) regulate the number of marriages, in ſuch a manner, that the republic might be recruited without being overcharged.

(q) Repub. lib. 5.

(r) Ibid.

If the laws of a country, ſays Ariſtotle (f), forbid the expoſing of children, the number of thoſe brought forth ought to be limited. If they have more than the number preſcribed by law, he adviſes (t) to make the women miſcarry before the fœtus be formed.

(f) Polit. lib. 7. cap. 16.

(t) Ibid.

The

The ſame author mentions the infamous means made uſe of by the Cretans, to prevent their having too great a number of children; a proceeding too indecent to repeat. BOOK XXIII. Chap. 17. 18. and 19.

There are places, ſays Ariſtotle (a) again, where the laws give the privilege of being citizens to ſtrangers, or to baſtards, or to thoſe whoſe mothers only are citizens; but as ſoon as they have a ſufficient number of people, this privilege ceaſes. The ſavages of Canada burn their priſoners; but when they have empty cottages to give them, they receive them into their nation. (a) Polit. lib. 3. cap. 3.

Sir William Petty, in his calculations, ſuppoſes that a man in England is worth what he would ſell for at Algiers *. This can be true only with reſpect to England. There are countries where a man is worth nothing, there are others where he is worth leſs than nothing.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the State and Number of People before the Romans.

ITALY, Sicily, Aſia Minor, Gaul and Germany, were nearly in the ſame ſtate as Greece; full of ſmall nations that abounded with inhabitants, they had no need of laws to increaſe their number.

CHAP. XIX.

Of the Depopulation of the Globe.

ALL theſe little republics were ſwallowed up in a large one, and the globe inſenſibly be-

* Sixty pounds ſterling.

Book XXIII. Chap. 19.

came depopulated: in order to be convinced of this, we need only conſider the ſtate of Italy and Greece, before and after the victories of the Romans.

(x) Lib. 6.

"*You will aſk me*, ſays Livy (x), *where the Volſci could find ſoldiers to ſupport the war, after having been ſo often defeated. There muſt have been formerly an infinite number of people in thoſe countries, which at preſent would be little better than a deſart, were it not for a few ſoldiers and Roman ſlaves.*"

"*The oracles have ceaſed*, ſays Plutarch, *becauſe the places where they ſpoke are deſtroyed. At preſent we can ſcarely find in Greece three thouſand men fit to bear arms.*"

(y) Lib. 7. p. 496.

"*I ſhall not deſcribe*, ſays Strabo (y), *Epirus, and the adjacent places; becauſe theſe countries are intirely deſerted. This depopulation, which began long ago, ſtill continues; ſo that the Roman ſoldiers encamp in the houſes they have abandoned.*" We find the cauſe of this in Polybius, who ſays, that Paulus Æmilius, after his victory, deſtroyed ſeventy cities of Epirus, and carried away a hundred and fifty thouſand ſlaves.

CHAP. XX.

That the Romans *were under a Neceſſity of making Laws, to encourage the Propagation of the Species.*

THE Romans, by deſtroying others, were themſelves deſtroyed: inceſſantly in action, in the heat of battle, and in the moſt violent attempts, they wore out like a weapon kept conſtantly in uſe.

I ſhall

I ſhall not here ſpeak of the attention with which they applied themſelves to procure * citizens in the room of thoſe they loſt, of the aſſociations they entered into, the privileges they beſtowed, and of that immenſe nurſery of citizens, their ſlaves. I ſhall mention what they did to recruit the number, not of their citizens, but of their men; and as theſe were the people in the world, who knew beſt how to adapt their laws to their projects, an examination of their conduct, in this reſpect, cannot be a matter of indifference. Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Laws of the Romans *relating to the Propagation of the Species.*

THE ancient laws of Rome endeavoured greatly to incite the citizens to marriage. The ſenate and the people made frequent regulations on this ſubject, as Auguſtus ſays in his ſpeech related by Dio (z). (z) Lib. 56.

Dionyſius Halicarnaſſeus (a) cannot believe, that after the death of three hundred and five of the Fabii, exterminated by the Veientes, there remained no more of this family but one ſingle child; becauſe the ancient law, which obliged every citizen to marry and to educate all his children, † was ſtill in force. (a) Lib. 2.

Independently of the laws, the cenſors had a particular eye upon marriages, and according to

* I have treated of this in the *Conſiderations on the cauſes of the riſe and declenſion of the Roman grandeur.*

† In the year of Rome 277.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 21.

the exigencies of the republic engaged them to it by * ſhame and by puniſhments.

The corruption of manners that began to take place, contributed vaſtly to diſguſt the citizens againſt marriage, which was painful to thoſe who had no taſte for the pleaſures of innocence. This is the purport of that ſpeech (b) which Metellus Numidicus, when he was cenſor, made to the people: "*If it were poſſible for us to do without wives, we ſhould deliver ourſelves from this evil: but as nature has ordained that we cannot live very happily with them, nor ſubſiſt without them, we ought to have more regard to our own preſervation, than to tranſient gratifications.*"

(b) It is in Aulus Gellius, lib. 1. cap. 6.

The corruption of manners deſtroyed the cenſorſhip, which was itſelf eſtabliſhed to deſtroy the corruption of manners: for when this depravation became general, the cenſor loſt his power (c).

(c) See what I have ſaid in book 5. chap. 19.

Civil diſcords, triumvirates, and proſcriptions, weakened Rome more than any war ſhe had hitherto engaged in. They left but few citizens †, and the greateſt part of them unmarried. To remedy this laſt evil, Cæſar and Auguſtus re-eſtabliſhed the cenſorſhip, and would even be (d) cenſors themſelves. Cæſar gave (e) rewards to thoſe who had many children. All (f) women under forty-five years of age, who had neither huſband nor children, were forbid to wear jewels, or to ride in litters; an excellent method thus to attack

(d) See Dio, lib. 43. and Xiphilinus in Auguſt.

(e) Dio, lib. 43. Suetonius, life of Cæſar, chap. 20. Appian, lib 2. of the Civil war.

(f) Euſebius, in his Chronicle.

* See what was done in this reſpect in T. Livy, lib. 45. The Epitome of T. Livy, lib. 59. Aulus Gellius, lib. 1. cap. 6. Valerius Maximus, lib. 2. cap. 19.

† Cæſar, after the civil war, having made a ſurvey of the Roman citizens, found there were no more than one hundred and fifty thouſand heads of families. *Florus's epitome of Livy*, 17th decad.

celibacy

celibacy by the power of vanity. The laws of Auguſtus (g) were more preſſing: he impoſed (h) new penalties on ſuch as were not married, and increaſed the rewards both of thoſe who were married, and of thoſe who had children. Tacitus calls theſe Julian laws (i); to all appearance they were founded on the ancient regulations made by the ſenate, the people, and the cenſors.

The law of Auguſtus met with innumerable obſtacles; and thirty-four years (k) after it had been made, the Roman knights inſiſted on its being aboliſhed. He placed on one ſide ſuch as were married, and on the other thoſe who were not: theſe laſt appeared by far the greateſt number; upon which the citizens were aſtoniſhed and confounded. Auguſtus, with the gravity of the ancient cenſors, addreſſed them in this manner *:

" *While ſickneſs and war ſnatch away ſo many* " *citizens, what muſt become of this ſtate if marriages* " *are no longer contracted? the city does not conſiſt* " *of houſes, of porticos, of public places, but of* " *inhabitants. You do not ſee men, like thoſe men-* " *tioned in Fable, ſtarting out of the earth to take* " *care of your affairs. Your celibacy is not ow-* " *ing to the deſire of living alone: for none of* " *you eats or ſleeps by himſelf. You only ſeek* " *to enjoy your irregularities undiſturbed. Do you* " *cite the example of the Veſtal Virgins? if you pre-* " *ſerve not the laws of chaſtity, you ought to be pu-* " *niſhed like them. You are equally bad citizens,* " *whether your example has an influence on the reſt* " *of the world, or whether it be diſregarded. My*

(g) Dio, lib. 54.
(h) In the year of Rome 736.
(i) *Julias rogationes.* Annal. lib. 3.
(k) In the year of Rome 762. Dio, lib. 56.

* I have abridged this ſpeech, which is of a tedious length; it is to be found in Dio, lib. 56.

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

" *only view is the perpetuity of the republic. I* " *have increaſed the penalties of thoſe who have diſ-* " *obeyed; and with reſpect to rewards, they are* " *ſuch, as I do not know whether virtue has ever re-* " *ceived greater. For leſs will a thouſand men expoſe* " *life itſelf; and yet will not theſe engage you to* " *take a wife, and provide for children?*"

He made a law, which was called after his name, *Julia*, and *Papia Poppæa*, from the names of the conſuls ([l]) for part of that year. The greatneſs of the evil appeared, even in their being elected: Dio ([m]) tells us, that they were not married, and that they had no children.

([l]) Marcus Papius Mutilus, and Q. Poppæus, Sabinus, Dio, lib. 56.

([m]) Ibid.

This decree of Auguſtus was properly a code of laws, and a ſyſtematic body of all the regulations that could be made on this ſubject. The Julian * laws were incorporated into it; and received a greater ſtrength. It was ſo extenſive in its uſe, and had an influence on ſo many things, that it formed the fineſt part of the civil law of the Romans.

We find † parts of it diſperſed in the precious fragments of Ulpian, in the laws of the Digeſt, collected from authors who wrote on the Papian laws, in the hiſtorians and others who have cited them, in the Theodoſian code, which aboliſhed them, and in the works of the fathers, who have cenſured them, without doubt, from a laudable zeal for the things of the other life, but with very little knowledge of the affairs of this.

* The 14th title of the fragments of Ulpian diſtinguiſhes very rightly between the Julian and the Papian law.

† James Godfrey has made a collection of theſe.

Theſe

Theſe laws had many heads *, of which we know thirty-five. (But to return to my ſubject as ſpeedily as poſſible, I ſhall begin with that head, which Aulus Gellius (n) informs us was the ſeventh, and relates to the honours and rewards granted by that law. Book XXIII. Chap. 21. (n) Lib. 2. cap. 15.

The Romans, who for the moſt part ſprung from the cities of the Latins, which were Lacedæmonian (o) colonies, and had received a part of their laws even from thoſe cities †, had, like the Lacedæmonians, ſuch veneration for old age, as to give it all honour and precedency. When the republic wanted citizens, ſhe granted to marriage, and to a number of children, the privileges which had been given to age (p). She granted ſome to marriage alone, independently of the children which might ſpring from it: this was called the right of huſbands. She gave others to thoſe who had any children, and larger ſtill to thoſe who had three children. Theſe three things muſt not be confounded. Theſe laſt had thoſe privileges which married men conſtantly enjoyed; as for example, a particular place in the theatre (q); they had thoſe which could only be enjoyed by men who had children; and which none could deprive them of but ſuch as had a greater number. (o) Dionyſ. Halicarnaſſeus. (p) Aulus Gellius, lib. 2. cap. 15. (q) Suetonius *in Auguſto*, cap. 44.

Theſe privileges were very extenſive. The married men, who had the moſt children, were always preferred ‡, whether in the purſuit, or in the exer-

* The 35th is cited in the 19th law *ff. de ritu nuptiarum.*

† The deputies of Rome, who were ſent to ſearch into the laws of Greece, went to Athens, and to the cities of Italy.

‡ Tacitus, lib. 2. *Ut numerus liberorum in candidatis præpolleret, quod lex jubebat.*

ciſe

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

ciſe of honours. The conſul, who had the moſt numerous offspring, was the (r) firſt who received the faſces; he had his choice of the (s) provinces: the ſenator, who had moſt children, had his name written firſt in the catalogue of ſenators, and was the firſt in giving his opinion (t) in the ſenate. They might even ſtand ſooner than ordinary for an office, becauſe every child gave a diſpenſation of a year (u). If an inhabitant of Rome had three children, he was exempted from all troubleſome offices (x). The free-born women who had three children, and the freed-women who had four, paſſed (y) out of that perpetual tutelage, in which they had been (z) held by the ancient laws of Rome.

(r) Aulus Gellius, lib. 2. cap. 15.

(s) Tacitus, Annal. lib. 15.

(t) See Law 6. § 5. *de Decurion.*

(u) See Law 2. ff. *de minorib.*

(x) Law 1ſt and 2d, ff. *de vacatione & excuſat. munerum.*

(y) Frag. of Ulpian, tit. 29. § 3.

(z) Plutarch, life of Numa.

As they had rewards, they had alſo penalties *. Thoſe who were not married, could receive no advantage from the will of any perſon that was not a relation †; and thoſe who, being married, had no children, could receive only half ‡. The Romans, ſays Plutarch ||, marry only to *be* heirs, and not to *have* them.

The advantages which a man and his wife might receive from each other by will §, were limited by law. If they had children of each other, they might receive the whole; if not, they could receive only a tenth part of the ſucceſſion on the ac-

* See the fragments of Ulpian, tit. 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18. which compoſe one of the moſt valuable pieces of the ancient civil law of the Romans.

† Sozom, lib. 1. cap. 9. they could receive from their relations. *Frag. of Ulpian*, tit. 16. § 1.

‡ Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 9. & leg. unic. cod. Theod. *de Inſirm. pœnis cœlib. & orbit.*

|| *Moral works*, of the love of fathers towards their children.

§ See a more particular account of this in the fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 15, and 16.

count

count of marriage; and if they had any children by a former venter, as many tenths as they had children.

If a husband absented himself (a) from his wife on any other cause than the affairs of the republic, he could not inherit from her.

(a) Frag. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 1.

The law gave to a surviving husband or wife two years * to marry again, and a year and a half in case of a divorce. The fathers who would not suffer their children to marry, or refused to give their daughters a portion, were obliged to do it by the magistrates †.

(b) See Dio, lib. 54. anno 736. Suetonius in Octavio, cap. 34.

They were not allowed to betroth, when the marriage was to be deferred for more than two years (b); and as they could not marry a girl till she was twelve years old, they could not be betrothed to her, till she was ten. The law would not suffer them to trifle (c) to no purpose; and under a pretence of being betrothed, to enjoy the privileges of married men.

(c) Dio, lib. 54. and in the same Dio, the speech of Augustus. lib. 56.

It was contrary to law, for a man of sixty to (d) marry a woman of fifty. As they had given great privileges to married men, the law would not suffer them to enter into useless marriages. For the same reason, the Calvisian *Senatus Consultum* declared the marriage of a woman above fifty, with a man less than sixty, to be (e) unequal: so that

(d) Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 16. and the 27th law, cod. *de nuptiis.*

(e) Frag. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 3.

* *Fragm. of Ulpian*, tit. 14. It seems the first Julian laws allowed three years. *Speech of Augustus in Dio*, lib. 56. *Suetonius, life of Augustus*, cap. 34. Other Julian laws granted but one year: the Papian law gave two. *Fragm. of Ulpian*, tit. 14. These laws were not agreeable to the people; Augustus therefore softened or strengthened them, as they were more or less disposed to comply with them.

† This was the 35th head of the Papian law. Leg. 19. ff. *de ritu nuptiarum.*

a woman

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

a woman of fifty years of age could not marry, without incurring the penalties of theſe laws. Tiberius added (f) to the rigour of the Papian law, and prohibited men of ſixty, from marrying women under fifty; ſo that a man of ſixty could not marry in any caſe whatſoever, without incurring the penalty. But Claudius abrogated (g) this law made under Tiberius.

All theſe regulations were more conformable to the climate of Italy, than to that of the North, where a man of ſixty years of age has ſtill a conſiderable degree of ſtrength; and where women of fifty, are not always paſt child-bearing.

That they might not be unneceſſarily limited in the choice they were to make, Auguſtus permitted all the free-born citizens, who were not ſenators (h), to marry freed-women (i). The Papian (k) law forbad the ſenators marrying freed-women, or thoſe who had been brought up to the ſtage; and from the time of (l) Ulpian, free-born perſons were forbid to marry women who had led a diſorderly life, who had played in the theatre, or who had been condemned by a public ſentence. This muſt have been eſtabliſhed by a decree of the ſenate. During the time of the republic they had never made laws like theſe, becauſe the cenſors corrected this kind of diſorders as ſoon as they aroſe, or elſe prevented their riſing.

Conſtantine (m) made a law, in which he comprehended, in the prohibition of the Papian law, not only the ſenators, but even ſuch as had a conſiderable rank in the ſtate, without mentioning perſons in an inferior ſtation: this conſtituted the law of thoſe times. Theſe marriages were therefore

(f) See Suetonius in Claudio, cap. 23.

(g) See Suetonius, life of Claudius, cap. 23. and the Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 3.

(h) Dio, lib. 54. Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 13.

(i) Auguſtus's ſpeech in Dio, lib. 56.

(k) Fragm. of Ulpian, cap. 13. and the 44th law, ff. *de ritu nuptiarum.*

(l) Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 13. and 16.

(m) See Law 1. in cod. *de natur. lib.*

therefore no longer forbidden, but to the free-born comprehended in the law of Conſtantine. Juſtinian (n) however abrogated the law of Conſtantine, and permitted all ſorts of perſons to contract theſe marriages: and thus we have acquired ſo fatal a liberty.

(n) Novell. 177.

It is evident, that the penalties inflicted on ſuch as married contrary to the prohibition of the law, were the ſame as thoſe inflicted on perſons who did not marry. Theſe marriages did not give them any civil advantage (o); for the dowry (p) was confiſcated (q) after the death of the wife.

(o) Law 37. ff. *de operib. libertorum*, § 7. Frag. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 2.

(p) Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 2.

(q) See book 26. chap. 13.

Auguſtus having adjudged the ſucceſſion and legacies of thoſe, whom theſe laws had declared incapable, to the public treaſury *, they had the appearance rather of fiſcal, than of political and civil laws. The diſguſt they had already conceived at a burthen which appeared too heavy, was increaſed by their ſeeing themſelves a continual prey to the avidity of the treaſury. On this account, it became neceſſary, under Tiberius, that † theſe laws ſhould be ſoftened, that Nero ſhould leſſen the rewards given out of the treaſury to the ‡ informers, that Trajan (r) ſhould put a ſtop to their plundering, that Severus ‖ ſhould alſo moderate theſe laws, and that the civilians ſhould con-

(r) See Pliny's panegyric.

* Except in certain caſes. See the *Fragment of Ulpian*, tit. 18. and the only law in *Cod. de Caduc. tollend.*

† *Relatum de moderanda Papiâ Poppæâ.* Tacit. Annal. lib. 3. pag. 117.

‡ He reduced them to the fourth part. *Suetonius in Nerone*, cap. 10.

‖ Severus extended even to twenty-five years for the males, and to twenty for the females, the time fixed by the Papian law, as we ſee by comparing the *Fragment of Ulpian*, tit. 16. with what Tertullian ſays, *Apol.* cap. 4.

ſider

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

ſider them as odious, and in all their deciſions deviate from the literal rigour.

Beſides, the emperors enervated * theſe laws, by the privileges they granted, of the rights of huſbands, of children, and of three children. More than this, they gave (f) particular perſons a diſpenſation from the penalties of theſe laws. But the regulations eſtabliſhed for the public utility, ſeemed incapable of admitting an alleviation.

(f) See the 31ſt law, ff. *de ritu nuptiarum.*

It was highly reaſonable, that they ſhould grant the rights of children to the veſtals †, whom religion retained in a neceſſary virginity: they gave, in the ſame manner, the privilege of ‡ married men to ſoldiers, becauſe they could not marry. It was cuſtomary to exempt the emperors from the conſtraint of certain civil laws. Thus Auguſtus was freed from the conſtraint of the law, which limited the power of (t) enfranchiſing, and of that which ſet bounds to the right of (u) bequeathing by teſtament. Theſe were only particular caſes: but, at laſt, diſpenſations were given without diſcretion, and the rule itſelf became no more than an exception.

(t) Leg. apud eum, ff. *de manumiſſionib.* § 1.

(u) Dio, lib. 55.

The ſects of philoſophers had already introduced in the empire a diſpoſition that eſtranged them from buſineſs; a diſpoſition which could not

* P. Scipio, the cenſor, complains, in his ſpeech to the people, of the abuſes which were already introduced; that they received the ſame privileges for adopted, as for natural children. *Aulus Gellius*, lib. 5. cap. 19.

† Auguſtus, in the Papian law, gave them the privilege of mothers. See *Dio*, lib. 66. Numa had granted them the ancient privilege of women who had three children, that is, of having no guardian. *Plutarch, life of Numa.*

‡ This was granted them by Claudius. *Dio*, lib. 60.

gain

gain ground in the time of the * republic, when every body was employed in the arts of war and peace. From hence aroſe an idea of perfection, as connected with a life of ſpeculation; from hence an eſtrangement from the cares and embarraſſments of a family. The Chriſtian religion coming after this philoſophy, fixed, if I may make uſe of the expreſſion, the ideas which that had only prepared. BOOK XXIII. Chap. 21.

Chriſtianity ſtamped its character on juriſprudence; for empire has ever a connexion with the prieſthood. This is viſible from the Theodoſian code, which is only a collection of the decrees of the Chriſtian emperors.

A panegyriſt (x) of Conſtantine ſays to that emperor, "*Your laws were made only to correct vice, and to regulate manners: you have ſtripped the ancient laws of that artifice, which ſeemed to have no other aim than to lay ſnares for ſimplicity.*"

(x) Nazarius *in panegyrico Conſtantini*, anno 321.

It is certain, that the alterations made by Conſtantine took their riſe, either from ſentiments relating to the eſtabliſhment of Chriſtianity, or from ideas conceived of its perfection. From the firſt, proceeded thoſe laws which gave ſuch authority to biſhops, and which have been the foundation of the eccleſiaſtical juriſdiction: from hence thoſe laws which weakened paternal authority (y), by depriving the father of his property in the poſſeſſions of his children. To extend a new religion, they were obliged to take away the dependance of children, who are always leaſt attached to what is already eſtabliſhed.

(y) See Law 1, 2, 3. in the Theodoſian code, *de bonis matermis, materni-quegeneris*, &c. and the only law in the ſame code, *de bonis quæ filiis famil. acquiruntur.*

* See, in *Cicero's Offices*, his ſentiments on this ſpirit of ſpeculation.

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

The laws made with a view to Chriſtian perfection, were more particularly thoſe by which the (a) penalties of the Papian laws were aboliſhed; the unmarried were equally exempted from them, with thoſe who being married had no children.

(a) Leg. unic. cod. Theod. *de Infirm. pan. cæl. & orbit.*

"*Theſe laws were eſtabliſhed,* ſays an eccleſiaſtic (a) hiſtorian, *as if the multiplication of the human ſpecies was an effect of our care; inſtead of being ſenſible that the number is increaſed or diminiſhed, according to the order of providence.*"

(a) Sozomenus, pag. 27.

Principles of religion have had an extraordinary influence on the propagation of the human ſpecies. Sometimes they have promoted it, as amongſt the Jews, the Mahometans, the Gaurs, and the Chineſe; at others, they have put a damp to it, as was the caſe of the Romans upon their converſion to Chriſtianity.

They every where inceſſantly preached up continency; a virtue the more perfect, becauſe in its own nature it can be practiſed but by very few.

Conſtantine had not taken away the decimal laws which granted a greater extent to the donations between man and wife, in proportion to the number of their children: Theodoſius the younger (b) abrogated even theſe laws.

(b) Leg. 2. & 3. cod. *Theod. de jur. liber.*

Juſtinian declared all thoſe marriages (c) valid, which had been prohibited by the Papian laws. Theſe laws require people to marry again: Juſtinian granted (d) privileges to thoſe who did not marry again.

(c) Leg. Sancimus, cod. *de nuptiis.*

(d) Novell. 127. cap. 3. Novell. 118. c. 5.

By the ancient inſtitutions, the natural right which every one had to marry, and beget children, could not be taken away. Thus when they received

ed a (e) legacy, on condition of not marrying, or when a patron made his (f) freed-man ſwear, that he would neither marry nor beget children, the Papian law annulled both the (g) condition and the oath. The clauſes, *on continuing in widowhood*, eſtabliſhed amongſt us, contradict the ancient law, and deſcend from the conſtitutions of the emperors, founded on ideas of perfection.

There is no law that contains an expreſs abrogation of the privileges and honours, which the Romans had granted to marriages, and to a number of children. But where celibacy had the pre-eminence, marriage could not be held in honour; and ſince they could oblige the officers of the public revenue to renounce ſo many advantages by the abolition of the penalties, it is eaſy to perceive, that with yet greater eaſe they might put a ſtop to the rewards.

The ſame ſpiritual reaſon which had permitted celibacy, ſoon impoſed it even as neceſſary. God forbid, that I ſhould here ſpeak againſt celibacy, as adopted by religion: but who can be ſilent, when it is built on libertiniſm; when the two ſexes corrupting each other, even by the natural ſenſations themſelves, fly from a union which ought to make them better, to live in that which always renders them worſe?

It is a rule drawn from nature, that the more the number of marriages is diminiſhed, the more corrupt are thoſe who have entered into that ſtate: the fewer married men, the leſs fidelity is there in marriage; as when there are more thieves, more thefts are committed.

Book XXIII. Chap. 21.

(e) Leg. 54. ff. *de condit. & demonſt.*

(f) Leg. 5. § 4. *de jure patronatus.*

(g) Paul, in *his ſententces*, lib. 3. tit. 4. § 15.

CHAP. XXII.

Of the Expoſing of Children.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 22.

THE Roman policy was very good, in reſpect to the expoſing of children. Romulus, ſays Dionyſius Halicarnaſſeus (h), laid the citizens under an obligation to educate all their male children, and the eldeſt of their daughters. If the infants were deformed and monſtrous, he permitted the expoſing them, after having ſhewn them to five of their neareſt neighbours.

(h) Antiquities of Rome, lib. 2.

Romulus did not ſuffer (i) them to kill any infants under three years old: by which means he reconciled the law that gave to fathers the right over their children of life and death, with that which prohibited their being expoſed.

(i) Antiquities of Rome, lib. 2.

We find alſo in Dionyſius Halicarnaſſeus (k), that the law which obliged the citizens to marry, and to educate all their children, was in force in the 277th year of Rome: we ſee that cuſtom had reſtrained the law of Romulus, which permitted them to expoſe their younger daughters.

(k) Lib. 9.

We have no knowledge of what the law of the twelve tables (made in the year of Rome 301), appointed with reſpect to the expoſing of children, except from a paſſage of Cicero (l), who, ſpeaking of the office of tribune of the people, ſays, that ſoon after its birth, like the monſtrous infant of the law of the twelve tables, it was ſtifled: the infant that was not monſtrous, was therefore preſerved, and the law of the twelve tables made no alteration in the preceding inſtitutions.

(l) Lib. 3. *de legib.*

"*The Germans*, ſays Tacitus (m), *never expoſe* "*their children; amongſt them, the beſt manners have* "*more force, than in other places the beſt laws.*" The Romans had therefore laws againſt this cuſtom, and yet they did not follow them. We find not any * Roman law, that permitted the expoſing of children: this was, without doubt, an abuſe introduced towards the decline of the republic, when luxury robbed them of their freedom, when wealth divided was called poverty, when the father believed that all was loſt which he gave to his family, and when this family was diſtinct from his property.

BOOK XXIII. Chap. 23.

(m) *De morib. German.*

CHAP. XXIII.

Of the State of the World after the Deſtruction of the Romans.

THE regulations made by the Romans to increaſe the number of their citizens, had their effect, while the republic, in the full vigour of her conſtitution, had nothing to repair but the loſſes ſhe ſuſtained by her courage, by her intrepidity, by her firmneſs, her love of glory, and of virtue. But ſoon the wiſeſt laws could not re-eſtabliſh what a dying republic, what a general anarchy, what a military government, what a rigid empire, what a proud deſpotic power, what a feeble monarchy, what a ſtupid, weak, and ſuperſtitious court had ſucceſſively pulled down. It might indeed be ſaid, that they conquered the world only to weaken it, and to deliver it up defenceleſs to

* There is not any title on this ſubject in the Digeſt; the title of the Code ſays nothing of it, no more than the Novels.

Book XXIII. Chap. 24.

barbarians. The Gothic nations, the Getes, the Saracens, and Tartars, by turns haraſſed them: and ſoon the barbarians had none to deſtroy but barbarians. Thus, in fabulous times, after the inundations and the deluge, there aroſe out of the earth armed men, who exterminated one another.

CHAP. XXIV.

The Changes which happened in Europe, *with regard to the Number of the Inhabitants.*

IN the ſtate Europe was in, one would not imagine it poſſible for it to be retrieved; eſpecially when under Charlemain it formed only one vaſt empire. But by the nature of government at that time, it became divided into an infinite number of petty ſovereignties; and as the lord or ſovereign, who reſided in his village, or city, was neither great, rich, powerful, nor even ſafe, but by the number of his ſubjects; every one employed himſelf with a ſingular attention to make his little country flouriſh. This ſucceeded in ſuch a manner, that notwithſtanding the irregularities of government, the want of that knowledge, which has ſince been acquired in commerce, and the numerous wars, and diſorders inceſſantly ariſing, moſt countries of Europe were better peopled in thoſe days, than they are even at preſent.

I have not time to treat fully of this ſubject. But I ſhall cite the prodigious armies engaged in the cruſades, compoſed of men of all countries. Puffendorf (n) ſays, that in the reign of Charles the IX. there were in France twenty millions of men.

(n) Introduction to the hiſtory of Europe, chap. 5. of France.

It

It is the perpetual reunion of many little ſtates that has produced this diminution. Formerly, every village of France was a capital; there is at preſent only one large one: every part of the ſtate was a center of power; at preſent, all has a relation to one center; and this center is, in ſome meaſure, the ſtate itſelf.

CHAP. XXV.

The ſame Subject continued.

EUROPE, it is true, has for theſe two ages paſt greatly increaſed its navigation: this has both procured and deprived it of inhabitants. Holland ſends every year a great number of mariners to the Indies; of whom not above two thirds return: the reſt either periſh or ſettle in the Indies. The ſame thing muſt happen to every other nation concerned in that trade.

We muſt not judge of Europe as of a particular ſtate engaged alone in an extenſive navigation. This ſtate would increaſe in people, becauſe all the neighbouring nations would endeavour to have a ſhare in this commerce; and mariners would arrive from all parts. Europe, ſeparated from the reſt of the world by religion *, by vaſt ſeas, and deſarts, cannot be repaired in this manner.

* Mahometan countries ſurround it almoſt on every ſide.

CHAP. XXVI.

Conſequences.

Book XXIII. Chap. 26. 27. and 28.

FROM all this we may conclude, that Europe is at preſent in a condition to require laws to be made in favour of the propagation of the human ſpecies. The politics of the ancient Greeks inceſſantly complain of the inconveniencies attending a republic, from the exceſſive number of citizens; but the politics of this age call upon us to take proper means to increaſe ours.

CHAP. XXVII.

Of the Law made in France *to encourage the Propagation of the Species.*

(*) The edict of 1666, in favour of marriage.

LEWIS XIV. appointed (*) particular penſions to thoſe who had ten children, and much larger to ſuch as had twelve. But it is not ſufficient to reward prodigies. In order to communicate a general ſpirit, which leads to the propagation of the ſpecies, it is neceſſary for us to eſtabliſh, like the Romans, general rewards, or general penalties.

CHAP. XXVIII.

By what Means we may remedy a Depopulation.

WHEN a ſtate is depopulated by particular accidents, by wars, peſtilence, or famine, there are ſtill reſources left. The men who remain may preſerve the ſpirit of induſtry; they may ſeek to repair their misfortunes, and cala-

mity itſelf may make them become more induſtrious. The evil is almoſt incurable, when the depopulation is prepared before-hand by interior vice and a bad government. When this is the caſe, men periſh with an inſenſible and habitual diſeaſe: born in miſery and weakneſs, in violence, or under the influence of a wicked adminiſtration, they ſee themſelves deſtroyed, and frequently without perceiving the cauſe of their deſtruction. Of this we have a melancholy proof, in the countries deſolated by deſpotic power, or by the exceſſive advantages of the clergy over the laity. BOOK XXIII. Chap. 28.

In vain ſhall we wait for the ſuccour of children yet unborn, to re-eſtabliſh a ſtate thus depopulated. There is not time for this; men in their ſolitude are without courage or induſtry. With land ſufficient to nouriſh a nation, they have ſcarcely enough to nouriſh a family. The common people have not even a property in the miſeries of the country, that is, in the fallows with which it abounds. The clergy, the prince, the cities, the great men, and ſome of the principal citizens, inſenſibly become proprietors of all the land which lies uncultivated: the families who are ruined have left their fields; and the labouring man is deſtitute.

In this ſituation they ſhould take the ſame meaſures throughout the whole extent of the empire, which the Romans took in a part of theirs: they ſhould practiſe in their diſtreſs, what theſe obſerved in the midſt of plenty; that is, they ſhould diſtribute land to all the families who are in want, and procure them materials for clearing and cultivating it. This diſtribution ought to be con-

tinued ſo long as there is a man to receive it; and in ſuch a manner, as not to loſe a moment, that can be induſtriouſly employed.

CHAP. XXIX.

Of Hoſpitals.

A Man is not poor, becauſe he has nothing, but becauſe he does not work. The man who without any degree of wealth has an employment, is as much at his eaſe as he who without labour has an income of a hundred crowns a year. He who has no ſubſtance, and yet has a trade, is not poorer than he who, poſſeſſing ten acres of land, is obliged to cultivate it for his ſubſiſtence. The mechanic, who gives his art as an inheritance to his children, has left them a fortune, which is multiplied in proportion to their number. It is not ſo with him, who having ten acres of land, divides it amongſt his children.

In trading countries, where many men have no other ſubſiſtence but from the arts, the ſtate is frequently obliged to ſupply the neceſſities of the aged, the ſick, and the orphan. A well-regulated government draws this ſupport from the arts themſelves. It gives to ſome ſuch employment as they are capable of performing; others are taught to work, and this teaching of itſelf becomes an employment.

The alms given to a naked man in the ſtreet do not fulfil the obligations of the ſtate, which owes to every citizen a certain ſubſiſtence, a proper nouriſhment, convenient cloathing, and a kind of life not incompatible with health.

Aurengzebe

Aurengzebe (p) being aſked, why he did not build hoſpitals, ſaid, "*I will make my empire ſo "rich, that there ſhall be no need of hoſpitals.*" He ought to have ſaid, I will begin, by rendering my empire rich, and then I will build hoſpitals.

(p) See Sir John Chardin's Travels thro' Perſia, vol. 8.

The riches of a ſtate ſuppoſe great induſtry. Amidſt the numerous branches of trade, it is impoſſible but ſome muſt ſuffer; and conſequently the mechanics muſt be in a momentary neceſſity.

Whenever this happens, the ſtate is obliged to lend them a ready aſſiſtance; whether it be to prevent the ſufferings of the people, or to avoid a rebellion. In this caſe hoſpitals, or ſome equivalent regulations, are neceſſary to prevent this miſery.

But when the nation is poor, private poverty ſprings from the general calamity; and is, if I may ſo expreſs myſelf, the general calamity itſelf. All the hoſpitals in the world cannot cure this private poverty: on the contrary, the ſpirit of indolence, which it conſtantly inſpires, increaſes the general, and conſequently the private miſery.

Henry VIII. (q) reſolving to reform the church of England, ruined the monks, of themſelves a lazy ſet of people, that encouraged lazineſs in others: becauſe, as they practiſed hoſpitality, an infinite number of idle perſons, gentlemen and citizens, ſpent their lives in running from convent to convent. He demoliſhed even the hoſpitals, in which the lower people found ſubſiſtence, as the gentlemen did theirs in the monaſteries. Since theſe changes, the ſpirit of trade and induſtry has been eſtabliſhed in England.

(q) See Burnet's *Hiſt. of the Reformation.*

At

Book XXIII. Chap. 29.

At Rome, the hoſpitals place every one at his eaſe, except thoſe who labour, except thoſe who are induſtrious, except thoſe who have land, except thoſe who are engaged in trade.

I have obſerved, that wealthy nations have need of hoſpitals, becauſe fortune ſubjects them to a thouſand accidents: but it is plain, that tranſient aſſiſtances are much better than perpetual foundations. The evil is momentary; it is neceſſary, therefore, that the ſuccour ſhould be of the ſame nature, and that it be applied to particular accidents.

BOOK XXIV.

Of Laws as relative to Religion, conſidered in itſelf, and in its Doctrines.

CHAP. I.

Of Religion in general.

Book XXIV. Chap. 1.

AS amidſt ſeveral degrees of darkneſs we may form a judgment of thoſe which are the leaſt thick, and among precipices, which are the leaſt deep; ſo we may ſearch among falſe religions for thoſe that are moſt conformable to the welfare of ſociety; for thoſe which, though they have not the effect of leading men to the felicity of another life, may contribute moſt to their happineſs in this.

I ſhall examine therefore the ſeveral religions of the world, in relation only to the good they produce in civil ſociety; whether I ſpeak of that which has its root in heaven, or of thoſe which ſpring from the earth.

As in this work, I am not a divine, but a political writer, I may here advance things which are no otherwiſe true, than as they correſpond with a worldly manner of thinking, not as conſidered in their relation to truths of a more ſublime nature.

With regard to the true religion, a perſon of the leaſt degree of impartiality muſt ſee, that I have never pretended to make its intereſts ſubmit to

Book XXIV. Chap. 2.

to thoſe of a political nature, but rather to unite them: now, in order to unite, it is neceſſary that we ſhould know them.

The Chriſtian religion, which ordains that men ſhould love each other, would, without doubt, have every nation bleſt with the beſt civil, the beſt political laws; becauſe theſe, next to this religion, are the greateſt good that men can give and receive.

CHAP. II.

A Paradox of Mr. Bayle's.

(*) Thoughts on the comet.

MR. (*) Bayle has pretended to prove, that it is better to be an atheiſt than an idolater; that is, in other words, that it is leſs dangerous to have no religion at all, than a bad one. "*I* "*had rather*, ſaid he, *it ſhould be ſaid of me*, "*that I had no exiſtence, than that I am a* "*villain.*" This is only a ſophiſm, founded on this, that it is of no importance to the human race to believe that a certain man exiſts; whereas it is extremely uſeful for them to believe the exiſtence of a God. From the idea of his non-exiſtence, immediately follows that of our independence; or, if we cannot conceive this idea, that of diſobedience. To ſay that religion is not a reſtraining motive, becauſe it does not always reſtrain, is equally abſurd, as to ſay, that the civil laws are not a reſtraining motive. It is a falſe way of reaſoning againſt religion, to collect, in a large work, a long detail of the evils it has produced, if we do not give, at the ſame time, an enumeration of the advantges which have flowed from

from it. Were I to relate all the evils that have ariſen in the world from civil laws, from monarchy, and from republican government, I might tell of frightful things. Were it of no advantage for ſubjects to have religion, it would ſtill be of ſome, if princes had it, and if they whitened with foam the only rein which can reſtrain thoſe who fear not human laws.

A prince who loves and fears religion is a lion, who ſtoops to the hand that ſtrokes, or to the voice that appeaſes him. He who fears and hates religion, is like the ſavage beaſt, that growls and bites the chain, which prevents his flying on the paſſenger. He who has no religion at all, is that terrible animal, who perceives his liberty only when he tears in pieces, and when he devours.

The queſtion is not to know, whether it would be better that a certain man, or a certain people, had no religion, than to abuſe what they have; but to know which is the leaſt evil, that religion be ſometimes abuſed, or that there be no ſuch reſtraint as religion on mankind.

To diminiſh the horror of atheiſm, they lay too much to the charge of idolatry. It is far from being true, that when the ancients raiſed altars to a particular vice, they intended to ſhew, that they loved the vice; this ſignified, on the contrary, that they hated it. When the Lacedæmonians erected a temple to Fear, it was not to ſhew that this warlike nation deſired, that he would in the midſt of battle poſſeſs the hearts of the Lacedæmonians. They had deities to whom they prayed not to inſpire them with guilt; and others whom they beſought to ſhield them from it.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

That a moderate Government is moſt agreeable to the Chriſtian Religion, and a deſpotic Government to the Mahometan.

Book XXIV. Chap. 3.

THE Chriſtian religion is a ſtranger to mere deſpotic power. The mildneſs ſo frequently recommended in the goſpel, is incompatible with the deſpotic rage with which a prince puniſhes his ſubjects, and exerciſes himſelf in cruelty.

As this religion forbids the plurality of wives, its princes are leſs confined, leſs concealed from their ſubjects, and conſequently have more humanity: they are more diſpoſed to be directed by laws, and more capable of perceiving, that they cannot do whatever they pleaſe.

While the Mahometan princes inceſſantly give or receive death, the religion of the Chriſtians renders their princes leſs timid, and conſequently leſs cruel. The prince confides in his ſubjects, and the ſubjects in the prince. How admirable the religion, which, while it ſeems only to have in view the felicity of the other life, conſtitutes the happineſs of this!

It is the Chriſtian religion, that, in ſpite of the extent of the empire and the influence of the climate, has hindered deſpotic power from being eſtabliſhed in Æthiopia, and has carried into the heart of Africa, the manners and laws of Europe.

The heir to the empire of Æthiopia enjoys a principality, and gives to other ſubjects an example of love and obedience. Not far from thence may

may be ſeen the Mahometan ſhutting up the children of the king (b) of Sennar, at whoſe death, the council ſends to murder them, in favour of the prince who mounts the throne.

Let us ſet before our eyes, on the one hand, the continual maſſacres of the kings and generals of the Greeks and Romans; and, on the other, the deſtruction of people and cities by thoſe famous conquerors, *Timur Beg* and *Jenghiz Khan*, who ravaged Aſia; and we ſhall ſee, that we owe to Chriſtianity, in government, a certain political law; and in war, a certain law of nations; benefits which human nature can never ſufficiently acknowledge.

(b) Deſcription of Æthiopia, by M. Ponce, a phyſician. *Collection of edifying Letters.*

It is owing to this law of nations, that amongſt us, victory leaves theſe great advantages to the conquered, life, liberty, laws, wealth, and always religion, when the conqueror is not blind to his own intereſt.

We may truly ſay, that the people of Europe are not at preſent more diſunited than the people and the armies, or even the armies amongſt themſelves, were under the Roman empire, when it was become a deſpotic and military government. On the one hand, the armies engaged in war againſt each other; and, on the other, they pillaged the cities, and divided or confiſcated the lands.

CHAP. IV.

Conſequences from the Character of the Chriſtian Religion, and that of the Mahometan.

FROM the characters of the Chriſtian and Mahometan religions, we ought, without any further

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 4.

further examination, to embrace the one, and reject the other: for it is much eaſier to prove, that religion ought to humanize the manners of men, than that any particular religion is true.

It is a misfortune to human nature, when religion is given by a conqueror. The Mahometan religion, which ſpeaks only by the ſword, acts ſtill upon men with that deſtructive ſpirit with which it was founded.

(c) See Diodorus, lib. 2.

The hiſtory of Sabbaco (c), one of the paſtoral kings of Egypt, is very extraordinary. The tutelar god of Thebes appearing to him in a dream, ordered him to put to death all the prieſts of Egypt. He judged, that the gods were diſpleaſed at his being on the throne, ſince they commanded him to commit an action contrary to their ordinary pleaſure; and therefore he retired into Æthiopia.

CHAP. V.

That the Catholic Religion is moſt agreeable to a Monarchy, and the Proteſtant to a Republic.

WHEN a religion is introduced and fixed in a ſtate, it is commonly ſuch as is moſt ſuitable to the plan of government there eſtabliſhed: for thoſe who receive it, and thoſe who are the cauſe of its being received, have ſcarcely any other idea of policy, than that of the ſtate in which they were born.

When the Chriſtian religion, two centuries ago, became unhappily divided into Catholic and Proteſtant, the people of the North embraced the Proteſtant,

Proteſtant, and thoſe of the ſouth adhered ſtill to the Catholic. Book XXIV. Chap. 5.

The reaſon is plain: the people of the north have, and will for ever have, a ſpirit of liberty and independence, which the people of the ſouth have not; and therefore a religion, which has no viſible head, is more agreeable to the independency of the climate, than that which has one.

In the countries themſelves, where the Proteſtant religion became eſtabliſhed, the revolutions were made purſuant to the ſeveral plans of political government. Luther having great princes on his ſide, would never have been able to make them reliſh an eccleſiaſtic authority that had no exterior pre-eminence; while Calvin, having to do with people who lived under republican governments, or with obſcure citizens in monarchies, might very well avoid eſtabliſhing dignities and preferments.

Each of theſe two religions was believed to be the moſt perfect; the Calviniſt judging his moſt conformable to what Chriſt had ſaid, and the Lutheran to what the Apoſtles had practiſed.

CHAP. VI.

Another of Mr. Bayle's *Paradoxes.*

MR. Bayle, after having abuſed all religions, endeavours to ſully Chriſtianity: he boldly aſſerts, that true Chriſtians cannot form a government of any duration. Why not? citizens of this profeſſion being infinitely enlightened with reſpect to the various duties of life, and having the warmeſt zeal to fulfil them, muſt be perfectly

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 6.

ſenſible of the rights of natural defence. The more they believe themſelves indebted to religion, the more they would think due to their country. The principles of Chriſtianity, deeply engraved on the heart, would be infinitely more powerful than the falſe honour of monarchies, than the humane virtues of republics, or the ſervile fear of deſpotic ſtates.

It is aſtoniſhing, that this great man ſhould not be able to diſtinguiſh between the orders for the eſtabliſhment of Chriſtianity, and Chriſtianity itſelf; and that he ſhould be liable to be charged with not knowing the ſpirit of his own religion. When the legiſlator, inſtead of laws, has given counſels, this is, becauſe he knew, that if theſe counſels were ordained as laws, they would be contrary to the ſpirit of the laws themſelves.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Laws of Perfection in Religion.

HUMAN laws, made to direct the will, ought to give precepts, and not counſels; religion, made to influence the heart, ſhould give many counſels, and few precepts.

When, for inſtance, it gives rules, not for what is good, but for what is better; not to direct to what is right, but to what is perfect; it is expedient, that theſe ſhould be counſels, and not laws: for perfection can have no relation to the unverſality of men, or things. Beſides, if theſe were laws, there would be a neceſſity for an infinite number of others, to make people obſerve the firſt. Celibacy was adviſed by Chriſtianity: when

they made it a law in reſpect to a certain order of men, it became neceſſary to make new (d) ones every day, in order to oblige thoſe men to obſerve it. The legiſlator wearied himſelf, and he wearied ſociety, to make men execute by precept, what thoſe, who love perfection, would have executed as counſel. Book XXIV. Chap. 8. and 9.

(d) *Dupin's* Eccleſiaſtical Library of the 6th century, vol. 5.

CHAP. VIII.

Of the Connection between the moral Laws, and thoſe of Religion.

IN a country ſo unfortunate as to have a religion that God has not revealed, it is neceſſary for it to be agreeable to morality; becauſe, even a falſe religion is the beſt ſecurity we can have of the probity of men.

The principal points of religion of the inhabitants of Pegu (e) are, not to commit murder, not to ſteal, to avoid uncleanneſs, not to give the leaſt uneaſineſs to their neighbour, but to do him, on the contrary, all the good in their power. With theſe rules they think they ſhould be ſaved in any religion whatſoever. From hence it proceeds, that thoſe people, though poor and proud, behave with gentleneſs and compaſſion to the unhappy.

(e) Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of the Eaſt-India company, vol. 3. part 1. pag. 36.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Eſſenes.

THE Eſſenes (f) made a vow to obſerve juſtice to mankind, to do no ill to any perſon, upon whatſoever account, to keep faith with all the

(f) Hiſt. of the Jews, by *Prideaux*.

Book XXIV. Chap. 10.

world, to hate injuſtice, to command with modeſty, always to ſide with truth, and to fly from all unlawful gain.

CHAP. X.

Of the Sect of Stoics.

THE ſeveral ſects of philoſophy amongſtth e ancients, were a ſpecies of religion. Never were any principles more worthy of human nature, and more proper to form the good man, than thoſe of the Stoics: and if I could for a moment ceaſe to think that I am a Chriſtian, I ſhould not be able to hinder myſelf from ranking the deſtruction of the ſect of Zeno among the misfortunes that have befallen the human race.

It carried to exceſs only thoſe things in which there is true greatneſs, the contempt of pleaſure and of pain.

It was this ſect alone that made citizens; this alone that made great men; this alone great emperors.

Laying aſide for a moment revealed truths, let us ſearch through all nature, and we ſhall not find a nobler object than the Antoninus's: even Julian himſelf, Julian, (a commendation thus wreſted from me, will not render me an accomplice of his apoſtacy) no, there has not been a prince ſince his reign more worthy to govern mankind.

While the Stoics looked upon riches, human grandeur, grief, diſquietudes, and pleaſure, as vanity; they were entirely employed in labouring for the happineſs of mankind, and in exerciſing

ciſing the duties of ſociety. It ſeems as if they regarded that ſacred ſpirit, which they believed to dwell within them, as a kind of favourable providence watchful over the human race. Book XXIV. Chap. 11

Born for ſociety, they all believed that it was their deſtiny to labour for it; with ſo much the leſs fatigue, as their rewards were all within themſelves. Happy by their philoſophy alone, it ſeemed as if only the happineſs of others could increaſe theirs.

CHAP. XI.

Of Contemplation.

MEN being made to preſerve, to nouriſh, to clothe themſelves, and do all the actions of ſociety, religion ought not to give them too contemplative a life (g).

(g) This is the inconvenience of the doctrine of *Fee* and *Laockiūm*.

The Mahometans become ſpeculative by habit; they pray five times a day, and each time they are obliged to caſt behind them every thing which has any concern with this world: this forms them for ſpeculation. Add to this that indifference for all things which is inſpired by the doctrine of unalterable fate.

If other cauſes beſides theſe concur to diſengage their affections; for inſtance, if the ſeverity of the government, if the laws concerning the property of land, give them a precarious ſpirit; all is loſt.

The religion of the Gaurs formerly rendered Perſia a flouriſhing kingdom; it corrected the bad effects of deſpotic power. The ſame empire is now deſtroyed by the Mahometan religion.

CHAP. XII.

Of Penances.

Book XXIV. Chap. 12. and 13.

PENANCES ought to be joined with the idea of labour, not with that of idleneſs; with the idea of good, not with that of ſupereminent; with the idea of frugality, not with that of avarice.

CHAP. XIII.

Of inexpiable Crimes.

(h) Lib. 2. of laws.

IT appears from a paſſage of the books of the pontifs, quoted by Cicero (h), that they had amongſt the * Romans inexpiable crimes; and it is on this that Zozymus founds the narration ſo proper to blacken the motives of Conſtantine's converſion; and Julian that bitter raillery on this converſion in his Cæſars.

The Pagan religion indeed, which prohibited only ſome of the groſſer crimes, and which ſtopped the hand, but meddled not with the heart, might have crimes that were inexpiable: but a religion which bridles all the paſſions; which is not more jealous of actions, than of thoughts and deſires; which holds us not by a few chains, but by an infinite number of threads; which, leaving human juſtice aſide, eſtabliſhes another kind of juſtice; which is ſo ordered, as to lead us continually from repentance to love, and from love to repentance; which puts between the judge and the criminal

* *Sacrum commiſſum, quod neque expiari poterit, impiè commiſſum eſt; quod expiari poterit publici ſacerdotes expianto.*

minal a great mediator, between the juſt and the mediator a great judge; a religion like this ought not to have inexpiable crimes. But, while it gives fear and hope to all, it makes us ſufficiently ſenſible, that though there is no crime in its own nature inexpiable, yet a whole criminal life may be ſo; that it is extremly dangerous to affront mercy, by new crimes and new expiations; that an uneaſineſs on account of ancient debts, from which we are never entirely free, ought to make us afraid of contracting new ones, of filling up the meaſure, and going even to that point where paternal goodneſs is limited. Book XXIV. Chap. 14.

CHAP. XIV.

In what manner Religion has an Influence on civil Laws.

AS both religion and the civil laws ought to have a peculiar tendency to render men good citizens, it is evident, that when one of theſe deviates from this end, the tendency of the other ought to be ſtrengthened. The leſs ſeverity there is in religion, the more there ought to be in the civil laws.

Thus the reigning religion of Japan having few doctrines, and propoſing neither future rewards nor puniſhments, the laws to ſupply theſe defects have been made with the ſpirit of ſeverity, and are executed with an extraordinary punctuality.

When the doctrine of neceſſity is eſtabliſhed by religion, the penalties of the laws ought to be more ſevere, and the magiſtrate more vigilant; to the end that men, who would otherwiſe become abandoned,

Book XXIV. Chap. 14.

might be determined by theſe motives: but it is quite otherwiſe, where religion has eſtabliſhed the doctrine of liberty.

From the inactivity of the ſoul ſprings the Mahometan doctrine of predeſtination, and from this doctrine of predeſtination ſprings the inactivity of the ſoul. This, they ſay, is in the decrees of God; they muſt therefore indulge their repoſe. In a caſe like this, the magiſtrate ought to awaken by the laws, thoſe who are lulled aſleep by religion.

When religion condemns things which the civil laws ought to permit, there is danger leſt the civil laws, on the other hand, ſhould permit what religion ought to condemn. Either of theſe is a conſtant proof of a want of true ideas of that harmony and proportion, which ought to ſubſiſt between both.

Thus the Tartars (i) under *Jenghiz-Khan*, amongſt whom it was a ſin and even a capital crime to put a knife in the fire, to lean againſt a whip, to ſtrike a horſe with his bridle, to break one bone with another; did not believe it to be any ſin to break their word, to ſeize upon another man's goods, to do an injury to a perſon, or to commit murder. In a word, laws which render that neceſſary which is only indifferent, have this inconveniency, that they make thoſe things indifferent, which are abſolutely neceſſary.

The people of Formoſa (k) believe, that there is a kind of hell; but it is to puniſh thoſe who at certain ſeaſons have not gone naked; who have dreſſed in calico, and not in ſilk; who have preſumed to look for oyſters; or who have undertaken

(i) See the relation written by *John Duplan Carpin*, ſent to Tartary, by Pope Innocent IV. in the year 1246.

(k) Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of the Eaſt-India company, vol. 5. pag. 192.

dertaken any buſineſs without conſulting the ſong of birds: whilſt drunkenneſs and debauchery are not regarded as crimes. They believe, even that the debauches of their children are agreeable to their gods. Book XXIV. Chap. 14.

When religion abſolves the mind by a thing merely accidental, it loſes its greateſt influence on mankind. The people of India believe, that the waters of the Ganges have a ſanctifying virtue (l). Thoſe who die on its banks are imagined to be exempted from the torments of the other life, and to be entitled to dwell in a region full of delights; and for this reaſon the aſhes of the dead are ſent from the moſt diſtant places to be thrown into this river. Little then does it ſignify whether they have lived virtuouſly or not, ſo they be but thrown into the Ganges.

(l) Edifying Letters, Collect. 15.

The idea of a place of rewards has a neceſſary connection with the idea of the abodes of miſery; and when they hope for the former without fearing the latter, the civil laws have no longer any influence. Men who think themſelves ſure of the rewards of the other life, are above the power of the legiſlator; they look upon death with too much contempt: how ſhall the man be reſtrained by laws, who believes that the greateſt pain the magiſtrate can inflict, will end in a moment to begin his happineſs?

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

How falſe Religions are ſometimes corrected by the civil Laws.

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 15. and 16.

SIMPLICITY, ſuperſtition, or a reſpect for antiquity, have ſometimes eſtabliſhed myſteries or ceremonies ſhocking to modeſty: of this the world has furniſhed numerous examples. Ariſtotle (m) ſays, that in this caſe the law permits the fathers of families to repair to the temple to celebrate theſe myſteries for their wives and children. How admirable the civil law, which in ſpite of religion preſerves the manners untainted!

(m) Polit. lib. 7. cap. 17.

Auguſtus (n) excluded the youth of either ſex from aſſiſting at any nocturnal ceremony, unleſs accompanied by a more aged relation; and when he revived the *Lupercalia*, he would not allow the young men to run naked.

(n) Suetonius in *Auguſto*, cap. 31.

CHAP. XVI.

How the Laws of Religion correct the Inconveniencies of a political Conſtitution.

ON the other hand, religion may ſupport a ſtate, when the laws themſelves are incapable of doing it.

Thus, when a kingdom is frequently agitated by civil wars, religion may do much, by obliging one part of the ſtate to remain always quiet. Among the Greeks, the Eleans, as prieſts of Apollo, lived always in peace. In Japan (o), the city of Meaco enjoys a conſtant peace, as being a holy city: religion ſupports this regulation, and that empire

(o) Collection of Voyages made to eſtabliſh an India company, vol. 4. pag. 127.

empire which ſeems to be alone upon earth, and which neither has, nor will have, any dependence on foreigners, has always, in its own boſom, a trade which war cannot ruin. Book XXIV. Chap. 16.

In kingdoms, where wars are not entered upon by a general conſent, and where the laws have not pointed out any means either of terminating or preventing them, religion eſtabliſhes times of peace, or ceſſation from hoſtilities, that the people may be able to ſow their corn, and perform thoſe other labours, which are abſolutely neceſſary for the ſubſiſtence of the ſtate.

Every year all hoſtility ceaſes between the Arabian tribes for four months; the leaſt diſturbance would then be an impiety. In former times, when every lord in France declared war or peace, religion granted a truce, which was to take place at certain ſeaſons.

(P) See *Prideaux*, life of Mahomet, pag. 64.

CHAP. XVII.

The ſame Subject continued.

WHEN a ſtate has many cauſes for hatred, religion ought to produce many ways of reconciliation. The Arabs, a people addicted to robbery, are frequently guilty of doing injury and injuſtice. Mahomet (q) enacted this law: "*If* "*any one forgives* * *the blood of his brother, he may* "*purſue the malefactor for damages and intereſt:* "*but he who ſhall injure the wicked, after having* "*received ſatisfaction, ſhall, in the day of judg-* "*ment, ſuffer the moſt grievous torments.*"

(q) Koran, book 1. chap. *of the cow.*

* On renouncing the law of retaliation.

The

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 17.

The Germans inherited the hatred and enmity of their near relations: but theſe were not eternal. Homicide was expiated by giving a certain number of cattle, and all the family received ſatisfaction: a thing extremely uſeful, ſays Tacitus (r), becauſe enmities are moſt dangerous amongſt a free people. I believe, indeed, that their miniſters of religion, who were held by them in ſo much credit, were concerned in theſe reconciliations.

(r) *De morib. Germanorum.*

Amongſt the inhabitants of Malacca (s), where no form of reconciliation is eſtabliſhed, he who has committed murder, certain of being aſſaſſinated by the relations or friends of the deceaſed, abandons himſelf to fury, and wounds or kills all he meets.

(s) Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of the Eaſt-India company, vol. 7. pag. 303. See alſo Memoirs of the C. de Forbin, and what he ſays of the people of Macaſſar.

CHAP. XVIII.

How the Laws of Religion have the Effect of civil Laws.

THE firſt Greeks were ſmall nations, frequently diſperſed, pirates at ſea, unjuſt at land, without government, and without laws. The mighty actions of Hercules and Theſeus let us ſee the ſtate of that riſing people. What could religion do more, to inſpire them with horror againſt murder? It declared, that the man who had been (t) murdered was enraged againſt the aſſaſſin, that he would poſſeſs his mind with terror and trouble, and oblige him to yield to him the places he had frequented when alive. They could not touch the criminal, nor converſe with him (u), without being defiled: the murderer was to be expelled the city, and an expiation made for the crime (x).

(t) Plato, of Laws, lib. 9.

(u) Tragedy of Œdipus Coloneus.

(x) Plato, of Laws, lib. 9.

CHAP. XIX.

That it is not ſo much the Truth or Falſity of a Doctrine which renders it uſeful or pernicious to Men in civil Government, as the Uſe or Abuſe of it.

THE moſt true and holy doctrines may be attended with the very worſt conſequences, when they are not connected with the principles of ſociety; and, on the contrary, doctrines the moſt falſe may be attended with excellent conſequences, when contrived ſo as to be connected with theſe principles. Book XXIV. Chap. 19.

The religion of Confucius * diſowns the immortality of the ſoul; and the ſect of Zeno did not believe it. Theſe two ſects have drawn from their bad principles conſequences, not juſt indeed, but moſt admirable as to their influence on ſociety. Thoſe of the religion of Tao, and of Foe, believe the immortality of the ſoul; but from this ſacred doctrine they draw the moſt frightful conſequences.

The doctrine of the immortality of the ſoul

* A Chineſe philoſopher reaſons thus againſt the doctrine of *Foe*. "It is ſaid, in a book of that ſect, that the body is our "dwelling-place, and the ſoul the immortal gueſt which lodges "there: but if the bodies of our relations are only a lodging, "it is natural to regard them with the ſame contempt we ſhould "feel for a ſtructure of earth and dirt. Is not this endeavouring "to tear from the heart the virtue of love to one's own parents? "This leads us even to neglect the care of the body, and to re"fuſe it the compaſſion and affection ſo neceſſary for its preſerva"tion: hence the diſciples of Foe kill themſelves by thouſands." *Work of an ancient Chineſe philoſopher, in the Collection of Du Halde*, vol. 3. page 52.

falſely

Book XXIV. Chap. 19.

falſely underſtood, has, almoſt in every part of the globe, and in every age, engaged women, ſlaves, ſubjects, friends, to murder themſelves, that they might go and ſerve in the other world the object of their reſpect or love in this. Thus it was in the Weſt-Indies; thus it was amongſt the Danes (y); thus it is at preſent in Japan (z), in Macaſſar (a), and many other places.

(y) See Tho. Bartholin's Antiq. of the Danes.

(z) An Account of Japan, in the Collection of Voyages that contributed to eſtabliſh an Eaſt-India company.

(a) Forbin's Memoirs.

Theſe cuſtoms do not ſo directly proceed from the doctrine of the immortality of the ſoul, as from that of the reſurrection of the body, from whence they have drawn this conſequence, that, after death, the ſame individual will have the ſame wants, the ſame ſentiments, the ſame paſſions. In this point of view, the doctrine of the immortality of the ſoul has a prodigious effect on mankind; becauſe the idea of only a ſimple change of habitation, is more within the reach of the human underſtanding, and more adapted to flatter the heart, than the idea of a new modification.

It is not enough for religion to eſtabliſh a doctrine, it muſt alſo direct its influence. This the Chriſtian religion performs in the moſt admirable manner, particularly with regard to the doctrines of which we have been ſpeaking. It makes us hope for a ſtate, which is the object of our belief; not for a ſtate which we have already experienced, or known: thus every article, even the reſurrection of the body, leads us to ſpiritual ideas.

CHAP.

CHAP. XX.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE ſacred books (b) of the ancient Perſians ſay, "*If you would be holy, inſtruct your children, becauſe all the good actions which they perform, will be imputed to you.*" They adviſe them to marry betimes, becauſe children at the day of judgment will be as a bridge, over which thoſe who have none cannot paſs. Theſe doctrines were falſe, but extremely uſeful.

Book XXIV. Chap. 20. and 21.

(b) Mr. Hyde.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Metempſychoſis.

THE doctrine of the immortality of the ſoul is divided into three branches, that of pure immortality, that of a ſimple change of habitation, and that of a metempſychoſis; that is, the ſyſtem of the Chriſtians, that of the Scythians, and that of the Indians. We have juſt been ſpeaking of the two firſt, and I ſhall ſay of the laſt, that as it has been well or ill explained, it has had good or bad effects. As it inſpires men with a certain horror againſt bloodſhed, very few murders are committed in the Indies; and though they ſeldom puniſh with death, yet they enjoy a perfect tranquillity.

On the other hand, women burn themſelves at the death of their huſbands; thus it is only the innocent who ſuffer a violent death.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXII.

That it is dangerous for Religion to inſpire an Averſion for Things in themſelves indifferent.

Book XXIV. Chap. 22. and 23.

A Kind of honour eſtabliſhed in the Indies by the prejudices of religion, has made the ſeveral tribes conceive an averſion againſt each other. This honour is founded entirely on religion; theſe family diſtinctions form no civil diſtinctions; there are Indians who would think themſelves diſhonoured by eating with their king.

Theſe ſorts of diſtinctions are connected with a certain averſion for other men, very different from thoſe ſentiments which naturally ariſe from difference of rank; which, amongſt us, comprehends a love for inferiors.

The laws of religion ſhould never inſpire an averſion to any thing but vice, and, above all, they ſhould never eſtrange man from a love and tenderneſs for his own ſpecies.

The Mahometan and Indian religions embrace an infinite number of people: the Indians hate the Mahometans, becauſe they eat cows; the Mahometans deteſt the Indians, becauſe they eat hogs.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of Feſtivals.

WHEN religion appoints a ceſſation from labour, it ought to have a greater regard to the neceſſities of mankind, than to the grandeur of the being it deſigns to honour.

Athens

Athens (c) was ſubject to great inconveniencies from the exceſſive number of its feſtivals. Theſe powerful people, to whoſe deciſion all the cities of Greece came to ſubmit their quarrels, could not have time to diſpatch ſuch a multiplicity of affairs.

Book XXIV. Chap. 23.

(c) Xenophon on the republic of Athens.

When Conſtantine ordained that the people ſhould reſt on the ſabbath, he made this decree for the cities *, and not for the inhabitants of the open country; he was ſenſible, that labour in the cities was uſeful, but in the fields neceſſary.

For the ſame reaſon, in a country ſupported by commerce, the number of feſtivals ought to be relative to this very commerce. Proteſtant and Catholic countries are ſituated † in ſuch a manner, that there is more need of labour in the former, than in the latter; the ſuppreſſion of feſtivals is therefore more ſuitable to Proteſtant than to Catholic countries.

Dampierre (d) obſerves, that the diverſions of different nations vary greatly, according to the climate. As hot climates produce a quantity of delicate fruits, the barbarians eaſily find neceſſaries, and therefore ſpend much time in diverſions. The Indians of colder countries have not ſo much leiſure, being obliged to fiſh and hunt continually; hence they have leſs muſic, dancing, and feſtivals. If a new religion ſhould be eſtabliſhed amongſt theſe people, it ought to have regard to this in the inſtitution of feſtivals.

(d) Dampierre's Voyages, vol. 2.

* Leg. 3. Cod. *de Feriis.* This law was doubtleſs made only for the Pagans.

† The Catholics lie more towards the ſouth, and the Proteſtants towards the north.

CHAP. XXIV.

Of the local Laws of Religion.

BOOK XXIV. Chap. 24.

THERE are many local laws in various religions; and when Montezuma with ſo much obſtinacy inſiſted, that the religion of the Spaniards was good for their country, and his for Mexico he did not aſſert an abſurdity; becauſe, in fact, legiſlators could never help having a regard to what nature had eſtabliſhed before them.

The opinion of the metempſychoſis is adapted to the climate of the Indies. An exceſſive heat burns (e) up all the country; they can breed but very few cattle; they are always in danger of wanting them for tillage; their black cattle multiply but indifferently (f); and they are ſubject to many diſtempers: a law of religion which preſerves them, is therefore more ſuitable to the policy of the country.

(e) See Bernier's Travels, vol. 2. pag. 137.

(f) Edifying Letters. Coll. 12. pag. 95.

While the meadows are ſcorched up, rice and pulſe, by the aſſiſtance of water, are brought to perfection: a law of religion which permits only this kind of nouriſhment, muſt therefore be extremely uſeful to men in thoſe climates.

The fleſh (g) of cattle in that country is inſipid, but the milk and butter which they receive from them ſerves for a part of their ſubſiſtence: therefore the law which prohibits the eating and killing of cows, is in the Indies not unreaſonable.

(g) Bernier's Travels, vol. 2. pag. 187.

Athens contained a prodigious multitude of people, but its territory was barren. It was therefore a religious maxim with this people, that thoſe who offered ſome ſmall preſents to the gods (h), honoured them more than thoſe who ſacrificed an ox.

(h) *Euripides* in *Athenæus*, lib. 2.

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

The Inconveniency of tranſplanting a Religion from one Country to another.

IT follows from hence, that there are frequently many inconveniencies attending the tranſplanting a religion from one country to another. Book XXIV. Chap. 25. and 26.

"*The hog*, ſays Mr. de Boulainvilliers (i), *muſt* "*be very ſcarce in Arabia, where there are almoſt no* "*woods, and hardly any thing fit for the nouriſh-*"*ment of theſe animals: beſides, the ſaltneſs of the* "*water and food renders the people moſt ſuſceptible* "*of cutaneous diſorders.*" This local law could not be good in other * countries, where the hog is almoſt an univerſal, and in ſome ſort a neceſſary nouriſhment.

(i) Life of Mahomet.

I ſhall here make a reflection. Sanctorius has obſerved that pork tranſpires but little (k), and that this kind of meat greatly hinders the tranſpiration of other food; he has found that this diminution amounts to a third (l). Beſides, it is known that the want of tranſpiration forms or increaſes the diſorders of the ſkin. The feeding on pork ought therefore to be prohibited, in climates where the people are ſubject to theſe diſorders, as in Paleſtine, Arabia, Ægypt, and Lybia.

(k) Medicina Statica, ſect. 3. aphor. 23.

(l) Ibid.

CHAP. XXVI.

The ſame Subject continued.

SIR John Chardin (m) ſays, that there is not a navigable river in Perſia, except the Kur, which

(m) Travels into Perſia. vol. 2.

* As in China.

Book XXIV. Chap. 26.

is at the extremity of the empire. The ancient law of the Gaurs which prohibited ſailing on rivers, was not therefore attended with any inconvenience in this country, though it would have ruined the trade of another.

Frequent bathings are extremely uſeful in hot climates. On this account they are ordained in the Mahometan law, and in the Indian religion. In the Indies it is a moſt meritorious act to pray to (n) God in the running ſtream: but how could theſe things be performed in other climates?

(n) Bernier's Travels, vol. 2.

When a religion adapted to the climate of one country claſhes too much with the climate of another, it cannot be there eſtabliſhed; and whenever it has been introduced, it has been afterwards diſcarded. It ſeems to all human appearance, as if the climate had preſcribed the bounds of the Chriſtian and the Mahometan religions.

It follows from hence, that it is almoſt always proper for a religion to have particular doctrines, and a general worſhip. In laws concerning the practice of religious worſhip, there ought to be but few particulars: for inſtance, they ſhould command mortification in general, and not a certain kind of mortification. Chriſtianity is full of good ſenſe: abſtinence is of divine inſtitution; but a particular kind of abſtinence is ordained by human authority, and therefore may be changed.

BOOK XXV.

Of Laws as relative to the Eſtabliſhment of Religion and its external Polity.

CHAP. I.

Of religious Sentiments.

THE pious man and the atheiſt always talk of religion; the one ſpeaks of what he loves, and the other of what he fears.

CHAP. II.

Of the Motives of Attachment to different Religions.

THE different religions of the world do not give to thoſe who profeſs them equal motives of attachment; this depends greatly on the manner in which they agree with the turn of thought and perceptions of mankind.

We are extremely addicted to idolatry, and yet have no great inclination for the religion of idolaters: we are not very fond of ſpiritual ideas, and yet are moſt attached to thoſe religions which teach us to adore a ſpiritual being. This proceeds from the ſatisfaction we find in ourſelves at having been ſo intelligent as to chuſe a religion, which raiſes the deity from that baſeneſs in which he had been placed by others. We look upon ido-

Book XXV. Chap. 2.

latry as the religion of an ignorant people; and the religion which has a ſpiritual being for its object, as that of the moſt enlightened nations.

When with a doctrine that gives us the idea of a ſpiritual ſupreme being, we can ſtill join thoſe of a ſenſible nature, and admit them into our worſhip, we contract a greater attachment to religion; becauſe thoſe motives, which we have juſt mentioned, are added to our natural inclination for the objects of ſenſe. Thus the Catholics, who have more of this kind of worſhip than the Proteſtants, are more attached to their religion, than the Proteſtants are to theirs, and more zealous for its propagation.

(a) St. Cyril's Letter.

When the (a) people of Epheſus were informed that the fathers of the council had declared they might call the Virgin Mary the *Mother of God*, they were tranſported with joy, they kiſſed the hands of the biſhops, they embraced their knees, and the whole city reſounded with acclamations.

When an intellectual religion ſuperadds a choice made by the deity, and a preference of thoſe who profeſs it to thoſe who do not, this greatly attaches us to religion. The Mahometans would not be ſuch good muſſulmen, if on the one hand there were not idolatrous nations, who make them imagine themſelves the champions of the unity of God; and on the other Chriſtians, to make them believe that they are the objects of his preference.

A religion burthened with many * ceremonies,

* This does not contradict what I have ſaid in the laſt chapter of the preceding book: I here ſpeak of the motives of attachment to religion, and there of the means of rendering it more general.

attaches

attaches us to it more ſtrongly than that which has a fewer number. We have an extreme propenſity to things in which we are continually employed: witneſs the obſtinate prejudices of the * Mahometans, and the Jews; and the readineſs with which barbarous and ſavage nations change their religion, who, as they are employed entirely in hunting, or war, have but few religious ceremonies.

Men are extremely inclined to the paſſions of hope and fear; a religion therefore, that had neither a heaven nor a hell, could hardly pleaſe them. This is proved by the eaſe with which foreign religions have been eſtabliſhed in Japan, and the zeal and fondneſs with which they were received †.

In order to raiſe an attachment to religion, it is neceſſary that it ſhould inculcate pure morals. Men who are knaves by retail, are extremely honeſt in the groſs: they love morality. And were I not treating of ſo grave a ſubject, I ſhould ſay, that this appears remarkably evident in our theatres: we are ſure of pleaſing the people by ſentiments avowed by morality; we are ſure of ſhocking them by thoſe it diſapproves.

When external worſhip is attended with great magnificence, it flatters our minds, and ſtrongly attaches us to religion. The riches of temples, and thoſe of the clergy, greatly affect us. Thus,

* This has been remarked over all the world. See, as to the Turks, the miſſions of the Levant; the Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, vol. 3. p. 201. on the Moors of Batavia; and Father Labat on the Mahometan negroes, &c.

† The Chriſtian and the Indian religions; theſe have a hell and a paradiſe, which the religion of *Sintos* has not.

Book XXV. Chap. 3.

even the miſery of the people, is a motive that renders them fond of a religion, which has ſerved as a pretext to thoſe who were the cauſe of their miſery.

CHAP. III.

Of Temples.

ALMOST all civilized nations dwell in houſes: from hence naturally aroſe the idea of building a houſe for God, in which they might adore and ſeek him, amidſt all their hopes and fears.

And, indeed, nothing is more comfortable to mankind, than a place in which they may find the deity peculiarly preſent, and where they may aſſemble together to confeſs their weakneſs, and tell their griefs.

But this natural idea never occurred to any but ſuch as cultivated the land; thoſe who had no houſes for themſelves, were never known to build temples.

This was the cauſe that made Jenghis-Khan diſcover ſuch a prodigious contempt for moſques *. This prince † examined the Mahometans, he approved of all their doctrines, except that of the neceſſity of going to Mecca: he could not comprehend why God might not be every where adored. As the Tartars did not dwell in houſes, they could have no idea of temples.

Thoſe people who have no temples, have but a ſmall attachment to their own religion. This is the

* Entering the moſque of Bochara, he took the Koran, and threw it under his horſe's feet. *Hiſt. of the Tartars*, pag. 273.

† Ibid. pag. 342.

reaſon

reaſon why the Tartars have in all times given ſo great a toleration *; why the barbarous nations who conquered the Roman empire, did not heſitate a moment to embrace Chriſtianity; why the ſavages of America have ſo little fondneſs for their own religion; why, ſince our miſſionaries have built churches in Paraguay, the natives of that country are become ſo zealous for ours. Book XXV. Chap. 3.

As the deity is the refuge of the unhappy, and none are more unhappy than criminals, men have been naturally led to think temples an aſylum for thoſe wretches. This idea appeared ſtill more natural to the Greeks, where murderers, chaſed from their city and the preſence of men, ſeemed to have no houſes but the temples, nor other protectors but the gods.

At firſt theſe were only deſigned for involuntary homicides; but when the people made them a ſanctuary for thoſe who had committed great crimes, they fell into a groſs contradiction. If they had offended men, they had much greater reaſon to believe they had offended the gods.

Theſe aſylums multiplied in Greece. The temples, ſays Tacitus (b), were filled with inſolvent debtors, and wicked ſlaves; the magiſtrate found it difficult to exerciſe his office; the people protected the crimes of men as the ceremonies of the gods; at length the ſenate was obliged to retrench a great number of them. (b) Annal. lib. 2.

The laws of Moſes were perfectly wiſe. The man who involuntarily killed another, was inno-

* This diſpoſition of mind has been communicated to the Japaneſe, who, as it may be eaſily proved, derive their original from the Tartars.

cent;

Book XXV. Chap. 3.

cent; but he was obliged to be taken away from before the eyes of the relations of the deceaſed: Moſes therefore appointed an aſylum (c) for ſuch unfortunate people. The perpetrators of great crimes deſerved not a place of ſafety, and they had none (d), the Jews had only a portable tabernacle, which continually changed its place: this excluded the idea of a ſanctuary. It is true, that they had afterwards a temple; but the criminals, who would reſort thither from all parts, might diſturb the divine ſervice. If perſons who had committed manſlaughter, had been driven out of the country, as was cuſtomary amongſt the Greeks, they had reaſon to fear that they would worſhip ſtrange gods. All theſe conſiderations made them eſtabliſh cities of ſafety, where they might ſtay till the death of the high-prieſt.

(c) Numb. xxxv.

(d) Ibid.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Miniſters of Religion.

THE firſt men, ſays Porphyry, ſacrificed only vegetables. In a worſhip ſo ſimple, every one might be prieſt in his own family.

The natural deſire of pleaſing the deity, multiplied ceremonies. From hence it followed, that men employed in agriculture became incapable of obſerving them all, and of filling up the number.

Particular places were conſecrated to the gods; it then became neceſſary that they ſhould have miniſters to take care of them; in the ſame manner as every citizen took care of his houſe and domeſtic affairs. Hence the people who have no prieſts, are commonly barbarians: ſuch were formerly

formerly the Pedalians (e), and ſuch are ſtill the Wolguſky *. BOOK XXV. Chap. 4.

Men conſecrated to the deity ought to be honoured, eſpecially amongſt people who have formed an idea of a perſonal purity neceſſary to approach the places moſt agreeable to the gods, and for the performance of particular ceremonies.

(e) Lilius Giraldus, pag. 726.

The worſhip of the gods requiring a continual application, moſt nations were led to conſider the clergy as a ſeparate body. Thus, amongſt the Egyptians, the Jews, and the Perſians (f), they conſecrated to the deity certain families who performed and perpetuated the ſervice. There have been even religions, which have not only eſtranged eccleſiaſtics from buſineſs, but have alſo taken away the embarraſments of a family; and this is the practice of the principal branch of Chriſtianity.

(f) Mr. Hyde.

I ſhall not here treat of the conſequences of the law of celibacy: it is evident, that it may become hurtful, in proportion as the body of the clergy may be too numerous; and, in conſequence of this, that of the laity too ſmall.

By the nature of the human underſtanding, we love in religion every thing which carries the idea of difficulty; as in point of morality we have a ſpeculative fondneſs for every thing which bears the character of ſeverity. Celibacy has been moſt agreeable to thoſe nations to whom it ſeemed leaſt adapted, and with whom it might be attended with the moſt fatal conſequences. In the ſouthern countries of Europe, where, by the nature of the climate, the law of celibacy is more difficult to

* A people of Siberia. See the account given by Mr. *Everard Iſbrant-Ides*, in the Collection of Travels to the North, vol. 8.

obſerve,

Book XXV. Chap. 5.

obſerve, it has been retained; in thoſe of the north, where the paſſions are leſs lively, it has been baniſhed. Further, in countries where there are but few inhabitants, it has been admitted; in thoſe that are vaſtly populous, it has been rejected. It is obvious, that theſe reflections relate only to the too great extenſion of celibacy, and not to celibacy itſelf.

CHAP. V.

Of the Bounds which the Laws ought to preſcribe to the Riches of the Clergy.

AS particular families may be extinct, their wealth cannot be a perpetual inheritance. The clergy is a family which cannot be extinct; wealth is therefore fixed to it for ever, and cannot go out of it.

Particular families may increaſe, it is neceſſary then that their wealth ſhould alſo increaſe. The clergy is a family which ought not to increaſe; their wealth ought then to be limited.

We have retained the regulations of the Leviticał laws as to the poſſeſſions of the clergy, except thoſe relating to the bounds of theſe poſſeſſions: indeed, amongſt us we muſt ever be ignorant of the limit, beyond which any religious community can no longer be permitted to acquire.

Theſe endleſs acquiſitions appear to the people ſo unreaſonable, that he who ſhould ſpeak in their defence, would be regarded as an idiot.

The civil laws find ſometimes many difficulties in altering eſtabliſhed abuſes; becauſe they are connected with things worthy of reſpect; in this caſe

caſe an indirect proceeding would be a greater proof of the wiſdom of the legiſlator, than another which ſtruck directly at the thing itſelf. Inſtead of prohibiting the acquiſitions of the clergy, we ſhould ſeek to give them a diſtaſte for them; to leave them the right, and to take away the deed. BOOK XXV. Chap. 5.

In ſome countries of Europe, a reſpect for the privileges of the nobility has eſtabliſhed in their favour a right of indemnity over immoveable goods acquired in mortmain. The intereſt of the prince has in the ſame caſe made him exact a right of amortization. In Caſtile, where no ſuch right prevails, the clergy have ſeized upon every thing. In Arragon, where there is ſome right of amortization, they have obtained leſs: in France, where this right and that of indemnity are eſtabliſhed, they have acquired leſs ſtill; and it may be ſaid, that the proſperity of this kingdom is in a great meaſure owing to the exerciſe of theſe two rights. If poſſible then, increaſe theſe rights, and put a ſtop to the mortmain.

Render the ancient and neceſſary patrimony of the clergy ſacred and inviolable; let it be fixt and eternal like that body itſelf: but let new inheritances be out of their power.

Permit them to break the rule, when the rule is become an abuſe; ſuffer the abuſe, when it enters into the rule.

They ſtill remember at Rome a certain memorial ſent thither on ſome diſputes with the clergy, in which was this maxim: "*The clergy ought to* "*contribute to the expences of the ſtate, let the Old* "*Teſtament ſay what it will.*". They concluded from

Book XXV. Chap. 6. and 7.

from this paſſage, that the author of this memorial was better verſed in the language of the tax-gatherers, than in that of religion.

CHAP. VI.

Of Monaſteries.

THE leaſt degree of common ſenſe will let us ſee, that bodies deſigned for a perpetual continuance ſhould not be allowed to ſell their funds for life, nor to borrow for life; unleſs we want them to be heirs to all thoſe who have no relations, and to thoſe who do not chuſe to have any. Theſe men play againſt the people, but they hold the bank themſelves.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Luxury of Superſtition.

"*THOSE are guilty of impiety towards the gods*, ſays Plato(g), *who deny their exiſtence*; "*or who, while they believe it, maintain that they* "*do not interfere with what is done below*; *or, in* "*fine, who think that they can eaſily appeaſe them by* "*ſacrifices: three opinions equally pernicious.*" Plato has here ſaid all that the cleareſt light of nature has ever been able to ſay, in point of religion.

(g) Of Laws, book 10.

The magnificence of external worſhip has a principal connection with the conſtitution of the ſtate. In good republics, they have curbed not only the luxury of vanity, but even that of ſuperſtition. They have introduced frugal laws into religion. Of this number are many of the laws of Solon, many of thoſe of Plato on funerals,

adopted by Cicero; and, in fine, ſome of the laws of Numa * on ſacrifices. BOOK XXV. Chap. 8.

Birds, ſays Cicero, and paintings begun and finiſhed in a day, are gifts the moſt divine. We offer common things, ſays a Spartan, that we may always have it in our power to honour the gods.

The deſire of man to pay his worſhip to the deity, is very different from the magnificence of this worſhip. Let us not offer our treaſures to him, if we are not proud of ſhewing that we eſteem what he would have us deſpiſe.

"*What muſt the gods think of the gifts of the impious*, ſaid the admirable Plato, *when a good man would bluſh to receive preſents from a villain?*"

Religion ought not, under the pretence of gifts, to draw from the people, what the neceſſities of the ſtate have left them; but, as Plato (h), ſays, "*The chaſte and the pious ought to offer gifts, which reſemble themſelves.*"

(h) On Laws, book 2.

Nor is it proper for religion to encourage expenſive funerals. What is there more natural, than to take away the difference of fortune in a circumſtance, and in the very moment, which equals all fortunes?

CHAP. VIII.

Of the Pontificate.

WHEN religion has many miniſters, it is natural for them to have a chief, and for a ſovereign pontif to be eſtabliſhed. In monarchies, where the ſeveral orders of the ſtate cannot be kept too diſtinct, and where all powers

* *Rogum vino ne reſpergito.* Law of the twelve Tables.

ought

BOOK XXV. Chap. 8.

ought not to be lodged in the ſame perſon; it is proper that the pontificate be diſtinct from the empire. The ſame neceſſity is not to be met with in a deſpotic government, the nature of which is to unite all the different powers in the ſame perſon. But in this caſe it may happen, that the prince may regard religion as he does the laws themſelves, as dependent on his own will. To prevent this inconveniency, there ought to be monuments of religion, for inſtance, ſacred books, which fix and eſtabliſh it. The king of Perſia is the chief of the religion; but this religion is regulated by the Koran. The emperor of China is the ſovereign pontif; but there are books in the hands of every body, to which he himſelf muſt conform. In vain a certain emperor attempted to aboliſh them; they triumphed over tyranny.

CHAP. IX.

Of Toleration in point of Religion.

WE are here politicians, and not divines: but the divines themſelves muſt allow, that there is a great difference between tolerating and approving a religion.

When the legiſlator has believed it a duty to permit the exerciſe of many religions, it is neceſſary that he ſhould inforce alſo a toleration amongſt theſe religions themſelves. It is a principle that every religion which is perſecuted, becomes itſelf perſecuting: for as ſoon as by ſome accidental turn it ariſes from perſecution, it attacks the religion which perſecuted it: not as a religion, but as a tyranny.

It

It is neceſſary then that the laws require from the ſeveral religions, not only that they ſhall not embroil the ſtate, but that they ſhall not raiſe diſturbances amongſt themſelves. A citizen does not fulfil the laws, by not diſturbing the government; it is requiſite that he ſhould not trouble any citizen whomſoever.

CHAP. X.

The ſame Subject continued.

AS there are ſcarce any but perſecuting religions that have an extraordinary zeal for being eſtabliſhed in other places (becauſe a religion that can tolerate others, ſeldom thinks of its own propagation); it muſt therefore be a very good civil law, when the ſtate is already ſatisfied with the eſtabliſhed religion, not to ſuffer the eſtabliſhment of another*.

This is then a fundamental principle of the political laws in regard to religion: That when the ſtate is at liberty to receive or to reject a new religion, it ought to be rejected; when it is received, it ought to be tolerated.

CHAP. XI.

Of changing a Religion.

A Prince who undertakes to deſtroy or to change the eſtabliſhed religion of his kingdom, muſt greatly expoſe himſelf. If his government is de-

* I do not mean to ſpeak in this chapter of the Chriſtian religion; for, as I have elſewhere obſerved, the Chriſtian religion is our chief bleſſing. See the end of the preceding chapter, and the defence of the Spirit of Laws, part 2.

ſpotic, he runs a much greater riſk of ſeeing a revolution ariſe from ſuch a proceeding, than from any tyranny whatſoever, and a revolution is not an uncommon thing in ſuch ſtates. The reaſon of this is, becauſe a ſtate cannot change its religion, manners and cuſtoms, in an inſtant, and with the ſame rapidity as the prince publiſhes the ordinance which eſtabliſhes a new religion.

Beſides, the ancient religion is connected with the conſtitution of the kingdom, and the new one is not; the former agrees with the climate, and very often the new one is oppoſite to it. Moreover, the citizens become diſguſted with their laws, and look upon the government already eſtabliſhed with contempt; they conceive a jealouſy againſt the two religions, inſtead of a firm belief in one; in a word, theſe innovations give to the ſtate, at leaſt for ſome time, both bad citizens and bad believers.

CHAP. XII.

Of penal Laws.

PENAL laws ought to be avoided, in reſpect to religion: they imprint fear, it is true; but as religion has alſo penal laws which inſpire the ſame paſſion, the one is effaced by the other; and between theſe two different kinds of fear, the mind becomes hardened.

The threatenings of religion are ſo terrible, and its promiſes ſo great, that when they actuate the mind, whatever efforts the magiſtrate may uſe to oblige us to renounce it, he ſeems to leave us nothing when he deprives us of the exerciſe of our religion,

religion, and to bereave us of nothing, when we are allowed to profeſs it. BOOK XXV. Chap. 12.

It is not therefore by filling the ſoul with the idea of this great object, by haſtening her approach to that critical moment in which it ought to be of the higheſt importance, that religion can be moſt ſucceſsfully attacked: a more certain way is to tempt her by favours, by the conveniencies of life, by hopes of fortune; not by that which revives, but by that which extinguiſhes the ſenſe of her duty; not by that which ſhocks her, but by that which throws her into indifference, at the time when other paſſions actuate the mind, and thoſe which religion inſpires are huſhed into ſilence. A general rule in changing a religion; the invitations ſhould be much ſtronger than the penalties.

The temper of the human mind has appeared even in the nature of puniſhments. If we take a ſurvey of the perſecutions in Japan (i), we ſhall find that they were more ſhocked at cruel torments than at long ſufferings, which rather weary than affright, which are the more difficult to ſurmount, from their appearing leſs difficult.

In a word, hiſtory ſufficiently informs us, that penal laws have never had any other effect but to deſtroy.

(i) In the Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, vol. 5.

CHAP. XIII.

A moſt humble Remonſtrance to the Inquiſitors of Spain *and* Portugal.

A Jeweſs of ten years of age, who was burnt at Liſbon at the laſt *Auto-de-fé*, gave occaſion

Book XXV. Chap. 13.

to the following little piece; the moſt idle, I believe, that ever was wrote. When we attempt to prove things ſo evident, we are ſure never to convince.

The author declares, that though a Jew, he has a reſpect for the Chriſtian religion; and that he ſhould be glad to take away from the princes who are not Chriſtians, a plauſible pretence for perſecuting this religion.

" You complain, ſays he to the inquiſitors, that " the emperor of Japan cauſed all the Chriſtians " in his dominions to be burnt by a ſlow fire. " But he will anſwer, we treat you who do not " believe like us, as you yourſelves treat thoſe " who do not believe like you: you can only " complain of your weakneſs, which has hindered " you from exterminating us, and which has " enabled us to exterminate you.

" But it muſt be confeſſed, that you are much " more cruel than this emperor. You put us to " death, who believe only what you believe, be- " cauſe we do not believe *all* that you believe. " We follow a religion, which you yourſelves " know to have been formerly dear to God. We " think that God loves it ſtill, and you think " that he loves it no more: and becauſe you " judge thus, you make thoſe ſuffer by ſword " and fire, who hold an error ſo pardonable as " to believe, that God * ſtill loves, what he once " loved.

* The ſource of the blindneſs of the Jews is, their not perceiving that the œconomy of the goſpel is in the order of the decrees of God; and that it is in this light a conſequence of his immutability.

" If

" If you are cruel to us, you are much more ſo " to our children; you cauſe them to be burnt, " becauſe they follow the inſpirations given them " by thoſe whom the law of nature, and the laws " of all nations, teach them to regard as Gods.

" You deprive yourſelves of the advantage you " have over the Mahometans, with reſpect to the " manner in which their religion was eſtabliſhed. " When they boaſt of the number of their be- " lievers, you tell them that they have obtained " them by violence, and that they have extended " their religion by the ſword; why then do you " eſtabliſh yours by fire?

" When you would bring us over to you, we " object a ſource from which you glory to de- " ſcend. You reply to us, that though your " religion is new, it is divine; and you prove it " from its growing amidſt the perſecutions of Pa- " gans, and when watered by the blood of your " martyrs: but at preſent you play the part of " the Dioclefians, and make us take yours.

" We conjure you, not by the mighty God " whom both you and we ſerve, but by that " Chriſt who, you tell us, took upon him a hu- " man form, to propoſe himſelf for an example " for you to follow; we conjure you to behave " to us, as he himſelf would behave was he upon " earth. You would have us be Chriſtians, and " you will not be ſo yourſelves.

" But if you will not be Chriſtians, be at leaſt " men: treat us as you would, if having only " the weak light of juſtice which nature beſtows, " you had not a religion to conduct, and a revela- " tion to enlighten you.

Book XXV. Chap. 13.

" If heaven has had ſo great a love for you, " as to make you ſee the truth, you have receiv- " ed a ſingular favour: but is it for children, who " have received the inheritance of their father, to " hate thoſe who have not?

" If you have this truth, hide it not from us, by " the manner in which you propoſe it. The cha- " racteriſtic of truth is its triumph over hearts " and minds, and not that impotency which you " confeſs, when you would force us to receive it " by tortures.

" If you were wiſe, you would not put us to " death for no other reaſon, but becauſe we are " unwilling to deceive you. If your Chriſt is the " ſon of God, we hope he will reward us for " being ſo unwilling to profane his myſteries; and " we believe, that the God whom both you and " we ſerve, will not puniſh us for having ſuffered " death for a religion which he formerly gave us, " only becauſe we believe that he ſtill continues " to give it.

" You live in an age in which the light of na- " ture ſhines more bright than it has ever done; " in which philoſophy has enlightened human un- " derſtandings; in which the morality of your " goſpel has been more known; in which the " reſpective rights of mankind, with regard to " each other, and the empire which one con- " ſcience has over another, are beſt underſtood. " If you do not therefore ſhake off your ancient " prejudices, which, whilſt unregarded, mingle " with your paſſions, it muſt be confeſſed, that " you are incorrigible, incapable of any degree " of light, or inſtruction; and a nation muſt

" be

" be very unhappy that gives authority to ſuch " men. BOOK XXV. Chap. 14.

" Would you have us frankly tell you our " thoughts? You conſider us rather as your ene- " mies, than as the enemies of your religion: for " if you loved your religion, you would not ſuffer " it to be corrupted by ſuch groſs ignorance.

" It is neceſſary that we ſhould advertiſe you of " one thing, that is, if any one in times to come " ſhall dare to aſſert, that in the age in which we " live, the people of Europe were civilized, you " will be cited to prove that they were barbarians; " and the idea they will have of you, will be ſuch " as will diſhonour your age, and ſpread hatred " over all your cotemporaries."

CHAP. XIV.

Why the Chriſtian Religion is ſo odious in Japan.

WE have already mentioned (k) the perverſe temper of the people of Japan. The magiſtrates conſidered the firmneſs which Chriſtianity inſpires, when they attempted to make the people renounce their faith, as in itſelf moſt dangerous: they fancied that it increaſed their obſtinacy. The law of Japan puniſhes ſeverely the leaſt diſobedience. The people were ordered to renounce the Chriſtian religion: they did not renounce it; this was diſobedience: the magiſtrates puniſhed this crime; and the continuance in diſobedience ſeemed to deſerve another puniſhment.

(k) Book 4. chap. 24.

Puniſhments amongſt the Japaneſe are conſidered as the revenge of an inſult done to the prince. The ſongs of triumph ſung by our martyrs appear-

BOOK XXV. Chap. 15.

ed as an outrage againſt him; the title of martyr provoked the magiſtrates; in their opinion it ſignified rebel: they did all in their power to prevent their obtaining it. Then it was that their minds were exaſperated, and a horrid ſtruggle was ſeen between the tribunals that condemned, and the accuſed who ſuffered; between the civil laws, and thoſe of religion.

CHAP. XV.

Of the Propagation of Religion.

ALL the people of the eaſt, except the Mahometans, believe all religions in themſelves indifferent. They fear the eſtabliſhment of another religion, no otherwiſe than as a change in government. Amongſt the Japaneſe, where there are many ſects, and where the ſtate has had for ſo long a time an eccleſiaſtic ſuperior, they ([l]) never diſpute on religion. It is the ſame with the people of Siam ([m]). The Calmucks ([n]) do more, they make it a point of conſcience to tolerate every ſpecies of religion: at Calicut ([o]) it is a maxim of the ſtate, that every religion is good.

([l]) See Kempfer.

([m]) Forbin's Memoirs.

([n]) Hiſtory of the Tartars, part 5.

([o]) Pirard's Travels, chap. 27.

But it does not follow from hence, that a religion brought from a far diſtant country, and quite different in climate, laws, manners and cuſtoms, will have all the ſucceſs to which its holineſs might entitle it. This is more particularly true in great deſpotic empires: here ſtrangers are tolerated at firſt, becauſe there is no attention given to what does not ſeem to ſtrike at the authority of the prince. As they are extremely ignorant, an European may render himſelf agreeable, by

by the knowledge he communicates: this is very well in the beginning. But as ſoon as he has any ſucceſs, when diſputes ariſe, and when men who have ſome intereſt become informed of it; as their empire, by its very nature, above all things requires tranquillity, and as the leaſt diſturbance may overturn it, they proſcribe the new religion and thoſe who preach it: diſputes between the preachers breaking out, they begin to entertain a diſtaſte for a religion on which even thoſe who propoſe it are not agreed.

BOOK XXVI.

Of Laws as relative to the Order of Things on which they determine.

CHAP. I.

Idea of this Book.

Book XXVI. Chap. 1.

MEN are governed by ſeveral kinds of laws; by the law of nature; by the divine law, which is that of religion; by eccleſiaſtical, otherwiſe called canon law, which is that of religious polity; by the law of nations, which may be conſidered as the civil law of the whole globe, in which ſenſe every nation is a citizen; by the general political law, which relates to that human wiſdom from whence all ſocieties derive their origin; by the particular political law, the object of which is each ſociety; by the law of conqueſt founded on this, that one nation has been willing and able, or has had a right to offer violence to another; by the civil law of every ſociety, by which a citizen may defend his poſſeſſions and his life, againſt the attacks of any other citizen; in fine, by domeſtic law, which proceeds from a ſociety's being divided into ſeveral families, all which have need of a particular government.

There are therefore different orders of laws, and the ſublimity of human reaſon conſiſts in perfectly knowing to which of theſe orders the things that are to

to be determined ought to have a principal relation, and not to throw into confuſion thoſe principles which ſhould govern mankind.

CHAP. II.

Of Laws divine and human.

WE ought not to decide by divine laws, what ſhould be decided by human laws; nor determine by human, what ſhould be determined by divine laws.

Theſe two ſorts of laws differ in their original, in their object, and in their nature.

It is univerſally acknowledged, that human laws are in their own nature different from thoſe of religion; this is an important principle: but this principle is itſelf ſubject to others, which muſt be enquired after.

1. It is in the nature of human laws to be ſubject to all the accidents which can happen, and to vary in proportion as the will of man changes: on the contrary, by the nature of the laws of religion, they are never to vary. Human laws appoint for ſome good; thoſe of religion for the beſt: good may have another object, becauſe there are many kinds of good: but the beſt is but one, it cannot therefore change. We may alter laws, becauſe they are reputed no more than good; but the inſtitutions of religion are always ſuppoſed to be the beſt.

2. There are kingdoms, in which the laws are of no value, as they depend only on the capricious and fickle humour of the ſovereign. If in theſe kingdoms the laws of religion were of the ſame nature

Book XXVI. Chap. 3.

as the human inſtitutions, the laws of religion too would be of no value. It is however neceſſary to the ſociety, that it ſhould have ſomething fixed; and it is religion that has this ſtability.

3. The influence of religion proceeds from its being believed; that of human laws, from their being feared. Antiquity ſuits with religion, becauſe we have frequently a firmer belief of things, in proportion to their diſtance: for we have no ideas annexed to them drawn from thoſe times, which can contradict them. Human laws, on the contrary, receive advantage from their novelty, which implies the actual and particular attention of the legiſlator to put them in execution.

CHAP. III.

Of civil Laws contrary to the Law of Nature.

(a) Lib. 9. on Laws.

IF a ſlave, ſays Plato (a), defends himſelf, and kills a freeman, he ought to be treated as a parricide. This is a civil law which puniſhes ſelf-defence, though dictated by nature.

The law of Henry VIII. which condemned a man without being confronted by witneſſes, was contrary to ſelf-defence. In order to paſs ſentence of condemnation, it is neceſſary that the witneſſes ſhould know, whether the man againſt whom they make their depoſition, is he whom they accuſe, and that this man be at liberty to ſay, I am not the perſon you mean.

The law paſſed under the ſame reign, which condemned every woman, who, having carried on a criminal commerce, did not declare it to the king before ſhe married him, violated the regard due to natural

natural modeſty. It is as unreaſonable to oblige a woman to make this declaration, as to oblige a man not to attempt the defence of his own life.

The law of Henry II. which condemned the woman to death who loſt her child, in caſe ſhe did not make known her pregnancy to the magiſtrate, was not leſs contrary to ſelf-defence. It would have been ſufficient to oblige her to inform one of her neareſt relations, who might watch over the preſervation of the infant.

What other information could ſhe give in this ſituation, ſo torturing to natural modeſty? Education has heightened the notion of preſerving that modeſty; and in thoſe critical moments, ſcarce has ſhe any idea remaining of the loſs of life.

There has been much talk of a law in * England, which permitted girls ſeven years old to chuſe a huſband. This law was ſhocking two ways; it had no regard to the time when nature gives maturity to the underſtanding, nor to that in which ſhe gives maturity to the body.

Amongſt the Romans, a father might oblige his daughter to repudiate (b) her huſband, though he himſelf had conſented to the marriage. But it is contrary to nature, for a divorce to be in the power of a third perſon.

(b) See Law 5. in the code *de repudiis & judicio de moribus ſublato.*

A divorce can be agreeable to nature, only when it is by conſent of the two parties, or at leaſt of one of them: but when neither conſents, it is a monſtrous ſeparation. In ſhort, the power of divorcement can be given only to thoſe who feel the

* Mr. Bayle, in his Criticiſm on the Hiſtory of Calviniſm, ſpeaks of this law, pag. 263.

inconve-

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 4.

inconveniencies of marriage, and who are ſenſible of the moment when it is for their intereſt to make them ceaſe.

CHAP. IV.

The ſame Subject continued.

(c) Law of the Burgundians, tit. 47.

GUNDEBALD (c) king of Burgundy decreed, that if the wife or ſon of a perſon guilty of robbery, did not reveal the crime, they were to become ſlaves. This law was contrary to nature: a wife to inform againſt her huſband! a ſon to accuſe his father! to avenge one criminal action, they ordained another ſtill more criminal.

(d) In the code of the Viſigoths, lib. 3. tit. 4. § 13.

The law of Receſſuinthus (d) permits the children of the adultereſs, or thoſe of her huſband, to accuſe her, and to put the ſlaves of the houſe to the torture. How iniquitous the law, which, to preſerve a purity of morals, overturns nature, the origin, the ſource of all morality!

With pleaſure we behold in our theatres a young hero expreſs as much horror againſt the diſcovery of his mother-in-law's guilt, as againſt the guilt itſelf. In his ſurprize, though accuſed, judged, condemned, proſcribed, and covered with infamy, he ſcarcely dares to reflect on the abominable blood from whence Phædra ſprang: he abandons the moſt tender object, all that is moſt dear, all that lies neareſt his heart, all that can fill him with rage, to deliver himſelf up to the unmerited vengeance of the gods. It is nature's voice, the ſweeteſt of all ſounds, that inſpires us with this pleaſure.

CHAP.

CHAP. V.

Cases in which we may judge by the Principles of the civil Law, in limiting the Principles of the Law of Nature.

AN Athenian law obliged * children to provide for their fathers, when fallen into poverty; it excepted those who were born of a (e) courtezan, those whose chastity had been infamously prostituted by their father, and those to whom he (f) had not given any means of gaining a livelihood. Book XXVI. Chap. 5.

(e) Plutarch, life of Solon.

(f) Plutarch, life of Solon; and *Gallienus in* exhort. ad art. c. 8.

The law considered, that, in the first case, the father being uncertain, he had rendered the natural obligation precarious; that in the second, he had sullied the life he had given, and done the greatest injury he could do to his children, in depriving them of their reputation; that in the third, he had rendered insupportable a life which had no means of subsistence. The law suspended the natural obligation of children, because the father had violated his; it looked upon the father and the son as no more than two citizens, and determined, in respect to them, only from civil and political views; ever considering, that a good republic ought to have a particular regard to manners. I am apt to think, that Solon's law was a wise regulation in the first two cases, whether that in which nature has left the son in ignorance with regard to his father, or that in which she even seems to ordain he should not own him; but it cannot be approved

* Under pain of infamy, another under pain of imprisonment.

with

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 6.

with reſpect to the third, where the father had only violated a civil inſtitution.

CHAP. VI.

That the Order of Succeſſion or Inheritance depends on the Principles of political or civil Law, and not on thoſe of the Law of Nature.

THE Voconian law ordained, that no woman ſhould be left heireſs to an eſtate, not even if ſhe was an only child. Never was there a law, ſays St. Auguſtine (g), more unjuſt. A formula of Marculfus (h) treats that cuſtom as impious, which deprives daughters of the right of ſucceeding to the eſtate of their fathers. Juſtinian (i) gives the appellation of barbarous, to the right which the males had formerly of ſucceeding in prejudice to the daughters. Theſe notions proceed from their having conſidered the right of children to ſucceed to their fathers poſſeſſions, as a conſequence of the law of nature; which it is not.

(g) *De civitate Dei*, lib. 4.

(h) Lib. 2. cap. 12.

(i) Novell. 21.

The law of nature ordains, that fathers ſhall provide for their children; but it does not oblige them to make them their heirs. The diviſion of property, the laws of this diviſion, and the ſucceſſion after the death of the perſon who has had this diviſion, can be regulated only by the community, and conſequently by political or civil laws.

True it is, that a political or civil order frequently demands that children ſhould ſucceed to their father's eſtate; but it does not always make this neceſſary.

There

There may be ſome reaſons given why the laws of our fiefs appoint that the eldeſt of the males, or the neareſt relations of the male ſide, ſhould have all, and the females nothing: and why by the laws of the Lombards (k) the ſiſters, the natural children, the other relations; and, in their default, the treaſury might ſhare the inheritance with the daughters.

(k) Lib. 2. tit. 14. § 6, 7, & 8.

It was regulated in ſome of the Dynaſties of China, that the brothers of the emperor ſhould ſucceed to the throne, and that the children ſhould not. If they were willing that the prince ſhould have a certain degree of experience, if they feared his being too young, and if it was become neceſſary to prevent eunuchs from placing children ſucceſſively on the throne, they might very juſtly eſtabliſh a like order of ſucceſſion; and when ſome (l) writers have treated theſe brothers as uſurpers, they have judged only by ideas received from the laws of their own countries.

(l) Du Halde on the ſecond Dynaſty.

According to the cuſtom of Numidia (m), Deſalces, brother of Gala, ſucceeded to the kingdom; not Maſſiniſſa, his ſon. And even to this day (n), among the Arabs in Barbary, where each village has its chief, they adhere to this ancient cuſtom, by chuſing the uncle, or ſome other relation, to ſucceed.

(m) Livy, Decad. 3. lib 9.

(n) Shaw's Travels, vol. 1. pag. 402.

There are monarchies merely elective; and ſince it is evident, that the order of ſucceſſion ought to be derived from the political or civil laws, it is for theſe to decide in what caſes it is agreeable to reaſon, that the ſucceſſion be granted to children, and in what caſes it ought to be given to others.

Book XXVI. Chap. 6.

In countries where polygamy is eſtabliſhed, the prince has many children; and the number of them is much greater in ſome of theſe countries than in others. There are * ſtates, where it is impoſſible for the people to maintain the children of the king: they might therefore make it a law, that the crown ſhall devolve, not on the king's children, but on thoſe of his ſiſter.

A prodigious number of children would expoſe the ſtate to the moſt dreadful civil wars. The order of ſucceſſion which gives the crown to the children of the ſiſter, the number of whom is not larger than thoſe of a prince who has only one wife, muſt prevent theſe inconveniencies.

There are people, amongſt whom reaſons of ſtate, or ſome maxims of religion, have made it neceſſary that the crown ſhould be always fixed in a certain family: from hence, in India, proceeds the jealouſy of their (°) tribes, and the fear of loſing the deſcent: they have there conceived, that never to want princes of the blood royal, they ought to take the children of the eldeſt ſiſter of the king.

(°) See Edifying Letters, Let. 14. and the Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, vol. 3. part 2. pag. 644.

A general maxim: It is an obligation of the law of nature, to provide for our children; but to make them our ſucceſſors, is an obligation of the civil or political law. From hence are derived the different regulations, with reſpect to baſtards, in the different countries of the world; theſe are according to the civil or political laws of each country.

* See the Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, vol. 4. part 1. pag. 114. And Mr. Smith's Voyage to Guinea, part 2. pag. 150. concerning the kingdom of Judia.

CHAP. VII.

That we ought not to decide by the Precepts of Religion, what belongs only to the Law of Nature.

THE Abaſſines have a moſt ſevere lent of fifty days, which weakens them to ſuch a degree, that for a long time they are incapable of buſineſs: the Turks (p) do not fail to attack them after their lent. Religion ought, in favour of the natural right of ſelf-defence, to ſet bounds to theſe cuſtoms. BOOK XXVI. Chap. 7. and 8.

(p) Collection of Voyages that contributed to the eſtabliſhment of an Eaſt-India company, vol. 4. pag. 35, and 103.

The Jews were obliged to keep the ſabbath; but it was an inſtance of great ſtupidity in this nation, not to defend themſelves when their enemies choſe to attack them on this day.

Cambyſes laying ſiege to Peluſium, ſet in the firſt rank a great number of thoſe animals, which the Ægyptians regarded as ſacred; the conſequence was, that the ſoldiers of the garriſon durſt not moleſt them. Who does not ſee that ſelf-defence is a duty ſuperior to every precept?

CHAP. VIII.

That we ought not to regulate by the Principles of the canon Law, Things which ſhould be regulated by thoſe of the civil Law.

BY the (q) civil law of the Romans, he who took a thing privately from a ſacred place, was puniſhed only for the guilt of theft: by the (r) canon law, he is puniſhed for the crime of ſacrilege. The canon law takes cognizance of the

(q) Leg. ff. *ad leg. Juliam peculatus.*

(r) Capite quiſquis 17. queſtione 4. *Cujas* obſervat. lib. 13. cap. 19. tom. 3.

Book XXVI. Chap. 8.

place; the civil law of the fact. But to attend only to the place, is neither to reflect on the nature and definition of a theft, nor on the nature and definition of ſacrilege.

As the huſband may demand a ſeparation, by reaſon of the infidelity of his wife; the wife might formerly (f) demand it, on account of the infidelity of the huſband. This cuſtom, contrary to a regulation made in the (t) Roman laws, was introduced into the eccleſiaſtic courts *, where nothing was regarded but the maxims of canon law; and indeed, if we conſider marriage as a thing merely ſpiritual, and as relating only to the things of another life, the violation is in both caſes the ſame. But the political and civil laws of almoſt all nations, have, with reaſon, made a diſtinction between them. They have required from the women a degree of reſerve and continency, which they have not exacted from the men; becauſe, in women, a violation of chaſtity ſuppoſes a renunciation of all virtue; becauſe women, by violating the laws of marriage, quit the ſtate of their natural dependence; becauſe nature has marked the infidelity of women with certain ſigns; and, in fine, becauſe the children of the wife born in adultery neceſſarily belong, and are an expence to the huſband, while the children produced by the adultery of the huſband, are not the wife's, nor are an expence to the wife.

(f) *Beaumanoir* on the ancient cuſtoms of Beauvoiſis, chap. 18.

(t) Law of the firſt Code *ad leg. Juliam de adulteriis.*

* At preſent they do not take cognizance of theſe things in France.

CHAP.

CHAP. IX.

That Things which ought to be regulated by the Principles of civil Law, can ſeldom be regulated by thoſe of Religion.

THE laws of religion have a greater ſublimity; the civil laws a greater extent. BOOK XXVI. Chap. 9.

The laws of perfection drawn from religion have more in view the goodneſs of the perſon that obſerves them, than of the ſociety in which they are obſerved: the civil laws, on the contrary, have more in view the moral goodneſs of men in general, than that of individuals.

Thus, venerable as thoſe ideas are which immediately ſpring from religion, they ought not always to ſerve as a firſt principle to the civil laws; becauſe theſe have another, the general welfare of ſociety.

The Romans made regulations amongſt themſelves, to preſerve the morals of their women; theſe were political inſtitutions. Upon the eſtabliſhment of monarchy, they made civil laws on this head, and formed them on the principles of their civil government. When the Chriſtian religion became predominant, the new laws that were then made, had leſs relation to the general rectitude of morals, than to the holineſs of marriage; they had leſs regard to the union of the two ſexes in a civil, than in a ſpiritual ſtate.

At firſt, by the (u) Roman law, a huſband, who brought back his wife into his houſe, after ſhe had been found guilty of adultery, was puniſhed as an accomplice in her debauch. Juſtinian (x), from other

(u) Leg. 11. § ult. ff. *ad leg.* Juliam de adulteriis.

(x) Nov. 134. Col. 9. cap. 10. tit. 170.

Book XXVI. Chap. 9.

other principles, ordained, that during the ſpace of two years he might go and take her again out of the monaſtery.

Formerly, when a woman, whoſe huſband was gone to war, heard no longer any tidings of him, ſhe might eaſily marry again, becauſe ſhe had in her hands the power of making a divorce. The law of (y) Conſtantine obliged the woman to wait four years, after which ſhe might ſend the bill of divorce to the general; and, if her huſband returned, he could not then charge her with adultery. But Juſtinian (z) decreed, that let the time be never ſo long after the departure of her huſband, ſhe ſhould not marry, unleſs by the depoſition and oath of the general ſhe could prove the death of her huſband. Juſtinian had in view the indiſſolubility of marriage; but we may ſafely ſay, that he had it too much in view. He demanded a poſitive proof, when a negative one was ſufficient; he required a thing extremely difficult, to give an account of the fate of a man at a great diſtance, and expoſed to ſo many accidents; he preſumed a crime, that is, a deſertion of the huſband, when it was ſo natural to preſume his death. He injured the commonwealth, by obliging women to live out of marriage; he injured individuals, by expoſing them to a thouſand dangers.

(y) Leg. 7. *de repudiis & judicio de morib. ſublato.*

(z) Auth. hodie quantiſcumque cod. *de repudiis.*

The law of Juſtinian (a), which ranked amongſt the cauſes of divorce the conſent of the huſband and wife to enter into a monaſtery, was entirely oppoſite to the principles of the civil laws. It is natural that the cauſes of divorce ſhould have their origin in certain impediments, which could not be foreſeen before marriage; but this deſire of

(a) Auth. quod hodie cod. *de repudiis.*

of preſerving chaſtity might be foreſeen, ſince it is in ourſelves. This law favours inconſtancy in a ſtate, which is by its very nature perpetual; it ſhock the fundamental principle of divorce, which permits the diſſolution of one marriage only from the hope of another. In ſhort, if we view it in a religious light, it is no more than giving victims to God without a ſacrifice.

CHAP. X.

In what Caſe we ought to follow the civil Law, which permits, and not the Law of Religion which forbids.

WHEN a religion which prohibits polygamy, is introduced into a country where it is permitted, we cannot believe, (ſpeaking only as a politician) that the laws of the country ought to ſuffer a man who has many wives to embrace this religion; unleſs the magiſtrate or the huſband ſhould indemnify them, by reſtoring them ſome way or other to their civil ſtate. Without this, their condition would be deplorable; no ſooner would they obey the laws, than they would find themſelves deprived of the greateſt advantages of ſociety.

CHAP. XI.

That human Courts of Juſtice ſhould not be regulated by the Maxims of thoſe Tribunals which relate to the other Life.

THE tribunal of the inquiſition, formed by the Chriſtian monks on the idea of the tribunal of

Book XXVI. Chap. 12. and 13.

penitence, is contrary to all good policy. It has every where met with a general diſlike, and muſt have ſunk under the oppoſitions it met with, if thoſe who were reſolved to eſtabliſh it, had not drawn advantages even from theſe oppoſitions.

This tribunal is inſupportable in all governments. In monarchies, it only makes informers and traitors; in republics, it only forms diſhoneſt men; in a deſpotic ſtate, it is as deſtructive as the government itſelf.

CHAP. XII.

The ſame Subject continued.

IT is one abuſe of this tribunal, that of two perſons accuſed of the ſame crime, he who denies, is condemned to die; and he who confeſſes, avoids the puniſhment. This has its ſource in monaſtic ideas, where he who denies, ſeems in a ſtate of impenitence and damnation; and he who confeſſes, in a ſtate of repentance and ſalvation. But a diſtinction of this kind can have no relation to human tribunals. Human juſtice, which ſees only the actions, has but one compact with men, namely, that of innocence; divine juſtice, which ſees the thoughts, has two, that of innocence and repentance.

CHAP. XIII.

In what Caſes, with regard to Marriages, we ought to follow the Laws of Religion; and in what Caſes we ſhould follow the civil Laws.

IT has happened in all ages and countries, that religion has been blended with marriages. When certain

certain things have been conſidered as impure or unlawful, and were nevertheleſs become neceſſary, they were obliged to call in religion, to legitimate in the one caſe, and to reprove in others.

On the other hand, as marriage is of all human actions that in which ſociety is moſt intereſted, it became proper that this ſhould be regulated by the civil laws.

Every thing which relates to the nature of marriage, its form, the manner of contracting it, the fruitfulneſs it occaſions, which has made all nations conſider it as the object of a particular benediction; a benediction which, not being always annexed to it, is ſuppoſed to depend on certain ſuperior graces; all this, I ſay, is within the reſort of religion.

The conſequences of this union, with regard to property, the reciprocal advantages, every thing which has a relation to the new family, to that from which it ſprung, and to that which is expected to ariſe; all this relates to the civil laws.

As one of the great objects of marriage is to take away that uncertainty which attends unlawful conjunctions, religion here ſtamps its ſeal, and the civil laws join theirs to it; to the end that it may be as authentic as poſſible. Thus, beſides the conditions required by religion to make a marriage valid, the civil laws may ſtill exact others.

The civil laws receive this power from their being additional obligations, and not contradictory ones. The law of religion inſiſts upon certain ceremonies, the civil laws on the conſent of fathers; in this caſe, they demand ſomething more than that of religion, but they demand nothing contrary to it.

It

Book XXVI. Chap. 13.

It follows from hence, that the religious law muſt decide whether the bond be indiſſoluble, or not; for if the laws of religion had made the bond indiſſoluble, and the civil laws had declared it might be broken, they would be contradictory to each other.

Sometimes the regulations made by the civil laws, with reſpect to marriage, are not abſolutely neceſſary; ſuch are thoſe eſtabliſhed by the laws, which, inſtead of annulling the marriage, only puniſh thoſe who contract it.

Amongſt the Romans, the Papian law declared thoſe marriages illegal which had been prohibited, and yet only ſubjected them to a penalty *; but a Senatus Conſultum, made at the inſtance of the emperor Marcus Antoninus, declared them void; there then no longer ſubſiſted † any ſuch thing as a marriage, wife, dowry, or huſband. The civil laws determine according to circumſtances: ſometimes they are moſt attentive to repair the evil; at others, to prevent it.

CHAP. XIV.

In what Inſtances Marriages between Relations ſhould be regulated by the Laws of Nature; and in what Inſtances by the civil Laws.

WITH regard to the prohibition of marriage between relations, it is a thing extremely delicate, to fix exactly the point at which

* See what has been ſaid on this ſubject, in book 23. chap. 21. in the relation they bear to the number of inhabitants.

† See Law 16. ff. *de ritu nuptiarum*; and Law 3. § 1. alſo Digeſt. *de donationibus inter virum & uxorem.*

the

the laws of nature ſtop, and where the civil laws begin. For this purpoſe, we muſt eſtabliſh ſome principles.

The marriage of the ſon with the mother confounds the ſtate of things: the ſon ought to have an unlimited reſpect to his mother, the wife an unlimited reſpect to her huſband; therefore the marriage of the mother to her ſon, would ſubvert the natural ſtate of both.

Beſides, nature has forwarded in women the time in which they are able to have children, but has retarded it in men; and, for the ſame reaſon, women ſooner loſe this ability, and men later. If the marriage between the mother and the ſon were permitted, it would almoſt always be the caſe, that when the huſband was capable of entering into the views of nature, the wife would be incapable.

The marriage between the father and the daughter is contrary to nature, as well as the other; but it is not leſs contrary, becauſe it has not theſe two obſtacles. Thus the Tartars, who may marry their daughters*, never marry their mothers, as we ſee in the accounts we have of that nation (b).

(b) Hiſt. of the Tartars, part 3. pag. 236.

It has ever been the natural duty of fathers to watch over the chaſtity of their children. Intruſted with the care of their education, they are obliged to preſerve the body in the greateſt perfection, and the mind from the leaſt corruption; to encourage whatever has a tendency to inſpire them with virtuous deſires, and to nouriſh a becom-

* This law is very ancient amongſt them. Attila, ſays Priſcus in his embaſſy, ſtopt in a certain place to marry Eſca his daughter. *A thing permitted*, he adds, *by the laws of the Scythians*, pag. 22.

ing

[Book XXVI. Chap. 14.

ing tenderneſs. Fathers, always employed in preſerving the morals of their children, muſt have a natural averſion to every thing that can render them corrupt. Marriage, you will ſay, is not a corruption: but before marriage they muſt ſpeak, they muſt make their perſons beloved, they muſt ſeduce: it is this ſeduction which ought to inſpire us with horror.

There ſhould be therefore an unſurmountable barrier between thoſe who ought to give the education, and thoſe who are to receive it; in order to prevent every kind of corruption, even though the motive be lawful. Why do fathers ſo carefully deprive thoſe who are to marry their daughters, of their company and familiarity?

The horror that ariſes againſt the inceſt of the brother with the ſiſter, ſhould proceed from the ſame ſource. The deſire of fathers and mothers to preſerve the morals of their children and families untainted, is ſufficient to inſpire their offspring with a deteſtation of every thing that can lead to the union of the two ſexes.

The prohibition of marriage between couſin-germans, has the ſame original. In the early ages, that is, in the times of innocence; in the ages when luxury was unknown, it was cuſtomary for children *, upon their marriage, not to remove from their parents, but to ſettle in the ſame houſe; as a ſmall habitation was at that time ſufficient for a large family: the children † of two brothers, or couſin-germans, were conſidered both by others

* It was thus amongſt the ancient Romans.

† Amongſt the Romans they had the ſame name, the couſin-germans were called brothers.

and

and themſelves, as brothers. The eſtrangement then between the brothers and ſiſters, as to marriage *, ſubſiſted alſo between the couſin-germans. Book XXVI. Chap. 14.

Theſe principles are ſo ſtrong and ſo natural, that they have had their influence almoſt over all the earth, independently of any communication. It was not the Romans who taught the inhabitants of Formoſa (c), that the marriage of relations of the fourth degree was inceſtuous: it was not the Romans that communicated this ſentiment to the Arabs (d): it was not they who taught it to the inhabitants of the Maldivian iſlands (e).

But if ſome nations have not rejected marriages between fathers and children, ſiſters and brothers; we have ſeen in the firſt book, that intelligent beings do not always follow the law of nature. Who could have imagined it! Religious ideas have frequently made men fall into theſe miſtakes. If the Aſſyrians and the Perſians married their mothers, the firſt were influenced by a religious reſpect for Semiramis; and the ſecond did it, becauſe the religion of Zoroaſter gave a preference † to theſe marriages. If the Ægyptians married their ſiſters, it proceeded from the wildneſs of the Ægyptian religion, which conſecrated theſe marriages in honour of Iſis. As the ſpirit of religion leads us to attempt whatever is great and difficult, we

(c) Collection of Voyages to the Indies, vol. 5. part 1. An account of the ſtate of the iſle of Formoſa.

(d) Koran, chap. *of Women.*

(e) See Francis Pirrard.

* It was thus at Rome in the firſt ages, till the people made a law to permit them; they were willing to favour a man extremely popular, who had married his couſin-german. Plutarch's Treatiſe, intitled, *Queſtions concerning the affairs of the Romans.*

† They were conſidered as more honourable. See Philo, *de ſpecialibus legib. quæ pertinent ad præcepta decalogi.* Paris, 1640. pag. 778.

cannot

Book XXVI. Chap. 14.

cannot infer that a thing is natural, from its being conſecrated by a falſe religion.

The principle which informs us that marriages between fathers and children, between brothers and ſiſters, are prohibited, in order to preſerve natural modeſty in families, will help us to the diſcovery of thoſe marriages that are forbidden by the law of nature, and of thoſe which can be ſo only by the civil law.

As children dwell, or are ſuppoſed to dwell in their father's houſe, and conſequently the ſon-in-law with the mother-in-law, the father-in-law with the daughter-in-law, or wife's daughter; the marriage between them is forbidden by the law of nature. In this caſe the reſemblance has the ſame effect as the reality, becauſe it ſprings from the ſame cauſe: the civil law neither can, nor ought to permit theſe marriages.

There are nations, as we have already obſerved, amongſt whom couſin-germans are conſidered as brothers, becauſe they commonly dwell in the ſame houſe: there are others, where this cuſtom is not known. Among the firſt, the marriage of couſin-germans ought to be regarded as contrary to nature; not ſo among the others.

But the laws of nature cannot be local. Therefore, when theſe marriages are forbidden, or permitted, they are, according to the circumſtances, permitted or forbidden by a civil law.

It is not a neceſſary cuſtom for the brother-in-law and the ſiſter-in-law to dwell in the ſame houſe. The marriage between them is not then prohibited to preſerve chaſtity in the family; and the law which forbids or permits it, is not a law of nature,

nature, but a civil law, regulated by circumſtances, and dependent on the cuſtoms of each country: theſe are caſes in which the laws depend on the morals, or cuſtoms of the inhabitants.

The civil laws forbid marriages, when by the cuſtoms received in a certain country they are found to be in the ſame circumſtances as thoſe forbidden by the law of nature; and they permit them when this is not the caſe. The prohibitions of the laws of nature are invariable, becauſe the thing on which they depend is invariable; the father, the mother, and the children, neceſſarily dwell in the ſame houſe. But the prohibitions of the civil laws are accidental, becauſe they depend on an accidental circumſtance; couſin-germans and others dwelling in the houſe by accident.

This explains why the laws of Moſes, thoſe of the Ægyptians (f), and of many other nations, permitted the marriage of the brother-in-law with the ſiſter-in-law; whilſt theſe very marriages were diſallowed by other nations.

(f) See Law 8. of the Code *de inceſtis & inutilibus nuptiis.*

In the Indies they have a very natural reaſon for admitting this ſort of marriages. The uncle is there conſidered as the father, and is obliged to maintain and educate his nephew, as if he were his own child: this proceeds from the diſpoſition of theſe people, which is good-natured and full of humanity. This law, or this cuſtom, has produced another; if a huſband has loſt his wife, he does not fail to marry her ſiſter; which is extremely natural, for his new conſort becomes the mother of her ſiſter's children, and not a cruel ſtep-mother.

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

That we ſhould not regulate by the Principles of political Law, thoſe Things which depend on the Principles of civil Law.

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 15.

AS men have given up their natural independence to live under political laws, they have given up the natural community of goods to live under civil laws.

By the firſt, they acquired liberty; by the ſecond, property. We ſhould not decide by the laws of liberty, which, as we have already ſaid, is only the government of the community, what ought to be decided by the laws concerning property. It is a paralogiſm to ſay, that the good of the individual ſhould give way to that of the public: this can never take place, but when the government of the community, or, in other words, the liberty of the ſubject is concerned; this does not affect ſuch caſes as relate to private property, becauſe the public good conſiſts in every one's having that property, which was given him by the civil laws, invariably preſerved.

Cicero maintains, that the Agrarian laws were unjuſt; becauſe the community was eſtabliſhed with no view, but that every one might be able to preſerve his property.

Let us therefore lay down as a certain maxim, that whenever the public good happens to be the matter in queſtion, it is not for the advantage of the public to deprive an individual of his property, or even to retrench the leaſt part of it by a law, or a political regulation. In this caſe we ſhould follow

follow the rigour of the civil law, which is the *Palladium* of property. BOOK XXVI. Chap. 15.

Thus when the public has occaſion for the eſtate of an individual, it ought never to act by the rigour of political law: it is here that the civil law ought to triumph, who with the eyes of a mother regards every individual as the whole community.

If the political magiſtrate would erect a public edifice, or make a new road, he muſt indemnify thoſe who are injured by it: the public is in this reſpect like an individual, who treats with an individual. It is full enough that it can oblige a citizen to ſell his inheritance, and that it can ſtrip him of this great privilege which he holds from the civil law, the not being forced to alienate his poſſeſſions.

After the nations which ſubverted the Roman empire had abuſed their very conqueſts, the ſpirit of liberty called them back to that of equity. They exerciſed the moſt barbarous laws with moderation! and if any one ſhould doubt the truth of this, they need only read Beaumanoir's admirable work on juriſprudence, written in the twelfth century.

They mended the highways in his time, as we do at preſent. He ſays, that when a highway could not be repaired, they made a new one as near the old as poſſible; but indemnified the proprietors at the * expence of thoſe who reaped any advantage from the road. They determined at that time by the civil law; in our days, we determine by the law of politics.

* The lord appointed collectors to receive the toll from the peaſant, the gentlemen were obliged to contribute by the count, and the clergy by the biſhop. *Beaumanoir*, chap. 22.

CHAP. XVI.

That we ought not to decide by the Rules of the civil Law, when it is proper to decide by thoſe of the political Law.

Book XXVI. Chap. 16.

MOST difficulties on this ſubject may be eaſily ſolved, by not confounding the rules derived from property with thoſe which ſpring from liberty.

Is the demeſne of a ſtate or government alienable, or is it not? This queſtion ought to be decided by the political law, and not by the civil. It ought not to be decided by the civil law, becauſe it is as neceſſary that there ſhould be demeſnes for the ſubſiſtence of a ſtate, as that the ſtate ſhould have civil laws to regulate the diſpoſal of property.

If then they alienate the demeſne, the ſtate will be forced to make a new fund for another. But this expedient overturns the political government, becauſe, by the nature of the thing, for every demeſne that ſhall be eſtabliſhed, the ſubject will always be obliged to pay more, and the ſovereign to receive leſs; in a word, the demeſne is neceſſary, and the alienation is not.

The order of ſucceſſion is, in monarchies, founded on the welfare of the ſtate; this makes it neceſſary that ſuch an order ſhould be fixed, to avoid the misfortunes, which, I have ſaid, muſt ariſe in a deſpotic kingdom, where all is uncertain, becauſe all is arbitrary.

The order of ſucceſſion is not fixed for the ſake of the reigning family; but becauſe it is the intereſt of

of the ſtate, that it ſhould have a reigning family. The law which regulates the ſucceſſion of individuals, is a civil law, whoſe view is the intereſt of individuals; that which regulates the ſucceſſion to monarchy, is a political law, which has in view the welfare and preſervation of the kingdom. Book XXVI. Chap. 16.

It follows from hence, that when the political law has eſtabliſhed an order of ſucceſſion in government, and this order is at an end, it is abſurd to reclaim the ſucceſſion in virtue of the civil law of any nation whatſoever. One particular ſociety does not make laws for another ſociety. The civil laws of the Romans are no more applicable than any other civil laws. They themſelves did not make uſe of them, when they proceeded againſt kings: and the maxims by which they judged kings are ſo abominable, that they ought never to be revived.

It follows alſo from hence, that when the political law has obliged a family to renounce the ſucceſſion, it is abſurd to inſiſt upon the reſtitutions drawn from the civil law. Reſtitutions are in the law, and may be good againſt thoſe who live in the law: but they are not proper for ſuch as have been raiſed up for the law, and who live for the law.

It is ridiculous to pretend to decide the rights of kingdoms, of nations, and of the whole globe, by the ſame maxims on which (to make uſe of an expreſſion of (z) Cicero) we ſhould determine the right of a gutter between individuals.

(z) Lib. 1. of Laws.

CHAP. XVII.

The ſame Subject continued.

Book XXVI. Chap. 17.

OSTRACISM ought to be examined by the rules of politics, and not by thoſe of the civil law; and ſo far is this cuſtom from rendering a popular government odious, that it is, on the contrary, extremely well adapted to prove its lenity. We ſhould be ſenſible of this ourſelves, if, while baniſhment is always conſidered amongſt us as a penalty, we were able to ſeparate the idea of oſtraciſm from that of puniſhment.

(h) Repub. lib. 3. cap. 13.

Ariſtotle (h) tells us, it is univerſally allowed, that this practice has ſomething in it both humane and popular. If in thoſe times and places where this ſentence was executed, they found nothing in it that appeared odious; is it for us, who ſee things at ſuch a diſtance, to think otherwiſe than the accuſer, the judges, and the accuſed themſelves?

And if we conſider that this judgment of the people loaded the perſon with glory on whom it was paſſed; that when at Athens it fell upon a man without (i) merit, from that very moment they ceaſed to * uſe it; we ſhall find that numbers of people have entertained a falſe idea of it; for it was an admirable law that could prevent the ill conſequences, which the glory of a citizen might produce, by loading him with new glory.

(i) *Hyperbolus.* See *Plutarch*, life of Ariſtides.

* It was found oppoſite to the ſpirit of the legiſlator.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVIII.

That it is neceſſary to enquire, whether the Laws which ſeem contradictory, are of the ſame Claſs.

AT Rome the huſband was permitted to lend his wife to another. Plutarch tells us this (k) in expreſs terms. We know that Cato lent his (l) wife to Hortenſius, and Cato was not a man to violate the laws of his country.

On the other hand, a huſband who ſuffered his wife to be debauched, who did not bring her to juſtice, or who took her again after her (m) condemnation, was puniſhed. Theſe laws ſeem to contradict each other, and yet are not contradictory. The law which permitted a Roman to lend his wife, was viſibly a Lacedæmonian inſtitution, eſtabliſhed with a view of giving the republic children of a good ſpecies, if I may be allowed the term: the other had in view the preſervation of morals. The firſt was a law of politics, the ſecond a civil law.

Book XXVI. Chap. 18. and 19.

(k) *Plutarch*, in his compariſon between *Lycurgus* and *Numa*.

(l) *Plutarch*, life of *Cato*.

(m) Leg. 11. § ult. ff. *ad leg. Jul. de adulteriis*.

CHAP. XIX.

That we ſhould not decide thoſe Things by the civil Law, which ought to be decided by domeſtic Laws.

THE law of the Viſigoths enjoins, that the (n) ſlaves of the houſe ſhall be obliged to bind the man and woman they ſurprize in adultery, and to preſent them to the huſband and to the judge: a terrible law, which puts into the

(n) Law of the Viſigoths, lib. 3. tit. 4. § 6.

hands of ſuch mean perſons the care of public, domeſtic, and private vengeance!

This law can be no where proper but in the ſeraglio's of the eaſt, where the ſlave who has the charge of the incloſure, is deemed an accomplice upon the diſcovery of the leaſt infidelity. He ſeizes the criminals, not ſo much with a view to bring them to juſtice, as to do juſtice to himſelf, and to obtain a ſcrutiny into the circumſtances of the action, in order to remove the ſuſpicion of his negligence.

But, in countries where women are not guarded, it is ridiculous to ſubject thoſe who govern the family, to the inquiſition of their ſlaves.

This inquiſition may, in certain caſes, be at the moſt a particular domeſtic regulation, but never a civil law.

CHAP. XX.

That we ought not to decide by the Principles of the civil Laws, thoſe Things which belong to the Law of Nations.

LIBERTY conſiſts principally in not being forced to do a thing, where the laws do not oblige: people are in this ſtate, only as they are governed by civil laws; and becauſe they live under thoſe civil laws, they are free.

It follows from hence, that princes who live not among themſelves under civil laws, are not free; they are governed by force; they may continually force, or be forced. From hence it follows, that treaties made by force, are as obligatory as thoſe nade by free conſent. When we who live under civil

civil laws, are, contrary to law, conſtrained to enter into a contract, we may, by the aſſiſtance of the law, recover from the effects of violence: but a prince, who is always in that ſtate in which he forces, or is forced, cannot complain of a treaty which he has been compelled to ſign. This would be to complain of his natural ſtate; it would ſeem as if he would be a prince with reſpect to other princes, and as if other princes ſhould be ſubjects with reſpect to him; that is, it would be contrary to the nature of things.

CHAP. XXI.

That we ſhould not decide by political Laws, Things which belong to the Law of Nations.

POLITICAL laws demand, that every man be ſubject to the natural and civil courts of the country where he reſides, and to the cenſure of the ſovereign.

The law of nations requires, that princes ſhall ſend ambaſſadors; and a reaſon drawn from the nature of things does not permit theſe ambaſſadors to depend either on the ſovereign, to whom they are ſent, or on his tribunals. They are the voice of the prince who ſends them, and this voice ought to be free; no obſtacle ſhould hinder the execution of their office; they may frequently offend, becauſe they ſpeak for a man entirely independent; they might be wrongfully accuſed, if they were liable to be puniſhed for crimes; if they could be arreſted for debts, theſe might be forged. Thus a prince, who has naturally a bold and enterprizing ſpirit, would ſpeak by the mouth

Book XXVI. Chap. 22, and 23,

of a man who had every thing to fear. We muſt then be guided, with reſpect to ambaſſadors, by reaſons drawn from the law of nations, and not by thoſe derived from political law. But if they make an ill uſe of their repreſentative character, a ſtop may be put to it by ſending them back. They may even be accuſed before their maſter, who becomes either their judge or their accomplice.

CHAP. XXII.

The unhappy State of the Ynca Athualpa.

THE principles we have juſt been eſtabliſhing, were cruelly violated by the Spaniards. The Ynca Athualpa (°) could not be tried by the law of nations; they tried him by political and civil laws; they accuſed him for putting to death ſome of his own ſubjects, for having many wives, &c. and to fill up the meaſure of their ſtupidity, they condemned him, not by the political and civil laws of his own country, but by the political and civil laws of theirs.

(°) See *Garcilaſſo de la Vega.*

CHAP. XXIII.

That when, by ſome Circumſtance, the political Law becomes deſtructive to the State, we ought to decide by ſuch a political Law as will preſerve it, which ſometimes becomes a Law of Nations.

WHEN that political law which has eſtabliſhed in the kingdom a certain order of ſucceſſion, becomes deſtructive to the body politic for whoſe ſake it was eſtabliſhed, there is not the leaſt

leaſt room to doubt but another political law may be made to change this order; and ſo far would this law be from oppoſing the firſt, it would in the main be entirely conformable to it, ſince both would depend on this principle, that, THE SAFETY OF THE PEOPLE IS THE SUPREME LAW. Book XXVI. Chap. 23.

I have ſaid (p), that a great ſtate becoming acceſſory to another, is itſelf weakened, and even weakens the principal. We know, that it is for the intereſt of the ſtate to have the ſupreme magiſtrate within itſelf, that the public revenues be well adminiſtered, and that its ſpecie be not ſent abroad to enrich another country. It is of importance, that he who is to govern, has not imbibed foreign maxims: theſe are leſs agreeable than thoſe already eſtabliſhed. Beſides, men have an extravagant fondneſs for their own laws and cuſtoms: theſe conſtitute the happineſs of every community; and, as we learn from the hiſtories of all nations, are rarely changed without violent commotions, and a great effuſion of blood.

(p) See book 5. chap. 14. book 8. chap. 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20. book 9. chap. 4, 5, 6, and 7. and book 10. chap. 9 and 10.

It follows from hence, that if a great ſtate has to its heir the poſſeſſor of a great ſtate, the former may reaſonably exclude him, becauſe a change in the order of ſucceſſion muſt be of ſervice to both countries. Thus a law of Ruſſia, made in the beginning of the reign of Elizabeth, moſt wiſely excluded from the poſſeſſion of the crown, every heir who poſſeſſed another monarchy; thus the law of Portugal diſqualifies every ſtranger, who lays claim to the crown by right of blood.

But if a nation may exclude, it may with greater reaſon be allowed a right to oblige a prince to renounce. If the people fear that a certain marriage will

Book XXVI. Chap. 24.

will be attended with ſuch conſequences, as ſhall rob the nation of its dependence, or diſmember ſome of its provinces, it may very juſtly oblige the contractors and their deſcendants to renounce all right over them; while he who renounces, and thoſe to whoſe prejudice he renounces, have the leſs reaſon to complain, as the ſtate might originally have made a law to exclude them.

CHAP. XXIV.

That the Regulations of the Police *are of a different Claſs from other civil Laws.*

THERE are criminals, whom the magiſtrate puniſhes, there are others whom he reproves. The former are ſubject to the power of the law, the latter to his authority: thoſe are cut off from ſociety; theſe they oblige to live according to the rules of ſociety.

In the exerciſe of the *Police*, it is rather the magiſtrate who puniſhes, than the law; in the ſentence paſt on crimes, it is rather the law which puniſhes, than the magiſtrate. The buſineſs of the *Police* conſiſts in affairs which ariſe every inſtant, and are commonly of a trifling nature: there is then but little need of formalties. The actions of the *Police* are quick; they are exerciſed over things which return every day; it would be therefore improper for it to inflict ſevere puniſhments. It is continually employed about minute particulars; great examples are therefore not deſigned for its purpoſe. It is governed rather by regulations than laws; thoſe who are ſubject to its juriſdiction, are

inceſſantly under the eye of the magiſtrate: it is therefore his fault if they fall into exceſs. Thus we ought not to confound a flagrant violation of the laws, with a ſimple breach of the *Police*; theſe things are of a different order. BOOK XXVI. Chap. 24.

From hence it follows, that the laws of an Italian * republic, where bearing fire-arms is puniſhed as a capital crime, and where it is not more fatal to make an ill uſe of them, than to carry them is not agreeable to the nature of things.

It follows, moreover, that the applauded action of that emperor, who cauſed a baker to be empaled whom he found guilty of a fraud, was the action of a ſultan, who knew not how to be juſt, without committing an outrage on juſtice.

CHAP. XXV.

That we ſhould not follow the general Diſpoſition of the civil Law, in Things which ought to be ſubject to particular Rules drawn from their own Nature.

IS it a good law, that all civil obligations paſſed between ſailors in a ſhip in the courſe of a voyage ſhould be null? Francis Pirard (q) tells us, that in his time it was not obſerved by the Portugueſe, though it was by the French. Men who are together only for a ſhort time; who have no wants, ſince they are provided for by the prince; who have only one object in view, that of their voyage; who are no longer

(q) Chap. 14. p. 12.

* Venice.

in

BOOK XXVI. Chap. 25.

in ſociety, but are only the inhabitants of a ſhip, ought not to contract obligations that were never introduced, but to ſupport the burthen of civil ſociety.

In the ſame ſpirit was the law of the Rhodians, made at a time when they always followed the coaſts; it ordained, that thoſe who during a tempeſt ſtaid in a veſſel, ſhould have ſhip and cargo, and thoſe who quitted it ſhould have nothing.

BOOK XXVII.

CHAP. I.

Of the Origin and Revolutions of the Roman Laws on Succeſſions.

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

THIS affair derives its eſtabliſhment from the moſt diſtant antiquity; and to penetrate to its foundation, permit me to ſearch among the firſt laws of the Romans, for what, I believe, no body yet has been ſo happy as to diſcover.

We know that Romulus (a) divided the land of his little kingdom among his ſubjects; it ſeems to me, that from hence the laws of Rome on ſucceſſions were derived.

(a) Dionyſ. Halicar. lib. 2. c. 3. *Plutarch*, compariſon between *Numa* and *Lycurgus*.

The law of the diviſion of lands made it neceſſary, that the property of one family ſhould not paſs into another: from hence it followed, that there were but two orders of heirs eſtabliſhed by law *, the children and all the deſcendants that lived under the power of the father, whom they called *ſui hæredes*, or his natural heirs; and, in their default, the neareſt relations on the male ſide, whom they called *agnati*.

It followed likewiſe, that the relations on the female ſide, whom they called *cognati*, ought not to

* *Aſt ſi inteſtatò moritur cui ſuus hæres nec extabit, agnatus proximus familiam habeto.* Fragment of the law of the twelve Tables in Ulpian, the laſt title.

ſucceed;

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

ſucceed; they would have conveyed the eſtate into another family, which was not allowed.

From thence alſo it followed, that the children ought not to ſucceed to the mother, nor the mother to her children; for this might carry the eſtate of one family into another. Thus we ſee them excluded (b) by the law of the twelve Tables; it called none to the ſucceſſion but the *agnati*, and there was no agnation between the ſon and the mother.

(b) See the Fragm. of Ulpian, § 8. tit. 26. Inſt. tit. 3. *in proœmio ad S. C. Tertullianum.*

But it was indifferent whether the *ſuus hæres*, or, in default of ſuch, the neareſt by agnation, was male or female; becauſe, as the relations on the mother's ſide could not ſucceed, though a woman who was an heireſs ſhould happen to marry, yet the eſtate always returned into the family from whence it came. On this account, the law of the twelve Tables does not diſtinguiſh, whether the perſon (c) who ſucceeded was male or female.

(c) Paulus, lib. 4. ſent. tit. 8. § 3.

This was the cauſe, that though the grandchildren by the ſon ſucceeded to the grandfather, the grandchildren by the daughter did not ſucceed; for, to prevent the eſtate from paſſing into another family, the *agnati* were preferred before them. Hence, the daughter, and not her (d) children, ſucceeded to the father.

(d) Inſt. tit. lib. 3.

Thus amongſt the primitive Romans, the women ſucceeded, when this was agreeable to the law of the diviſion of lands; and they did not ſucceed, when this law might ſuffer by it.

Such were the laws of ſucceſſion among the primitive Romans; and as theſe had a natural dependence on the conſtitution, and were derived from the diviſion of lands, it is eaſy to perceive, that they had not a foreign original, and were not

of

of the number of thoſe brought into the republic by the deputies ſent into the cities of Greece. BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

Dionyſius Halicarnaſſeus tells us (c), that Servius Tullius finding the laws of Romulus and Numa on the diviſion of lands aboliſhed, he reſtored them, and made new ones, to give the old a greater weight. We cannot therefore doubt, but that the laws we have been ſpeaking of, made in conſequence of this diviſion, were the work of theſe three Roman legiſlators.

(c) Lib. 4. pag. 276.

The order of ſucceſſion having been eſtabliſhed in conſequence of a political law, no citizen was allowed to break in upon it by his private will; that is, in the firſt ages of Rome, he had not the power of making a teſtament. Yet it would have been hard to deprive him, in his laſt moments, of the friendly commerce of kind and beneficent actions.

They therefore found a method of reconciling, in this reſpect, the laws with the deſires of the individual. He was permitted to diſpoſe of his ſubſtance, in an aſſembly of the people; and thus every teſtament was, in ſome ſort, an act of the legiſlative power.

The law of the twelve Tables permitted the perſon who made his will, to chuſe which citizen he pleaſed for his heir. The reaſon that induced the Roman laws ſo ſtrictly to reſtrain the number of thoſe who might ſucceed *ab inteſtato*, was the law of the diviſion of lands; and the reaſon why they extended ſo widely the power of the teſtator, was, that as the father might * ſell

* Dionyſius Halicarnaſſeus proves, by a law of *Numa*, that the law which permitted a father to ſell his ſon three times, was made by Romulus, and not by the Decemvirs. Lib. 2.

his

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

his children, he might with greater reaſon deprive them of his ſubſtance. Theſe were therefore different effects, ſince they flowed from different principles; and ſuch is, in this reſpect, the ſpirit of the Roman laws.

The ancient laws of Athens did not ſuffer a citizen to make a will. Solon (f) permitted it, with an exception to thoſe who had children: and the legiſlators of Rome, filled with the idea of paternal power, allowed the making a will even to the prejudice of their children. It muſt be confeſſed, that the ancient laws of Athens were more conſiſtent than thoſe of Rome. The indefinite permiſſion of making a will, which had been granted to the Romans, ruined by little and little the political regulation on the diviſions of lands: it was the principal thing that introduced the fatal difference between riches and poverty: many ſhares were united in the ſame perſon; ſome citizens had too much, and a multitude of others had nothing. Thus the people being continually deprived of their ſhares, were inceſſantly calling out for a new diſtribution of lands. They demanded it in an age when the frugality, the parſimony, and the poverty of the Romans, were their diſtinguiſhing characteriſtic; as well as at a time when their luxury was become ſtill more aſtoniſhing.

(f) See Plutarch, life of Solon.

Teſtaments being properly a law made in the aſſembly of the people, thoſe who were in the army were thereby deprived of a teſtamentary power. The people therefore gave the ſoldiers the privilege * of making before their companions, the

* This teſtament, called *in procinctu*, was different from that which they ſtiled military, which was eſtabliſhed only by the con-

the diſpoſitions which * ſhould have been made before them.

The great aſſembly of the people met but twice a year; beſides, both the people and the affairs brought before them were increaſed: they therefore judged it convenient to permit all the citizens to make their † will before ſome Roman citizens of ripe age, who were to repreſent the body of the people: they took five (g) citizens, in whoſe preſence the inheritor (h) purchaſed his family, that is, his inheritance of the teſtator; another citizen brought a pair of ſcales to weigh the value; for the Romans ‡, as yet, had no money.

(g) Ulpian, tit. 20. § 2.

(h) Theoph. Inſt. lib. 2. tit. 10.

To all appearance theſe five citizens were to repreſent the five claſſes of the people; and they ſet no value on the ſixth, as being compoſed of men who had no property.

We ought not to ſay, with Juſtinian, that theſe ſales were merely imaginary; they became, indeed, imaginary in time, but were not ſo originally. Moſt of the laws which afterwards regulated wills, were built on the reality of theſe ſales: we find ſufficient proof of this in the fragments of Ulpian (i). The deaf, the dumb, the prodigal, could not make a will; the deaf, becauſe he could not hear the words of the buyer of the inheritance; the dumb, becauſe he could not pro-

(i) Tit. 20. § 13.

conſtitutions of the emperors. Leg. 1. ff. *de militari teſtamento.* This was one of the artifices by which they cajoled the ſoldiers.

* This teſtament was not in writing, and it was without formality, *ſine librâ & tabulis,* as Cicero ſays, lib. 1. *de Oratore.*

† Inſtitut. lib. 2. tit. 10. § 1. Aulus Gellius, lib. 15. cap. 27. They called this form of teſtament *per æs & libram.*

‡ T. Livy, lib. 4. *nondum argentum ſignatum erat.* He ſpeaks of the time of the ſiege of Veii.

nounce the terms of nomination; the prodigal, becauſe as he was excluded from the management of all affairs, he could not ſell his inheritance. I omit any farther examples.

Wills being made in the aſſembly of the people, were rather the acts of political than of civil laws, a public rather than a private right; from whence it followed, that the father, while his ſon was under his authority, could not give him leave to make a will.

Among moſt nations, wills are not ſubject to greater formalities than ordinary contracts: becauſe both the one and the other are only expreſſions of the will of him who makes the contract, and both are equally a private right. But, among the Romans, where teſtaments were derived from the public law, they were attended with much greater formalities (k), than other affairs; and this is ſtill the caſe in thoſe provinces of France, which are governed by the Roman law.

(k) Inſtit. lib. 2. tit. 10. § 1.

Teſtaments being, as I have ſaid, a law of the people, they ought to be made with the force of a command, and in ſuch terms as are called *direct and imperative* *. Hence a rule was formed, that they could neither give nor tranſmit an inheritance, without making uſe of the imperative words: from whence it followed, that they might very juſtly in certain caſes make a ſubſtitution †; and ordain, that the inheritance ſhould paſs to another heir; but that they could never make a fiduciary bequeſt ‡, that is, charge any one in terms of in-

* Let Titius be my heir.

† Vulgar, pupillary, and exemplary.

‡ Auguſtus, for particular reaſons, firſt began to authoriſe the fiduciary bequeſt, which in the Roman law was called *fidei commiſſum*. Inſtit. lib. 2. tit. 23. *in proœmio*.

treaty

treaty to reſtore an inheritance, or a part of it, to another.

When the father neither inſtituted his ſon his heir, nor diſinherited him, the will was annulled; but it was valid, though he did not diſinherit his daughter, nor inſtitute her his heireſs. The reaſon is plain: when he neither inſtituted nor diſinherited his ſon, he did an injury to his grandſon, who might have ſucceeded *ab inteſtato* to his father; but in neither inſtituting nor diſinheriting his daughter, he did no injury to his daughter's children, who could not ſucceed *ab inteſtato* to their mother*, becauſe they were neither *ſui hæredes*, nor *agnati*.

The laws of the ancient Romans concerning ſucceſſions being formed with the ſame ſpirit which dictated the diviſion of lands, did not ſufficiently reſtrain the riches of women; thus a door was left open to luxury, which is always inſeparable from this ſort of opulence. Between the ſecond and third Punic war, they began to perceive the evil, and made the Voconian † law: but as they were induced to this by the moſt important conſiderations; as but few monuments have reached us that take notice of this law; and as it has hitherto been ſpoken in a moſt confuſed manner, I ſhall endeavour to clear it up.

Cicero has preſerved a fragment, which forbids

* *Ad liberos matris inteſtatæ hæreditas.* Lib. 12. Tab. *non pertinebat, quia fœminæ ſuos hæredes non habent.* Ulpian, Fragm. tit. 26. § 7.

† It was propoſed by Quintus Voconius, tribune of the people. See Cicero's ſecond oration againſt Verres. In the Epitome of T. Livy, lib. 41. we ſhould read Voconius, inſtead of Volumnius.

Book XXVII. Chap. 1.

the inſtituting a woman an * heireſs, whether ſhe was married or unmarried.

The Epitome of Livy, where he ſpeaks of this law, ſays † no more: it appears from (l) Cicero and St. Auguſtin, that the daughter, though an only child (m), was comprehended in the prohibition.

Cato the elder (n) contributed all in his power, to get this law paſſed. Aulus Gellius cites a fragment (o) of a ſpeech, which he made on this occaſion. By preventing the ſucceſſion of women, his intent was to take away the ſource of luxury: as by undertaking the defence of the Oppian law, he intended to put a ſtop to luxury itſelf.

In the Inſtitutes of Juſtinian (p) and Theophilus (q), mention is made of a chapter of the Voconian law, which limits the power of bequeathing. In reading theſe authors, every body would imagine, that this chapter was made to prevent the inheritance from being ſo exauſted by legacies, as to render it unworthy of the heir's acceptance. But this was not the ſpirit of the Voconian law. We have juſt ſeen, that they had in view the hindering women from inheriting an eſtate. The article of this law, which ſet bounds to the power of bequeathing, entered into this view: for if people had been poſſeſſed of the liberty to bequeath as much as they pleaſed, the women might have received as legacies, what they could not receive by ſucceſſion.

(l) Second Oration againſt Verres.

(m) Of the city of God, lib. 3.

(n) Epitome of Livy, lib. 40.

(o) Lib. 27. cap. 6.

(p) Inſtit. lib. 3. tit. 22.

(q) Ibid.

* *Sanxit . . . . . ne quis hæredem virginem neve mulierem faceret.* Cicero's ſecond Oration againſt Verres.

* *Legem tulit, ne quis hæredem mulierem inſtitueret.* Lib. 41.

The

The Voconian law was made to hinder the women from growing too wealthy; for this end it was neceſſary to deprive them of large inheritances, and not of ſuch as were incapable of ſupporting luxury. The law fixed a certain ſum, to be given to the women whom it deprived of the ſucceſſion. Cicero*, from whom we have this particular, does not tell us what was the ſum; but by Dio † we are informed, it was a hundred thouſand ſeſterces.

The Voconian law was made to regulate opulence, not to lay a reſtraint upon poverty; hence Cicero ‡ informs us, that it related only to thoſe whoſe names were regiſtered in the conſuls' books.

This furniſhed a pretence for eluding the law: it is well known that the Romans were extremely fond of ſet forms; and we have already taken notice, that it was the ſpirit of the republic to follow the letter of the law. There were fathers who would not give in their names to be enrolled by the cenſors, becauſe they would have it in their power to leave the ſucceſſion to a daughter: and the prætors determined, that this was no violation of the Voconian law, ſince it was not contrary to the letter of it.

One Anius Aſellus had appointed his daughter his ſole heir and executrix. He had a right to make this diſpoſition, ſays Cicero ‖; he was not reſtrained by the Voconian law, ſince he was not

* Nemo cenſuit plus Fadiæ dandum, quam poſſet ad eam lege Voconia pervenire. *De finibus boni & mali*, lib. 6.

† Cum lege Voconia mulieribus prohiberetur, ne qua majorem centum millibus nummum hæreditatem poſſet adire. Lib. 56.

‡ *Qui cenſus eſſet.* Second Oration againſt Verres.

‖ *Cenſus non erat.* Ibid.

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

included in the cenſus. Verres, during the time of his prætorſhip, had deprived Anius's daughter of the ſucceſſion; and Cicero maintains that Verres had been bribed, otherwiſe he would not have annulled a diſpoſition which all the other prætors had confirmed.

What kind of citizens then muſt thoſe have been, who were not regiſtered in the cenſus, in which all the freemen of Rome were included? According to the inſtitution of Servius Tullius, mentioned by Dionyſius of Halicarnaſſus (r), every citizen not enrolled in the cenſus became a ſlave: even Cicero himſelf (s) obſerves, that ſuch a man forfeited his liberty: and the ſame thing is affirmed by Zonaras. There muſt have been therefore a difference between not being in the cenſus according to the ſpirit of the Voconian law, and not being in it according to the ſpirit of Servius Tullius's inſtitutions.

(r) Lib. 4.

(s) In oratione pro Cæcina.

They whoſe names were not regiſtered in the five firſt claſſes, in which the inhabitants ranked in proportion to their fortunes, were not comprized in the cenſus * according to the ſpirit of the Voconian law: they who were not enrolled in one of theſe ſix claſſes, or who were not ranked by the cenſors among ſuch as were called *ærarii*, were not included in the cenſus, according to the ſpirit of Servius's inſtitutions. Such was the force of nature, that to elude the Voconian law, fathers ſubmitted to the diſgrace of being confounded in the ſixth claſs with the *proletarii* and *capite cenſi*,

* Theſe five firſt claſſes were ſo conſiderable, that authors ſometimes mention no more than five.

or

or perhaps to have their names entered in the *Cærites tabulæ* *. BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

We have elſewhere obſerved, that the Roman laws did not admit of fiduciary bequeſts. The hopes of evading the Voconian law were the cauſe of their being introduced: they inſtituted an heir qualified by the law, and they begged he would reſign the ſucceſſion to a perſon whom the law had excluded: this new method of diſpoſition was productive of very different effects. Some reſigned the inheritance; and the conduct of Sextus Peduceus (t) on an occaſion of this nature was very remarkable. A conſiderable ſucceſſion was left him, and no body living knew that he was deſired to reſign it to another; when he waited upon the widow of the teſtator, and made over to her the whole fortune belonging to her late huſband.

(t) Cicero, de finib. boni & mali, lib. 2.

Others kept poſſeſſion of the inheritance; and here the example of P. Sextilius Rufus is alſo famous, having been made uſe of by Cicero (u) in his diſputations againſt the Epicureans. "In my youn-
"ger days, ſays he, I was deſired by Sextilius
"to accompany him to his friends, in order to
"know whether he ought to reſtore the inherit-
"ance of Quintus Fadius Gallus to his daughter
"Fadia. There were ſeveral young people pre-
"ſent, with others of more maturity and judg-
"ment; and not one of them was of opinion
"that he ſhould give more to Fadia, than the
"lady was intitled to by the Voconian law.
"In conſequence of this, Sextilius kept poſſeſſion

(u) Ibid.

* *In Cæritum tabulas referri; ærarius fieri.*

Book XXVII. Chap. 1.

" of a fine eſtate, of which he would not have re-
" tained a ſingle ſeſtercius, had he preferred juſ-
" tice to utility. It is poſſible, added he, that you
" would have reſigned the inheritance: nay, it is
" poſſible that Epicurus himſelf would have re-
" ſigned it; but you would not have acted ac-
" cording to your own principles." Here I ſhall pauſe a little to reflect.

It is a misfortune inherent to humanity, that legiſlators ſhould be ſometimes obliged to enact laws repugnant to the dictates of nature: ſuch was the Voconian law. The reaſon is, the legiſlature conſiders the ſociety rather than the citizen, and the citizen rather than the man. The law ſacrificed both the citizen and the man, and directed its views to the proſperity of the republic. Suppoſe a perſon made a fiduciary bequeſt in favour of his daughter; the law paid no regard to the ſentiments of nature in the father, nor to the filial piety of the daughter; all it had an eye to, was the perſon to whom the bequeſt was made in truſt, and who on ſuch occaſion found himſelf in a terrible dilemma. If he reſtored the eſtate, he was a bad citizen; if he kept it, he was a bad man. None but good-natured people thought of eluding the law; and they could pitch upon none but honeſt men to help them to elude it; for a truſt of this kind requires a triumph over avarice and inordinate pleaſure, which none but honeſt men are like to obtain. Perhaps in this light to look upon them as bad citizens, would have ſavoured too much of ſeverity. It is not impoſſible but the legiſlator carried his point in a great meaſure, ſince his law

law was of ſuch a nature, as obliged none but honeſt men to elude it. Book XXVII. Chap. 1.

At the time when the Voconian law was paſſed, the Romans ſtill preſerved ſome remains of their ancient purity of manners. Their conſcience was ſometimes engaged in favour of the law; and they were made to ſwear they would obſerve it *: ſo that honeſty, in ſome meaſure, was ſet in oppoſition againſt itſelf. But latterly, their morals were corrupted to ſuch a degree, that the fiduciary bequeſts muſt have had leſs efficacy to elude the Voconian law, than that very legiſlator had to enforce its obſervance.

The civil wars were the deſtruction of an infinite number of citizens. Under Auguſtus, Rome was almoſt deſerted: it was neceſſary to repeople it. They made the Papian laws, which omitted nothing that could encourage (x) the citizens to marry, and procreate children. One of the principal means was to increaſe †, in favour of thoſe who gave into the views of the law, the hopes of being heirs, and to diminiſh the expectations of thoſe who refuſed; and as the Voconian law had rendered women incapable of ſucceeding, the Papian law, in certain caſes, diſpenſed with this prohibition.

(x) See what has been ſaid in book 23. ch. 21.

Women (y), eſpecially thoſe who had children, were rendered capable of receiving in virtue of the will of their huſbands; they even might, when they had children, receive in virtue of the will of ſtrangers. All this was in direct oppoſition to the regulations

(y) See Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. 15. § 16.

* Sextilius ſaid he had ſworn to obſerve it. Cic. de finibus boni & mali, lib. 2.

† The ſame difference occurs in ſeveral regulations of the Papian law. See the Fragments of Ulpian, § 4, 5, and 6.

of

Book XXVII. Chap. 1.

of the Voconian law: and yet it is remarkable, that the ſpirit of this law was not entirely abandoned. For example, the Papian law, which permitted a man who had one child *, to receive an entire inheritance by the will of a ſtranger, granted the ſame favour to the wife only when ſhe had three children (z).

(z) See Law 9. C. Theod. *de bonis proſcriptorum*, & Dio, lib. 5. See the Fragm. of Ulpian, tit. laſt, § 6. and tit. 29. § 3.

It muſt be remarked, that the Papian law did not render the women who had three children, capable of ſucceeding, except in virtue of the will of ſtrangers; and that with reſpect to the ſucceſſion of relations, it left the ancient laws, and particularly (a) the Voconian, in all their force. But this did not long ſubſiſt.

(a) Frag. of Ulpian, tit. 16. § 1. Sozomenus, lib. 1. cap. 9.

Rome, corrupted by the riches of every nation, had changed her manners; the putting a ſtop to the luxury of women was no longer minded. Aulus Gellius, who lived under (b) Adrian, tells us, that in his time the Voconian law was almoſt aboliſhed; it was buried under the opulence of the city. Thus we find in the ſentences of Paulus (c), who lived under Niger, and in the fragments of Ulpian (d), who was in the time of Alexander Severus, that the ſiſters on the father's ſide might ſucceed, and that none but the relations of a more diſtant degree were in the caſe of thoſe prohibited by the Voconian law.

(b) Lib. 20. cap. 1.

(c) Lib. 4. tit. 8. § 3.

(d) Tit. 26. § 6.

The ancient laws of Rome began to be thought ſevere. The prætors were no longer moved but by reaſons of equity, moderation, and decorum.

We have ſeen, that by the ancient laws of Rome

* *Quod tibi filiolus, vel filia naſcitur ex me,*
Jura Parentis habes; propter me ſcriberis hæres.
Juvenal. Sat. 9.

mothers

mothers had no ſhare in the inheritance of their children. The Voconian law afforded a new reaſon for their excluſion. But the emperor Claudius gave the mother the ſucceſſion of her children as a conſolation for her loſs. The Tertullian ſenatus conſultum, made under Adrian *, gave it them when they had three children, if free women; or four, if they were freed women. It is evident, that this decree of the ſenate was only an extenſion of the Papian law, which in the ſame caſe had granted to women the inheritances left them by ſtrangers. At length Juſtinian (c) favoured them with the ſucceſſion independently of the number of their children.

(c) Lib. 2. Cod. *de jure liberorum.* Inſtit. tit. 3. § 4. *de ſenatus conſult.*

The ſame cauſes, which had debilitated the law againſt the ſucceſſion of women, ſubverted that by degrees which had limited the ſucceſſion of the relations on the woman's ſide. Theſe laws were extremely conformable to the ſpirit of a good republic, where they ought to have ſuch an influence, as to prevent this ſex from rendering either the poſſeſſion, or the expectation, of wealth, an inſtrument of luxury. On the contrary, the luxury of a monarchy rendering marriage expenſive and coſtly, it ought to be there encouraged, both by the riches which women may beſtow, and by the hope of the inheritances it is in their power to procure. Thus when monarchy was eſtabliſhed at Rome, the whole ſyſtem of ſucceſſions was changed. The prætors called the relations of the woman's ſide in default of thoſe of the male ſide; though by the ancient laws, the re-

* That is, the emperor Pius, who changed his name to that of Adrian by adoption.

lations

BOOK XXVII. Chap. 1.

lations of the woman's ſide were never called. The Orphitian ſenatus conſultum called children to the ſucceſſion of their mother; and the emperors Valentinian (f), Theodoſius, and Arcadius, called the grandchildren by the daughter, to the ſucceſſion of the grandfather. In ſhort, the emperor Juſtinian (g) left not the leaſt veſtige of the ancient right of ſucceſſions: he eſtabliſhed three orders of heirs, the deſcendants, the aſcendants, and the collaterals, without any diſtinction between the males and females; between the relations on the woman's ſide, and thoſe on the male ſide; and abrogated all of this kind, which were ſtill in force: he believed, that he followed nature even in deviating from what he called the embarraſſments of the ancient juriſprudence.

(f) Lib. 9. Cod. *de ſuis & legitimis hæredibus.*

(g) Lib. 14. Cod. *de ſuis & legitimis hæredibus.* & Nov. 118, & 127.

BOOK XXVIII.

Of the Origin and Revolutions of the civil Laws among the French.

In nova fert animus mutatas dicere formas
Corpora——— OVID. METAM.

CHAP. I.

Different Character of the Laws of the ſeveral People of Germany.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 1.

AFTER the Franks had quitted their own country, they made a compilement of the Salic laws, with the aſſiſtance of * the ſages of their own nation. The tribe of the Ripuarian Franks having joined itſelf under *Clovis* (a) to that of the Salians, preſerved its own cuſtoms; and *Theodoric* (b) king of Auſtraſia ordered them to be reduced into writing. He collected likewiſe (c) the cuſtoms of thoſe Bavarians and Germans who were dependent on his kingdom. For Germany having been weakened by the migration of ſuch a

(a) See Gregory of Tours.

(b) See the prologue to the law of the Bavarians, and that to the Salic law.

(c) Ibid.

* See the prologue to the Salic law. Mr. *Leibnitz* ſays, in his treatiſe of the origin of the Franks, that this law was made before the reign of *Clovis*: but it could not be before the *Franks* had quitted Germany, for at that time they did not underſtand the Latin tongue.

multitude

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 1.

multitude of people, the Franks, after conquering all before them, made a retrograde march, and extended their dominion into the foreſts of their anceſtors. Very likely the Thuringian code * was given by the ſame *Theodoric*, ſince the Thuringians were alſo his ſubjects. As the Friſians were ſubdued by *Charles Martel* and *Pepin*, their † law cannot be prior to thoſe princes. Charlemain, the firſt that reduced the Saxons, gave them the law ſtill extant; and we need only read theſe two laſt codes, to be convinced they came from the hands of conquerors. As ſoon as the Viſigoths, the Burgundians, and the Lombards, had founded their reſpective kingdoms, they reduced their laws into writing, not with an intent of obliging the vanquiſhed nations to conform to their cuſtoms, but with a deſign of following them themſelves.

There is an admirable ſimplicity in the Salic and Ripuarian laws, as well as in thoſe of the Alemans, Bavarians, Thuringians, and Friſians. They breathe an original coarſeneſs, and a ſpirit which no change or corruption of manners had weakened. They received but very few alterations, becauſe all thoſe people, except the Franks, remained in Germany. Even the Franks themſelves laid there the foundation of a great part of their empire; ſo that they had none but German laws. The ſame cannot be ſaid of the laws of the Viſigoths, of the Lombards and Burgundians; their character conſiderably altered from the great change which hap-

* Lex Angliorum Werinorum, hoc eſt Thuringorum.

† They did not know how to write.

pened

pened in the character of thoſe people, after they had ſettled in their new habitations.

The kingdom of the Burgundians did not laſt long enough to admit of great changes in the laws of the conquering nation. *Gundebald* and *Sigiſmond*, who collected their cuſtoms, were almoſt the laſt of their kings. The laws of the Lombards received additions rather than changes. The laws of *Rotharis* were followed by thoſe of *Grimoaldus*, *Luitprandus*, *Rachis* and *Aſtulphus*; but did not aſſume a new form. It was not ſo with the laws of the Viſigoths *; their kings new-moulded them, and had them alſo new-moulded by the clergy.

The kings indeed of the firſt race ſtruck out of (d) the Salic and Ripuarian laws, whatever was abſolutely inconſiſtent with Chriſtianity; but left the main part untouched. This cannot be ſaid of the laws of the Viſigoths.

(d) See the prologue to the law of the Bavarians

The laws of the Burgundians, and eſpecially thoſe of the Viſigoths, admitted of corporal puniſhments: theſe were not tolerated † by the Salic and Ripuarian laws; they preſerved their character much better.

(*) See the prologue to the code of the Burgundians, and the code itſelf, eſpecially the 12th tit. § 5. and tit. 38. See alſo Gregory of Tours, book 2. chap. 33. and the code of the Viſigoths.

The Burgundians and Viſigoths, whoſe provinces were greatly expoſed, endeavoured to conciliate the affections of the ancient inhabitants, and to give them the moſt impartial civil laws (e); but

* They were made by *Euric*, and amended by *Leovigildus*. See Iſidorus's chronicle. *Chaindaſuinthus* and *Receſſuinthus* reformed them. *Egigas* ordered the code now extant to be made, and commiſſioned biſhops for that purpoſe; nevertheleſs, the laws of *Chaindaſuinthus* and *Receſſuinthus* were preſerved, as appears by the ſixth council of Toledo.

† We find a few only in Childebert's decree.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 1.

as the kings of the Franks had eſtabliſhed their power, they had no ſuch (f) conſiderations.

The Saxons, who lived under the dominion of the Franks, were of an intractable temper, and prone to revolt. Hence we find in their (g) laws the ſeverities of a conqueror, which are not to be met with in the other codes of the laws of the Barbarians.

We ſee the ſpirit of the German laws in the pecuniary puniſhments, and the ſpirit of a conqueror in thoſe of an afflictive nature.

The crimes they commit in their own country are ſubject to corporal puniſhment; and the ſpirit of the German laws is followed only in the puniſhment of crimes committed beyond the extent of their own territory.

They are plainly told, that their crimes ſhall meet with no mercy, and they are refuſed even the aſylum of churches.

The biſhops had an immenſe authority at the court of the Viſigoth kings; the moſt important affairs being debated in councils. All the maxims, principles, and views of the preſent inquiſition, are owing to the code of the Viſigoths; and the monks have only copied againſt the Jews, the laws formerly enacted by biſhops.

In other reſpects the laws of *Gundebald* for the Burgundians ſeem pretty judicious; and thoſe of Rotharis, and of the other Lombard princes, are ſtill more ſo. But the laws of the Viſigoths, thoſe, for inſtance, of *Receſſuinthus*, *Chaindaſuinthus*, and *Egigas*, are puerile, ridiculous, and fooliſh; they attain not their end; they are ſtuft with rhetoric, and void of ſenſe; frivolous in the ſubſtance, and bumbaſtic in the ſtile.

(f) See lower down, chap. 3.

(g) See ch. 2. § 8 and 9. and ch. 4. § 2 and 7.

CHAP.

CHAP. II.

That the Laws of the Barbarians were all perſonal.

IT is a diſtinguiſhing character of theſe laws of the barbarians, that they were not confined to a certain diſtrict; the Frank was tried by the law of the Franks, the Aleman by that of the Alemans, the Burgundian by that of the Burgundians, and the Roman by the Roman law: nay, ſo far were the conquerors in thoſe days from reducing their laws to an uniform ſyſtem or body, that they did not even think of becoming legiſlators to the people they had conquered. Book XXVIII. Chap. 2.

The original of this I find in the manners of the Germans. Theſe people were parted aſunder by marſhes, lakes and foreſts; and Cæſar (h) obſerves, they were fond of ſuch ſeparations. Their dread of the Romans brought about their reunion; and yet each individual among theſe mixt people was ſtill to be tried by the eſtabliſhed cuſtoms of his own nation. Each tribe apart was free and independent; and when they came to be intermixt, the independency ſtill continued; the country was common, the government peculiar; the territory the ſame, and the nations different. The ſpirit of perſonal laws prevailed therefore among thoſe people before ever they ſet from their own homes, and they carried it with them into the conquered provinces.

(h) De bello Gallico, lib. 6.

We find this cuſtom eſtabliſhed in the formula's of Marculfus (i), in the codes of the laws of the barbarians, but chiefly in the law of the Ripuarians (k), and

(i) Lib. 1. formul. 8.

(k) Ch. 31.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 3.

and in the decrees of the kings of the firſt race (l), from whence the capitularies on that ſubject in the ſecond (m) race were derived. The children (n) followed the law of their father, the wife (o) that of her huſband, the widow (p) came back to her own original law, and the freedman (q) was under that of his patron. Beſides, every man could make choice of what laws he pleaſed; but the conſtitution of (r) Lotharius I. required this choice ſhould be made public.

(l) That of Clotarius in the year 560. in the edition of the Capitularies of Baluſius, vol. 1. art. 4. ib. *in fine.*

(m) Capitul. added to the law of the Lombards, lib. 1. tit. 25. cap. 71. lib. 2. tit. 41. cap. 7. and tit. 56. cap. 1. & 2.

(n) Ibid.

(o) Ibid. lib. 6. tit. 7. cap. 1.

(p) Ibid. cap. 2.

(q) Ibid. lib. 2. tit. 35. c. 2.

(r) In the law of the Lombards, lib. 2. tit. 57.

CHAP. III.

Capital Difference between the Salic Laws, and thoſe of the Viſigoths *and* Burgundians.

WE have already obſerved, that the laws of the Burgundians and Viſigoths were impartial; but it was otherwiſe with regard to the Salic law, for it eſtabliſhed between the Franks and Romans the moſt mortifying diſtinctions. When a Frank, a Barbarian, or one living under the Salic law, happened to be killed, a compoſition of 200 ſols was to be paid to his relations (s); only 100 upon the killing of a Roman proprietor *, and no more than 45 for a Roman tributary. The compoſition for the murder of one of the king's vaſſals, if a Frank †, was 600 ſols; if a Roman, though the king's gueſt ‡, only 300 ‖. The Salic law made therefore a

(s) Salic law, tit. 44. § 14.

* Qui res in pago ubi remanet proprias habet. *Salic law*, tit. 44. § 15.

† Qui in truſte dominicâ eſt. *Ibid.* tit. 41. § 4.

‡ *Si Romanus homo conviva regis fuerit.* Ibid. § 6.

‖ The principal Romans followed the court, as may be ſeen by the lives of ſeveral biſhops, who were there educated; there were hardly any but Romans that knew how to write.

cruel

cruel diſtinction between the Frank and Roman lord, and the Frank and Roman commoner.

Farther, if a number of people were got together to aſſault a Frank in his houſe (t), and he happened to be killed, the Salic law ordained a compoſition of 600 ſols; but if a Roman or a freedman * was aſſaulted, only half that compoſition. By the ſame law (u), if a Roman put a Frank in irons, he was liable to a compoſition of 30 ſols; but if a Frank had thus uſed a Roman, he paid only 15. A Frank, ſtript by a Roman, was intitled to the compoſition of 62½ ſols, and a Roman ſtript by a Frank, received only 30. Such unequal treatment muſt needs have been very grievous to a Roman.

(t) Salic law, tit. 45.

(u) Tit. 35. § 3. and 4.

And yet a celebrated author (x) forms a ſyſtem of *the eſtabliſhment of the Franks in Gaul*, on a ſuppoſition that they were the beſt friends of the Romans. The Franks then the beſt friends of the Romans, they who did, and they who ſuffered † from, the Romans ſuch an infinite deal of miſchief! The Franks, the friends of the Romans, they who, after ſubduing them by their arms, oppreſſed them in cold blood by their laws! They were exactly the friends of the Romans, as the Tartars who conquered China, were the friends of the Chineſe.

(x) The Abbe du Bos.

If ſome Catholic biſhops thought fit to make uſe of the Franks in deſtroying the Arian kings, does it follow, that they had a deſire of living un-

* Lidus, whoſe condition was better than that of a bondman. Law of the Alemans, chap. 95.

† Witneſs the expedition of Arbogaſtes in Gregory of Tours, Hiſt. lib. 2.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 4.

der thoſe barbarous people? And can we from hence conclude, that the Franks had any particular regard for the Romans? I ſhould draw quite different conſequences; the leſs the Franks had to fear from the Romans, the leſs indulgence they ſhewed them.

The Abbe du Bos has conſulted but indifferent authorities for his hiſtory, ſuch as poets and orators: works of parade and oſtentation are improper foundations for building ſyſtems.

CHAP. IV.

In what manner the Roman *Law came to be loſt in the Country ſubject to the* Franks, *and preſerved in that ſubject to the* Goths *and* Burgundians.

WHAT has been above ſaid, will throw ſome light upon other things, which have hitherto been involved in great obſcurity.

The country at this day called France, was, under the firſt race, governed by the Roman law, or the Theodoſian code, and by the different laws of the Barbarians *, who ſettled in thoſe parts.

In the country ſubject to the Franks, the Salic law was eſtabliſhed for the Franks, and the † Theodoſian code for the Romans. In that ſubject to the Viſigoths, a compilement of the Theodoſian code, made by order of Alaric ‡, regulated diſ-

* The Franks, Viſigoths, and Burgundians.

† It was finiſhed in 438.

‡ The 20th year of the reign of this prince, and publiſhed two years after by Anian, as appears from the preface to that code.

putes

putes among the Romans; and the national cuſtoms which Euric * cauſed to be reduced into writing, determined thoſe among the Viſigoths. But how comes it, ſome will ſay, that the Salic laws gained almoſt a general authority in the country of the Franks, and the Roman law gradually declined; whilſt in the juriſdiction of the Viſigoths the Roman law ſpread itſelf, and obtained, at laſt, a general ſway?

My anſwer is, that the Roman law came to be diſuſed among the Franks, becauſe of the great advantages accruing from being a Frank, a Barbarian †, or a perſon living under the Salic law; every one, in that caſe, readily quitting the Roman, to live under the Salic law. The ‡ clergy alone retained it, as a change would be of no advantage to them. The difference of conditions and ranks, conſiſted only in the largeneſs of the compoſition, as I ſhall ſhew in another place. Now ‖ particular laws allowed the clergy as favourable compoſitions, as thoſe of the Franks; for which reaſon they retained the Roman law. This

* The year 504 of the Spaniſh æra, the Chronicle of Iſidorus.

† Francum, aut Barbarum, aut hominum qui Salica lege vivit. *Salic law*, tit. 44. § 1.

‡ *According to the Roman law under which the church lives*, as is ſaid in the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 58. § 1. See alſo the numberleſs authorities on this head produced by Du Cange, under the word *Lex Romana*.

‖ See the Capitularies added to the Salic law in *Lindembrock*, at the end of that law, and the different codes of the laws of the Barbarians, concerning the privileges of eccleſiaſtics in this reſpect. See alſo the letter of Charlemain to his ſon Pepin king of Italy, in the year 807, in the edition of Baluzius, tom. 1. pag. 462. where it is ſaid, that an eccleſiaſtic ſhould receive a triple compoſition; and the Collection of the Capitularies, lib. 5. art. 302. tom. 1. Edition of Baluzius.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 4.

law brought no hardſhips upon them; and in other reſpects it was propereſt for them, as it was the work of Chriſtian emperors.

On the other hand, in the patrimony of the Viſigoths, as the Viſigoth law (y) gave no civil advantages to the Viſigoths over the Romans, the latter had no reaſon to diſcontinue living under their own law, in order to embrace another. They retained therefore their own laws, without adopring thoſe of the Viſigoths.

(y) See that law.

This is ſtill farther confirmed, in proportion as we proceed in our enquiry. The law of Gundebald was extremely impartial, not favouring the Burgundians more than the Romans. It appears by the preamble to that law, that it was made for the Burgundians, and to regulate the diſputes which might ariſe between them, and the Romans; and in the latter caſe, the judges were equally divided of a ſide. This was neceſſary for particular reaſons, drawn from the political regulation of thoſe times *. The Roman law was continued in Burgundy, in order to regulate the diſputes of Romans among themſelves. The latter had no inducement to quit their own law, as in the country of the Franks; and the rather, as the Salic law was not eſtabliſhed in Burgundy, as appears by the famous letter which *Agobard* wrote to *Lewis the Pious*.

(z) Agob. opera.

Agobard (z) deſired that prince to eſtabliſh the Salic law in Burgundy; conſequently it had not been eſtabliſhed there at that time. Thus the Roman law did, and ſtill does ſubſiſt in ſo many

* Of this I ſhall ſpeak in another place, book 30. chap. 6, 7, 8, and 9.

provinces,

provinces, which formerly depended on this kingdom. Book XXVIII. Chap. 4.

The Roman and Gothic laws continued likewiſe in the country of the eſtabliſhment of the Goths; where the Salic law was never received. When *Pepin* and *Charles Martel* expelled the Saracens, the towns and provinces, which ſubmitted to theſe princes *, petitioned for a continuance of their own laws, and obtained it: this, in ſpite of the uſages of thoſe times when all laws were perſonal, ſoon made the Roman law to be conſidered as a real and territorial law in thoſe countries.

This appears by the edict of *Charles the Bald*, given at Piſtes in the year 864, which † diſtinguiſhes the countries where cauſes were decided by the Roman law, from where it was otherwiſe.

The edict of Piſtes ſhews two things; one, that there were countries where cauſes were decided by the Roman law, and others where they were not; and the other, that thoſe countries where the Roman law obtained, were preciſely (a) the ſame where it is ſtill followed at this very day, as appears by the ſame edict: thus the diſtinction of the provinces of France *under cuſtom*, and thoſe *under written law*, was already eſtabliſhed at the time of the edict of Piſtes.

(a) See Art. 12. and 16. of the edict of Piſtes *in Cavilono, in Narbonâ*, &c.

* See Gervais de Tilbury, in Ducheſne's collection, tom. 3. pag. 366. Facta pactione cum Francis, quod illic Gothi patriis legibus, moribus paternis vivant. Et ſic Narbonenſis provincia Pippino ſubjicitur. And a chronicle of the year 759. produced by Catel, hiſt. of Languedoc. And the uncertain author of the life of Lewis the Debonnaire, upon the demand made by the people of Septimania, at the aſſembly *in Cariſiaco*, in Ducheſne's collection, tom. 2. p. 316.

† *In illa terra in quâ judicia ſecundùm legem Romanam terminantur, ſecundùm ipſam legem judicetur; & in illa terra in qua*, &c. Art. 16. See alſo Art. 20.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 4.

I have obſerved, that in the beginning of the monarchy, all laws were perſonal: and thus when the edict of Piſtes diſtinguiſhes the countries of the Roman law, from thoſe which were otherwiſe; the meaning is, that in countries which were not of the Roman law, ſuch a multitude of people had choſen to live under ſome or other of the laws of the Barbarians, that there were ſcarce any who would be ſubject to the Roman law; and that in the countries of the Roman law there were few who would chuſe to live under the laws of the Barbarians.

I am not ignorant, that what is here advanced will be reckoned new; but if the things which I aſſert be true, ſurely they are very ancient. After all, what great matter is it, whether they come from me, from the *Valeſius's*, or from the *Bignons?*

CHAP. V.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE law of Gundebald ſubſiſted a long time among the Burgundians, in conjunction with the Roman law: it was ſtill in uſe under Lewis the Pious, as Agobard's letter plainly evinces. In like manner, though the edict of Piſtes calls the country occupied by the Viſigoths the country of the Roman law, yet the law of the Viſigoths was always in force there; as appears by the ſynod of Troyes held under *Lewis the Stammerer*, in the year 878, that is, fourteen years after the edict of Piſtes.

In proceſs of time the Gothic and Burgundian laws fell into diſuſe even in their own country; which

which was owing to thoſe general cauſes that every where ſuppreſſed the perſonal laws of the Barbarians. Book XXVIII. Chap. 6.

CHAP. VI.

How the Roman *Law kept its Ground in the Demeſne of the* Lombards.

THE facts all coincide with my principles. The law of the Lombards was impartial, and the Romans were under no temptation to quit their own for it. The motive which prevailed with the Romans under the Franks to make choice of the Salic law, did not take place in Italy; hence the Roman law maintained itſelf there, together with that of the Lombards.

It even fell out, that the latter gave way to the Roman inſtitutes, and ceaſed to be the law of the ruling nation; and though it continued to be that of the principal nobility, yet the greateſt part of the cities formed themſelves into republics, and the nobility mouldered away of themſelves, or were deſtroyed *. The citizens of the new republics had no inclination to adopt a law, which eſtabliſhed the cuſtom of judiciary combats, and whoſe inſtitutions retained much of the cuſtoms and uſages of chivalry. As the clergy of thoſe days, a clergy even then ſo powerful in Italy, lived almoſt all under the Roman law, the number of thoſe, who followed the inſtitutions of the Lombards, muſt have daily diminiſhed.

Beſides, the inſtitutions of the Lombards had

* See what Machiavel ſays of the ruin of the ancient nobility of Florence.

not

Book XXVIII. Chap. 7.

not that extent, that majeſty of the Roman law, by which Italy was reminded of her univerſal dominion. The inſtitutions of the Lombards and the Roman law could be then of no other uſe than to furniſh out ſtatutes for thoſe cities that were erected into republics. Now which could better furniſh them, the inſtitutions of the Lombards that determined on ſome particular caſes, or the Roman law which embraced them all?

CHAP. VII.

How the Roman *Law came to be loſt in* Spain.

THINGS happened otherwiſe in Spain. The law of the Viſigoths prevailed, and the Roman law was loſt. *Chaindaſuinthus* * and *Receſſuinthus* † proſcribed the Roman laws, and even forbad citing them in their courts of judicature. *Receſſuinthus* was likewiſe author ‡ of the law which took off the prohibition of marriages between the Goths and Romans. It is evident that theſe two laws had the ſame ſpirit: this king wanted to remove the principal cauſes of ſeparation, which ſubſiſted between the Goths and the Romans. Now it was thought, that nothing made a wider ſeparation than the prohibition of intermarriages, and the liberty of living under different inſtitutions.

* He began to reign in the year 642.

† We will no longer be haraſſed either by foreign or by the Roman laws. Law of the Viſigoths, lib. 2. tit. 1. § 9. and 10.

‡ *Ut tam Gotho-Romanam, quam Romano-Gotham matrimonio liceat ſociari.* Law of the Viſigoths, lib. 3. tit. 1. cap. 1.

But though the kings of the Viſigoths had proſcribed the Roman law, it ſtill ſubſiſted in the demeſnes they poſſeſſed in South Gaul. Theſe countries being diſtant from the center of the monarchy, lived in a ſtate of great independence. We ſee from the hiſtory of *Vamba*, who aſcended the throne in 672, that the natives of the country were become the prevailing party*. Hence the Roman law had greater authority, and the Gothic leſs. The Spaniſh laws neither ſuited their manners, nor their actual ſituation; the people might likewiſe be obſtinately attached to the Roman law, becauſe they had annexed to it the idea of liberty. Beſides, the laws of *Chaindaſuinthus*, and of *Receſſuinthus*, contained moſt ſevere regulations againſt the Jews; but theſe Jews had a vaſt deal of power in South Gaul. The author of the hiſtory of king *Vamba* calls theſe provinces the brothel of the Jews. When the Saracens invaded theſe provinces, it was by invitation; and who could have invited them but the Jews or the Romans? The Goths were the firſt that were oppreſſed, becauſe they were the ruling nation. We ſee in Procopius †, that during their calamities they withdrew out of Narbonne Gaul into Spain. Doubtleſs, under this misfortune, they took refuge in thoſe provinces of Spain, which ſtill held out;

* The revolt of theſe provinces was a general defection, as appears by the ſentence in the ſequel of the hiſtory. Paulus and his adherents were Romans: they were even favoured by the biſhops. Vamba durſt not put to death the rebels whom he had quelled. The author of the hiſtory calls Narbonne Gaul the nurſery of treaſon.

† *Gothi, qui cladi ſuperfuerant, ex Gallia cum uxoribus liberiſque egreſſi, in Hiſpaniam ad Teudim jam palam tyrannum ſe receperunt*, De Bello Gothorum, lib. 1. cap. 13.

and

Book XXVIII. Chap. 8. and 9.

and the number of thoſe, who in South Gaul lived under the law of the Viſigoths, was thereby greatly diminiſhed.

CHAP. VIII.

A falſe Capitulary.

DID not that wretched compiler *Benedictus Levita* attempt to transform this Viſigoth eſtabliſhment, which prohibited the uſe of the Roman law, into a capitulary (b), aſcribed ſince to Charlemaign? He made of this particular inſtitution a general one, as if he intended to exterminate the Roman law throughout the univerſe.

(b) Capitularies, lib. 6. cap. 269. of the year 1613. edition of Baluzius, pag. 1021.

CHAP. IX.

In what manner the Codes of Barbarian Laws, and the Capitularies came to be loſt.

THE Salic, the Ripuarian, Burgundian, and Viſigoth laws, came by degrees to be diſuſed among the French in the following manner:

As fiefs were become hereditary, and arriere-fiefs extended, many uſages were introduced, to which theſe laws were no longer applicable. Their ſpirit indeed was continued, which was to regulate moſt diſputes by fines. But as the value of money was, doubtleſs, ſubject to change, the fines were alſo changed; and we ſee ſeveral charters *, where the lords fixed the fines, that were payable in their petty courts. Thus the ſpirit of the law was followed, without adhering to the law itſelf.

* M. de la Thaumaſſiere has collected many of them. See, for inſtance, chap. 61, 66, and others.

Beſides,

Beſides, as France was divided into a number of petty lordſhips, which acknowledged rather a feudal than a political dependence, it was very difficult for only one law to be authoriſed. And indeed, it would be impoſſible to ſee it obſerved. The cuſtom no longer prevailed of ſending extraordinary * officers into the provinces, to inſpect into the adminiſtration of juſtice, and political affairs; it appears, even by the charters, that when new fiefs were eſtabliſhed, our kings diveſted themſelves of the right of ſending thoſe officers. Thus, when almoſt every thing was become a fief, theſe officers could not be employed; there was no longer a common law, becauſe no one could enforce the obſervance of it. Book XXVIII. Chap. 9.

The Salic, Burgundian, and Viſigoth laws, were therefore extremely neglected at the end of the ſecond race; and at the beginning of the third, they were ſcarce ever mentioned.

Under the firſt and ſecond race, the nation was often aſſembled; that is, the lords and biſhops; the commons were not yet thought on. In theſe aſſemblies, attempts were made to regulate the clergy, a body which formed itſelf, if I may ſo ſpeak, under the conquerors, and eſtabliſhed its privileges. The laws made in theſe aſſemblies, are what we call the capitularies. Hence four things enſued; the feudal laws were eſtabliſhed, and a great part of the church revenues was adminiſtered by thoſe laws; the clergy effected a wider ſeparation, and neglected † thoſe decrees

* *Miſſi Domini.*

† Let not the biſhops, ſays *Charles the Bald*, in the capitulary of 844. art. 8. under pretence of the authority of making

Book XXVIII. Chap. 10.

crees of reformation, where they themſelves were not the only reformers; a collection * was made of the canons of councils and of the decretals of popes; and theſe the clergy received, as coming from a purer ſource. Ever ſince the erection of the grand fiefs, our kings, as we have already obſerved, had no longer any deputies in the provinces to enforce the obſervance of their laws; and hence it is, that under the third race, we find no more mention made of capitularies.

CHAP. X.

The ſame Subject continued.

SEVERAL capitularies were added to the law of the Lombards, as well as to the Salic and Bavarian laws. The reaſon of this has been a matter of enquiry: but it muſt be ſought for in the thing itſelf. There were ſeveral ſorts of capitularies. Some had relation to political government, others to œconomical, moſt of them to eccleſiaſtical polity, and ſome few to civil government. Thoſe of the laſt ſpecies were added to the civil law, that is, to the perſonal laws of

ing canons, oppoſe this conſtitution, or neglect the obſervance of it. It ſeems he already foreſaw the fall thereof.

* In the collection of canons, a vaſt number of the decretals of popes were inſerted; there were very few in the ancient collection. *Dionyſius Exiguus* put a great many into his: but that of *Iſidorus Mercator* was ſtuffed with genuine and ſpurious decretals. The old collection obtained in France till *Charlemaign*. This prince received from the hands of pope Adrian I. the collection of Dionyſius Exiguus, and cauſed it to be accepted. The collection of *Iſidorus Mercator* appeared in France about the reign of Charlemaign: people grew paſſionately fond of it: to this ſucceeded what we now call *the courſe of canon law*.

each

each nation; for which reaſon it is ſaid in the capitularies, that there is nothing ſtipulated (c) therein contrary to the Roman law. In effect, thoſe capitularies regarding œconomical, eccleſiaſtical, or political government, had no relation to that law; and thoſe concerning civil government had reference only to the laws of the barbarous people, which were explained, amended, enlarged, or abridged. But the adding of theſe capitularies to the perſonal laws, occaſioned, I imagine, the neglect of the very body of the capitularies themſelves: in times of ignorance, the abridgment of a work often cauſes the loſs of the work itſelf.

(c) See the edict of Piſtes, art. 20.

CHAP. XI.

Other Cauſes of the Diſuſe of the Codes of Barbarian Laws, as well as of the Roman *Law, and of the Capitularies.*

WHEN the German nations ſubdued the Roman empire, they learnt the uſe of writing; and, in imitation of the Romans, they wrote down their own uſages *, and digeſted them into codes. The unhappy reigns which followed that of *Charlemaign*, the invaſions of the Normans, and the civil wars, plunged the conquering nations again intothe darkneſs out of which they had emerged: ſo that reading and writing were quite neglected. Hence it is, that in France and Germany, the written laws of

* This is expreſly ſet down in ſome preambles to theſe codes: we even find in the laws of the Saxons and Friſians different regulations, according to the different diſtricts. To theſe uſages were added ſome particular regulations, ſuitable to the exigency of circumſtances; ſuch were the ſevere laws againſt the Saxons.

the

Book XXVIII. Chap. 11.

the Barbarians, as well as the Roman law, and the capitularies, fell into oblivion. The uſe of writing was better preſerved in Italy, where reigned the popes and the Greek emperors, and where there were flouriſhing cities, which enjoyed almoſt the only commerce in thoſe days. To this neighbourhood of Italy it was owing, that the Roman law was better preſerved in the provinces of Gaul, formerly ſubject to the Goths and Burgundians; and ſo much the more, as this law was there a territorial inſtitution, and a kind of privilege. It is probable, that the diſuſe of the Viſigoth laws in Spain proceeded from the want of writing; and by the loſs of ſo many laws, cuſtoms were every where eſtabliſhed.

Perſonal laws fell to the ground. Compoſitions, and what they call *Freda* *, were regulated more by cuſtom than by the text of theſe laws. Thus, as in the eſtabliſhment of the monarchy they had paſſed from German cuſtoms to written laws; ſome ages after, they came back from written laws to unwritten cuſtoms.

CHAP. XII.

Of local Cuſtoms. Revolution of the Laws of barbarous Nations, as well as of the Roman *Law.*

(d) Preface to *Marculfus*'s Formulæ.

(e) Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 58. § 3.

BY ſeveral monuments it appears, that there were local cuſtoms, ſo early as the firſt and ſecond race. We find mention made of the *cuſtom of the place* (d), of the *ancient uſage* (e), of the

* Of this I ſhall ſpeak elſewhere.

cuſtom,

cuſtom (f), of *the laws* (g), and of the *cuſtoms*. It has been the opinion of ſome authors, that what went by the name of cuſtoms were the laws of the barbarous nations, and what had the appellation of law were the Roman inſtitutes. This cannot poſſibly be. King Pepin (h) ordained, that wherever there ſhould happen to be no law, cuſtom ſhould be complied with; but that it ſhould never be preferred to the law. Now, to pretend that the Roman law was preferred to the codes of the laws of the Barbarians, is ſubverting all monuments of antiquity, and eſpecially thoſe codes of Barbarian laws, which conſtantly affirm the contrary.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 12.

(f) Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 41. § 6.

(g) Life of St. Leger.

(h) Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 41. § 6.

So far were the laws of the barbarous nations from being thoſe cuſtoms, that it was theſe very laws, as perſonal inſtitutions, which introduced them. The Salic law, for inſtance, was a perſonal law; but generally, or almoſt generally, in places inhabited by the Salian Franks, this Salic law, how perſonal ſoever, became, in reſpect to thoſe Salian Franks, a territorial inſtitution, and was perſonal only in regard to thoſe Franks who lived elſewhere. Now, if ſeveral Burgundians, Alemans, or even Romans, ſhould happen to have frequent diſputes, in a place where the Salic law was territorial, they muſt have been determined by the laws of thoſe people; and a great number of deciſions agreeable to ſome of thoſe laws muſt have introduced new cuſtoms into the country. This explains the conſtitution of Pepin. It was natural that thoſe cuſtoms ſhould affect even the Franks, who lived on the ſpot, in caſes not decided by the Salic law; but it was not

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 12.

natural that they ſhould prevail over the Salic law itſelf.

Thus there were in each place an eſtabliſhed law, and received cuſtoms which ſerved as a ſupplement to that law when they did not contradict it.

They might even happen to ſupply a law that was no way territorial: and to continue the ſame example, if a Burgundian was judged by the law of his own nation, in a place where the Salic law was territorial, and the caſe happened not to be explicitly mentioned in the very text of this law, there is no manner of doubt but judgment would have been paſſed upon him according to the cuſtom of the place.

In the reign of king Pepin, the cuſtoms then eſtabliſhed had not the ſame force as the laws; but it was not long before the laws gave way to the cuſtoms. And as new regulations are generally remedies that imply a preſent evil, it may well be imagined that ſo early as Pepin's time, they began to prefer the cuſtoms to the eſtabliſhed laws.

What has been ſaid, ſufficiently explains the manner in which the Roman law began ſo very early to become territorial, as may be ſeen in the edict of Piſtes; and how the Gothic law continued ſtill in force, as appears by the ſynod of Troyes (i) above-mentioned. The Roman was become the general perſonal law, and the Gothic the particular perſonal law; conſequently the Roman law was territorial. But how came it, ſome will aſk, that the perſonal laws of the Barbarians fell every where into diſuſe, while the Roman law was continued as a territorial inſtitution in the Viſigoth and Burgundian

(i) See chap. 5.

Burgundian provinces? I anſwer, that even the Roman law had very near the ſame fate as the other perſonal inſtitutions: otherwiſe we ſhould ſtill have the Theodoſian code in thoſe provinces where the Roman law was territorial, whereas we have the inſtituets of Juſtinian. Thoſe provinces retained ſcarce any thing more than the name of the country under the Roman, or written law; than the natural affection which people have for their own inſtitutions, eſpecially when they conſider them as privileges; and a few regulations of the Roman law which were not yet forgotten. This was however ſufficient to produce ſuch an effect, that when Juſtinian's compilement appeared, it was received in the provinces of the Gothic and Burgundian demeſne as a written law, whereas it was admitted only as written reaſon in the ancient demeſne of the Franks. Book XXVIII. Chap. 12.

CHAP. XIII.

Difference between the Salic *Law or that of the* Salian Franks, *and that of the* Ripuarian Franks *and other barbarous Nations.*

THE Salic law did not allow of the cuſtom of negative proofs; that is, if a perſon, brought a demand or charge againſt another, he was obliged by the Salic law to prove it, and it was not ſufficient for the accuſed to deny it; which is agreeable to the laws of almoſt all nations.

The law of the Ripuarian Franks had quite a different ſpirit *; it was contented with negative

* This relates to what Tacitus ſays, that the Germans had general and particular cuſtoms.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 13.

proofs, and the perſon againſt whom a demand or accuſation was brought, might clear himſelf, in moſt caſes, by ſwearing, in conjunction with a certain number of witneſſes, that he had not committed the crime laid to his charge. The number (k) of witneſſes who were obliged to ſwear, increaſed in proportion to the importance of the affair; ſometimes it amounted to (l) ſeventy-two. The laws of the Alemans, Bavarians, Thuringians, Friſians, Saxons, Lombards, and Burgundians, were formed on the ſame plan as thoſe of the Ripuarians.

(k) Law of the Ripuarians, tit. 6, 7, 8, and others.

(l) Ibid. tit. 11, 12, and 17.

I obſerved, that the Salic law did not allow of negative proofs. There was * one caſe, however, in which they were allowed; but even then they were not admitted alone, and without the concurrence of poſitive proofs. The plaintiff (m) cauſed witneſſes to be heard, in order to ground his action; the defendant produced alſo witneſſes of his ſide; and the judge was to come at the truth, by comparing thoſe teſtimonies †. This practice was vaſtly different from that of the Ripuarian, and other barbarous laws, where it was cuſtomary for the party accuſed to clear himſelf, by ſwearing he was not guilty, and by making his relations alſo ſwear that he had told the truth. Theſe laws could be ſuitable only to a people remarkable for their natural ſimplicity and candour; we ſhall ſee preſently that the legiſlators were obliged to take proper methods to prevent their being abuſed.

(m) See the 76th tit. of the *Pactus legis Salicæ*.

* It was when an accuſation was brought againſt an *Antruſtio*, that is, the king's vaſſal, who was ſuppoſed to be poſſeſſed of a greater degree of liberty. See tit. 76. of the *Pactus legis Salicæ*.

† According to the practice now followed in England.

CHAP.

CHAP. XIV.

Another Difference.

THE Salic law did not admit of the trial by combat; though it had been received by the laws of the Ripuarians (n), and of almoſt all (o) the barbarous nations. To me it ſeems, that the law of combat was a natural conſequence, and a remedy of the law which eſtabliſhed negative proofs. When an action was brought, and it appeared that the defendant was going to elude it by an oath, what other remedy was left to a military man *, who ſaw himſelf upon the point of being confounded, than to demand ſatisfaction for the injury done to him; and even for the attempt of perjury? The Salic law, which did not allow the cuſtom of negative proofs, neither admitted nor had any need of the trial by combat: but the laws of the Ripuarians (p) and of the other barbarous nations †, who had adopted the practice of negative proofs, were obliged to eſtabliſh the trial by combat.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 14.

(n) Lit. 32. tit. 57. § 2. tit. 59. § 4.

(o) See the note underneath.

(p) See that law.

Whoever will pleaſe to examine the two famous regulations ‡ of Gundebald king of Burgundy concerning

* This ſpirit appears in the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 59. § 4. and tit. 67. § 5. and in the Capitulary of Lewis the Debonnaire, added to the law of the Ripuarians in the year 803, art. 22.

† The law of the Friſians, Lombards, Bavarians, Saxons, Thuringians, and Burgundians.

‡ In the law of the Burgundians, tit. 8. § 1 and 2. on criminal affairs; and tit. 45. which extends alſo to civil affairs. See alſo the law of the Thuringians, tit. 1. § 31. tit. 7. § 6. and tit. 8; and the law of the Alemans, tit. 89; the law of the Bavarians, tit. 8. chap. 2. § 6. and chap. 2. § 1. and tit. 9. chap. 4. § 4. the law of the Friſians, tit. 11. § 3. and tit. 14.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 15.

concerning this ſubject, will find they are derived from the very nature of the thing. It was neceſſary, according to the language of the Barbarian laws, to reſcue the oath out of the hands of a perſon who was going to abuſe it.

Among the Lombards, the law of Rotharis admitted of caſes, in which a man who had made his defence by oath, ſhould not be ſuffered to undergo the fatigue of a duel. This cuſtom ſpread itſelf farther: (q) we ſhall preſently ſee the miſchiefs that aroſe from it, and how they were obliged to return to the ancient practice.

(q) See chap. 18. towards the end.

CHAP. XV.

A Reflection.

I Do not pretend to deny, but that in the changes made in the code of the Barbarian laws, in the regulations added to that code, and in the body of the capitularies, it is poſſible to find ſome paſſages where the trial by combat is not a conſequence of the negative proof. Particular circumſtances might, in the courſe of many ages, give riſe to particular laws. I ſpeak only of the general ſpirit of the laws of the Germans, of their nature and origin; I ſpeak of the ancient cuſtoms of thoſe people, that were either hinted at or eſtabliſhed by thoſe laws; and this is the only matter in queſtion.

§ 4. the law of the Lombards, book 1. tit. 32. § 3. and tit. 35. § 1. and book 2. tit. 35. § 2.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Ordeal or Trial by boiling Water, eſtabliſhed by the Salic Law.

THE Salic law * allowed of the ordeal or trial by boiling water; and as this trial was exceſſively cruel, the law (r) found an expedient to ſoften its rigour. It permitted the perſon who had been ſummoned to make the trial with boiling water, to ranſom his hand, with the conſent of the adverſe party. The accuſer, for a particular ſum determined by the law, might be ſatisfied with the oath of a few witneſſes, declaring that the accuſed had not committed the crime. This was a particular caſe, in which the Salic law admitted of the negative proof. Book XXVIII. Chap. 16. (r) Tit. 56.

This trial was a thing privately agreed upon, which the law permitted only, but did not ordain. The law gave a particular indemnity to the accuſer, who would allow the accuſed to make his defence by a negative proof: the plaintiff was at liberty to be ſatisfied with the oath of the defendant, as he was at liberty to forgive him the injury.

The law (f) contrived a medium, that before ſentence paſſed, both parties, the one through fear of a terrible trial, the other for the ſake of a ſmall indemnity, ſhould terminate their diſputes, and put an end to their animoſities. It is plain, that when once this negative proof was over, nothing more was requiſite; and, therefore, that the practice of legal duels could not be a conſequence of this particular regulation of the Salic law. (f) Ibid. tit. 56.

* As alſo ſome other laws of the Barbarians.

CHAP. XVII.

Particular Notions of our Anceſtors.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 17.

IT is aſtoniſhing that our anceſtors ſhould reſt the honour, fortune, and life of the ſubject, on things that depended leſs on reaſon than on hazard; and that they ſhould inceſſantly make uſe of proofs incapable of convicting, and that had no manner of connexion either with innocence or guilt.

The Germans who had never been ſubdued *, enjoyed an exceſſive independence. Different families waged war † with each other, to obtain ſatisfaction for murders, robberies, or affronts. This cuſtom was moderated, by ſubjecting theſe hoſtilities to rules; it was ordained, that they ſhould be no longer committed but by the direction and under the eye ‡ of the magiſtrate. This was far preferable to a general licence of annoying each other.

As the Turks in their civil wars look upon the firſt victory as a deciſion of heaven in favour of the victor; ſo the inhabitants of Germany in their private quarrels, conſidered the event of a combat as a decree of Providence, ever attentive to puniſh the criminal or the uſurper.

Tacitus informs us, that when one German nation intended to declare war againſt another, they looked out for a priſoner who was to fight

* This appears by what Tacitus ſays, *Omnibus idem habitus.*

† Velleius Paterculus, lib. 2. cap. 18. ſays, that the Germans decided all their diſputes by the ſword.

‡ See the codes of Barbarian laws, and in reſpect to leſs ancient times, *Beaumanoir* on the cuſtom of *Beauvoiſis*.

with

with one of their people, and by the event they judged of the ſucceſs of the war. A nation who believed that public quarrels could be determined by a ſingle combat, might very well think that it was proper alſo for deciding the diſputes of individuals. Book XXVIII. Chap. 17.

Gundebald (t), king of Burgundy, gave the greateſt ſanction to the cuſtom of legal duels. The reaſon he aſſigns for this ſanguinary law, is mentioned in his edict. *It is*, ſays he, *in order to prevent our ſubjects from atteſting by oath, what they are not certain of, nay, what they know to be falſe*. Thus, while the clergy (u) declared that an impious law which permitted combats; the Burgundian kings looked upon that as a ſacrilegious law, which authorized the taking of an oath.

(t) Law of the Burgundians, chap. 45.

(u) See the Works of Agobard.

The trial by combat had ſome reaſon for it founded on experience. In a military nation, cowardice ſuppoſes other vices; it is an argument of a perſon's having deviated from the principles of his education, of his being inſenſible of honour, and of having refuſed to be directed by thoſe maxims which govern other men; it ſhews, that he neither fears their contempt, nor ſets any value upon their eſteem. Men of any tolerable extraction ſeldom want either the dexterity requiſite to co-operate with ſtrength, or the ſtrength neceſſary to concur with courage; for as they ſet a value upon honour, they are practiſed in matters, without which this honour cannot be obtained. Beſides, in a military nation, where ſtrength, courage, and proweſs are eſteemed, crimes really odious are thoſe which ariſe from fraud, artifice, and cunning, that is, from cowardice.

With

Book XXVIII Chap. 17.

With regard to the trial by fire, after the party accuſed had put his hand on a hot iron, or in boiling water, they wrapped the hand in a bag, and ſealed it up: if after three days there appeared no mark, he was acquitted. Is it not plain, that amongſt a people inured to the handling of arms, the impreſſion made on a rough or callous ſkin by the hot iron, or by boiling water, could not be ſo great, as to be ſeen three days afterwards? And if there appeared any mark, it ſhewed that the perſon who had undergone the trial was an effeminate fellow. Our peaſants are not afraid to handle hot iron with their callous hands; and, with regard to the women, the hands of thoſe who worked hard, might be very well able to reſiſt hot iron. The ladies * did not want champions to defend their cauſe; and in a nation where there was no luxury, there was no middle ſtate.

(x) Tit. 14.

By the law of the (x) Thuringians, a woman accuſed of adultery was condemned to the trial by boiling water, only when there was no champion to defend her; and the law of the (y) Ripuarians admits of this trial, only when a perſon had no witneſſes to appear in his juſtification. Now a woman, that could not prevail upon any one relation to defend her cauſe, or a man that could not produce one ſingle witneſs to atteſt his honeſty, were, from thoſe very circumſtances, ſufficiently convicted.

(y) Chap. 31. § 5.

I conclude therefore, that under the circumſtances of time in which the trial by combat and

* See *Beaumanoir* on the cuſtom of *Beauvoiſis*, chap. 61. See alſo the law of the Angli, chap. 14. where the trial by boiling water is only a ſubſidiary proof.

the

the trial by hot iron and boiling water obtained, there was ſuch an agreement between thoſe laws and the manners of the people, that the laws were rather unjuſt in themſelves than productive of injuſtice, that the effects were more innocent than the cauſe, that they were more contrary to equity than prejudicial to its rights, more unreaſonable than tyrannical. Book XXVIII. Chap. 18.

CHAP. XVIII.

In what manner the Cuſtom of judicial Combats gained Ground.

FROM Agobard's letter to Lewis the Debonnaire, it might be inferred, that the cuſtom of judicial combats was not eſtabliſhed among the Franks; for after having repreſented to that prince the abuſes of the law of Gundebald, he deſires * that private diſputes ſhould be decided in Burgundy by the law of the Franks. But as it is well known from other quarters, that the trial by combat prevailed at that time in France, this has been the cauſe of ſome perplexity. However, the difficulty may be ſolved by what I have ſaid; the law of the Salian Franks did not allow of this kind of trial, and that of the Ripuarian Franks (z) did.

(z) See this law, tit. 59. § 4. and tit. 67. § 5.

But, notwithſtanding the clamours of the clergy, the cuſtom of judicial combats gained ground continually in France; and I ſhall preſently make it appear, that the clergy themſelves were in a great meaſure the occaſion of it.

* *Si placeret Domino noſtro ut eos tranſferret ad legem Francorum.*

It

Book XXVIII. Chap. 18.

It is the law of the Lombards that furniſhes us with this proof. "*There has been long ſince a* "*deteſtable cuſtom introduced*, (ſays the preamble to "the conſtitution of (a) Otho II.) this is, that "if the title to an eſtate was ſaid to be falſe, the "perſon who claimed under that title made oath "upon the goſpels that it was genuine; and with- "out any farther judgment he took poſſeſſion of "the eſtate: ſo that they who would perjure them- "ſelves, were ſure of gaining their point." The emperor Otho I. having cauſed himſelf to be crowned at Rome (b) at the very time that a council was held there under pope John XII. all the lords * of Italy repreſented to that prince the neceſſity of enacting a law to reform this horrid abuſe. The pope and the emperor were of opinion, that the affair ſhould be referred to the council, which was to be ſhortly held † at Ravenna. There the lords made the ſame repreſentations, and repeated their inſtances; but the affair was put off once more, under pretence of the abſence of particular perſons. When Otho II. and Conrad ‡ king of Burgundy arrived in Italy, they had a conference at Verona ‖ with the Italian lords §; and at their repeated remonſtrances, the emperor, with their unanimous conſent, made a

(a) Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 55. chap. 34.

(b) The year 962.

* *Ab Italiæ proceribus eſt proclamatum, ut imperator ſanctus, mutatâ lege, facinus indignum deſtrueret.* Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 55. chap. 34.

† It was held in the year 967, in the preſence of pope John XIII. and of the emperor Otho I.

‡ Otho the Second's uncle, ſon to Rodolphus, and king of Transjurian Burgundy.

‖ In the year 988.

§ *Cum in hoc ab omnibus imperiales aures pulſarentur.* Law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 55. chap. 34.

law,

law, that whenever there happened any diſputes about inheritances, and one of the parties inſiſted upon the legality of his title, and the other maintained its being falſe, the affair ſhould be decided by combat; that the ſame rule ſhould be obſerved in conteſts relating to fiefs; and that the clergy ſhould be ſubject to the ſame law, but ſhould fight by their champions. Here we ſee, that the nobility inſiſted on the trial by combat, becauſe of the inconveniency of the proof introduced by the clergy; that notwithſtanding the clamours of the nobility, the notoriouſneſs of the abuſe which called out loudly for redreſs, and the authority of Otho who came into Italy to ſpeak and act as maſter, ſtill the clergy held out in two councils; in fine, that the joint concurrence of the nobility and princes having obliged the clergy to ſubmit, the cuſtom of judicial combats muſt have been conſidered as a privilege of the nobility, as a barrier againſt injuſtice, and as a ſecurity of property, and from that very moment this cuſtom muſt have gained ground. This was effected at a time when the power of the emperors was great, and that of the popes inconſiderable; at a time when the Otho's came to revive the dignity of the empire in Italy. Book XXVIII. Chap. 18.

I ſhall make one reflection, which will corroborate what has been above ſaid, namely, that the cuſtom of negative proofs produced that of judicial combats. The abuſe complained of to the Otho's, was, that a perſon who was charged with having a falſe title to an eſtate, defended himſelf by a negative proof, declaring upon the goſpels it was not falſe. What was it they did to reform

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 18.

this abuſe? They revived the cuſtom of judicial combats.

I was in a hurry to ſpeak of the conſtitution of Otho II. in order to give a clear idea of the diſputes between the clergy and the laity of thoſe times. There had been indeed a conſtitution of * Lotharius I. of an earlier date, who, upon the ſame complaints and diſputes, being deſirous of ſecuring the juſt poſſeſſion of property, had ordained, that the notary ſhould make oath that the deed or title was not forged; and if the notary ſhould happen to die, the witneſſes ſhould be ſworn who had ſigned it. The evil however ſtill continued, till they were obliged at length to have recourſe to the remedy above-mentioned.

Before that time, I find, that in the general aſſemblies held by Charlemaign, the nation repreſented to him (c), that in the actual ſtate of things it was extremely difficult, but that either the accuſer or the accuſed muſt forſwear themſelves; and that for this reaſon it was much better to revive the judicial combat; which was accordingly done.

(c) In the law of the Lombards book 2. tit. 55. § 23.

The uſage of judicial combats gained ground among the Burgundians, and that of an oath was limited. Theodoric king of Italy ſuppreſſed the ſingle combat among the Oſtrogoths; and the laws of Chaindaſuinthus and Receſſuinthus ſeemed as if they would aboliſh the very idea of it. But theſe laws were ſo little reſpected in Narbonne Gaul, that they

* In the law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 55. § 33. In the copy which Muratori made uſe of, it is attributed to the emperor Guido.

looked

looked upon the legal duel as a privilege of the Goths *.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 18.

The Lombards who conquered Italy, after the Oſtrogoths had been deſtroyed by the Greeks, introduced the cuſtom of judicial combat into that country; but their firſt laws gave a check to it (d). Charlemaign (e), Lewis the Debonnaire, and the Otho's, made diverſe general conſtitutions, which we find inſerted in the laws of the Lombards, and added to the Salic laws, whereby the practice of legal duels, at firſt in criminal and afterwards in civil caſes, obtained a greater extent. They knew not what to do. The negative proof by oath had its inconveniencies; that of legal duels had its inconveniencies alſo; hence they often changed, according as the one or the other affected them moſt.

(d) See in the law of the Lombards, book 1. tit. 4. and tit. 9. § 23. and book 2. tit. 35. § 4. and 5. and tit. 55. § 1, 2, and 3. The regulations of Rotharis; and in § 15. that of Luitprandus.

(e) Ibid. book 2. tit. 55. § 23.

On the one hand, the clergy were pleaſed to ſee, that in all ſecular affairs, people were obliged to have recourſe to the altar †; and on the other, a haughty nobility were fond of maintaining their rights by the ſword.

I would not have it inferred, that it was the clergy who introduced the cuſtom ſo much com-

* *In palatio quoque*, Bera *comes Barcinonenſis, cum impeteretur a quodam* Sunila, *& infidelitatis argueretur, cum eodem ſecundùm legem propriam, utpote quia uterque Gothus erat, equeſtri prælio congreſſus eſt & victus.* The anonymous author of the life of Lewis the Debonnaire.

† The judicial oaths were made at that time in the churches, and during the firſt race of our kings there was a chapel ſet apart in the royal palace, for the affairs that were to be thus decided. See the Formula's of Marculfus, book 1. chap. 38. The laws of the Ripuarians, tit. 59. § 4. tit. 65. § 5. The hiſtory of Gregory of Tours; and the Capitulary of the year 803. added to the Salic law.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 18.

plained of by the nobility. This cuſtom was derived from the ſpirit of the Barbarian laws, and from the eſtabliſhment of negative proofs. But a practice that contributed to the impunity of ſuch a number of criminals, having given ſome people reaſon to think it was proper to make uſe of the ſanctity of the churches, in order to ſtrike terror into the guilty, and to intimidate perjurers, the clergy maintained this uſage, and the practice which attended it; for in other reſpects they were abſolutely averſe to negative proofs. We find in Beaumanoir (f), that this kind of proof was never allowed in eccleſiaſtic courts; which contributed greatly, without doubt, to its ſuppreſſion, and to weaken, in this reſpect, the regulation of the codes of the Barbarian laws.

(f) Chap. 39. pag. 212.

This will convince us more ſtrongly of the connection between the uſage of negative proofs, and that of judicial combats, of which I have ſaid ſo much. The lay-tribunals admitted of both; and both were rejected by the eccleſiaſtical courts.

In chuſing the trial by duel, the nation followed its military ſpirit; for while this was eſtabliſhed as a divine deciſion, the trials by the croſs, by cold or boiling waters, which had been alſo regarded in the ſame light, were aboliſhed.

Charlemaign ordained, that if any difference ſhould ariſe between his children, they ſhould be terminated by the judgment of the croſs. Lewis the Debonnaire * confined this judgment to eccleſiaſtic affairs; his ſon Lotharius aboliſhed it in all

* We find his conſtitutions inſerted in the law of the Lombards, and at the end of the Salic laws.

caſes:

caſes: nay, he ſuppreſſed † even the trial by cold water. Book XXVIII. Chap. 19.

I do not pretend to ſay, that at a time when ſo few uſages were univerſally received, theſe trials were not revived in ſome churches; eſpecially as they are mentioned in a charter (g) of Philip-Auguſtus: but I affirm, they were very ſeldom practiſed. Beaumanoir (h), who lived at the time of S. Lewis, and a little after, enumerating the different kinds of trial, mentions that of judicial combat, but not a word of the others.

(g) In the year 1200.

(h) Cuſtom of Beauvoiſis, chap. 39.

CHAP. XIX.

A new Reaſon of the Diſuſe of the Salic *and* Roman *Laws, as alſo of the Capitularies.*

I HAVE already mentioned the reaſons that occaſioned the diſuſe of the Salic and Roman laws, as alſo of the Capitularies; here I ſhall add, that the principal cauſe was the great extent given to judiciary combats.

As the Salic laws did not admit of this cuſtom, they became in ſome meaſure uſeleſs, and fell into oblivion. In like manner, the Roman laws, which alſo rejected this cuſtom, were laid aſide: their whole attention was then taken up in eſtabliſhing the law of judicial combats, and in forming a proper digeſt of the ſeveral caſes that might happen on thoſe occaſions. The regulations of the Capitularies became likewiſe of no manner of ſervice. Thus it is, that ſuch a number of laws

† In a conſtitution inſerted in the law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 55. § 31.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 19.

loſt all their authority, without our being able to tell the preciſe time in which it was loſt; they fell into oblivion, and we cannot find any others that were ſubſtituted in their place.

Such a nation had no need of written laws; hence its written laws might very eaſily fall into diſuſe.

If there happened to be any diſputes between two parties, they had only to order a ſingle combat. For this no great knowledge or abilities were requiſite.

All civil and criminal actions are reduced to facts. It is upon theſe facts they fought; and not only the ſubſtance of the affair, but likewiſe the incidents and imparlances were decided by combat, as Beaumanoir ([i]) obſerves, who produces ſeveral inſtances.

([i]) Chap. 61. pag. 309 and 310.

I find that towards the commencement of the third race, the juriſprudence of thoſe times related entirely to perſonal quarrels, and was governed by the point of honour. If the judge was not obeyed, he inſiſted upon ſatisfaction from the perſon that contemned his authority. At Bourges, if ([k]) the provoſt had ſummoned a perſon, and he refuſed to come: his way of proceeding was, to tell him, "*I ſent for thee, and thou didſt not think it worth thy while to come; I demand therefore ſatisfaction for this thy contempt.*" Upon which they fought. Lewis the Fat reformed this cuſtom ([l]).

([k]) Charter of Lewis the Fat, in the year 1145. in the collection of Ordinances.

([l]) Ibid.

The cuſtom of legal duels prevailed at ([m]) Orleans, even in all demands of debt. Lewis the Young declared, that this cuſtom ſhould take place only when the demand exceeded five ſous. This ordinance was a local law; for in St Lewis's time

([m]) Charter of Lewis the Young, in 1188. in the Collection of Ordinances.

time (n) it was ſufficient that the value was more more than twelve deniers. Beaumanoir (o) heard a gentleman of the law affirm, that formerly there had been a bad cuſtom in France, of hiring a champion for a certain time to fight their battles in all cauſes. This ſhews that the uſage of judiciary combats muſt have had at that time a prodigious extent.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 20.

(n) See Beaumanoir, chap. 63. pag. 325.

(o) See the cuſtom of Beauvoiſis, cap. 28. pag. 203.

CHAP. XX.

Origin of the Point of Honour.

WE meet with inexplicable enigma's in the codes of the laws of the Barbarians. The law of (p) the Friſians allows only half a ſou in compoſition to a perſon that had been beaten with a ſtick; and yet for ever ſo ſmall a wound it allows more. By the Salic law, if a freeman gave three blows with a ſtick to another freeman, he paid three ſous; if he drew blood, he was puniſhed, as if he had wounded him with ſteel, and he paid fifteen ſous: thus the puniſhment was proportioned to the greatneſs of the wound. The law of the Lombards (q) eſtabliſhed different compoſitions for one, two, three, four blows; and ſo on. At preſent, a ſingle blow is equivalent to a hundred thouſand.

(p) Additio ſapientum Willemari, tit. 5.

(q) Book 1. tit. 6. § 3.

The conſtitution of Charlemain inſerted in the law (r) of the Lombards, ordains, that thoſe who were allowed the trial by combat, ſhould fight with baſtons. Perhaps this was out of regard to the clergy; or probably, as the uſage of legal duels gained ground, they wanted to render them

(r) Book 2. tit. 5. § 23.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 20.

leſs ſanguinary. The capitulary of * Lewis the Debonnaire, allows the liberty of chuſing to fight either with the ſword or baſton. In proceſs of time none but bondmen fought with the baſton (f).

(f) See Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 328.

Here I ſee the firſt riſe and formation of the particular articles of our point of honour. The accuſer began with declaring in the preſence of the judge, that ſuch a perſon had committed ſuch an action; and the accuſed made anſwer, that he lied (t); upon which the judge gave orders for the duel. It became then an eſtabliſhed rule, that whenever a perſon had the lye given him, it was incumbent on him to fight.

(t) Ibid.

(u) See Beaumanoir, chap. 3. pag. 25. and 329.

Upon a man's declaring he would fight, he could not afterwards depart from his word; if he did, he was condemned to a penalty. Hence this rule enſued, that whenever a perſon had engaged his word, honour forbad him to recal it.

Gentlemen † fought one another on horſeback, and armed at all points; villains ‡ fought on foot, and with baſtons. Hence it followed that the baſton was looked upon as the inſtrument of inſults and affronts; ‖ becauſe to ſtrike a man with it, was treating him like a villain.

None but villains fought with their § faces uncovered; ſo that none but they could receive a blow

* Added to the Salic law in 819.

† See in regard to the arms of the combatants, Beaumanoir chap. 61. pag. 308. and chap. 64. pag. 328.

‡ Ibid. chap. 64. pag. 328. See alſo the charters of S. Aubin of Anjou, quoted by Galland, pag. 263.

‖ Among the Romans it was not infamous to be beaten with a ſtick, *lege ictus fuſtium De iis qui notantur infamia.*

§ They had only the baſton and buckler. Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 328.

on the face. Therefore a box on the ear became an injury that muſt be expiated with blood, becauſe the perſon who received it, had been treated as a villain. Book XXVIII Chap. 20

The ſeveral people of Germany were no leſs ſenſible than we, of the point of honour; nay, they were more ſo. Thus the moſt diſtant relations took a very conſiderable ſhare to themſelves in every affront, and on this all their codes are founded. The law (x) of the Lombards ordains, that, whoſoever goes attended with ſervants to beat a man by ſurpriſe, in order to load him with ſhame, and to render him ridiculous, ſhould pay half the compoſition which he would owe if he had killed him (y); and if through the ſame motive he tied or bound him, he ſhould pay three quarters of the ſame compoſition.

(x) Book 1. tit. 6. § 1.

(y) Book 1. tit. 6. § 2.

Let us then conclude that our forefathers were extremely ſenſible of affronts; but that affronts of a particular kind, ſuch as being ſtruck with a certain inſtrument on a certain part of the body, and in a certain manner, were as yet unknown to them. All this was included in the affront of being beaten, and in this caſe the proportion of the exceſs conſtituted the greatneſs of the outrage.

CHAP. XXI.

A new Reflexion upon the Point of Honour among the Germans.

IT was a great infamy, ſays Tacitus (z), *among the Germans for a perſon to leave his buckler behind him in battle; for which reaſon many after a misfortune of this kind have deſtroyed themſelves.*

(z) *De moribus Germanorum.*

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 21.

Thus the ancient Salic law (a) allows a compoſition of fifteen ſous to any perſon that had been injuriouſly reproached with having left his buckler behind him.

(a) In the *Pactus legis Salicæ.*

When Charlemain * amended the Salic law, he allowed in this caſe no more than three ſous in compoſition. As this prince cannot be ſuſpected of having had a deſign to enervate the military diſcipline; it is manifeſt that ſuch a change was owing to that of arms, and that from this change of arms a great number of uſages derive their origin.

CHAP. XXII.

Of the Manners relative to judicial Combats.

OUR connexions with the fair ſex are founded on the pleaſure of enjoyment; on the happineſs of loving and being beloved; and likewiſe on the ambition of pleaſing the ladies, becauſe they are the beſt judges of ſome of thoſe things which conſtitute perſonal merit. This general deſire of pleaſing produces gallantry, which is not love itſelf, but the delicate, the volatile, the perpetual diſſembler of love.

According to the different circumſtances of every country and age, love inclines more to one of thoſe three things than to the other two. Now I maintain, that the prevailing ſpirit at the time of our judical combats, muſt naturally have been that of gallantry.

* We have both the ancient law and that which was amended by this prince.

I find

I find in the law of the Lombards (b), that if one of the two champions was found to have any magic herbs about him, the judge ordered them to be taken from him, and obliged him to ſwear he had no more. This law could be founded only on the vulgar opinion; it was fear, the ſuppoſed contriver of ſuch a number of inventions, that made them imagine this kind of preſtiges. As in the ſingle combats, the champions were armed at all points; and as with heavy arms, both of the offenſive and defenſive kind, thoſe of a particular temper and force were of infinite advantage; the notion of ſome champions having inchanted arms, muſt certainly have turned the brains of a great many people.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 22.

(b) Book 2. tit. 55. §. 11.

Hence aroſe the mavellous ſyſtem of chivalry. The minds of all ſorts of people quickly imbibed theſe extravagant ideas. Then it was they had the romantic notions of knight-errants, necromancers, and of fairies, of winged or intelligent horſes, of inviſible or invulnerable men, of magicians who concerned themſelves in the birth and education of great perſonages, of inchanted and diſinchanted palaces, of a new world in the midſt of the old one, the uſual courſe of nature being left only to the lower claſs of mankind.

Knight errants ever in armour, in a part of the world abounding with caſtles, forts, and robbers, placed all their glory in puniſhing injuſtice, and in protecting weakneſs. Hence our romances are full of gallantry founded on the idea of love, joined to that of ſtrength and protection.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 23.

Such was the original of gallantry, when they formed the notion of an extraordinary race of men, who at the ſight of a virtuous and beautiful lady in diſtreſs, were inclined to expoſe themſelves to all hazards for her ſake, and to endeavour to pleaſe her in the common actions of life.

Our romances flattered this deſire of pleaſing, and communicated to a part of Europe that ſpirit of gallantry, which we may venture to affirm was very little known to the ancients.

The prodigious luxury of that immenſe city Rome encouraged ſenſible pleaſures. The tranquility of the plains of Greece gave riſe to tender * and amorous ſentiments. The idea of knight-errants, protectors of the virtue and beauty of the fair-ſex, was productive of gallantry.

This ſpirit was continued by the cuſtom of turnaments, which uniting the rights of valour and love, added ſtill a conſiderable importance to gallantry.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of the Code of Laws on judicial Combats.

SOME perhaps will have a curioſity to ſee this abominable cuſtom of judiciary combat reduced to principle, and to find a code of ſuch extraordinary laws. Men, though reaſonable in the main, reduce their very prejudices to rule. Nothing was more contrary to good ſenſe, than thoſe combats: and yet when once this point was laid down, a kind

* See the Greek romances of the middle age.

of

of prudential management was uſed in carrying it into execution. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 24.

In order to be thoroughly acquainted with the juriſprudence of thoſe times, it is neceſſary to read with attention the regulations of S. Lewis, who made ſuch great changes in the judiciary order. Défontaines was cotemporary with that prince: Beaumanoir wrote after * him; and the reſt lived ſince his time. We muſt therefore look for the ancient practice in the amendments that have been made of it.

CHAP. XXIV.

Rules eſtabliſhed in the judicial Combat.

WHEN there happened (c) to be ſeveral accuſers, they were obliged to agree among themſelves that the action might be carried on by a ſingle proſecutor; and if they could not agree, the perſon before whom the action was brought, appointed one of them to proſecute the quarrel. (c) Beaumanoir, chap. 6. pag. 40. and 41.

When (d) a gentleman challenged a villain, he was obliged to preſent himſelf on foot with buckler and baſtoon; but if he came on horſeback, and armed like a gentleman, they took his horſe and his arms from him; and ſtripping him to his ſhirt, they compelled him to fight in that condition with the villain. (d) Ibid. chap. 64. pag. 328.

Before the combat the (e) magiſtrates ordered three banns to be publiſhed. By the firſt the relations of the parties were commanded to retire; by the ſecond the people were warned to be ſilent; and the third prohibited the giving any aſſiſtance (e) Ibid. pag. 330.

* In the year 1283.

to

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 24.

to either of the parties, under ſevere penalties; nay, even on pain of death, if by this aſſiſtance either of the combatants ſhould happen to be vanquiſhed.

(f) Beaumanoir. chap. 64. pag. 330.

The officers belonging to the civil magiſtrate (f) guarded the liſt or incloſure where the battle was fought; and in caſe either of the parties declared himſelf deſirous of peace, they took particular notice of the actual ſtate in which things ſtood at that very moment, to the end that they might be reſtored to the ſame ſituation, in caſe they did not come to an accommodation (g).

(g) Ibid.

When the pledges were received either for a crime or for falſe judgment, the parties could not make up the matter without the conſent of the lord: and when one of the parties was overcome, there could be no accommodation without the permiſſion of the count *, which had ſome analogy to our letters of grace.

But if it happened to be a capital crime, and the lord, corrupted by preſents, conſented to an accommodation; he was obliged to pay a fine of ſixty livres, and, and the right † he had of puniſhing the malefactor devolved to the count.

There were a great many people incapable either of offering, or of accepting battle. But liberty was given them in trial of the cauſe to chuſe a champion; and that he might have a ſtronger intereſt in defending the party, in whoſe behalf

* The great vaſſals had particular privileges.

† Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 330. ſays, he loſt his juriſdiction: theſe words in the authors of thoſe days have not a general ſignification, but a ſignification limited to the affair in queſtion. Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 29.

he

he appeared, his hand was cut off if he loſt the battle *. Book XXVIII. Chap. 24.

When capital laws were made in the laſt century againſt duels, perhaps it would have been ſufficient to have deprived a warrior of his military capacity, by the loſs of his hand; nothing in general being a greater mortification to mankind than to ſurvive the loſs of their character.

When (h) in capital caſes the duel was fought by champions, the parties were placed where they could not behold the battle; each was bound with the cord that was to be uſed at his execution, in caſe his champion was overcome. (h) Beaumanoir, chap. 64. pag. 330.

The perſon overcome in battle, did not always loſe the point conteſted; if, for inſtance (i), they fought on an imparlance, he loſt only the imparlance. (i) Ibid. chap. 61. pag. 309.

CHAP. XXV.

Of the Bounds preſcribed to the Cuſtom of judicial Combats.

WHEN pledges of battle had been received upon a civil affair of ſmall importance, the lord obliged the parties to withdraw them.

If a fact was notorious (k); for inſtance, if a man had been aſſaſſinated in the open market-place, then there was neither a trial by witneſſes, nor by combat; the judge gave his deciſion from the notoriety of the fact. (k) Ibid. chap. 61. pag. 308. ibid. chap. 43. pag. 239.

When the court of a lord had often determined

* This cuſtom, which we meet with in the capitularies, was ſtill ſubſiſting at the time of Beaumanoir. See chap. 61. pag. 315.

after

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 25.

after the ſame manner, and the uſage was thus known (l), the lord refuſed to grant the parties the privilege of duelling, to the end that the uſages might not be altered by the different ſucceſs of the combats.

(l) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 314. See alſo Déſontaines, chap. 22. art 24.

They were not allowed to inſiſt upon duelling but for (m) themſelves, for ſome one belonging to their family, or for their liege lord.

(m) Ibid. chap. 63. pag. 322.

When the accuſed had been acquitted (n), another relation could not inſiſt on fighting him; otherwiſe diſputes would never be terminated.

(n) Ibid.

If a perſon appeared again in public, whoſe relations, upon a ſuppoſition of his being murdered, wanted to revenge his death; there was then no room for a combat: the ſame may be ſaid (o) if by a notorious abſence the fact was proved to be impoſſible.

(o) Ibid.

(p) Ibid. pag. 323.

If a man (p) who had been mortally wounded, had diſculpated before his death the perſon accuſed, and named another, they did not proceed to a duel; but if he had mentioned nobody, his declaration was looked upon only as a forgiveneſs on his death-bed; the proſecution was continued, and even among gentlemen they could make war againſt each other.

When there was a war, and one of their relations had given or received pledges of battle, the right of war ceaſed; for then it was thought that the parties wanted to purſue the ordinary courſe of juſtice; therefore he that continued the war would have been ſentenced to repair all damages.

Thus the practice of judiciary combat had this advantage, that it was apt to change a general into a particular quarrel, to reſtore the courts of judicature

judicature to their authority, and to reduce to a civil ſtate thoſe who were no longer governed but by the law of nations. Book XXVIII. Chap. 25.

As there are an infinite number of wiſe things that are managed in a very fooliſh manner; ſo there are many fooliſh things that are very wiſely conducted.

When a man (q) who was appealed of a crime, viſibly ſhewed, that it had been committed by the appellant himſelf, there could be then no pledges of battle; for there is no criminal but would prefer a duel of uncertain event to a certain puniſhment. (q) Beaumanoir, chap. 63. pag. 324.

There were no duels (r) in affairs decided by arbiters, nor by eccleſiaſtic courts: nor in caſes relating to women's dowries. (r) Ibid. pag. 325.

A *woman*, ſays Beaumanoir, *cannot fight*. If a woman appealed a perſon without naming her champion, the pledges of battle were not accepted. It was alſo requiſite that a woman ſhould be authorized (s) by her baron, that is, by her huſband, to appeal; but ſhe might be appealed without this authority. (s) Ibid. pag. 325.

If either the appellant, or the (t) appellee were under fifteen years of age, there could be no combat. They might order it, indeed, in diſputes relating to orphans, when their guardians or truſtees were willing to run the riſk of this procedure. (t) Ibid. chap. 63. pag. 323. See alſo what I have ſaid in the 18th book.

The caſes in which a bondman was allowed to fight, are, I think, as follows. He was allowed to fight another bondman; to fight a freeman, or even a gentleman, in caſe they were appellants; but if he was the appellant (u) himſelf, the others might refuſe to fight; and even the (u) Ibid. chap. 63. p. 322.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 26.

the bondman's lord had a right to take him out of the court. The bondman might by his lord's charter (x) or by uſage, fight with any freeman; and the church * pretended to this right for her bondmen, as a mark of reſpect † due to her by the laity.

(x) Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 7.

CHAP. XXVI.

Of the judiciary Combat between one of the Parties, and one of the Witneſſes.

(y) Chap. 61. pag. 315.

BEAUMANOIR informs us (y) that a perſon who ſaw a witneſs going to ſwear againſt him, might elude the ſecond, by telling the judges, that his adverſaries produced a falſe and ſlandering witneſs; and if the witneſs was willing to maintain the quarrel, he gave pledges of battle. They troubled themſelves no farther about the inqueſt; for if the witneſs was overcome, it was decided, that the party had produced a falſe witneſs, and he loſt his cauſe.

It was neceſſary the ſecond witneſs ſhould be prevented from ſwearing; for if he had made his atteſtation, the affair would have been decided by the depoſition of two witneſſes. But by ſtaying the ſecond, the depoſition of the firſt witneſs was of no manner of uſe.

The ſecond witneſs being thus rejected, the party was not allowed to produce any others, but he loſt his cauſe; in caſe, however, there had

* *Habeant bellandi et teſtificandi licentiam.* Charter of Lewis the Fat, in the year 1118.

† Ibidem.

been

been no pledges of battle, he might produce other witneſſes.

Beaumanoir obſerves (z), that the witneſs might ſay to the party he appeared for, before he made his depoſition: *I do not care to fight for your quarrel, nor to enter into any debate; but if you are willing to ſtand by me, I am ready to tell the truth.* The party was then obliged to fight for the witneſs, and if he happened to be overcome, he did not loſe his cauſe *, but the witneſs was rejected.

(z) Chap. 6. pag. 39. and 40.

This, I believe, was a limitation of the ancient cuſtom; and what makes me think ſo, is, that we find this uſage of appealing the witneſſes, eſtabliſhed in the laws of the (a) Bavarians and (b) Burgundians without any reſtriction.

(a) Tit. 16. § 2.

(b) Tit. 45.

I have already made mention of the conſtitution of Gundebald, againſt which Agobard † and S. Avitus ‡ made ſuch loud complaints. "*When* "*the accuſed* (ſays this prince) *produces witneſſes* "*to ſwear that he has not committed the crime, the* "*accuſer may challenge one of the witneſſes to a com-* "*bat; for it is very juſt, that the perſon who has* "*offered to ſwear, and has declared that he was* "*certain of the truth, ſhould make no difficulty to* "*maintain it.*" Thus the witneſſes were deprived by this king of every kind of ſubterfuge to avoid the judiciary combat.

* But if the battle was fought by champions, the champion that was overcome had his hand cut off.

† Letter to Lewis the Debonnaire.

‡ Life of S. Avitus.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXVII.

Of the judicial Combat between one of the Parties, and one of the Lord's Peers. Appeal of falſe Judgment.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 27.

AS the nature of judicial combats was to terminate the affair for ever, and was incompatible with (c) a new judgment, and new proſecutions; an appeal, ſuch as is eſtabliſhed by the Roman and Canon laws, that is, to a ſuperior court, in order to rejudge the proceedings of an inferior, was a thing unknown in France.

(c) Beaumanoir, chap. 2. pag. 22.

This is a form of proceeding to which a warlike nation, entirely governed by the point of honour, was quite a ſtranger; and agreeably to this very ſpirit, the ſame methods (d) were uſed againſt the judges, as was allowed againſt the parties.

(d) Ibid. chap. 61. pag. 312. & ch. 67. pag. 338.

An appeal among the people of this nation was a challenge to fight with arms, a challenge decided by blood, and not by an invitation to a paper quarrel, the knowledge of which was deferred to ſucceeding ages (e).

(e) Book 2. chap. 15.

Thus S. Lewis in his inſtitutions, ſays, that an appeal includes both felony and iniquity. Thus Beaumanoir tells us, that if a vaſſal (f) wanted to make his complaint of any outrage committed againſt him by his lord, he was firſt obliged to denounce that he quitted his fief: after which he appealed to his lord paramount, and offered pledges of battle. In like manner the lord renounced the homage of his vaſſal, if he appealed him before the count.

(f) Beaumanoir, chap 61. pag. 310. and 311. and chap. 67. pag. 337.

A vaſſal

A vaſſal to appeal his lord of falſe judgment, was telling him, that his ſentence was unjuſt and malicious: now, to utter ſuch words againſt his lord, was in ſome meaſure committing the crime of felony. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 27.

Hence, inſtead of bringing an appeal of falſe judgment againſt the lord, who appointed and directed the court, they appealed the peers of whom the court itſelf was formed: by which means they avoided the crime of felony; for they inſulted only their peers, with whom they could always account for the affront.

It was a very (g) dangerous thing to appeal the peers of falſe judgment. If the party waited till judgment was pronounced, he was obliged to fight them all (h), when they offered to make good their judgment. If the appeal was made before all the judges had given their opinion, he was obliged to fight all who had agreed in their judgment. To avoid this danger, it was uſual to petition the lord (i) to direct that each peer ſhould give his opinion out loud; and when the firſt had pronounced, and the ſecond was going to do the ſame, the party told him that he was a liar, a knave, and a ſlanderer, and then he had to fight only with that peer.

(g) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 313.
(h) Ibid. pag. 314.
(i) Ibid. chap. 61. pag. 314.

Défontaines * would have it, that before an appeal was made of falſe judgment, it was cuſtomary to let three judges pronounce; and he does not ſay, that it was neceſſary to fight them all three, much leſs that there was any obligation to fight all thoſe who had declared themſelves of the

* Chap. 22. art. 1. 10, and 11. he ſays only, that each of them was allowed a ſmall fine.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 27.

ſame opinion. Theſe differences ariſe from this, that there were few uſages exactly in all parts the ſame; Beaumanoir gives an account of what paſſed in the county of Clermont; and Defontaines of what was practiſed in Vermandois.

When (k) one of the peers had declared that he would maintain the judgment, the judge ordered pledges of battle to be given, and likewiſe took ſecurity of the appellant, that he would maintain his appeal. But the peer who was appealed gave no ſecurity, becauſe he was the lord's vaſſal, and was obliged to defend the appeal, or to pay the lord a fine of ſixty livres.

(k) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 314.

If the (l) appellant did not prove that the judgment was falſe, he paid the lord a fine of ſixty livres, the ſame fine (m) to the peer whom he had appealed, and as much to every one of thoſe who had openly conſented to the judgment.

(l) Ibid. Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 9.

(m) Defontaines, chap. 22. art. 9.

When a perſon violently ſuſpected of a capital crime, had been taken and condemned, he could make no appeal (n) of falſe judgment: for he would always appeal either to prolong his life, or to get an abſolute diſcharge.

(n) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 316.

If a perſon (o) ſaid that the judgment was falſe and bad, and did not offer to make his words good, that is to fight, he was condemned to a fine of ſix ſous, if a gentleman; and to five ſous, if a bondman, for the injurious expreſſions he had uttered.

(o) Ibid. chap. 16. pag. 314. and Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 21.

The judges or peers (p) who were overcome, forfeited neither life, nor limbs; but the perſon who appealed them was puniſhed with death, if it happened to be a capital crime *.

(p) Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 7.

This

* See Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 11. and 12. and following, who

This manner of appealing the peers of falſe judgment, was to avoid appealing the lord himſelf. If (q) the lord had no peers, or had not a ſufficient number, he might, at his own expence, hire † peers of his lord paramount; but theſe peers were not obliged to pronounce judgment if they did not like it; they might declare, that they were come only to give their opinion: in that particular caſe ‡, the lord himſelf pronounced ſentence as judge; and if an appeal of falſe judgment was made againſt him, it was his buſineſs to ſtand the appeal.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 27.

(q) Beaumanoir, chap. 62. pag. 322. Defontaines, chap. 22.

If the lord happened (r) to be ſo very poor as not to be able to hire peers of his paramount, or if he neglected to aſk for them, or the paramount refuſed to give them, then as the lord could not judge by himſelf, and as no body was obliged to plead before a tribunal where judgment could not be given, the affair was brought before the lord paramount.

(r) Ibid. chap. 62. pag. 322.

This, I believe, was one of the principal cauſes of the ſeparation between the juriſdiction and the fief, from whence aroſe that maxim of the French lawyers, *The fief is one thing, and the juriſdiction another.* For as there was a vaſt number of peers who had no ſubordinate vaſſals under them, they were incapable of holding their court; all affairs were then brought before their lord paramount, and

who diſtinguiſhes the caſes in which the appellant of falſe judgment loſes his life, the point conteſted, or only the imparlance.

† The count was not obliged to lend any. Beaumanoir, chap. 67, p. 337.

‡ No body can paſs judgment in his court, ſays Beaumanoir, chap 67. p. 336. and 337.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 27.

they loſt the privilege of pronouncing judgment, becauſe they had neither power nor will to claim it.

(r) Défontaine's chap. 21. art. 27, and 28.

All the peers (r) who had agreed to the judgment, were obliged to be preſent when it was pronounced, that they might follow one another, and ſay *Yes* to the perſon who, wanting to make an appeal of falſe judgment, aſked them whether they followed; for Defontaines ſays (f), *that it is an affair of curteſy and loyalty, and there is no ſuch thing as evaſion or delay.* From hence, I imagine, aroſe the cuſtom ſtill followed in England, of obliging the jury to be all unanimous in their verdict in caſes relating to life and death.

(f) Ibid. art. 28.

Judgment was therefore given according to the opinion of the majority: and if there was an equal diviſion, ſentence was pronounced, in criminal caſes, in favour of the accuſed; in caſes of debt, in favour of the debtor; and in caſes of inheritance, in favour of the defendant.

(t) Chap. 21. art 37.

Défontaines obſerves (t), that a peer could not excuſe himſelf by ſaying that he would not ſit in court if there were only four *, or if the whole number, or at leaſt the wiſeſt part, were not preſent. This, is juſt as if he were to ſay in the heat of an engagement, that he would not aſſiſt his lord, becauſe he had not all his vaſſals with him. But it was the lord's buſineſs to cauſe his court to be reſpected, and to chuſe the braveſt and moſt knowing of his tenants. This I mention in order to ſhew the duty of vaſſals, which was to fight and to give judgment; and ſuch indeed was this duty, that to give judgment was all the ſame as to fight.

* This number at leaſt was neceſſary. Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 36.

It

It was lawful for a lord who went to law with his vaſſal in his own court, and was caſt, to appeal one of his tenants of falſe judgment. But as the latter owed a reſpect to his lord for the fealty he had vowed, and the lord on the other hand owed benevolence to his vaſſal for the fealty accepted; it was cuſtomary to make a diſtinction between the lords affirming in general, that the judgment (u) was falſe and unjuſt, and imputing perſonal (x) prevarications to his tenant. In the former caſe, he affronted his own court, and in ſome meaſure himſelf, ſo that there was no room for pledges of battle. But there was room in the latter, becauſe he attacked his vaſſal's honour; and the perſon overcome was deprived of life and property, in order to maintain the public tranquility. Book XXVIII. Chap. 27.

(u) Beaumanoir, chap. 67. pag. 337.
(x) Ibid.

This diſtinction, which was neceſſary in that particular caſe, had afterwards a greater extent. Beaumanoir ſays, that when the appellant of falſe judgment attacked one of the peers by perſonal imputation, battle enſued; but if he attacked only the judgment, the peer appealed was at liberty (y) to determine the diſpute either by battle, or by law. But as the prevailing ſpirit in Beaumanoir's time was to reſtrain the uſage of judicial combats, and as this liberty which had been granted to the peer appealed, of defending the judgment by combat or not, is equally contrary to the ideas of honour eſtabliſhed in thoſe days, and to the obligation the vaſſal lay under of defending his lord's juriſdiction; I am apt to think that this diſtinction of Beaumanoir's was owing to a new regulation among the French.

(y) Beaumanoir, chap. 67. pag. 337, and 338

Book XXVIII. Chap. 27.

I would not have it thought, that all appeals of falſe judgment were decided by battle; it fared with this appeal as with all others. The reader may recollect the exceptions mentioned in the 25th chapter. Here it was the buſineſs of the ſuperior court to examine whether it was proper to withdraw the pledges of battle or not.

There could be no appeal of falſe judgment againſt the king's court; becauſe as there was no one equal to the king, no one could appeal him; and as the king had no ſuperior, none could appeal from his court.

This fundamental regulation, which was neceſſary as a political law, diminiſhed alſo as a civil law the abuſes of the judicial proceedings of thoſe times. When a lord was afraid (z) that his court would be appealed of falſe judgment, or perceived that they were determined to appeal; if juſtice required there ſhould be no appeal, he might petition for peers from the king's court, who could not be appealed of falſe judgment. Thus king Philip, ſays Défontaines (a), ſent his whole council to judge an affair in the court of the Abbot of Corbey.

(z) Défontaines, chap. 22.

(a) Ibid.

If the lord could not have judges from the king, he might remove his court into the king's, if he held immediately of him: but if there were intermediate lords, he had recourſe to his paramount, removing from one lord to another, till he came to the ſovereign.

Thus notwithſtanding they had not in thoſe days either the practice or even the idea of our modern appeals, yet they had recourſe to the king, who was the ſource from whence all thoſe rivers flowed, and the ſea into which they returned.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXVIII.

Of the Appeal of Default of Juſtice.

THE appeal of default of juſtice was when the court of a particular lord deferred, evaded, or refuſed to do juſtice to the parties. Book XXVIII. Chap. 28.

During the time of our princes of the ſecond race, though the count had ſeveral officers under him, their perſon was ſubordinate, but not their juriſdiction. Theſe officers in their court-days, aſſizes, or *placita*, gave judgment in the laſt reſort as the count himſelf; all the difference conſiſted in the diviſion of the juriſdiction. For inſtance, the count had (c) the power of condemning to death, of judging of liberty and of the reſtitution of goods, which the *centenarii* had not.

(c) Third capitulary of the year 812. art. 3. edition of *Baluzius*, p. 497. and of *Charles the bald*, added to the law of the Lombards, book 2. art. 3.

For the ſame reaſon there were higher cauſes (d) reſerved to the king; namely, thoſe which directly concerned the political order of the ſtate. Such were the diſputes between biſhops, abbots, counts, and other grandees, which were determined by the king, together with the great vaſſals *.

(d) Third capitulary of the year 812. art. 3. edition of *Baluzius*, p. 497.

What ſome authors have advanced; namely, that an appeal lay from the count to the king's commiſſary or *Miſſus Dominicus*, is not well grounded. The count and the *Miſſus* had an equal juriſdiction (e) independent of each other: The whole difference (f) was that the *Miſſus* held his *Placita* or aſſizes four months in the year, and the count the other eight.

(e) See the capitulary of *Charles the bald*, added to the law of the Lombards, book 2. art. 3.

(f) Third capitulary of the year 812. art. 8.

If a perſon who had been condemned at an aſ-

* *Cum fidelibus.* Capitulary of Lewis the pious, edition of *Baluzius*, p. 667.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 28.

ſize *, demanded to have his cauſe tried over again, and was afterwards caſt, he paid a fine of fifteen ſous, or received fifteen blows from the judges who had decided the affair.

When the counts or the king's commiſſaries did not find themſelves able to bring the great lords to reaſon, they made them give bail or ſecurity †, that they would appear in the king's court: this was to try the cauſe, and not to rejudge it. I find in the capitulary of Metz ‡, a law by which the appeal of falſe judgment to the king's court is eſtabliſhed, and all other kinds of appeal are proſcribed and puniſhed.

If they refuſed to ſubmit to the judgment of the ſheriffs ‖, and made no complaint, they were impriſoned till they had ſubmitted: but if they complained they were conducted under a proper guard before the king, and the affair was examined in his court.

There could be hardly any room then for an appeal of default of juſtice. For inſtead of its being uſual in thoſe days to complain, that the counts and others who had a right of holding aſſizes, were not exact in diſcharging his duty; (§) it was a general complaint that they were too exact. Hence we find ſuch numbers of ordinances, by which the counts and all other officers of juſtice are forbid to hold their aſſizes above thrice a

(§) See the law of the Lombards, book 2. tit. 52. art. 22.

* *Placitum.*

† This appears by the formula's, charters, and the capitularies.

‡ In the year 757. edition of *Baluzius*, pag. 180. art. 9. and 10. and the Synod *apud Vernas* in the year 755. art. 29. edition of *Baluzius*, pag. 175. Theſe two capitularies were made under king Pepin.

‖ The officers under the count, *Scabini*.

year,

year. It was not ſo neceſſary to chaſtiſe their indolence, as to check their activity.

But, after an infinite number of petty lordſhips had been formed, and different degrees of vaſſalage eſtabliſhed, the neglect of certain vaſſals in holding their courts gave riſe to this kind of appeal *; eſpecially as very conſiderable profits accrued to the lord paramount from the ſeveral fines.

As the cuſtom of judicial combats gained every day more ground, there were places, caſes, and times, in which it was difficult to aſſemble the peers, and conſequently in which juſtice was delayed. The appeal of default of juſtice was therefore introduced, an appeal that has been often a remarkable æra in our hiſtory; becauſe moſt of the wars of thoſe days were imputed to a violation of the political law; as the cauſe, or at leaſt the pretence of our modern wars, is the infringment of the law of nations.

Beaumanoir (h) ſays, that in caſe of default of juſtice, battle was not allowed: the reaſons are theſe, 1. they could not challenge the lord, becauſe of the reſpect due to his perſon; neither could they challenge the lord's peers, becauſe the caſe was clear, and they had only to reckon the days of the ſummons, or of the other delays; there had beeen no judgment paſſed, conſequently there could be no appeal of falſe judgment: in fine, the crime of the peers offended the lord as well as the party, and it was againſt rule that

(h) Chap. 61. pag. 315.

* There are inſtances of appeals of default of juſtice ſo early as the time of Philip Auguſtus.

there

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 28.

there ſhould be battle between the lord and his peers.

But (i) as the default was proved by witneſſes before the ſuperior court; the witneſſes might be challenged, and then neither the lord nor his court were offended.

(i) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 315.

In caſe the default was owing to the lord's tenants or peers by deferring juſtice or by evading judgment after paſt delays, then theſe peers were appealed of default of juſtice before the paramount; and if they were caſt, they (k) paid a fine to their lord. The latter could not give them any aſſiſtance; on the contrary, he ſeized their fief till they had each paid a fine of ſixty livres.

(k) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 24.

2. When the default was owing to the lord, which was the caſe whenever there happened not to be a ſufficient number of peers in his court to paſs judgment, or when he had not aſſembled his tenants or appointed ſomebody in his room to aſſemble them, an appeal might be made of the default before the lord paramount; but then the party (l) and not the lord was ſummoned, becauſe of the reſpect due to the latter.

(l) Ibid art. 31.

The lord demanded to be tried before the paramount, and if he was acquitted of the default, the cauſe was remanded to him, and he was likewiſe paid a fine of (m) ſixty livres. But if the default was proved, the penalty (n) inflicted on him was to loſe the trial of the cauſe, which was to be then determined in the ſuperior court. And indeed, the complaint of default was made with no other view.

(m) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 312.

(n) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 29.

3. If

3. If the lord was ſued * in his own court, which never happened but upon diſputes relative to the fief; after letting all the delays paſs, the lord himſelf (°) was ſummoned before the peers in the ſovereign's name, whoſe permiſſion was neceſſary on that occaſion. The peers did not make the ſummons in their own name, becauſe they could not ſummon their lord, but they could ſummon (P) for their lord. Book XXVIII. Chap. 28. (°) Ibid. chap. 34. (P) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 9.

Sometimes (q) the appeal of default of juſtice was followed by an appeal of falſe judgment, when the lord had cauſed judgment to be paſſed, notwithſtanding the default. (q) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 318.

The vaſſal † who had wrongfully appealed his lord of default of juſtice, was ſentenced to pay a fine according to his lord's pleaſure.

The inhabitants of Gaunt (r) had appealed the earl of Flanders of default of juſtice before the king, for having delayed to give judgment in his own court. Upon examination it was found, that he had uſed fewer delays than even the cuſtom of the country allowed. They were therefore remanded to him; upon which their effects to the value of ſixty thouſand livres were ſeized. They returned to the king's court in order to have the (r) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 318.

* This was the caſe in the famous difference between the lord of Nele and Joan counteſs of Flanders, under the reign of Lewis VIII. He ſued her in her own court of Flanders, and ſummoned her to give judgment within forty days, and afterwards appealed in default of juſtice to the king's court. She anſwered, he ſhould be judged by his peers in Flanders. The king's court determined that he ſhould not be remanded, and that the counteſs ſhould be ſummoned.

† Beaumanoir, chap. 61 pag. 312. But he that was neither tenant nor vaſſal to the lord, paid only a fine of ſixty livres. Ibid.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 28.

fine moderated; but it was decided that the earl might inſiſt upon the fine, and even upon more if he pleaſed. Beaumanoir was preſent at thoſe judgments.

4. In other diſputes which the lord might have with his vaſſal, in reſpect to the body or honour of the latter, or to goods that did not belong to the fief, there was no room for an appeal of default of juſtice; becauſe the cauſe was not tried in the lord's court, but in that of the paramount: vaſſals, ſays Défontaines ([f]), having no power to give judgment on the body of their lord.

([f]) Chap. 21. art. 35.

I have been at ſome trouble to give a clear idea of thoſe things, which are ſo obſcure and confuſed in ancient authors, that to diſentangle them from the chaos in which they were involved, may be reckoned a new diſcovery.

CHAP. XXIX.

Epoch of the Reign of St. Lewis.

ST. Lewis aboliſhed the judicial combats in all the courts of his demeſne, as appears by the ordinance ([t]) he publiſhed on that account, and ([u]) by *the inſtitutions*.

([t]) In the year 1260.

([u]) Book 1. chap. 2. and 7. and book 2. chap. 10. and 11.

But he did not ſuppreſs them in the courts of his * barons, except in the caſe of appeal of falſe judgment.

A vaſſal could not appeal the court of his lord of falſe judgment, without demanding a judicial combat againſt the judges who had pronounced

* As appears every where in the inſtitutions, &c. and Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 309.

ſentence.

ſentence. But St. Lewis (x) introduced the practice of appealing of falſe judgment without fighting, a change that may be reckoned a kind of revolution.

He declared (y), that there ſhould be no appeal of falſe judgment in the lorſhips of his demeſne, becauſe it was a crime of felony. If it was a kind of felony againſt the lord, by a much ſtronger reaſon it was felony againſt the king. But he conſented they might demand an amendment (z) of the judgments paſſed in his courts; not becauſe they were falſe or iniquitous, but becauſe they did ſome prejudice (a). On the contrary, he ordained, that they ſhould be obliged to make an appeal of falſe judgment againſt the courts of the barons (b), in caſe of any complaint.

It was not allowed by the inſtitutions, as we have already obſerved, to bring an appeal of falſe judgment againſt the courts in the king's demeſnes. They were obliged to demand an amendment before the ſame court: and in caſe the bailiff refuſed the amendment demanded, the king gave leave to make an appeal (c) to his court; or rather interpreting the inſtitutions by themſelves, to preſent him (d) a requeſt or petition.

With regard to the courts of the lords, S. Lewis by permitting them to be appealed of falſe judgment, would have the cauſe brought * before the royal tribunal, or that of the lord paramount, not (e) to be decided by duel, but by witneſſes,

(x) Inſtitutions, book 1, chap. 6. and book 2. chap. 15.

(y) Ibid. book 2. chap 15.

(z) Ibid. book 1. chap. 78. and book 2. chap. 15.

(a) Ibid. book 1. chap. 78.

(b) Ibid. book 2. chap. 15.

(c) Ibid. book 2. chap. 78.

(d) Ibid. chap. 15.

(e) Book 1. chap. 6. and 47. and book 2. chap. 15. and Beaumanoir, chap. 11. pag. 58.

* But if they wanted to appeal without falſifying the judgment, the appeal was not admitted. Inſtitutions, book 2. chap. 15.

purſuant

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 29.

purſuant to a certain form of proceeding, the rules of which he laid down in the inſtitutions (f).

(f) Book 1. chap. 1, 2, and 3.

Thus, whether they could falſify the judgment, as in the court of the barons; or whether they could not falſify, as in the court of his demeſne, he ordained, that they might appeal, without the hazard of a duel.

(g) Chap. 22. art. 16, and 17.

Défontaines (g) gives us the two firſt examples he ever ſaw, in which they proceeded thus without a legal duel: one, in a cauſe tried at the court of St. Quintin, which belonged to the king's demeſne; and the other, in the court of Ponthieu, where the count who was preſent, oppoſed the ancient juriſprudence: but theſe two cauſes were decided by law.

Here, perhaps, it will be aſked, why St. Lewis ordained for the courts of his barons a different form of proceeding from that which he had eſtabliſhed in the courts of his demeſne? the reaſon is this: when St. Lewis made the regulation for the courts of his demeſnes, he was not checked or confined in his views: but he had meaſures to keep with the lords who enjoyed this ancient prerogative, that cauſes ſhould not be removed from their courts, unleſs the party was willing to expoſe himſelf to the dangers of an appeal of falſe judgment. St. Lewis preſerved the uſage of this appeal; but he ordained, that it ſhould be made without a judicial combat, that is, in order to render the change more inſenſible, he ſuppreſſed the thing and continued the terms.

(h) Chap. 61. pag. 309.

This regulation was not univerſally received in the courts of the lords. Beaumanoir (h) ſays, that in his time there were two ways of trying cauſes; one according

according to the king's eſtabliſhment, and the other purſuant to the ancient practice; that the lords were at liberty to follow which way they pleaſed; but when they had pitched upon one in any cauſe, they could not afterwards have recourſe to the other. He adds (i), that the count of Clermont followed the new practice, whilſt his vaſſals kept to the old one; but that it was in his power to re-eſtabliſh the ancient practice whenever he pleaſed, otherwiſe he would have leſs authority than his vaſſals.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 29.

(i) Chap. 61. pag. 309.

It is proper here to obſerve, that France was at that time (k) divided into the country of the king's demeſne, and that which was called the country of the barons, or the baronies; and, to make uſe of the terms of St. Lewis's inſtitutions, into the country under obedience to the king, and the country out of his obedience. When the king made ordinances for the country of his demeſne, he employed his own ſingle authority. But when he publiſhed any ordinances that concerned alſo the country of his barons, theſe were * made in concert with them, or ſealed and ſubſcribed by them: otherwiſe the barons received or refuſed them, according as they ſeemed conducive to the good of their baronies. The rear-vaſſals were upon the ſame terms with the great-vaſſals. Now the inſtitutions were not made

(k) See Beaumanoir, Défontaines, and the Inſtitutions, book 2. chap. 10, 11, 15, and others.

* See the ordinances at the beginning of the third race in the collection of Lauriere, eſpecially thoſe of Philip Auguſtus, on eccleſiaſtic juriſdiction; that of Lewis VIII. concerning the Jews; and the charters related by Mr. Bruſſel; particularly that of St. Lewis, on the leaſe and recovery of lands, and the feodal majority of young women, tom. 2. book 3. pag. 35, and ibid. The ordinance of Philip Auguſtus, pag. 7.

with

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 30. and 31.

with the conſent of the lords, though they regulated matters which to them were of great importance: but they were received only by thoſe who believed they would redound to their advantage. Robert, ſon of St. Lewis, received them in his county of Clermont; yet his vaſſals did not think proper to conform to this practice.

CHAP. XXX.

Obſervations on Appeals.

I Apprehend that appeals, which were challenges to a combat, muſt have been made immediately on the ſpot. *If the party leaves the court without appealing*, ſays Beaumanoir (l), *he loſes his appeal, and the judgment ſtands good.* This continued ſtill in force, even after all the reſtrictions of (m) judicial combat.

(l) Chap. 33. pag. 627. ibid. Chap. 63. pag. 312.

(m) See the Inſtitutions of St. Lewis, book 2. chap. 15. the Ordinance of Cha. VII. in the year 1453.

CHAP. XXXI.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE villain could not bring an appeal of falſe judgment againſt the court of his lord. This we learn from Défontaines (n), and is confirmed moreover by the inſtitutions (o). Hence Défontaines (p) ſays, *between the lord and his villain there is no other judge but God.*

(n) Chap. 21. art. 21, and 22.

(o) Book 1. chap. 136.

(p) Chap. 2. art. 8.

It was the cuſtom of judicial combats that deprived the villains of the privilege of appealing their lord's court of falſe judgment. And ſo true is this, that thoſe villains *, who by charter or cuſtom

† Défontaines, chap. 22. art. 7. This article and the 21ſt of the 22d chapter of the ſame author, have been hitherto very ill explained.

cuſtom had a right to fight, had alſo the privilege of appealing their lord's court of falſe judgment, even though the peers who tried them were † gentlemen: and Defontaines (q) propoſes expedients to gentlemen, in order to avoid the ſcandal of fighting with a villain, by whom they had been appealed of falſe judgment. Book XXVIII. Chap. 31.

(q) Chap 22. art. 14

As the practice of judicial combats began to decline, and the uſage of new appeals to be introduced, it was reckoned unfair that freemen ſhould have a remedy againſt the injuſtice of the court of their lords, and the villains ſhould not; hence the parliament received their appeals all the ſame as thoſe of freemen.

CHAP. XXXII.

The ſame Subject continued.

WHEN an appeal of falſe judgment was brought againſt the lord's court, the lord appeared in perſon before his paramount, to defend the judgment of his court. In like manner (r) in the appeal of default of juſtice, the party ſummoned before the lord paramount, brought his lord along with him, to the end, that if the default was not proved, he might recover his juriſdiction.

(r) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 33.

In proceſs of time, as the practice obſerved in thoſe two particular caſes, was become general,

explained. Défontaines does not oppoſe the judgment of the lord to that of the gentleman, becauſe it was the ſame thing; but he oppoſes the common villain to him who had the privilege of fighting.

* Gentlemen may be always appointed judges. Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 48.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 32.

by the introduction of all ſorts of appeals, it ſeemed very extraordinary that the lord ſhould be obliged to ſpend his whole life in ſtrange tribunals, and for other people's affairs. Philip of Valois ([f]) ordained, that none but the bailiffs ſhould be ſummoned; and when the uſage of appeals became ſtill more frequent, the parties were obliged to defend the appeal: the fact * of the judge became that of the party.

([f]) In the year 1332.

I took notice that in the ([t]) appeal of default of juſtice, the lord loſt only the privilege of having the cauſe tried in his own court. But if the lord himſelf was ſued as party ([u]), which was become a very common practice ([x]), he paid a fine of ſixty livres to the king, or to the paramount, before whom the appeal was brought. From thence aroſe the uſage, after appeals had been generally received, of fining the lord upon the amendment of the ſentence of his judge; an uſage which laſted a long time, and was confirmed by the ordinance of Rouſſillon, but fell, at length, to the ground, through its own abſurdity.

([t]) See chap. 30.

([u]) Beaumanoir, chap. 61. pag. 312. and 318.

([x]) Ibid.

CHAP. XXXIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

IN the practice of judicial combats, the perſon who had appealed one of the judges of falſe judgment, might loſe his ([y]) cauſe by the combat, but could not poſſibly gain it. And indeed the party who had a judgment in his favour, ought

([y]) Défontaines, chap. 21. art. 14.

* See the ſituation of things in Boutillier's time, who lived in the year 1402. *Somme rurale*, book 1. p. 19. and 20.

not

not to have been deprived of it by another man's act. The appellant, therefore, who had gained the battle was obliged to fight likewiſe againſt the adverſe party: not in order to know whether the judgment was good or bad (for this judgment was out of the caſe, being reverſed by the combat) but to determine whether the demand was juſt or not; and it was on this new point they fought. From thence proceeds our manner of pronouncing decrees, *The court annuls the appeal*; *the court annuls the appeal, and the judgment againſt which the appeal was brought*. In effect, when the perſon who had made the appeal of falſe judgment, happened to be overcome, the appeal was reverſed; when he proved victorious, both the judgment and the appeal were reverſed: then they were obliged to proceed to a new judgment. Book XXVIII. Chap. 33.

This is ſo far true, that when the cauſe was tried by inqueſts, this manner of pronouncing did not take place: witneſs what M. de la Roche Flavin (z) ſays, namely, that the chamber of inqueſts could not uſe this form at the beginning of its creation.

(z) Of the parliaments of France, book 11. chap. 16.

CHAP. XXXIV.

In what manner the Proceedings at Law became ſecret.

DUELS had introduced a public form of proceeding, ſo that both the attack and the defence were equally known. *The witneſſes*, ſays Beaumanoir (a), *ought to give their teſtimony in open court*. Boutillier's commentator ſays, he had learnt of ancient practitioners, and from ſome old manuſcript law books, that criminal proceſſes were anciently

(a) Chap. 61. pag. 315.

ciently carried on in public, and in a form not very different from the public judgments of the Romans. This was owing to their not knowing how to write; a thing in thoſe days very common. The uſage of writing fixes the ideas, and preſerves the ſecret; but when this uſage is laid aſide, nothing but the notoriety of the proceeding is capable of fixing thoſe ideas.

And as uncertainty * might eaſily ariſe in reſpect to what had been tried by vaſſals, they could therefore refreſh their memory every time they held a court, by what was called proceedings on record †. In that caſe it was not allowed to challenge the witneſſes to combat; for then there would be no end of diſputes.

In proceſs of time a ſecret form of proceeding was introduced. Every thing before had been public; every thing now became ſecret; the interrogatories, the informations, the re-examinations, the confronting of witneſſes, the opinion of the attorney general; and this is the preſent practice. The firſt form of proceeding was ſuitable to the government of that time, as the new form was proper to the government ſince eſtabliſhed.

Boutillier's commentator fixes the epoch of this change to the ordinance in the year 1539. I am apt to believe that the change was made inſenſibly, and paſſed from one lordſhip to another, in proportion as the lords renounced the ancient form of judging, and that derived from the inſtitutions

* As Beaumanoir ſays, chap. 39. pag. 209.

† They proved by witneſſes what had been already done, ſaid, or decreed in court.

of

of St. Lewis was improved. And indeed, Beaumanoir ſays (b), that witneſſes were publicly heard only in caſes in which it was allowed to give pledges of battle: in others, they were heard in ſecret, and their depoſitions were reduced to writing. The proceedings became therefore ſecret, when they ceaſed to give pledges of battle.

(b) Chap. 39. pag. 218.

CHAP. XXXV.

Of the Coſts.

IN former times no one was condemned in the temporal courts of France to the payment of coſts (c). The party caſt was ſufficiently puniſhed by pecuniary fines to the lord and his peers. From the manner of proceeding by judicial combat, it followed that the party condemned and deprived of life and fortune, was puniſhed as much as he could be: and in the other caſes of the judicial combat, there were fines ſometimes fixed, and ſometimes dependant on the diſpoſition of the lord, which were ſufficient to make people dread the conſequences of ſuits. The ſame may be ſaid of cauſes that were not decided by combat. As the lord had the chief profits, ſo he was alſo at the chief expence, either to aſſemble his peers, or to enable them to proceed to judgment. Beſides, as diſputes were generally determined on the ſpot, and without that infinite multitude of writings which afterwards followed, there was no neceſſity of allowing coſts to the parties.

(c) Défontaines in his counſel, chap. 22. art. 3. and 8. and Beaumanoir, chap. 35. Inſtitutions, book 1. chap 90.

The cuſtom of appeals naturally introduced that of giving coſts. Thus Défontaines (d) ſays, that when they appealed by written law, that is when

(d) Chap. 22. art. 8.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 35.

they followed the new laws of St. Lewis, they gave coſts; but that in the uſual cuſtom, which did not permit them to appeal without falſifying the judgment, no coſts were allowed. They obtained only a fine, and the poſſeſſion for a year and a day of the thing conteſted, if the cauſe was remanded to the lord.

But when the number of appeals increaſed from the new facility of appealing *; when by the frequent uſage of thoſe appeals from one court to another, the parties were continually removed from the place of their reſidence; when the new method of proceeding multiplied and perpetuated the ſuits; when the art of eluding the very juſteſt demands was refined; when the parties at law knew how to fly only in order to be followed; when actions proved deſtructive, and pleas eaſy; when the arguments were loſt in whole volumes of writings; when the kingdom was filled with members of the law, who were ſtrangers to juſtice; when knavery found no encouragement from mean practitioners, though diſcountenanced by the law; then it was neceſſary to deter litigious people by the fear of coſts. They were obliged to pay coſts for the judgment, and for the means they had employed to elude it. Charles the Fair made a general ordinance on that ſubject (e).

(e) In the year 1324.

* At preſent when they are ſo inclined to appeal, ſays Boutillier, *Somme Rurale*, book 1. tit. 3. pag. 16.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXXVI.

Of the public Proſecutor.

AS by the Salic, Ripuarian, and other barbarous laws, crimes were puniſhed with pecuniary fines; they had not in thoſe days, as we have at preſent, a public officer who has the care of criminal proſecutions. And indeed, the iſſue of all cauſes being reduced to the reparation of damages; every proſecution was in ſome meaſure civil, and might be managed by any one. On the other hand, the Roman law had popular forms for the proſecution of crimes, which were inconſiſtent with the office of a public proſecutor. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 36.

The cuſtom of judicial combats was no leſs oppoſite to this idea; for who is it that would chuſe to make himſelf every man's champion againſt all the world?

I find in the collection of formula's, inſerted by Muratori in the laws of the Lombards, that under our princes of the ſecond race there was an advocate for the public * proſecutor. But whoever pleaſes to read the entire collection of thoſe formula's, will find that there was a total difference between ſuch officers and thoſe we now call the public proſecutor, our attorney-generals, our king's ſollicitors, or our ſollicitors for the nobility. The former were rather agents to the public for the management of political and domeſtic affairs, than for the civil. And indeed we do not find in thoſe formula's that they were intruſted with criminal proſecutions, or with cauſes relating to

* *Advocatus de parte publicâ.*

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 36.

minors, to churches, or to the condition of perſons.

I ſaid that the eſtabliſhment of a public proſecutor was repugnant to the uſage of judicial combats. I find notwithſtanding, in one of thoſe formula's, an advocate for the public proſecutor, who had the liberty to fight. Muratori has placed it juſt after the conſtitution (f) of Henry I. for which it was made. In this conſtitution it is ſaid, "That if any man kills his father, his brother, "or any of his other relations, he ſhall loſe their "ſucceſſion, which ſhall paſs to the other rela-"tions, and his own ſhall go to the exchequer." Now it was in ſuing for the ſucceſſion which had devolved to the exchequer, that the advocate for the public proſecutor, by whom its rights were defended, had the privilege of fighting: This caſe fell within the general rule.

(f) See this conſtitution and this formula in the ſecond volume of the Hiſtorians of Italy, pag. 175.

We ſee in thoſe formula's the advocate for the public proſecutor proceeding againſt (g) a perſon who had taken a robber, but had not brought him before the count; againſt another (h) who had raiſed an inſurrection or tumult againſt the count; againſt (i) another who had ſaved a man's life whom the count had ordered to be put to death; againſt (k) the advocate of ſome churches, whom the count had commanded to bring a robber before him, but had not obeyed; againſt (l) another who had revealed the king's ſecret to ſtrangers; againſt (m) another who with open violence had attacked the emperor's commiſſary; againſt (n) another who had beeen guilty of contempt to the emperor's reſcripts, and he was proſecuted either by the emperor's advocate, or by the emperor himſelf;

(g) Collection of Muratori, pag. 104. on the 88th law of Charlemain, book 1. tit. 26. § 78.

(h) Another formula, ibid. pag. 87.

(i) Ibid. pag. 104.

(k) Ibid. pag. 93.

(m) Ibid.

(l) Ibid. 88. pag. 98.

(n) Ibid. pag. 132.

ſelf; againſt (o) another who refuſed to accept of the prince's coin: in fine, this advocate ſued for things, which by the law were adjudged to the exchequer (p).

But, in criminal cauſes, we never meet with the advocate for the public proſecutor; not even where duels are uſed; (q) not even in the caſe of incendiaries; (r) not even when the judge is killed (s) on his bench; not even in cauſes relating to the condition of perſons (t), to liberty and ſlavery (u)

Theſe formula's are made, not only for the laws of the Lombards, but likewiſe for the capitularies added to them; ſo that we have no reaſon to doubt of their giving us the practice obſerved with regard to this ſubject under our princes of the ſecond race.

It is obvious that theſe advocates for a public proſecutor muſt have ended with our ſecond race of kings, in the ſame manner as the kings commiſſioners in the provinces; becauſe there were no longer any counts in the provinces to hold the aſſizes, and of courſe there were no more of thoſe officers, whoſe principal function was to ſupport the authority of the counts.

As the uſage of combats was become more frequent under the third race, it did not allow of any ſuch thing as a public proſecutor. Hence Boutillier, in his *Somme Rurale*, ſpeaking of the officers of juſtice, takes notice only of the bailiffs, the peers and ſerjeants. See the inſtitutions (x), and Beaumanoir (y) concerning the manner in which proſecutions were managed in thoſe days.

I find

Book XXVIII. Chap. 36.

(o) Another formula, ibid. pag. 132.
(p) Ibid. pag. 137.
(q) Ibid. pag. 147.
(r) Ibid.
(s) Ibid. pag. 168.
(t) Ibid. pag. 134.
(u) Ibid. pag. 107.

(x) Book 1. chap. 1. and book 2. cap. 11. and 13.
(y) Cap. 1. &c. 61.

BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 37.

I find in the laws * of James II. king of Majorca, a creation of the king's attorney † general, with the very ſame functions as are exerciſed at preſent by the officers of that name amongſt us. It is manifeſt that this office was not inſtituted till we had changed the form of our judiciary proceedings.

CHAP. XXXVII.

In what manner the Inſtitutions of St. Lewis *fell into Oblivion.*

IT was the fate of the inſtitutions, that their origin, progreſs and decline, were compriſed within a very ſhort period.

I ſhall make a few reflections upon this ſubject. The code we have now under the name of St. Lewis's inſtitutions, was never deſigned as a law for the whole kingdom, though ſuch a deſign is mentioned in the preface. This compilement is a general code, which determines all points relating to civil affairs, to the diſpoſal of property by will or otherwiſe, the dowries and advantages of women, the emoluments and privileges of fiefs, with the affairs relating to the police, *&c.* Now to give a general body of civil laws, at a time when each city, town or village had its cuſtoms, was attempting to ſubvert in one moment all the particular laws then in force in every part of the kingdom. To reduce all the particular

* See theſe laws in the lives of the ſaints of the month of June, tom. 3. pag. 26.

† *Qui continué noſtram ſacram curiam ſequi teneatur, inſtituatur qui facta & cauſas in ipſa curia promoveat atque proſequatur.*

cuſtoms

cuſtoms to a general one, would be a very inconſiderate thing, even at preſent when our princes find every where the moſt paſſive obedience. But if it be a rule that we ought not to change when the inconveniencies are equal to the advantages, much leſs ſhould we change when the advantages are ſmall and the inconveniencies immenſe. Now if we attentively conſider the ſituation which the kingdom was in at that time, when every lord was puffed up with the notion of his ſovereignty and power, we ſhall find that to attempt a general alteration of the received laws and cuſtoms, muſt be a thing that could never enter into the heads of thoſe who were then in the adminiſtration. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 37.

What I have been ſaying, proves likewiſe that this code of inſtitutions was not confirmed in parliament by the barons and magiſtrates of the kingdom, as is mentioned in a manuſcript of the townhouſe of Amiens, quoted by Monſ. Ducange (z). We find in other manuſcripts that this code was given by St. Lewis in the year 1270, before he ſet out for Tunis. But this fact is not truer than the other; for St. Lewis ſet out upon that expedition in 1269, as Monſ. Ducange obſerves: from whence he concludes, that this code might have been publiſhed in his abſence. But this, I ſay, is impoſſible. How can St. Lewis be imagined to have pitched upon the time of his abſence for tranſacting an affair which would have been the ſeed of troubles, and might have produced not only changes, but revolutions? An enterpriſe of that kind had need, more than

(z) Preface to the Inſtitutions.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 37.

any other, of being cloſely purſued, and could not be the work of a feeble regency, compoſed moreover of lords, whoſe intereſt it was that it ſhould not ſucceed. Theſe were Matthew abbot of St. Denis, Simon of Clermont count of Nelle, and in caſe of death Philip biſhop of Evreux, and John count of Ponthieu. We have ſeen above (a) that the count of Ponthieu oppoſed the execution of a new judiciary order in his lordſhip.

(a) Chap. 29.

Thirdly, I affirm it to be very probable, that the code now extant is quite a different thing from St. Lewis's inſtitutions. It cites the inſtitutions; therefore it is a comment upon the inſtitutions, and not the inſtitutions themſelves. Beſides, Beaumanoir, who frequently makes mention of St. Lewis's inſtitutions, quotes only ſome particular laws of that prince, and not this compilement. Défontaines (b), who wrote in that prince's reign, makes mention of the two firſt times that his inſtitutions on judicial proceedings were put in execution, as of a thing long ſince elapſed. The inſtitutions of St. Lewis were prior therefore to the compilement I am now ſpeaking of, which in rigor, and adopting the erroneous prefaces inſerted by ſome ignorant perſons in that work, could not have been publiſhed before the laſt year of St. Lewis, or even not till after his death.

(b) See above, chap. 30.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXXVIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

WHAT is this compilement then which goes at preſent under the name of St. Lewis's inſtitutions? What is this obſcure, confuſed, and ambiguous code, where the French law is continually mixed with the Roman, where a legiſlator ſpeaks and yet we ſee a civilian, where we find a complete digeſt of all caſes and points of the civil law? To underſtand this thoroughly, we muſt transfer ourſelves in imagination to thoſe times. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 38.

St. Lewis ſeeing the abuſes in the juriſprudence of his time, endeavoured to give the people a diſlike to it: With this view he made ſeveral regulations for the court of his demeſnes and for thoſe of his barons. And ſuch was his ſucceſs, that Beaumanoir (c), who wrote a little after the death of that prince, informs us, that the manner of trying cauſes which had been eſtabliſhed by St. Lewis, obtained in a great number of the courts of the barons.

(c) Chap. 61. pag. 309.

Thus this prince attained his end, though his regulations for the courts of the lords were not deſigned as a general law for the kingdom, but as a model which every one might follow, and would even find his advantage in it. He removed the bad practice by ſhewing them a better. When it appeared that his courts, and thoſe of ſome lords, had choſen a form of proceeding more natural, more reaſonable, more comformable to morality, to religion, to the public tranquility, and to the ſecurity of perſon and property;

Book XXVIII. Chap. 38.

property; this form was ſoon adopted, and the other rejected.

To allure when it is raſh to conſtrain, to win by pleaſing means when it is improper to exert authority, ſhews the man of abilities. Reaſon has a natural, and even a tyrannical ſway; it meets with reſiſtance, but this very reſiſtance conſtitutes its triumph; for after a ſhort ſtruggle it commands an intire ſubmiſſion.

St. Lewis, in order to give a diſtaſte of the French juriſprudence, cauſed the books of the Roman law to be tranſlated; by which means they were made known to the lawyers of thoſe times. Défontaines, who is the oldeſt * law writer we have, made great uſe of thoſe Roman laws. His work is in ſome meaſure a reſult of the ancient French juriſprudence, of the laws or inſtitutions of St. Lewis, and of the Roman law. Beaumanoir made very little uſe of the latter; but he reconciled the ancient French laws to the regulations of St. Lewis.

I have a notion therefore that the law book, known by the name of the inſtitutions, was compiled by ſome bailiffs, with the ſame deſign as that of the authors of thoſe two works, and eſpecially of Défontaines. The title of this work mentions, that it is written according to the uſage of Paris, Orleans, and the court of Barony; and the preamble ſays that it treats of the uſages of the whole kingdom, and of Anjou, and of the court of Barony. It is plain, that this work was made for Paris, Orleans, and Anjou, as the works of

* He ſays of himſelf, in his prologue, *Nus lui en prit onques mais cette choſe dont j'ai.*

Beaumanoir

Beaumanoir and Défontaines were framed for the counties of Clermont and Vermandois; and as it appears from Beaumanoir, that divers laws of St. Lewis had been received in the courts of barony, the compiler was in the right to ſay, that his work * related alſo to thoſe courts. Book XXVIII. Chap. 38.

It is manifeſt, that the perſon who compoſed this work, compiled the cuſtoms of the country, together with the laws and inſtitutions of St. Lewis. This is a very valuable work, becauſe it contains the ancient cuſtoms of Anjou, the inſtitutions of St. Lewis, as they were then in uſe; and, in fine, the whole practice of the ancient French law.

The difference between this work, and thoſe of Défontaines and Beaumanoir, is, its ſpeaking in imperative terms as a legiſlator; and this might be right, ſince it was a medley of written cuſtoms and laws.

There was an intrinſic defect in this compilement: it formed an amphibious code, in which the French and Roman laws were mixed, and where things were joined that were no way relative, but often contradictory to each other.

I am not ignorant, that the French courts of vaſſals, or peers, the judgments without power of appealing to another tribunal, the manner of pronouncing ſentence by theſe words, *I condemn* ([d]), or, *I abſolve*, had ſome conformity to the popular judgments of the Romans. But they made

([d]) Inſtitutions, book 2. chap. 15.

* Nothing ſo vague as the title and prologue. At firſt they are the cuſtoms of Paris, Orleans, and the court of barony; then they are the cuſtoms of all their lay courts of the kingdom, and of the provoſtſhips of France; at length, they are the cuſtoms of the whole kingdom, Anjou, and the court of barony.

very

Book XXVIII. Chap. 39.

very little uſe of that ancient juriſprudence; they rather choſe that which was afterwards introduced by the emperor, in order to regulate, limit, correct, and extend the French juriſprudence.

CHAP. XXXIX.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE judiciary forms introduced by St. Lewis fell into diſuſe. This prince had not ſo much in view the thing itſelf, that is, the beſt manner of trying cauſes, as the beſt manner of ſupplying the ancient practice of trial. The principal intent was to give a diſreliſh of the ancient juriſprudence, and the next to form a new one. But when the inconveniencies of the latter appeared, another ſoon ſucceeded.

The inſtitutions of St. Lewis did not therefore ſo much change the French juriſprudence, as they afforded the means of changing it; they opened new tribunals, or rather ways to come at them. And when once the public had eaſy acceſs to the ſnperior courts, the judgments which before conſtituted only the uſages of a particular lordſhip, formed an univerſal digeſt. By means of the inſtitutions, they had obtained general deciſions, which were entirely wanting in the kingdom: when the building was finiſhed, they let the ſcaffold fall to the ground.

Thus the inſtitutions produced effects which could hardly be expected from a maſter-piece of legiſlation. To prepare great changes, ſometimes whole ages are requiſite; the events ripen, and the revolutions follow.

The

The parliament judged in the laſt reſort of almoſt all the affairs of the kingdom. Before (e), it took cognizance only of diſputes between the dukes, counts, barons, biſhops, abbots, or between the king and his vaſſals *, rather in the relation they had to the political, than to the civil order. They were ſoon obliged to render it permanent, whereas it uſed to be held only a few times in a year: and, in fine, a great number were created, in order to be ſufficient for the deciſion of all manner of cauſes.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 29.

(e) See *Du Tillet* on the court of peers. See alſo Laroche, Flavin, book 1. chap. 3. Budeus and Paulus Emilius.

No ſooner was the parliament become a fixed body, than they began to compile its decrees. *John de Monluc*, under the reign of Philip the Fair, made a collection, which, at preſent, is known by the name of the *Olim* † regiſters.

CHAP. XL.

In what manner the judiciary Forms were borrowed from the Decretals.

BUT how comes it, ſome will ſay, that when the inſtitutions were laid aſide, the judicial forms of the canon law ſhould be preferred to thoſe of the Roman? It was becauſe they had conſtantly before their eyes the eccleſiaſtic courts, which followed the forms of the canon law, and they knew of no court that followed thoſe of the Roman law. Beſides, the limits of the ſpiritual and temporal juriſdiction were at that time very little

* Other cauſes were decided by the ordinary tribunals.

† See the preſident Henault's excellent abridgment of the hiſtory of France in the year 1313.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 40.

understood; there were (f) people * who sued indifferently, and cauſes that were tried indifferently, in either court. It ſeems (g) as if the temporal juriſdiction reſerved no other caſes excluſively to itſelf than the judgment of feudal matters †, and of ſuch crimes committed by laymen as did not relate to religion. For (h) if on the account of conventions and contracts, they had occaſion to ſue in a temporal court, the parties might of their own accord, proceed before the ſpiritual tribunals; and as the latter had not a power to oblige the temporal court to execute the ſentence, they commanded ſubmiſſion by means of excommunications. Under thoſe circumſtances, when they wanted to change the courſe of proceedings in the temporal court, they took that of the ſpiritual tribunals, becauſe they knew it; but did not meddle with that of the Roman law, by reaſon, they were ſtrangers to it: for in point of practice, people know only what is really practiſed.

(f) Beaumanoir, chap 11. pag. 458.

(g) See the whole eleventh chapter of Beaumanoir.

(h) Beaumanoir, chap. 11. pag. 60.

CHAP. XLI.

Flux and Reflux of the eccleſiaſtic and temporal Juriſdiction.

THE civil power being in the hands of an infinite number of lords, it was an eaſy matter for the eccleſiaſtic juriſdiction to gain daily

* Widows, croiſes, &c. Beaumanoir, chap. 11. pag. 58.

† The ſpiritual tribunals had even laid hold of theſe, under the pretext of the oath, as may be ſeen by the famous Concordat between Philip-Auguſtus, the clergy and the barons, which is to be found in the ordinances of Lauriere.

a greater extent. But as the eccleſiaſtic courts weakened thoſe of the lords, and contributed thereby to give ſtrength to the royal juriſdiction, the latter gradually checked the juriſdiction of the clergy. The parliament, which in its form of proceedings had adopted whatever was good and uſeful in the ſpiritual courts, ſoon perceived nothing elſe but the abuſes which had crept into thoſe tribunals; and as the royal juriſdiction gained ground every day, it grew every day more capable of correcting thoſe abuſes. And indeed, they were intolerable: without enumerating them, I ſhall refer * the reader to Beaumanoir, to Boutillier, and to the ordinances of our kings. I ſhall mention only two, in which, the public intereſt was more directly concerned. Theſe abuſes we know by the decrees that reformed them; they had been introduced in the times of the darkeſt ignorance, and upon the breaking out of the firſt gleam of light, they vaniſhed. From the ſilence of the clergy it may be preſumed, that they forwarded this reformation: which, conſidering the nature of the human mind deſerves commendation. Every man that died without bequeathing a part of his eſtate to the church, which was called dying *without confeſſion*, was deprived of the ſacrament, and of chriſtian burial. If he died inteſtate, his relations were obliged to prevail upon the biſhop, that he would, jointly with them,

Book XXVIII. Chap. 41.

* See Boutillier, *Somme Rurale*, tit. 9. what perſons are incapable of ſuing in a temporal court; and Beaumanoir, chap. 11. pag. 56. and the regulations of Philip-Auguſtus upon this ſubject; as alſo the regulation between Philip-Auguſtus, the clergy, and the barons.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 41.

name proper arbiters, to determine what ſum the deceaſed ought to have given, in caſe he had made a will. People could not lie together the firſt night of their nuptials, or even the two following nights, without having previouſly purchaſed leave: theſe, indeed, were the three beſt nights to chuſe; for as to the others, they were not worth much. All this was redreſſed by the parliament: we find in the (i) gloſſary of the French law, by Ragau, the decree which it publiſhed (k) againſt the biſhop of Amiens.

(i) In the word *Teſtamentary executers.*

(k) The 19th of March, 1409.

I return to the beginning of my chapter. Whenever we obſerve in any age or government, the diſferent bodies of the ſtate endeavouring to increaſe their authority, and to take particular advantages of each other, we ſhould be often miſtaken were we to conſider their encroachments as an evident mark of their corruption. Through a fatality inſeparable from human nature, moderation in great men is very rare: and as it is always much eaſier to puſh on force in the direction in which it moves, than to ſtop its moment, ſo in the ſuperior claſs of the people, it is leſs difficult, perhaps, to find men extremely virtuous, than extremely prudent.

The human mind feels ſuch an exquiſite pleaſure in the exerciſe of power; even thoſe who are lovers of virtue are ſo exceſſively fond of themſelves, that there is no man ſo happy, as not to have ſtill reaſon to miſtruſt his honeſt intentions; and, indeed, our actions depend on ſo many things, that it is infinitely more eaſy to do good, than to do it well.

CHAP. XLII.

The Revival of the Roman *Law, and the Reſult thereof. Change in the Tribunals.*

UPON the diſcovery of Juſtinian's digeſt towards the year 1137, the Roman law ſeemed to riſe out of its aſhes. Schools were then eſtabliſhed in Italy, where it was publicly taught; they had already the Juſtinian code, and the *Novellæ*. I mentioned before, that this code had been ſo favourably received in that country, as to eclipſe the law of the Lombards. Book XXVIII. Chap. 42.

The Italian doctors brought the law of Juſtinian into France, where they had only * the Theodoſian code; becauſe Juſtinian's laws were not made † till after the ſettlement of the Barbarians in Gaul. This law met with ſome oppoſition: but it ſtood its ground notwithſtanding the excommunications of the popes, who ſupported (l) their own canons. St. Lewis endeavoured to bring it into repute by the tranſlations of Juſtinian's works, made according to his orders, which are ſtill in manuſcript in our libraries; and I have already obſerved, that they made great uſe of them in compiling the inſtitutions. Philip the Fair ‡ ordered the laws of Juſtinian to be taught, only as

(l) Decretals, book 5. tit. *de privilegiis*, capite *ſuper ſpecula*.

* In Italy they followed Juſtinian's code: hence Pope John VIII. in his conſtitution publiſhed after the ſynod of Troyes, makes mention of this code, not becauſe it was known in France, but becauſe he knew it himſelf; and his conſtitution was general.

† This emperor's code was publiſhed towards the year 530.

‡ By a charter in the year 1312, in favour of the univerſity of Orleans, quoted by *Du Tillet*.

Book XXVIII. Chap. 42.

written reaſon, in thoſe provinces of France that were governed by cuſtoms; and they were adopted as a law in thoſe provinces where the Roman law had been received.

I have already taken notice, that the manner of proceeding by judicial combat, required very little knowledge in the judges: diſputes were decided according to the uſage of each place, and to a few ſimple cuſtoms received by tradition. In Beaumanoir's time (m) there were two different ways of adminiſtering juſtice; in ſome places they tried by peers*, in others by bailiffs: in following the former way, the peers gave judgment according to the practice of their court; in the latter, it was the *prodes homines*, or old men, who pointed out this ſame practice to the bailiff. This whole proceeding required neither learning, capacity, nor ſtudy. But when the dark code of the inſtitutions made its appearance; when the Roman law was tranſlated, and taught in public ſchools; when a certain art of procedure and juriſprudence began to be formed; when practitioners and civilians were ſeen to riſe; the peers and the *prodes homines* were no longer capable of judging: the peers began to withdraw from the lords tribunals; and the lords were very little inclined to aſſemble them; eſpecially as the new form of trial, inſtead of being a ſolemn proceeding, agreeable to the nobility, and intereſting to a warlike people, was

(m) Cuſtoms of Beauvoiſis, chap. 1. of the office of bailiffs.

* Among the common people the burghers were tried by burghers, as the feudatary tenants were tried by one another. See la Thaumaſſiere, chap. 19.

† Thus all requeſts began with theſe words: *My lord judge, it is cuſtomary that in your court*, &c. as appears from the formula quoted by Boutillier, *Somme Rurale*, book 4. tit. 21.

become

become a courſe of pleading, which they neither underſtood, nor cared to learn. The cuſtom of trying by peers began * to be leſs uſed; that of trying by bailiffs to be more ſo; the bailiffs did not give † judgment themſelves, they ſummed up the evidence and pronounced the judgment of the *prodes homines*; but the latter being no longer capable of judging, the bailiffs themſelves gave judgment.

This was effected ſo much the eaſier, as they had before their eyes the practice of the eccleſiaſtic courts; the canon and new civil law both concurred alike to aboliſh the peers.

Thus fell the uſage hitherto conſtantly obſerved in the French monarchy, that judgment ſhould not be pronounced by a ſingle perſon, as may be ſeen in the Salic laws, the capitularies, and in the firſt (n) law-writers under the third race. The contrary abuſe, which obtains only in local juriſdictions has been moderated, and in ſome meaſure

(n) Beaumanoir, chap. 67. pag. 336. and chap. 61. pag. 315. and 316. The Inſtitutions, book 2. chap. 15.

* The change was inſenſible: we meet with trials by peers even in Boutillier's time, who lived in the year 1402, which is the date of his will: He gives this formula book 1. tit. 21. "*Sire Juge, en ma juſtice haute, moyenne & baſſe, que J'ai en tel* "*lieu, cour plaids,* baillis, homme *feodaux & ſergens.*" Yet nothing but feodal matters were tried any longer by the peers. Ibid. book 1. tit 1. pag. 16.

† As appears by the formula of the letters which their lord uſed to give them, quoted by Boutillier, Somme Rurale, book 1. tit. 14. which is proved likewiſe by Beaumanoir, cuſtom of Beauvoiſis, chap. 1. of the bailiffs; they only directed the proceedings. "*The bailiff is obliged in the preſence of the peers* "*to take down the words of thoſe who plead, and to aſk the* "*parties whether they are willing to have judgment giv n ac-* "*cording to the reaſons alledged; and if they ſay, yes, my lord;* "*the bailiff ought to oblige the peers to give judgment.*" See alſo the Inſtitutions of St. Lewis, book 1. chap. 105. and book 2. chap. 15. "*Li Juge, ſi ne doit pas faire le jugement.*"

Book XXVIII. Chap. 43.

redreſſed, by introducing in many places a judge's deputy, whom he conſults, and who repreſents the ancient *prodes homines* by the obligation the judge is under of taking two graduates, in caſes that deſerve a corporal puniſhment; and, in fine, it is become of no manner of effect by the extreme facility of appeals.

CHAP. XLIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

THUS there was no law to prohibit the lords from holding their courts themſelves; none to aboliſh the functions of their peers; none to ordain the creation of bailiffs; none to give them the power of judging. All this was effected inſenſibly, and by the very neceſſity of the thing. The knowledge of the Roman law, the decrees of the courts, the new digeſt of the cuſtoms, required a ſtudy of which the nobility and illiterate people were incapable.

(p) It was publiſhed in the year 1287.

The only (p) ordinance we have upon this ſubject, is that which obliged the lords to chuſe their bailiffs from among the laity. It is a miſtake to look upon this as a law of their creation; for it ſays no ſuch thing. Beſides, the intention of the legiſlator is determined by the reaſons aſſigned in the ordinance: *to the end that the bailiffs may be puniſhed * for their prevarications, it is neceſſary they be taken from the order of the laity.* The immunities of the clergy in thoſe days are very well known.

* *Ut ſi ibi delinquant, ſuperiores ſui poſſint animadvertere in eoſdem.*

We

We muſt not imagine that the privileges which the nobility formerly enjoyed, and of which they are now diveſted, were taken from them as uſurpations: no, many of thoſe privileges were loſt through neglect, and others were given up, becauſe as various changes had been introduced in the courſe of ſo many ages, they were inconſiſtent with thoſe changes. Book XXVIII. Chap. 44.

CHAP. XLIV.

Of the Proof by Witneſſes.

THE judges, who had no other rule to go by than the uſages, inquired very often by witneſſes into every cauſe that was brought before them.

The uſage of judicial combats beginning to decline, they made their inqueſts in writing. But a verbal proof committed to writing, is never more than a verbal proof; ſo that this only increaſed the expences of law proceedings. Regulations were then made which rendered moſt of thoſe inqueſts * uſeleſs; public regiſters were eſtabliſhed, which aſcertained moſt facts, as nobility, age, legitimacy, and marriage. Writing is a witneſs very hard to corrupt; the cuſtoms were therefore reduced to writing. All this is very reaſonable; it is much eaſier to go and ſee in the baptiſmal regiſter, whether Peter is the ſon of Paul, than to prove this fact by a tedious inqueſt. When there are a great number of uſages in a country, it is much eaſier, to write them all down in a

* See in what manner, age, and parentage were proved, Inſtitutions, book 1. chap. 71. and 72.

code,

Book XXVIII. Chap. 45.

code, than to oblige individuals to prove every uſage. At length the famous ordinance was made, which prohibited the admitting of the proof by witneſſes, for a debt exceding an hundred livres, except there was the beginning of a proof in writing.

CHAP. XLV.

Of the Cuſtoms of France.

FRANCE, as we have already obſerved, was governed by unwritten cuſtoms; and the particular uſages of each lordſhip conſtituted the civil law. Every lordſhip had its civil law, according to Beaumanoir (p), and ſo particular a law, that this author who is looked upon as a luminary, and a very great luminary, of thoſe times, ſays, he does not believe that throughout the whole kingdom there were two lordſhips intirely governed by the ſame law.

(p) Prologue to the cuſtom of Beauvoiſis.

This prodigious diverſity had a two fold origin. With regard to the firſt, the reader may recollect what has been already ſaid concerning it in the (q) chapter of local cuſtoms: and as to the ſecond we meet with it in the different events of legal duels; it being natural that a continual ſeries of fortuitous caſes muſt have been productive of new uſages.

(q) Chap. 12.

Theſe cuſtoms were preſerved in the memory of old men; but inſenſibly laws or written cuſtoms were formed.

1. At the commencement (r) of the third race the kings gave not only particular charters, but likewiſe

(r) See the collection of ordinances, *by Lauriere.*

likewiſe general ones, in the manner above explained; ſuch are the inſtitutions of Philip Auguſtus, and thoſe made by St. Lewis. In like manner the great vaſſals, in concurrence with the lords who held under them, granted certain charters or eſtabliſhments, according to particular circumſtances at the aſſizes of their duchies or counties: ſuch were the aſſize of Godfrey count of Britany, on the diviſion of the nobles; the cuſtoms of Normandy granted by duke Ralph; the cuſtoms of Champagne, given by king Theobald; the laws of Simon count of Montfort, and others. This produced ſome written laws, and even more general ones than thoſe they had before.

2. At the beginning of the third race, almoſt all the common people were bond-men; but there were ſeveral reaſons which afterwards determined the kings and lords to infranchiſe them.

The lords by infranchiſing their bond-men gave them property; it was neceſſary therefore to give them civil laws, in order to regulate the diſpoſal of that property. But by infranchiſing their bond-men, they likewiſe deprived themſelves of their property; there was a neceſſity therefore of regulating the rights which they reſerved to themſelves, as an equivalent for that property. Both theſe things were regulated by the charters of infranchiſement; thoſe charters formed a part of our cuſtoms, and this part was reduced to writing.

3. Under the reign of St. Lewis and of the ſucceeding princes, ſome able practitioners, ſuch as Défontaines, Beaumanoir, and others, committed the cuſtoms of their bailiwics to writing. Their deſign

Book XXVIII. Chap. 45.

deſign was rather to give the courſe of judicial proceedings, than the uſages of their time in reſpect to the diſpoſal of property. But the whole is there, and though theſe particular authors have no authority but what they derive from the truth and notoriety of the things they ſpeak of, yet there is no manner of doubt but they contributed greatly to the reſtoration of our ancient French juriſprudence. Such was in thoſe days our common law.

We are come now to the grand epocha. Charles VII. and his ſucceſſors cauſed the different local cuſtoms throughout the kingdom to be reduced to writing, and preſcribed ſet forms to be obſerved at their digeſting. Now as this digeſting was made through all the provinces, and as people came from each lordſhip to declare in the general aſſembly of the province the written or unwritten uſages of each place, endeavours were uſed to render the cuſtoms more general, as much as poſſible, without injuring the intereſts of individuals, which were carefully * preſerved. Thus our cuſtoms were characterized in a threefold manner; they were committed to writing, they were made more general, and they received the ſtamp of the royal authority.

Many of theſe cuſtoms having been digeſted anew, ſeveral changes were made either in ſuppreſſing whatever was incompatible with the actual practice of the law, or in adding ſeveral things drawn from this practice.

* This was obſerved at the digeſting of the cuſtoms of Berry and of Paris. See la Thaumaſſiere, chap. 3.

Though

Though the common law is conſidered amongſt us as in ſome meaſure oppoſite to the Roman, inſomuch that theſe two laws divide the different territories; it is notwithſtanding true that ſeveral regulations of the Roman law entered into our cuſtoms, eſpecially when they made the new digeſts, at a time not very diſtant from ours, when this law was the principal ſtudy of thoſe who were deſigned for civil employments; at a time when it was not uſual for people to boaſt of not knowing what it was their duty to know, and of knowing what they ought not to know; at a time when a quickneſs of underſtanding was made more ſubſervient towards learning, than pretending to, a profeſſion; and when a continual purſuit of amuſements was not even the characteriſtic of women. BOOK XXVIII. Chap. 45.

I ſhould have been more diffuſe at the end of this book; and entering into the ſeveral details, ſhould have traced all the inſenſible changes, which from the opening of appeals, have formed the great Corpus of our French Juriſprudence. But this would have been ingrafting one large work upon another. I am like that antiquarian (f) who ſet out from his own country, arrived in Egypt, caſt an eye on the pyramids, and returned home.

(f) In the Spectator.

BOOK XXIX.

Of the Manner of compoſing Laws.

CHAP. I.

Of the Spirit of a Legiſlator.

Book XXIX. Chap. 1. and 2.

I Say it, and methinks I have undertaken this work with no other view than to prove it; the ſpirit of a legiſlator ought to be that of moderation; political like moral evil, lying always between two extremes. Let us produce an example.

The ſet forms of juſtice are neceſſary to liberty; but the number of them might be ſo great as to be contrary to the end of the very laws that eſtabliſhed them; proceſſes would have no end; property would be uncertain; the goods of one of the parties would be adjudged to the other without examining, or they would both be ruined by examining too much.

The citizens would loſe their liberty and ſecurity; the accuſers would no longer have any means to convict, nor the accuſed to juſtify themſelves.

CHAP. II.

The ſame Subject continued.

(a) Book 22. chap. 1.

CECILIUS, in Aulus Gellius (a), ſpeaking of the law of the twelve tables, which permitted

mitted the creditor to cut the inſolvent debtor into pieces, juſtifies it even by its cruelty, which * hindered people from borrowing beyond their ability of paying. Shall then the cruelleſt laws be the beſt? Shall goodneſs conſiſt in exceſs, and all the relations of things be deſtroyed?

CHAP. III.

That the Laws which ſeem to deviate from the Views of the Legiſlator, are frequently agreeable to them.

THE law of Solon which declared thoſe perſons infamous who eſpouſed no ſide in an inſurrection, ſeemed very extraordinary; but we ought to conſider the circumſtances in which Greece was at that time. It was divided into very ſmall ſtates: and there was reaſon to apprehend, leſt in a republic, torn by inteſtine diviſions, the ſobereſt part ſhould keep retired, in conſequence of which, things might be carried to extremity.

In the ſeditions raiſed in thoſe petty ſtates, the bulk of the citizens either made or engaged in the quarrel. In our large monarchies, parties are formed by a few, and the people chuſe to live quiet. In the latter caſe it is natural to call back the ſeditious to the bulk of the citizens, and not theſe to the ſeditious: in the other it is neceſſary to oblige the ſmall number of prudent people to

* Cecilius ſays, that he never ſaw nor read of an inſtance, in which this puniſhment had been inflicted; but it is likely, that no ſuch puniſhment was ever eſtabliſhed; the opinion of ſome civilians, that, the law of the twelve tables meant only the diviſion of the money ariſing from the ſale of the debtor, ſeems very probable.

enter

BOOK XXIX. Chap. 4. and 5.

enter among the ſeditious: it thus the fermentation of one liquor may be ſtopped by a ſingle drop of another.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Laws contrary to the Views of the Legiſlator.

THERE are laws ſo little underſtood by the legiſlator, as to be contrary to the very end he propoſed. Thoſe who made this regulation among the French, that when one of the two competitors died, the benefice ſhould devolve to the ſurvivor, had in view, without doubt, the extinction of quarrels: but the very reverſe falls out, we ſee the clergy at variance every day, and like Engliſh maſtiffs worrying one another to death.

CHAP. V.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE law I am going to ſpeak of, is to be found in this oath preſerved by Æſchines *; *I ſwear that I will never deſtroy a town of the Amphictyones, and that I will not divert the courſe of its running waters; if any nation ſhall preſume to do ſuch a thing, I will declare war againſt them, and will deſtroy their towns.* The laſt article of this law, which ſeems to confirm the firſt, is really contrary to it. Amphictyon is willing that the Greek towns ſhould never be deſtroyed, and yet his law paves the way for their deſtruction. In order to eſtabliſh a proper law of nations among

* *De falſâ Legatione.*

the

the Greeks, they ought to have been accuſtomed early to think it a barbarous thing to deſtroy a Greek town; conſequently, they ought not even to ruin the deſtroyers. Amphictyon's law was juſt; but it was not prudent; this appears even from the abuſe made of it. Did not Philip aſſume the power of demoliſhing towns, under the pretence of their having infringed the laws of the Greeks? Amphictyon might have inflicted other puniſhments; he might have ordained, for example, that a certain number of the magiſtrates of the deſtroying town, or of the chiefs of the infringing army, ſhould be puniſhed with death; that the deſtroying nation ſhould ceaſe for a while to enjoy the privileges of the Greeks; that they ſhould pay a fine till the town was rebuilt. The law ought, above all things, to aim at the reparation of damages.

CHAP. VI.

That Laws which appear the ſame, have not always the ſame Effect.

CÆSAR made a law to (b) prohibit people from keeping above ſixty ſeſterces in their houſes. This law was conſidered at Rome as extremely proper for reconciling the debtors to their creditors; becauſe by obliging the rich to lend to the poor, they enabled the latter to pay their debts. A law of the ſame nature made in France at the time of the ſyſtem, proved extremely fatal; becauſe it was enacted under a moſt frightful ſituation. After depriving people of all poſſible means of laying out their money, they

(b) Dio, lib. 41.

Book XXIX. Chap. 7.

ſtripped them even of the laſt reſource of keeping it at home; which was the ſame as taking it from them by open violence. Cæſar's law was intended to make the money circulate; the French miniſters deſign was to draw all the money into one hand. The former gave either lands or mortgages on private people for the money; the latter propoſed in lieu of money, nothing but effects which were of no value, and could have none by their very nature, becauſe the law compelled people to accept of them.

CHAP. VII.

The ſame Subject continued. Neceſſity of compoſing Laws in a proper Manner.

THE law of oſtraciſm was eſtabliſhed at Athens, at Argos (c), and at Syracuſe. At Syracuſe it was productive of a thouſand miſchiefs, becauſe it was imprudently enacted. The principal citizens baniſhed one another by holding the leaf of a fig-tree (d) in their hands; ſo that thoſe who had any kind of merit withdrew from public affairs. At Athens, where the legiſlator was ſenſible of the proper extent and limits of his law, oſtraciſm proved an admirable regulation: they never condemned more than one perſon at a time; and ſuch a number of ſuffrages were requiſite for paſſing this ſentence, that it was extremely difficult for them to baniſh a perſon whoſe abſence was not neceſſary to the ſtate.

(c) Ariſtot. Repub. lib. 5. chap. 3.

(d) Plutarch, life of Dionyſius.

The power of baniſhing was exerciſed only every fifth year: and indeed, as the oſtraciſm was deſigned againſt none but great perſonages who threatened

threatened the ſtate with danger, it ought not to have been the tranſaction of every day. Book XXIX. Chap. 8. and 9.

CHAP. VIII.

That Laws which appear the ſame, were not always made through the ſame Motive.

IN France they have received moſt of the Roman laws on ſubſtitutions, but through quite a different motive from the Romans. Among the latter the inheritance was accompanied with certain * ſacrifices, which were to be performed by the inheritor, and were regulated by the pontifical law; hence it was, that they reckoned it a diſhonour to die without heirs, that they made ſlaves their heirs, and that they deviſed ſubſtitutions. Of this we have a very ſtrong proof in the vulgar ſubſtitution, which was the firſt invented, and took place only when the heir appointed did not accept of the inheritance. Its view was not to perpetuate the eſtate in a family of the ſame name, but to find ſome body that would accept of it.

CHAP. IX.

That the Greek and Roman Laws puniſhed Suicide, but not through the ſame Motive.

A Man, ſays Plato (e), who has killed one nearly related to him, that is himſelf, not by an order of the magiſtrate, not to avoid ignominy, but through puſillanimity, ſhall be pu-

(e) Book 9. of laws.

* When the inheritance was too much incumbered, they eluded the pontifical law by certain ſales, from whence come the words *ſine ſacris hæreditas.*

niſhed. The Roman law puniſhed this action when it was not committed through puſillanimity, through wearineſs of life, through impatience in pain, but from a criminal deſpair. The Roman law acquitted where the Greek condemned, and condemned where the other acquitted.

Plato's law was formed upon the Lacedæmonian inſtitutions, where the orders of the magiſtrate were abſolute, where ſhame was the greateſt of miſeries, and puſillanimity the greateſt of crimes. The Romans had no longer thoſe refined ideas; theirs was only a fiſcal law.

During the time of the republic, there was no law at Rome againſt ſuicides: this action is always conſidered by their hiſtorians in a favourable light, and we never meet with any puniſhment inflicted upon thoſe who committed it.

Under the firſt emperors, the great families of Rome were continually deſtroyed by criminal proſecutions. The cuſtom was then introduced of preventing judgment by a voluntary death. In this they found a great advantage: they had * an honourable interment, and their wills were executed, becauſe there was no law againſt ſuicides. But when the emperors became as avaricious as cruel, they deprived thoſe who deſtroyed themſelves of the means of preſerving their eſtates, by rendering it criminal for a perſon to make away with himſelf through a criminal remorſe.

What I have been ſaying of the motive of the emperors, is ſo true, that they conſented † that

* *Eorum qui de ſe ſtatuebant humabantur corpora, manebant teſtamenta, pretium feſtinandi.* Tacit.

† Reſcript of the emperor Pius in the 3d law, § 1. and 2. *ff. de bonis eorum qui ante ſent. mortem ſibi conſciverunt.*

the

the eſtates of ſuicides ſhould not be confiſcated, when the crime for which they killed themſelves was not puniſhed with confiſcation. Book XXIX. Chap. 10. and 11.

CHAP. X.

That Laws which ſeem contrary, proceed ſometimes from the ſame Spirit.

IN our times we give ſummons to people in their own houſes; but this was not permitted (f) among the Romans.

(f) Leg. 18. ff. *de in jus vocando.*

A ſummons was a (g) violent action, and a kind of a warrant for ſeizing the * body; hence it was no more allowed to ſummon a perſon in his own houſe, than it is now allowed to arreſt a perſon in his own houſe for debt.

(g) See the law of the 12. tables

Both the Roman (h) and our laws admit of this principle alike, that every man ought to have his own houſe for an aſylum, where he ſhould ſuffer no violence.

(h) See the law 18. ff. *de in jus vocando.*

CHAP. XI.

How we are to judge of the difference of Laws.

IN France, the puniſhment againſt falſe witneſſes is capital; in England it is not. Now, to be able to judge which of theſe two laws is the beſt, we muſt add, that in France the rack is uſed againſt criminals, but not in England; that in France the accuſed is not allowed to produce his witneſſes; and that they very ſeldom admit of circumſtantial evidence in favour of the priſoner; in

* *Rapit in jus*, Horace, ſatir 9. hence they could not ſummon thoſe to whom a particular reſpect was due.

Book XXIX. Chap. 11.

England they allow of witneſſes on both ſides. Theſe three French laws form a cloſe and well connected ſyſtem; and ſo do the three Engliſh laws. The law of England, which does not allow of the racking of criminals, has but very little hopes to draw from the accuſed a confeſſion of his crime; for this reaſon it invites witneſſes from all parts, and does not venture to diſcourage them by the fear of a capital puniſhment. The French law, which has one reſource more, is not afraid of intimidating the witneſſes; on the contrary, reaſon requires they ſhould be intimidated; it liſtens only to the witneſſes on one ſide *, which are thoſe produced by the attorney general, and the fate of the accuſed depends entirely on their teſtimony. But in England they admit of witneſſes on both ſides, and the affair is diſcuſſed in ſome meaſure between them; conſequently falſe witneſs is there leſs dangerous, the accuſed having a remedy againſt the falſe witneſs which he has not in France.—Wherefore to determine which of thoſe laws are moſt agreeable to reaſon, we muſt not conſider them ſingly, but compare the whole together.

CHAP. XII.

That Laws which appear the ſame, are ſometimes really different.

THE Greek and Roman laws inflicted the ſame (i) puniſhment on the receiver as on

(i) Leg. 1. ff. *de receptatoribus.*

* By the ancient French law, witneſſes were heard on both ſides; hence we find in the inſtitutions of St. Lewis, book 1. chap. 7. that there was only a pecuniary puniſhment againſt falſe witneſſes.

the

the thief; the French law does the ſame. The former acted rationally, but the latter does not. Among the Greeks and Romans, the thief was condemned to a pecuniary puniſhment, which ought alſo to be inflicted on the receiver: for every man that contributes in what ſhape ſoever to a damage, is obliged to repair it. But as the puniſhment of theft is capital with us, the receiver cannot be puniſhed like the thief, without carrying things to exceſs. A receiver may act innocently on a thouſand occaſions; the thief is always culpable: one hinders the conviction of a a crime, the other commits it; in one the whole is paſſive, the other is active; the thief muſt ſurmount more obſtacles, and his ſoul muſt be more hardened againſt the laws. Book XXIX. Chap. 12.

The civilians have gone farther; they look upon the receiver as more odious than (k) the thief; for were it not for the receiver, the theft, ſay they, could not be long concealed. But this again might be right when there was only a pecuniary puniſhment; the affair in queſtion was a damage done, and the receiver was generally better able to repair it: but when the puniſhment became capital, they ought to have been directed by other principles.

(k) Ibid.

CHAP. XIII.

That we muſt not ſeparate the Laws from the End for which they were made: of the Roman Laws on Theft.

WHEN a thief was caught in the fact, this was called by the Romans an open theft.;

Book XXIX. Chap. 13.

when he was not detected till ſometimes afterwards, it was a private theft.

The law of the twelve tables ordained, that an open thief ſhould be whipt with rods, and condemned to ſlavery, if he had attained the age of puberty; or only whipt, if he was not of ripe age; but as for the private thief, he was only condemned to a fine of double the value of what he had ſtolen.

When the Porcian law aboliſhed the cuſtom of whipping the citizens with rods, and of reducing them to ſlavery, the open thief was condemned to * a payment of four-fold, and they ſtill continued to condemn the private thief to a payment of double.

It ſeems very odd, that theſe laws ſhould make ſuch a difference in the quality of thoſe two crimes, and in the puniſhments they inflicted. And indeed, whether the thief was detected either before or after he had carried the ſtolen goods to the place intended, this was a circumſtance which did not alter the nature of the crime. I do not at all queſtion but the whole theory of the Roman laws in in relation to theft was borrowed from the Lacedæmonian inſtitutions. Lycurgus with a view of rendering the citizens dexterous and cunning, ordained that children ſhould be practiſed in thieving, and that thoſe who were caught in the fact ſhould be ſeverely whipt: this occaſioned among the Greeks, and afterwards among the Romans, a great difference between an open and a private theft †.

* See what Favorinus ſays in Aulus Gellius, book 20. chap. 1.

† Compare what Plutarch ſays in the life of Lycurgus with the laws of the Digeſt, title *de furtis*; and the inſtitutes, book 4. tit. 1 §. 1, 2, and 3.

Among

Among the Romans a ſlave who had been guilty of ſtealing was thrown from the Tarpeian rock. Here the Lacedæmonian inſtitutions were out of the queſtion; the laws of Lycurgus in relation to theft were not made for ſlaves; to deviate from them in this reſpect was in reality conforming to them. Book XXIX. Chap. 13.

At Rome, when a perſon of unripe age happened to be caught in the fact, the prætor ordered him to be whipt with rods according to his pleaſure, as was practiſed at Sparta. All this had a more diſtant origin. The Lacedæmonians, had derived theſe uſages from the Cretans; and Plato (1), who wants to prove that the Cretan inſtitutions were deſigned for war, cites the following, namely, the habit or power of bearing pain in private combats, and in puniſhments inflicted for open thefts.

(1) Of laws, book 1.

As the civil laws depend on the political inſtitutions, becauſe they are made for the ſame ſociety; whenever there is a deſign of adopting the civil law of another nation, it would be proper to examine before hand whether they have both the ſame inſtitutions, and the ſame political law.

Thus when the Cretan laws on theft were adopted by the Lacedæmonians, as their conſtitution and government were adopted at the ſame time, theſe laws were equally reaſonable in both nations. But when they were carried from Lacedæmonia to Rome, as they did not find there the ſame conſtitution, they were always thought ſtrange, and had no manner of connexion with the other civil laws of the Romans.

CHAP.

CHAP. XIV.

That we muſt not ſeparate the Laws from the Circumſtances in which they were made.

BOOK XXIX. Chap. 14.

IT was decreed by a law at Athens, that when the city was beſieged, all the uſeleſs people ſhould be put to death *. This was an abominable political law, in conſequence of an abominable law of nations. Among the Greeks the inhabitants of a town taken, loſt their civil liberty, and were ſold as ſlaves. The taking of a town implied its intire deſtruction; which is the ſource not only of thoſe obſtinate defences, and of thoſe unnatural actions, but likewiſe of thoſe ſhocking laws which they ſometimes enacted.

The Roman laws † ordained that phyſicians ſhould be puniſhed for neglect or unſkilfulneſs. In thoſe caſes, if the phyſician was a perſon of any fortune or rank, he was only condemned to *deportation*; but if he was of a low condition, he was put to death. By our inſtitutions it is otherwiſe. The Roman laws were not made under the ſame circumſtances as ours: at Rome every ignorant pretender intermeddled with phyſic; but amongſt us, phyſicians are obliged to go through a regular courſe of ſtudy, and to take their degrees; for which reaſon they are ſuppoſed to underſtand their profeſſion.

* Inutilis ætas occidatur. *Syrian in Hermog.*

† The Cornelian law *de Sicariis*, Inſtitut. lib. 4. tit. 3. de lege Aquilia, § 7.

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

That ſometime it is proper the Law ſhould amend itſelf.

THE law of the twelve tables * allowed people to kill a night-thief as well as a day-thief, if upon being purſued he attempted to make a defence: but it required that the perſon who killed the thief †, ſhould cry out and call his fellow-citizens; this is indeed what thoſe laws, which permit people to do juſtice to themſelves, ought always to require. It is the cry of innocence, which in the very moment of the action, calls in witneſſes and appeals to judges. The people ought to take cognizance of the action, and at the very inſtant of its being done; an inſtant when every thing ſpeaks, even the air, the countenance, the paſſions, the ſilence of the agent; and when every word either condemns or abſolves. A law which may become ſo contrary to the ſecurity and liberty of the citizens, ought to be executed in their preſence. Book XXIX. Chap. 15. and 16.

CHAP. XVI.

Things to be obſerved in the compoſing of Laws.

THEY who have a genius ſufficient to enable them to give laws to their own, or to another nation, ought to be particularly attentive to the manner of forming them.

* See the 4th law, ff. ad leg. Aquil.

† Ibidem, ſee the decree of Taſſillon added to the law of the Bavarians, *de popularib. legib. art.* 4.

The

Book XXIX. Chap. 16.

The ſtile ought to be conciſe. The laws of the twelve tables are a model of conciſeneſs; the very children * uſed to learn them by heart. Juſtinian's *Novellæ* were ſo very diffuſed, that they were obliged to abridge them †.

The ſtile ſhould alſo be plain and ſimple; a direct expreſſion being better underſtood than an indirect one. There is no majeſty at all in the laws of the lower empire; princes are made to ſpeak like rhetoricians. When the ſtile of laws is tumid, they are looked upon only as a work of parade and oſtentation.

It is an eſſential article that the words of the laws ſhould excite in every body the ſame ideas. Cardinal Richelieu (m) agreed, that a miniſter might be accuſed before the king; but he would have the accuſer puniſhed, if the facts he proved were not matters of moment. This was enough to hinder people from telling any truth whatſoever againſt the miniſter; becauſe a matter of moment is entirely relative, and what may be of moment to one is not ſo to another.

(m) Political teſtament.

The law of Honorius puniſhed with death any perſon that purchaſed a freed-man as a ſlave, or that ‡ gave him moleſtation. He ſhould not have made uſe of ſo vague an expreſſion; the moleſtation given to a man, depends entirely on the degree of his ſenſibility.

When the law would fix a ſet rate upon things, it ſhould avoid as much as poſſible the eſti-

* Ut carmen neceſſarium, *Cicero* de legib. 2.

† It is the work of *Irnerius*.

‡ *Aut qualibet manumiſſione donatum inquietare voluerit.* Appendix to the Theodoſian code in the firſt volume of father Sirmond's works, p. 737.

mating

mating it in money. The value of money changes from a thouſand cauſes, and the ſame denomination continues without the ſame thing. Every one knows the ſtory of that impudent (n) fellow at Rome, who uſed to give thoſe he met a box on the ear, and afterwards tendered them the five and twenty pence of the law of the twelve tables. Book XXIX. Chap. 16.

(n) Aulus Gellius, book 20. chap. 1.

When the law has once fixed the idea of things, it ſhould never return to vague expreſſions. The ordinance of Lewis XIV. * concerning criminal matters after an exact enumeration of the cauſe in which the king is immediately concerned, adds theſe words, *and thoſe which in all times have been ſubject to the determination of the king's judges*; this renders the thing again arbitrary, after it had been fixed.

Charles VII. † ſays, he has been informed that the parties appeal three, four, and ſix months after judgment, contrary to the cuſtom of the kingdom in the country governed by cuſtom: he therefore ordains, that they ſhall appeal forthwith, unleſs there happens to be ſome fraud or deceit in the attorney ‡, or unleſs there be a great or evident cauſe to ſue the appeal The end of this law deſtroys the beginning, and it deſtroys it ſo effectually, that they uſed afterwards to appeal during the ſpace of thirty years ‖.

The law of the Lombards (o) does not allow a woman that has taken a religious habit, though (o) Book 2. tit. 37.

* We find in the verbal proceſs of this ordinance the motives that determined him.

† In his ordinance of Montel-les tours in the year 1453.

‡ They might puniſh the attorney, without there being any neceſſity of diſturbing the public order.

‖ The ordinance of the year 1667, has made ſome regulations upon this head.

ſhe

BOOK XXIX. Chap. 16.

ſhe has made no vow, to marry; becauſe, ſays this law, *if a ſpouſe who has been contracted to a woman only by a ring, cannot without guilt be married to another; for a much ſtronger reaſon the ſpouſe of God or of the bleſſed virgin.* . . . . . Now I ſay, that in laws the arguments ſhould be drawn from one reality to another, and not from reality to figure, or from figure to reality.

(p) In father Sirmondus's appendix to the Theodoſian code, tom. 1.

A law enacted by Conſtantine (p), ordains, that the ſingle teſtimony of a biſhop ſhould be ſufficient, without liſtening to any other witneſſes. This prince took a very ſhort method; he judged of affairs by perſons, and of perſons by dignities.

The laws ought not to be ſubtle; they are deſigned for people of common underſtanding, not as an art of logic, but as the plain reaſon of a father of a family.

When there is no neceſſity for exceptions and limitations in a law, it is much better to omit them: details of that kind throw people into new details.

No alteration ſhould be made in a law without ſufficient reaſon. Juſtinian ordained, that a huſband might be repudiated, and yet the wife not loſe her portion, if for the ſpace of (q) two years he had been incapable of conſummating the marriage. He altered his law afterwards, and allowed the (r) poor wretch three years. But in a caſe of that nature, two years are as good as three, and three are not worth more than two.

(q) Leg. 1. Cod. *de. Repudiis.*

(r) See the Authentic *Sed hodie*, in the code *de Repudiis.*

When a legiſlator condeſcends to give the reaſon of his law, it ought to be worthy of its majeſty. A Roman (s) law decrees, that a blind man is incapable to plead, becauſe he cannot ſee the ornaments

(s) Leg. 1. ff. *de poſtulando.*

ornaments of the magiſtracy. So bad a reaſon muſt have been given on purpoſe, when ſuch a number of good reaſons were at hand. Book XXIX. Chap. 16.

Paul the civilian (f) ſays, that a child grows perfect in the ſeventh month, and that the proportion of Pythagoras's numbers ſeems to prove it. It is very extraordinary that they ſhould judge of thoſe things by the proportion of Pythagoras's numbers.

(f) In his Sentences, book 4. tit. 9.

Some French lawyers have aſſerted, that when the king made an acquiſition of a new country, the churches became ſubject to the *Regale*, becauſe the king's crown is round. I ſhall not examine here into the king's rights, or whether in this caſe the reaſon of the civil or eccleſiaſtic law ought to ſubmit to that of the law of politics: I ſhall only ſay, that thoſe auguſt rights ought to be defended by grave maxims. Was there ever ſuch a thing known, as the real rights of a dignity, founded on the figure of that dignity's ſign?

Davila (t) ſays, that Charles IX. was declared of age in the parliament of Roan at fourteen years commenced, becauſe the laws require every moment of the time to be reckoned, in caſes relating to the reſtitution and adminiſtration of an orphan's eſtate: whereas it conſiders the year commenced as a year complete, when the caſe is concerning the acquiſition of honours. I am very far from cenſuring a regulation which has been hitherto attended with no inconveniency; I ſhall only take notice that the reaſon alledged (u) is not the true one; it is falſe, that the government of a nation is only an honour.

(t) Della guerra civile di Francia, pag. 96.

(u) The Chancellor de *l'Hopital*, ibid.

In

Book XXIX. Chap. 16.

In point of preſumption, that of the law is far preferable to that of the man. The French law (x) conſiders every act of a merchant during the ten days preceding his bankruptcy as fraudulent: this is the preſumption of the law. The Roman law inflicted puniſhments on the huſband who kept his wife after ſhe had been guilty of adultery, unleſs he was induced to it through fear of the event of a law-ſuit, or through contempt of his own ſhame; this is the preſumption of the man. The judge muſt have preſumed the motives of the huſband's conduct, and muſt have determined a very obſcure and ambiguous point: when the law preſumes, it gives a fixed rule to the judge.

(x) It was made in the month of November, 1702.

(y) Book 9. of laws.

Plato's law (y), as I have obſerved already, required that a puniſhment ſhould be inflicted on the perſon who killed himſelf not with a deſign of avoiding ſhame, but through puſillanimity. This law was ſo far defective, that in the only caſe in which it was impoſſible to draw from the criminal an acknowledgment of the motive upon which he had acted, it required the judge to determine concerning theſe motives.

As uſeleſs laws debilitate ſuch as are neceſſary, ſo thoſe that may be eaſily eluded, weaken the legiſlation. Every law ought to have its effect, and no one ſhould be ſuffered to deviate from it by a particular exception.

The Falcidian law ordained among the Romans, that the heir ſhould always have the fourth part of the inheritance: another law * ſuffered the teſtator to prohibit the heir from retaining this fourth

* It is the Authentic, *ſed cum teſtator.*

part. This is making a jeſt of the laws. The Falcidian law became uſeleſs: for if the teſtator had a mind to favour his heir, the latter had no need of the Falcidian law; and if he did not intend to favour him, he forbad him to make uſe of it. Book XXIX. Chap. 16.

Care ſhould be taken that the laws be worded in ſuch a manner, as not to be contrary to the very nature of things. In the proſcription of the prince of Orange, Philip II. promiſes to any man that will kill the prince, to give him, or his heirs, five and twenty thouſand crowns, together with the title of nobility; and this upon the word of a king, and as a ſervant of God. To promiſe nobility for ſuch an action! to ordain ſuch an action in the quality of a ſervant of God! This is equally ſubverſive of the ideas of honour, morality, and religion.

There very ſeldom happens to be a neceſſity of prohibiting a thing which is not bad, under pretence of ſome imaginary perfection.

There ought to be a certain ſimplicity and candor in the laws: made to puniſh the iniquity of men, they themſelves ſhould be clad with the robes of innocence. We find in the law of the (a) Viſigoths that ridiculous requeſt, by which the Jews were obliged to eat every thing dreſſed with pork, provided they did not eat the pork itſelf. This was a very great cruelty; they were obliged to ſubmit to a law, contrary to their own; and they were allowed to retain nothing more of their own, than what might ſerve as a mark to diſtinguiſh them.

(a) Book 12. tit. 2. § 16.

CHAP. XVII.

A bad Method of giving Laws.

Book XXIX. Chap. 17.

THE Roman emperors manifeſted their will like our princes, by decrees and edicts; but they permitted, which our princes do not, both the judges and private people to interrogate them by letters in their ſeveral differences; and their anſwers were called reſcripts. The decretals of the popes are reſcripts, ſtrictly ſpeaking. It is plain, that this is a bad method of legiſlation. Thoſe who thus apply for laws are improper guides to the legiſlator; the facts are always wrong ſtated. Julius Capitolinus (b) ſays, that Trajan often refuſed to give this kind of reſcripts, leſt a ſingle deciſion, and frequently a particular favour, ſhould be extended to all caſes. Macrinus (c) reſolved to aboliſh all thoſe reſcripts; he could not bear that the anſwers of Commodus, Caracalla, and all thoſe other ignorant princes, ſhould be conſidered as laws. Juſtinian thought otherwiſe, and he filled his compilement with them.

(b) See Julius Capitolinus *in Macrino.*

(c) Ibid.

I would adviſe thoſe who read the Roman laws, to diſtinguiſh carefully between this ſort of hypotheſes, and the Senatus-conſulta, the Plebiſcita, the general conſtitutions of the emperors, and all the laws founded on the nature of things, on the frailty of women, the weakneſs of minors, and the public utility.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the Ideas of Uniformity.

THERE are certain ideas of uniformity, which ſometimes ſtrike great geniuſes, (for they even affected Charlemain) but infallibly make an impreſſion on little ſouls. They diſcover therein a kind of perfection, becauſe it is impoſſible for them not to ſee it; the ſame weights, the ſame meaſures in trade, the ſame laws in the ſtate, the ſame religion in all its parts. But is this always right, and without exception? Is the evil of changing conſtantly leſs than that of ſuffering? And does not a greatneſs of genius conſiſt rather in diſtinguiſhing between thoſe caſes in which uniformity is requiſite, and thoſe in which there is a neceſſity for differences? In China the Chineſe are governed by the Chineſe ceremonial: and the Tartars by theirs: And yet there is no nation in the world that aims ſo much at tranquillity. If the people obſerve the laws, what ſignifies it whether theſe laws are the ſame? BOOK XXIX. Chap. 18. and 19.

CHAP. XIX.

Of Legiſlators.

ARISTOTLE wanted to indulge ſometimes his jealouſy againſt Plato, and ſometimes his paſſion for Alexander. Plato was incenſed againſt the tyranny of the people of Athens. Machiavel was full of his idol, the duke of Valentinois. Sir Thomas Moor, who ſpoke rather of what he had read, than of what he thought, wanted (d) to go-

(d) In his *Utopia.*

Book XXIX. Chap. 19.

vern all ſtates with the ſimplicity of a Greek city. Harrington was full of the idea of his favourite republic of England, whilſt a croud of writers ſaw nothing but confuſion where monarchy is aboliſhed. The laws always meet the paſſions and prejudices of the legiſlator; ſometimes they paſs through, and imbibe only a tincture; ſometimes they ſtop, and are incorporated with them.

BOOK XXX.

Theory of the feudal Laws among the Franks, *in the Relation they bear to the Eſtabliſhment of the Monarchy.*

CHAP. I.

Of feudal Laws.

Book XXX. Chap. 1.

I SHOULD think my work imperfect, were I to paſs over in ſilence an event which never, again perhaps, will happen; were I not to ſpeak of thoſe laws which ſuddenly appeared over all Europe, without being connected with any of the former inſtitutions; of thoſe laws which have done infinite good and infinite miſchief; which have ſuffered rights to remain when the demeſne has been ceded; which by veſting ſeveral with different kinds of ſeignory over the ſame things or perſons, have diminiſhed the weight of the whole ſeignory; which have eſtabliſhed different limits in empires of too great an extent; which have been productive of rule with a bias to anarchy, and of anarchy with a tendency to order and harmony.

This would require a particular work to itſelf; but conſidering the nature of the preſent undertaking, the reader will here meet rather with a general ſurvey, than with a complete treatiſe of thoſe laws.

Book XXX. Chap. 2.

The feudal laws form a very beautiful proſpect. A venerable old * oak raiſes its lofty head to the ſkies; the eye ſees from afar its ſpreading leaves: upon drawing nearer, it perceives the trunk, but does not diſcern the root; the ground muſt be dug up to diſcover it.

CHAP. II.

Of the Source of feudal Laws.

THE conquerors of the Roman empire came from Germany. Though few ancient authors have deſcribed their manners, yet we have two of very great weight. Cæſar making war againſt the Germans, deſcribes the manners (a) of that nation; and upon theſe he regulated † ſome of his enterpriſes. A few pages of Cæſar upon this ſubject are equal to whole volumes.

(a) Book 6.

Tacitus has written an entire work on the manners of the Germans. This work is ſhort, but it comes from the pen of Tacitus, who was always conciſe, becauſe he ſaw every thing at one glance.

Theſe two authors agree ſo perfectly with the codes ſtill extant of the laws of the Barbarians, that reading Cæſar and Tacitus, we imagine we are peruſing theſe codes, and peruſing theſe codes, we fancy we are reading Cæſar and Tacitus.

But if in this reſearch into the feudal laws, I ſhould find myſelf entangled and loſt in a dark labyrinth, I fancy I have the clue in my hand, and that I ſhall be able to find my way through.

* ——————— *Quantùm vertice ad oras Æthereas, tantùm radice ad Tartara tendit.* VIRGIL.

† For inſtance, his retreat from Germany. Ibid.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

The origin of Vaſſalage.

CÆSAR * ſays, "That the Germans neg- Book XXX. Chap. 3.
"lected agriculture; that the greateſt part of "them lived upon milk, cheeſe, and fleſh; that "no one had lands or boundaries of his own; "that the princes and magiſtrates of each nation "allotted what portion of land they pleaſed, to "individuals, and obliged them the year following "to remove to ſome other part." Tacitus ſays (b), "That each prince had a multitude of men, who "were attached to his ſervice, and followed him "wherever he went." This author gives them a name in his language relative to their ſtate, which is that of *companions* (c). They had a ſtrong emulation to obtain the prince's eſteem; and the princes had the ſame emulation to diſtinguiſh themſelves in the bravery and number of their companions. "Their dignity and power," continues Tacitus, "conſiſts in being conſtantly ſurrounded with a "multitude of young and choſen people: this they "reckon their ornament in peace, this their de-"fence and ſupport in war. Their name becomes "famous at home, and among neighbouring na-"tions, when they excel all others in the number "and courage of their companions: they receive "preſents and embaſſies from all parts. Repu-"tation frequently decides the fate of war. In "battle it is infamy in the prince to be ſurpaſſed

(b) De morib. Germ.

(c) Comites.

* Book 6th of the Gallic wars. Tacitus adds, *nulli domus aut ager, aut aliqua cura; prout ad quem venêre aluntur.* De Morib. Germ.

Book XXX. Chap. 3.

" in courage; it is infamy in the companions not " to follow the brave example of their prince; " it is an eternal diſgrace to ſurvive him. To " defend him is their moſt ſacred engagement. " If a city be at peace, the princes go to thoſe " who are at war; and it is thus they retain a " great number of friends. To theſe they give " the war-horſe and the terrible javelin. Their " pay conſiſts in coarſe but plentiful repaſts. The " prince ſupports his liberality merely by war and " plunder. You might eaſier perſuade them to " attack an enemy and to expoſe themſelves to the " dangers of war, than to cultivate the land, or " to attend to the cares of huſbandry; they re- " fuſe to acquire by ſweat what they can purchaſe " with blood."

Thus, among the Germans there were vaſſals, but no fiefs; they had no fiefs, becauſe the princes had no lands to give; or rather their fiefs conſiſted in horſes trained, for war, in arms, and feaſting. There were vaſſals, becauſe there were truſty men who being bound by their word engaged to follow the prince to the field, and did very near the ſame ſervice as was afterwards performed for the fiefs.

CHAP. IV.

The ſame Subject continued.

(d) De Bello Gallico, lib. 6.

CÆSAR (d) ſays, that " when any of the prin- " ces declared to the aſſembly that he intended " to ſet out upon an expedition, and aſked them " to follow him; thoſe who approved the leader, " and the enterprize, ſtood up and offered their aſſiſtance,

" aſſiſtance. Upon which they were commended by " the multitude. But if they did not fulfil their " engagements, they loſt the public eſteem, and " were looked upon as deſerters and traitors."

What Cæſar ſays in this place, and what we have extracted in the preceding chapter from Tacitus, is the ſubſtance of the hiſtory of our princes of the firſt race.

We muſt not therefore be ſurprized, that our kings ſhould have new armies to raiſe upon every expedition, new troops to encourage, new people to engage; that to acquire much they were obliged to incur great expences; that they ſhould be conſtant gainers by the diviſion of lands and ſpoils, and yet give theſe lands and ſpoils inceſſantly away: that their demeſne ſhould continually increaſe and diminiſh; that a father upon ſettling a kingdom (e) on one of his children, ſhould always give him a treaſure with it; that the king's treaſure ſhould be conſidered as neceſſary to the monarchy; and that one king * could not give part of it to foreigners, even in portion with his daughter, without the conſent of the other kings. The monarchy moved by ſprings, which they were continually obliged to wind up.

(e) See the life of Dagobert.

* See Gregory of Tours, book 6. on the marriage of the daughter of Chilperic. Childebert ſends ambaſſadors to tell him, that he ſhould not give the cities of his father's kingdom to his daughter, nor his treaſures, nor his bondmen, nor horſes, nor horſemen, nor teams of oxen, &c.

CHAP.

CHAP. V.

Of the Conqueſts of the Franks.

Book XXX. Chap. 5. and 6.

IT is not true, that the Franks upon entering Gaul, took poſſeſſion of the whole country to turn it into fiefs. Some have been of this opinion, becauſe they ſaw the greateſt part of the country towards the end of the ſecond race, converted into fiefs, rear-fiefs, or other dependencies; but ſuch a diſpoſition was owing to particular cauſes, which we ſhall explain hereafter.

The conſequence which ſundry writers would infer from thence, that the Barbarians made a general regulation for eſtabliſhing in all parts the ſtate of villainage, is as falſe as the principle from which it it derived. If at a time when the fiefs were precarious, all the lands of the kingdom had been fiefs or dependencies of fiefs, and all the men in the kingdom vaſſals or bondmen, ſubordinate to vaſſals; as the perſon that has property is ever poſſeſſed of power, the king who continually diſpoſed of the fiefs, that is, of the only property then exiſting, would have been as arbitrary a monarch as the Grand Seignior; which is abſolutely contradictory to all hiſtory.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Goths, Burgundians, *and* Franks.

GAUL was invaded by German nations. The Viſigoths took poſſeſſion of the province of Narbonne, and of almoſt all the ſouth; the Burgundians ſettled in the eaſt; and the Franks ſubdued very near all the reſt.

No doubt but theſe Barbarians retained in their reſpective conqueſts the manners, inclinations, and uſages of their own country; for no nation can change in an inſtant their manner of thinking and acting. Theſe people in Germany neglected agriculture. It ſeems by Cæſar and Tacitus, that they applied themſelves greatly to a paſtoral life: hence the regulations of the codes of Barbarian laws are almoſt all relating to their flocks. Roricon, who wrote a hiſtory among the Franks, was a ſhepherd.

CHAP. VII.

Different ways of dividing the land.

AFTER the Goths and Burgundians had under various pretences penetrated into the heart of the empire, the Romans, in order to put a ſtop to their devaſtations, were obliged to provide for their ſubſiſtence. At firſt they allowed them * corn; but afterwards choſe to give them lands. The emperors, or the Roman † magiſtrates in their name, made particular conventions with them concerning the diviſion of lands, as we find in the chronicles and in the codes of the Viſigoths (f) and Burgundians ‡.

The Franks did not follow the ſame plan. In the Salic and Ripuarian laws we find not the

(f) Book x. tit. 1. §. 8, 9, & 16.

* The Romans obliged themſelves to this by treaties.

† *Burgundiones partem Galliæ occuparunt, terraſque cum Gallicis ſenatoribus diviſerunt.* Marius's Chronicle, in the year 456.

‡ Chap. 54. §. 1. & 2. This diviſion was ſtill ſubſiſting in the time of Lewis the Debonnaire, as appears by his capitulary of the year 829. which has been inſerted in the law of the Burgundians, tit. 79. §. 1.

Book XXX. Chap. 8.

the leaſt veſtige of any ſuch diviſion of lands: they had conquered the country, and ſo took what they pleaſed, making no regulations but amongſt themſelves.

Let us therefore diſtinguiſh between the conduct of the Burgundians and Viſigoths in Gaul, of thoſe ſame Viſigoths in Spain, of the (g) auxiliary troops under Auguſtulus and Odoacer in Italy, and that of the Franks in Gaul, as alſo of the Vandals (h) in Africa. The former entered into conventions with the ancient inhabitants, and in conſequence thereof made a diviſion of lands between them; the latter did no ſuch thing.

(g) See Procopius *war of the Goths.*

(h) See Procopius *war of the Vandals.*

CHAP. VIII.

The ſame ſubject continued.

WHAT has induced ſome to think that the Roman lands were entirely uſurped by the Barbarians, is their finding in the laws of the Viſigoths and the Burgundians, that theſe two nations had two thirds of the lands: but this they took only in certain quarters or diſtricts aſſigned them.

Gundebald * ſays in the law of the Burgundians, that his people at their eſtabliſhment had two thirds of the lands allowed them; and the ſecond ſupplement † to this law takes notice, that only a moiety would be allowed to thoſe who ſhould hereafter come to live in that country. Therefore all the lands had not

* *Licèt eo tempore quo populus noſter mancipiorum tertiam & duas terrarum partes accepit, &c.* Law of the Burgundians. tit. 54. §. 1.

† *Ut non amplius a Burgundionibus qui infra venerunt requiratur quàm ad præſens neceſſitas fuerit, medietas terræ,* art. 11.

not been divided in the beginning between the Romans and the Burgundians.

In thoſe two regulations we meet with the ſame expreſſions in the text; conſequently they explain one another; and as the latter cannot mean an univerſal diviſion of lands, neither can this ſignification be given to the former.

The Franks acted with the ſame moderation as the Burgundians; they did not ſtrip the Romans wherever they extended their conqueſts. What would they have done with ſo much land? They took what ſuited them, and left the remainder.

CHAP. IX.

A juſt application of the law of the Burgundians *and of that of the* Viſigoths *in relation to the diviſion of lands.*

IT is to be conſidered that thoſe diviſions of land were not made with a tyrannical ſpirit; but with a view of relieving the reciprocal wants of two nations that were to inhabit the ſame country.

The law of the Burgundians ordains that a Burgundian ſhall be received in an hoſpitable manner by a Roman. This is agreeable to the manners of the Germans, who, according to Tacitus (i), were the moſt hoſpitable people in the world.

(i) De morib. Germ.

By the law of the Burgundians, it is ordained, that the Burgundians ſhall have two thirds of the lands, and one third of the bondmen. In this it conſidered the genius of two nations, and conformed to the manner in which they procured their ſubſiſtence. As the Burgundians dealt chiefly in cattle,

Book XXX. Chap. 10.

they wanted a great deal of land and few bondmen; and the Romans from their application to agriculture had need of leſs land and of a greater number of bondmen. The woods were equally divided, becauſe their wants in this reſpect were the ſame.

(k) And in that of the Viſigoths.

We find in the code (k) of the Burgundians, that each Barbarian was placed near a Roman. The diviſion therefore was not general; but the Romans who gave the diviſion, were equal in number to the Burgundians who received it. The Roman was injured the leaſt poſſible. The Burgundians as a martial people, fond of hunting and of a paſtoral life, did not refuſe to accept of the fallow grounds; while the Romans kept ſuch lands as were propereſt for culture: the Burgundian's flock fattened the Roman's field.

CHAP. X.

Of ſervitudes.

(l) Tit. 54.

THE law of the Burgundians (l) takes notice, that when thoſe people ſettled in Gaul, they were allowed two thirds of the land, and one third of the bondmen. The ſtate of villainage was therefore * eſtabliſhed in that part of Gaul before it was invaded by the Burgundians.

The law of the Burgundians in points relating to the two nations makes a formal † diſtinction in both, between

* This is confirmed by the whole title of the code *de Agricolis & Cenſitis, & Colonis.*

† *Si dentem optimati Burgundioni vel Romano nobili excuſſerit.* tit. 26. §. 1. *& ſi mediocribus perſonis ingenuis tam Burgundionibus quam Romanis.* Ibid. §. 2.

between the nobles, the free-born, and the bondmen. Servitude was not therefore a thing particular to the Romans; nor liberty and nobility particular to the Barbarians.

This very ſame law ſays (m), that if a Burgundian freedman had not given a particular ſum to his maſter, nor received a third ſhare of a Roman, he was always ſuppoſed to belong to his maſter's family. The Roman proprietor was therefore free, ſince he did not belong to another perſon's family; he was free, becauſe his third portion was a mark of liberty.

(m) Tit. 57.

We need only open the Salic and Ripuarian laws, to be ſatisfied that the Romans were no more in a ſtate of ſervitude among the Franks, than among the other conquerors of Gaul.

The count de Boulainvilliers is miſtaken in the capital point of his ſyſtem: he has not proved that the Franks made a general regulation to reduce the Romans into a kind of ſervitude.

As this author's work is penned without art, and as he ſpeaks with the ſimplicity, frankneſs, and candor of that ancient nobility from whence he deſcends, every one is capable of judging of the fine things he ſays, and of the errors into which he is fallen. I ſhall not therefore undertake to criticiſe him; I ſhall only obſerve, that he had more wit than ſenſe, more ſenſe than knowledge; though his knowledge was not contemptible, for he was well acquainted with the moſt valuable part of our hiſtory and laws.

The count de Boulainvilliers, and the abbe duBos, have formed two different ſyſtems, one of which ſeems to be a conſpiracy againſt the commons, and the

Book XXX. Chap. 11.

the other againſt the nobility. When the ſun gave leave to Phaeton to drive his chariot, he ſaid to him, "If you aſcend too high, you will burn the heavenly manſions; if you deſcend too low, you will reduce the earth to aſhes: Do not drive to the right, you will meet there with the conſtellation of the ſerpent; avoid going too much to the left, you will there fall in with that of the altar: keep in the middle *."

CHAP. XI.

The ſame ſubject continued.

WHAT firſt gave riſe to the notion of a general regulation made at the time of the conqueſt, is our meeting with a prodigious number of ſervitudes in France towards the beginning of the third race; and as the continual progreſſion of theſe ſervitudes was not attended to, people imagined in an age of obſcurity a general law which was never framed.

Towards the commencement of the firſt race, we meet with an infinite number of freemen, both among the Franks and the Romans; but the number of bondmen increaſed to that degree, that at the beginning of the third race, all the huſbandmen and almoſt all the inhabitants † of towns were be-

* *Nec preme, nec ſummum molire per æthera currum;*
Altiùs egreſſus, cœleſtia tecta cremabis;
Inferiùs terras: medio tutiſſimus ibis.
Neu te dexterior tortum declinet ad anguem,
Neve ſiniſterior preſſam rota ducat ad aram;
Inter utrumque tene. Ovid. Metam. lib. ii.

† While Gaul was under the dominion of the Romans, they formed particular bodies; theſe were generally freedmen, or the deſcendants of freedmen.

become bondmen: and whereas at the firſt period there was very near the ſame adminiſtration in the cities as among the Romans, namely, a corporation, a ſenate, and courts of judicature; at the other we hardly meet with any thing but a lord and his bondmen.

When the Franks, Burgundians, and Goths, made their ſeveral invaſions, they ſeized upon gold, ſilver, moveables, clothes, men, women, boys, and whatever the army could carry; the whole was brought to one place, and divided amongſt the army (n). Hiſtory ſhews, that after the firſt ſettlement, that is after the firſt devaſtations, they entered into an agreement with the inhabitants, and left them all their political and civil rights. This was the law of nations in thoſe days; they plundered every thing in time of war, and granted every thing in time of peace. Were it not ſo, how ſhould we find both in the Salic and Burgundian laws ſuch a number of regulations abſolutely contrary to a general ſervitude of the people?

(n) See Gregory of Tours, book ii. chap. 27. Aimoin, book i. chap. 12.

But though the conqueſt was not immediately productive of ſervitude, it aroſe nevertheleſs from the ſame law of nations (o) which ſubſiſted after the conqueſt. Oppoſition, revolts, and the taking of towns, were followed by the ſlavery of the inhabitants. And, not to mention the wars which the conquering nations made againſt one another, as there was this particularity among the Franks, that the different partitions of the monarchy gave riſe continually to civil wars between brothers or nephews, in which this law of nations was conſtantly practiſed, ſervitudes of courſe became more general in France than in other countries: and

(o) See *the lives of the Saints in the next page.*

Book XXVIII. Chap. 11.

this is, I believe, one of the cauſes of the difference between our French laws and thoſe of Italy and Spain, in reſpect to the right of ſeignories.

The conqueſt was ſoon over; and the law of nations then in force was productive of ſome ſervile dependencies. The cuſtom of the ſame law of nations, which obtained for many ages, gave a prodigious extent to thoſe ſervitudes.

Theodoric imagining that the people of Auvergne were not faithful to him, thus addreſſed the Franks of his diviſion: *Follow me, and I will carry you into a country where you ſhall have gold, ſilver, captives, clothes, and flocks in abundance; and you ſhall remove all the people into your own country.*

(q) Ibid. book vi. chap. 31.

After the concluſion of the peace (q) between Gontram and Chilperic, the troops employed in the ſiege of Bourges having had orders to return, carried ſuch a conſiderable booty away with them, that they hardly left either men or cattle in the country.

Theodoric, king of Italy, whoſe ſpirit and policy it was ever to diſtinguiſh himſelf from the other barbarian kings, upon ſending an army into Gaul, wrote thus to the general (r): "It is my "will that the Roman laws be followed, and that "you reſtore the fugitive ſlaves to their right "owners. The defender of liberty ought not to "encourage ſervants to deſert their maſters. Let "other kings delight in the plunder and devaſta"tion of the towns which they have ſubdued; "we are deſirous to conquer in ſuch a manner, "that our ſubjects ſhall lament their having fallen "too late under our government." It is evident, that his intention was to caſt an odium on the kings

(r) Letter 43, lib. iii. in Caſſiod.

kings of the Franks and the Burgundians, and that he alluded in the above paſſage to their particular law of nations.

Yet this law of nations continued in force under the ſecond race. King Pepin's army, having penetrated into Aquitaine, returned to France loaded with an immenſe booty, and with a vaſt number of bondmen, as we are informed by the annals of Metz *.

Here might I quote numberleſs † authorities: and as the public compaſſion was raiſed at the ſight of thoſe miſeries, as ſeveral holy prelates beholding the captives in chains, employed the treaſure belonging to the church, and ſold even the ſacred utenſils, to ranſom as many as they could; and as ſeveral holy monks exerted themſelves on that occaſion, it is in the ‡ lives of the ſaints that we meet with the beſt eclairciſſements on this ſubject. And, although it may be objected to the authors of thoſe lives, that they have been ſometimes a little too credulous in reſpect to things which God has certainly performed, if they were in the order of his providence; yet we draw conſiderable lights from thence, with regard to the manners and uſages of thoſe times.

When we caſt an eye upon the monuments of our hiſtory and laws, the whole ſeems to be an im-

* In the year 763. *Innumerabilibus ſpoliis & captivis totus ille exercitus ditatus, in Franciam reverſus eſt.*

† See the annals of Fuld, in the year 739, Paulus Diaconus, de geſtis Longobardorum, lib. iii. c. 30. & lib. iv. c. 1. and the lives of the ſaints in the next quotation.

‡ See the lives of S. Epiphanius, S. Eptadius. S. Cæſarius, S. Fidolus, S. Porcian, S. Treverius, S. Euſichius, and of S. Leger, the miracles of S. Julian, &c.

Book XXX. Chap. 12.

menſe expanſe, * or a boundleſs ocean: all thoſe frigid, dry, crude writings muſt be devoured in the ſame manner, as Saturn is fabled to have devoured the ſtones.

A vaſt quantity of land which had been in the hands of freemen †, was changed into mortmain, when the country was ſtripped of its free inhabitants; thoſe who had a great multitude of bondmen either took large territories by force, or had them yielded by agreement, and built villages, as may be ſeen in different charters. On the other hand, the freemen who cultivated the arts, found themſelves reduced to exerciſe thoſe arts in a ſtate of ſervitude: thus the ſervitudes reſtored to the arts and to agriculture whatever they had loſt.

It was a cuſtomary thing with the proprietors of land, to give them to the churches, in order to hold them themſelves by a quit rent, thinking to partake by their ſervitude of the ſanctity of the churches.

CHAP. XII.

That the lands belonging to the diviſion of the Barbarians paid no taxes.

A People remarkable for their ſimplicity and poverty, a free and martial people, who lived without any other induſtry than that of tending their flocks, and who had nothing but ruſh cottages to attach them to their (s) lands; ſuch a people, I ſay, muſt have followed their chiefs for

(s) See Gregory of Tours, book ii.

* ——————— *Deerant quoque littora ponto.* Ovid. line 1.

† Even the huſbandmen themſelves were not all ſlaves: ſee the 18th and 23d law in the code *de Agricolis, & Cenſitis, & Colonis*, and the 20th of the ſame title.

the

the ſake of booty, and not to pay or to raiſe taxes. The art of tax-gathering is generally invented too late, and when men begin to enjoy the felicity of other arts. Book XXX. Chap. 12.

The tranſient (t) tax of a pitcher of wine for every acre, which was one of the exactions of Chilperic and Fredegonda, related only to the Romans. And indeed it was not the Franks that tore the rolls of thoſe taxes, but the clergy who in thoſe days were all Romans. The burthen of this tax lay chiefly on the inhabitants * of the towns; now theſe were almoſt all inhabited by Romans. (t) Ibid. book v.

Gregory of Tours (u) relates, that a certain judge was obliged after the death of Chilperic to take refuge in a church, for having under the reign of that prince ordered taxes to be levied on ſeveral Franks, who in the reign of Childebert were *ingenui*, or free-born: *Multos de Francis, qui tempore Childeberti regis ingenui fuerant, publico tributo ſubegit.* Therefore the Franks who were not bondmen paid no taxes. (u) Book vii.

There is not a grammarian, but would be aſhamed to ſee how the Abbé du Bos (w) has interpreted this paſſage. He obſerves, that in thoſe days the freedmen were alſo called *ingenui*. Upon this ſuppoſition he renders the Latin word *ingenui*, by *freed from taxes*; a phraſe, which we indeed may uſe, as *freed from cares, freed from puniſhments*; but in the Latin tongue, ſuch expreſſions as *ingenui a tributis, libertini a tributis, manumiſſi tributorum*, would be quite monſtrous. (w) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy, tom. iii. chap. 14. pag. 515.

Parthenius, ſays Gregory of Tours (x), had like to have been put to death by the Franks for ſub- (x) Book iii. c. 136.

* *Quæ conditio univerſis urbibus per Galliam conſtitutis ſummopere eſt adhibita.* Life of S. Aridius.

Book XXX. Chap. 12.

jecting them to taxes. The Abbe du Bos finding himſelf hard preſſed by this paſſage (y), very coolly ſuppoſes the thing in queſtion: it was, he ſays, an extraordinary duty.

(y) tom. iii. p. 514.

We find in the law of the Viſigoths *, that when a Barbarian had ſeized upon the eſtate of a Roman, the judge obliged him to ſell it, to the end that this eſtate might continue to be tributary; conſequently the Barbarians paid no taxes †.

The Abbé du Bos (z) who, to ſupport his ſyſtem, would fain have the Viſigoths ſubject to taxes ‡, quits the literal and ſpiritual ſenſe of the law, and pretends upon no other indeed than an imaginary foundation, that between the eſtabliſhment of the Goths and this law there had been an augmentation of taxes which related only to the Romans. But none but father Harduin are allowed thus to exerciſe an arbitrary power over facts.

(z) Eſtabliſhment of the Franks in Gaul, tom. iii. chap. 14. pag. 510.

This learned author (a) has rummaged Juſtinian's code (b), in ſearch of laws, to prove that among the Romans the military benefices were ſubject to taxes. From whence he would infer that the ſame held good with regard to fiefs or benefices among the Franks. But the opinion that our fiefs derive their origin from that inſtitution of the Romans, is

(a) Book iii. p. 511.
(b) Lege iii. tit. 74. lib. xi.

* *Judices atque præpoſiti tertias Romanorum, ab illis qui occupatas tenent, auferant, & Romanis ſuâ exactione ſine aliquâ dilatione reſtituant. ut uihil fiſco debeat deperire*, lib. x. tit. 1. cap. 14.

† The Vandals paid none in Africa. Procopius war of the Vandals, lib. 1 and 2. Hiſtoria Miſcella, lib. 16, p. 106. Obſerve that the conquerors of Africa were a mixture of Vandals, Alans, and Franks. Hiſtoria Miſcella, lib. 14, p. 94.

‡ He lays a ſtreſs upon another law of the Viſigoths, book x. tit. 1. art. 11. which proves nothing at all; it ſays only, that he who has received of a lord a piece of land on condition of a rent or ſervice, ought to pay it.

at preſent exploded; it obtained only at a time when the Roman hiſtory, but not ours, was well underſtood, and our ancient records lay buried in obſcurity and duſt. Book XXX. Chap. 12.

But the Abbé is in the wrong to quote Caſſiodorus, and to make uſe of what was tranſacting in Italy and in the part of Gaul ſubject to Theodoric, in order to acquaint us with the practice eſtabliſhed among the Franks; theſe are things which muſt not be confounded. I propoſe ſhewing, ſome time or other, in a particular work, that the plan of the monarchy of the Oſtrogoths was intirely different from that of any other government founded in thoſe days by the other Barbarian nations; and ſo far are we intitled to affirm that a practice obtained among the Franks, becauſe it was eſtabliſhed among the Oſtrogoths, that on the contrary we have juſt reaſon to think that a cuſtom of the Oſtrogoths was not in force among the Franks.

The hardeſt taſk for perſons of extenſive erudition, is to deduce their arguments from paſſages not foreign to the ſubject, and to find, if we may be allowed to expreſs ourſelves in aſtronomical terms, the true place of the ſun.

The ſame author makes a wrong uſe of the capitularies, as well as of the hiſtorians and laws of the barbarous nations. When he wants the Franks to pay taxes, he applies to freemen what can be underſtood only of (c) bondmen; when he ſpeaks of their military ſervice, he applies to (d) bondmen what can never relate but to freemen.

(c) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy tom. iii. chap. 14. pag. 513. where he quotes the 28th article of the edict of Piſtes. See farther on.

(d) Ibid. tom. iii. chap. 4. pag. 298.

CHAP. XIII.

Of taxes paid by the Romans *and* Gauls, *in the monarchy of the* Franks.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 13.

I Might here examine whether after the Gauls and Romans were conquered, they continued to pay the taxes to which they were ſubject under the emperors. But, for the ſake of brevity, I ſhall be ſatisfied with obſerving that if they paid them in the beginning, they were ſoon after exempted, and that thoſe taxes were changed into a military ſervice. For I confeſs I cannot conceive how the Franks ſhould have been at firſt ſuch great friends, and afterwards ſuch ſudden and violent enemies, to taxes.

A capitulary * of Lewis the Debonnaire explains extremely well the ſituation of the freemen in the monarchy of the Franks. Some troops † of Goths or Iberians, flying from the oppreſſion of the Moors, were received into Lewis's dominions. The agreement made with them was, that like other freemen they ſhould follow their count to the army; and that upon a march they ſhould mount guard ‡ and patrol under the command alſo of their count; and that they ſhould furniſh horſes and carriages for baggage to the king's § commiſſaries and to the ambaſſadors in their way to and

* In the year 815, chap. 1. which is agreeable to the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 844. art. 1, & 2.

† *Pro Hiſpanis in partibus Aquitaniæ, Septimaniæ, & Provinciæ conſiſtentibus.* Ibid.

‡ *Excubias & explorationes quas Wactas dicunt.* Ibid.

§ They were not obliged to furniſh any to the count. Ibid. art. 5.

from

from court; and that they ſhould not be compelled to pay any farther acknowledgment, but ſhould be treated as the other freemen.

It cannot be ſaid that theſe were new uſages introduced towards the commencement of the ſecond race. This muſt be referred at leaſt to the middle or to the end of the firſt. A capitulary of the year * 864, ſays in expreſs terms, that it was the ancient cuſtom for freemen to perform military ſervice, and to furniſh likewiſe the horſes and carriages above-mentioned; duties particular to themſelves, and from which thoſe who poſſeſſed the fiefs were exempt, as we ſhall prove hereafter.

This is not all; there was a regulation † which hardly permitted the impoſing of taxes on thoſe freemen. He who had four manors ‡ was always obliged to march againſt the enemy: he who had but three, was joined with a freeman that had only one; the latter bore the fourth part of the other's charges, and ſtaid at home. In like manner, they joined two freemen who had each two manors; he who went to the army had half his charges bore by him who ſtaid at home.

Again, we have an infinite number of charters, in which the privileges of fiefs are granted to lands

* *Ut Pagenſes Franci, qui caballos habent, cum ſuis comitibus in hoſtem pergant.* The counts are forbid to deprive them of their horſes, *ut hoſtem facere, & debitos paraveredos ſecundum antiquam conſuetudinem exſolvere poſſint.* Edict of Piſtes in Baluzius, p. 186.

† Capitulary of Charlemain, in the year 812. chap. 1. Edict of Piſtes in the year 864. art. 27.

‡ *Quatuor manſos.* I fancy that what they called *Manſus* was a particular portion of land belonging to a farm where there were bondmen; witneſs the capitulary of the year 853, *apud Sylvacum*, tit. xiv. againſt thoſe who drove the bondmen from their *Manſus*.

Book XXX. Chap. 13.

or diſtricts poſſeſſed by freemen, and of which I ſhall make farther mention hereafter (c). Theſe lands are exempted from all the duties or ſervices, which were required of them by the counts, and by the reſt of the king's officers: and as all theſe ſervices are particularly enumerated, without making any mention of taxes, it is manifeſt that no taxes were impoſed upon them.

(c) See above, ch. xx. of this book.

It was very natural that the Roman art of tax-gathering ſhould fall of itſelf in the monarchy of the Franks: it was a moſt complicate art, far above the conception, and wide from the plan, of thoſe ſimple people. Were the Tartars to over-run Europe, we ſhould find it very difficult to make them comprehend what is meant by our financiers.

The (d) anonymous author of the life of *Lewis the Debonnaire*, ſpeaking of the counts and other officers of the nation of the Franks, whom Charlemain eſtabliſhed in Aquitania, ſays, that he intruſted them with the care of defending the frontiers, as alſo with the military power and the direction of the demeſnes belonging to the crown. This ſhews the ſtate of the royal revenues under the ſecond race. The prince had kept his demeſnes in his own hands, and employed his bondmen in improving them. But the indictions, the capitations, and other impoſts raiſed at the time of the emperors on the perſons or goods of freemen, had been changed into an obligation of defending the frontiers, and marching againſt the enemy.

(d) In Duchesne, tom. 2. p. 287.

In the ſame hiſtory (e), we find that Lewis the Debonnaire having been to wait upon his father in Germany, this prince aſked him, why he, who

(e) Ibid. p. 80.

was

was a crowned head, came to be ſo poor: to which Lewis made anſwer, that he was only a nominal king, and that the great lords were poſſeſſed of almoſt all his demeſnes; that Charlemain, being apprehenſive leſt this young prince ſhould forfeit their affection, if he attempted himſelf to reſume what he had inconſiderately granted, appointed commiſſaries to reſtore things to their former ſituation.

The biſhops writing (f) to Lewis brother to Charles the Bald, uſe theſe words: "*Take care of your* "*lands, that you may not be obliged to travel conti-* "*nually by the houſes of the clergy, and to tire their* "*bondmen with carriages. Manage your affairs,* "continue they, *in ſuch a manner, that you may* "*have enough to live upon, and to receive embaſſies.*" It is evident, that the king's revenues * in thoſe days conſiſted of their demeſnes.

(f) See the capitulary of the year 858, art. 14.

CHAP. XIV.

Of what they called Cenſus.

AFTER the Barbarians had quitted their own country, they were deſirous of reducing their uſages into writing; but as they found a difficulty in writing German words with Roman letters, they publiſhed theſe laws in Latin.

In the confuſion and rapidity of the conqueſt, moſt things changed their nature: in order however to expreſs them, they were obliged to make uſe of ſuch old Latin words, as were moſt analogous to the new uſages. Thus whatever was likely

* They levied alſo ſome duties on rivers, where there happened to be a bridge or a paſſage,

to

BOOK XXX. Chap. 14.

to revive * the idea of the ancient cenſus of the Romans, they called by the name of *cenſus*, *tributum*; and when things had no relation at all to the Roman cenſus, they expreſſed, as well as they could, the German words by Roman letters: thus they formed the word *fredum*, on which I ſhall have occaſion to deſcant in the following chapters.

The words *cenſus* and *tributum* having been employed in an arbitrary manner, this has thrown ſome obſcurity on the ſignification in which theſe words were uſed under our princes of the firſt and ſecond race. And modern † authors who have adopted particular ſyſtems, having found theſe words in the writings of thoſe days, imagined that what was then called cenſus, was exactly the cenſus of the Romans; and from thence they inferred this conſequence, that our kings of the two firſt races had put themſelves in the place of the Roman emperors, and made no change in ‡ their adminiſtration. Beſides, as particular duties raiſed under the ſecond race were by chance and by certain ‖ reſtrictions converted into others, they inferred from thence that theſe duties were the cenſus

* The *cenſus* was ſo generical a word, that they made uſe of it to expreſs the tolls of rivers, when there was a bridge or ferry to paſs. See the third capitulary, in the year 803. edition of Baluzius, pag. 395. art. 1. & the 5th in the year 819. pag. 616. They gave likewiſe this name to the carriages furniſhed by the freemen to the king, or to his commiſſaries, as appears by the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 865. art. 8.

† The Abbe du Bos, and his followers.

‡ See the weakneſs of the arguments produced by the Abbe du Bos, in the eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy, tom. iii. book 6. chap. 14. eſpecially in the inference he draws from a paſſage of Gregory of Tours, concerning a diſpute between his church and king Charibert.

‖ For inſtance, by infranchiſements.

of

of the Romans: and, as ſince the modern regulations, they found that the crown demeſnes were abſolutely unalienable, they pretended that thoſe duties which repreſented the Roman cenſus, and did not form a part of the demeſnes, were mere uſurpation. I omit the other conſequences. Book XXX Chap. 15.

To apply the ideas of the preſent time to diſtant ages, is a ſource of error. To thoſe people who want to modernize all the ancient ages, I ſhall ſay what the Egyptian prieſts ſaid to Solon, "*O Athenians, you are mere children!*"

CHAP. XV.

That what they called cenſus *was raiſed only on the bondmen, and not on the freemen.*

THE king, the clergy, and the lords raiſed regular taxes, each on the bondmen of their reſpective demeſnes. I prove it with reſpect to the king, by the capitulary *de Villis*; with regard to the clergy, by the codes of the * laws of the Barbarians; and with relation to the lords, by the regulations (ſ) which Charlemain made concerning this ſubject.

(ſ) Book 5th of th Capitularies, chap. 303.

Theſe taxes were called *cenſus*; they were œconomical and not fiſcal duties, mere private ſervices and not public obligations.

I affirm, that what they called *cenſus* at that time, was a tax raiſed upon the bondmen. This I prove by a formulary of Marculfus containing a permiſſion from the king to enter into holy orders,

* Law of the Alemans, chap. 22. and the law of the Bavarians, tit. 1. chap. 14. where the regulations are to be found which the clergy made concerning their order.

provided

Book XXX. Chap. 15.

provided the perſon be * free-born, and not enrolled in the regiſter of the *cenſus*. I prove it alſo by a commiſſion from Charlemain to a count ([h]), whom he had ſent into Saxony; which contains the infranchiſement of the Saxons for having embraced Chriſtianity, and is properly a charter of freedom †. This prince reſtores them to their former ‡ civil liberty, and exempts them from paying the cenſus. It was therefore the ſame thing to be a bondman, as to pay the cenſus, to be free as not to pay it.

([h]) In the year 789. edition of the Capitularies by Baluzius, vol. i. pag. 250.

By a kind of letters patent ‖ of the ſame prince in favour of the Spaniards, who had been received into the monarchy, the counts are forbid to demand any cenſus of them, or to deprive them of their lands. That ſtrangers upon their coming to France were treated as bondmen, is a thing well known; and Charlemain being deſirous they ſhould be conſidered as freemen, ſince he would have them be proprietors of their lands, forbad the demanding any cenſus of them.

([i]) In the year 844. edition of Baluzius, tom. ii. art. 1 & 2. pag. 17.

A capitulary of Charles the Bald ([i]), given in favour of thoſe very Spaniards, orders them to be treated like the other Franks, and forbids the requiring any cenſus of them: conſequently this cenſus was not paid by freemen.

The thirtieth article of the edict of Piſtes reforms the abuſe, by which ſeveral of the huſband-

* *Si ille de capite ſuo bene ingenuus ſit, et in Puletico publico cenſitus non eſt.* lib. i. formul. 19.

† *Et ut iſta ingenuitatis pagina firma ſtabiliſque conſiſtat.* Ibid.

‡ *Priſtinæque libertati donatos, & omni nobis debito cenſu ſolutos.* Ibid.

‖ *Præceptum pro Hiſpanis*, in the year 812. edition of Baluzius. tom. i. pag. 500.

men

men belonging to the king or to the church, ſold the lands dependent on their manors to eccleſiaſtics or to people of their condition, reſerving only a ſmall cottage to themſelves; by which means they avoided paying the cenſus; and, it ordains, that things ſhould be reſtored to their primitive ſituation: the cenſus was therefore a tax peculiar to bondmen.

From thence alſo it follows, that there was no general cenſus in the monarchy; and this is clear from a great number of paſſages. For what could be the meaning of this * capitulary? *We ordain that the royal cenſus ſhall be levied in all places, where formerly it was* † *lawfully levied.* What could be the meaning of that in which (k) Charlemain orders his commiſſaries in the provinces to make an exact enquiry into all the cenſus's that belonged in former times ‖ to the king's demeſne? And of that (l) in which he diſpoſes of the cenſus's paid by thoſe § of whom they are demanded? What can that other capitulary (m) mean, in which we read, *If any perſon* ** *has acquired a tributary land, on which we were accuſtomed to levy the cenſus?* And that other, in fine (n), in which Charles the Bald ‡‡ makes

(k) In the year 812. art. 10. & 11. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 498.

(l) In the year 813. art. 6. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag 508.

(m) Book iv. of the Capitularies, art. 37. and inſerted in the law of the Lombards.

(n) In the year 805. art. 8.

* Third capitulary of the year 805. art. 20. & 23. inſerted in the collection of Anzegiſe, book iii. art. 15. This is agreeable to that of Charles the Bald, in the year 854. *apud Attiniacum*, art. 6.

† *Undecunque legitime exigebatur.* Ibid.

‖ *Undecunque antiquitus ad partem regis venire ſolebant.* Capitulary of the year 812, art. 10, & 11.

§ *De illis unde cenſa exigunt.* Capitulary of the year 813. art. 6.

** *Si quis terram tributariam, unde cenſus ad partem noſtram exire ſolebat, ſuſceperit.* Book iv. of the Capitularies, art. 37.

‡‡ *Unde cenſus ad partem regis exivit antiquitus.* Capitulary of the year 805. art. 8.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 15.

mention of the lands, whoſe cenſus had from time immemorial belonged to the king.

Obſerve that there are ſome paſſages which ſeem at firſt ſight to be contrary to what I have ſaid, and yet confirm it. We have already ſeen that the freemen in the monarchy were obliged only to furniſh particular carriages; the capitulary juſt now cited gives to this * the name of cenſus, and oppoſes it to the cenſus paid by the bondmen.

(°) In the year 864. art. 34. edition of Baluzius, p. 192.

Beſides, the edict (°) of Piſtes takes notice of thoſe freemen who were obliged to pay the royal cenſus for their † head and for their cottages, and who had ſold themſelves during the famine. The king orders them to be ranſomed. This is ‡ becauſe thoſe who were manumitted by the king's letters, did not, generally ſpeaking, acquire a full and perfect ‖ liberty, but they paid *cenſum in capite*; and theſe are the people here meant.

We muſt therefore explode the idea of a general and univerſal cenſus, in imitation of that of the Romans, from which cenſus the rights of the lords are alſo ſuppoſed to have been derived by uſurpation. What was called *cenſus* in the French monarchy, independently of the abuſe made of that word, was a particular tax impoſed on the bondmen by their maſters.

* *Cenſibus vel paraveredis quos Franci homines ad regiam poteſtatem exſolvere debent.*

† *De illis francis hominibus qui cenſum regium de ſuo capite & de ſuis recellis debeant.* Ibid.

‡ The 28th article of the ſame edict explains this extremely well; it even makes a diſtinction between a Roman freedman and a Frank freedman: And we likewiſe ſee there that the cenſus was not general; it deſerves to be read.

‖ As appears by a capitulary of Charlemain in the year 813, which we have already quoted.

I beg

I beg the reader to excuſe the trouble I muſt give him with ſuch a number of citations. I ſhould be more conciſe, did I not meet with the Abbe du Bos's book on the eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy in Gaul, continually in my way. Nothing is a greater obſtacle to our progreſs in knowledge, than a bad performance of a celebrated author; becauſe, before we inſtruct, we muſt begin with undeceiving. Book XXX. Chap. 16.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the feudal lords or vaſſals.

I Have taken notice of thoſe volunteers among the Germans, who followed their princes in their ſeveral expeditions. The ſame uſage continued after the conqueſt. Tacitus mentions them by the name of companions (r); the Salic law by that of men who have vowed fealty * to the king; the formularies of (s) Marculfus by that of the king's *Antruſtrio's* †, the earlieſt French hiſtorians by that of *Leudes* ‖, faithful and loyal; and thoſe of later date by that of vaſſals § and lords. (r) *Comites* (s) Book i. formul. 18

In the Salic and Ripuarian laws we meet with an infinite number of regulations in regard to the Franks, and only with a few for the Antruſtio's. The regulations concerning the Antruſtio's are different from thoſe which were made for the other Franks; they are full of what relates to the ſettling

* *Qui ſunt in truſte regis*, tit. 44. art. 4.

† From the word *trew*, which ſignifies faithful, among the Germans.

‖ *Leudes, fideles.*

§ *Vaſſalli, ſeniores.*

Book XXX. Chap. 16.

of the property of the Franks, but mention not a word concerning that of the Antruſtio's. This is becauſe the property of the latter was regulated rather by the political than by the civil law, and was the ſhare that fell to an army, and not the patrimony of a family.

The goods reſerved for the feudal lords were called fiſcal * goods, benefices, honours, and fiefs, by different authors and in different times.

There is no doubt but the fiefs at firſt were at will (t). We find in Gregory of Tours (u), that Sunegiſilus and Gallomanus were deprived of all they held of the exchequer, and no more was left them than their real property. When Gontram raiſed his nephew Childebert to the throne, he had a private conference with him, in which he named § the perſons who ought to be honoured with, and thoſe who ought to be deprived of, the fiefs. In a formulary † of Marculfus, the king gives in exchange not only the benefices held by his exchequer, but likewiſe thoſe which had been held by another. The law of the Lombards oppoſes (w) the benefices to property. * In this our hiſtorians, the formularies, the codes of the different barbarous nations, and all the monuments of thoſe days, are unani-

(t) See the 1ſt book. tit. 1. of the fiefs; and *Cujas* on that book.

(u) Book 9th chap. 38.

(w) Liv. iii. tit. 8: §. 3.

* *Fiſcalia.* See the 14th formulary of Marculfus, book i. It is mentioned in the life of S. Maur, *dedit fiſcum unum:* and in the annals of Metz, in the year 747, *dedit illi comitatus & fiſcos plurimos.* The goods deſigned for the ſupport of the royal family were called *regalia.*

§ *Quos honoraret muneribus, quos ab honore depelleret.* Ibid. lib. vii.

† *Vel reliquis quibuſcumque beneficiis, quodcumque ille, vel fiſcus noſter, in ipſis locis tenuiſſe noſcitur.* Lib. 1. formul. 30.

mous

mous. In fine, the writers of the book of fiefs † inform us, that at firſt the lords could take them back when they pleaſed, that afterwards they granted them for the ſpace of a year ‡, and that at length they gave them for life. BOOK XXX. Chap. 17.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the military ſervice of freemen.

TWO ſorts of people were bound to military ſervice; the great and leſſer vaſſals, who were obliged in conſequence of their fief; and the freemen, whether Franks, Romans, or Gauls, who ſerved under the count, and were commanded by him and his officers.

The name of freemen was given to thoſe, who on the one hand had no benefices or fiefs, and on the other were not ſubject to the baſe ſervices of villainage; the lands they poſſeſſed were what they called allodial eſtates.

The counts (x) aſſembled the freemen, and led them againſt the enemy; they had officers under them who were called § vicars; and as all the freemen were divided into hundreds, which conſtituted what they called a borough, the counts had alſo officers under them, who were denominated *centenarii*,

(x) See the capitulary of Charlemaign in the year 812 art. 3 & 4. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. p. 491. and the edict of Piſtes in the year 864, art. 26. tom. i. p. 186.

† *Antiquiſſimo enim tempore ſic erat in Dominorum poteſtate conſtitutum, ut quando vellent poſſent auferre rem in feudum a ſe datam: poſtea vero conventum eſt ut per annum tantùm firmitatem haberent, deinde ſtatutum eſt ut uſque ad vitam fidelis produceretur.* Feudorum, lib. i. tit. 1.

‡ It was a kind of precarious tenure which the lord conſented or refuſed to renew every year; as Cujas has obſerved.

§ Et habebat unuſquiſque comes Vicarios & Centenarios ſecum. book ii. of the capitularies, art. 28.

Book XXX. Chap. 17.

and led the freemen * of the borough, or their hundreds, to the field.

This diviſion into hundreds is poſterior to the eſtabliſhment of the Franks in Gaul. It was made by Clotharius and Childebert, with a view of obliging each diſtrict to anſwer for the robberies committed in their diviſion; this we find in the decrees † of thoſe princes. A regulation of this kind is to this very day obſerved in England.

As the counts led the freemen againſt the enemy, the feudal lords commanded alſo their vaſſals or rear-vaſſals; and the biſhops, abbots, or their ‡ advocates likewiſe commanded theirs (x).

(x) Capitulary of Charlemain, in the year 812. art. 1. & 5. edition of Baluzius, tom. 1. pag. 490.

The biſhops were greatly embarraſſed, and (y) inconſiſtent with themſelves; they requeſted of Charlemain not to oblige them any longer to a military ſervice; and when he granted their requeſt, they complained that he had deprived them of the public eſteem; ſo that this prince was obliged to juſtify his intentions upon this head. Be that as it may, when they were exempted from marching againſt the enemy, I do not find that their vaſſals were led by the counts; on the contrary, we ſee (z) that the kings or the biſhops choſe one of their feudatories to conduct them.

(y) See the capitulary of the year 803. publiſhed at Worms, edition of Baluzius, pag. 408. & 410.

In a capitulary (a) of Lewis the Debonnaire, this prince diſtinguiſhes three ſorts of vaſſals, thoſe belonging to the king, thoſe to the biſhops, and thoſe

(z) Capitulary of Worms in the year 803. edition of Baluzius, pag. 409.

* They were called *Compagenſes*.

† Publiſhed in the year 595. art. 1. See the capitularies, edition of Baluzius, pag. 20. Theſe regulations were undoubtedly made by agreement.

‡ *Advocati*.

palatio, and the council in the year 845, under Charles the Bald, in verno edition of Baluzius, tom. ii. pag. 17. art. 8. (a) The 5th Capitulary of the year 819. art. 27. edition of Baluzius, pag. 618.

to

to the counts. The * vaſſals of a feudal lord were not led againſt the enemy by the count, except ſome employment in the king's houſhold hindered the lord himſelf from commanding them. BOOK XXX. Chap. 17.

But who is it that led the feudal lords into the field? No doubt the king himſelf, who was always at the head of his faithful vaſſals. Hence we conſtantly find in the capitularies a diſtinction made † between the king's vaſſals and thoſe of the biſhops. Such brave and magnanimous princes as our kings, did not take the field to put themſelves at the head of an eccleſiaſtic militia; theſe were not the men they choſe to conquer or die with.

But theſe lords carried their vaſſals and rear-vaſſals with them; as we can prove by the capitulary ‡, in which Charlemain ordains that every freeman, who has four manors either in his own property, or as a benefice from ſomebody elſe, ſhould march againſt the enemy or follow his lord. It is evident, that Charlemain means, that the perſon who had a manor of his own ſhould march under the count, and he who held a benefice of a lord, ſhould ſet out along with him.

* *De Vaſſis Dominicis qui adhuc intra caſam ſerviunt & tamen beneficia habere noſcuntur, ſtatutum eſt ut quicumque ex eis cum Domino Imperatore domi remanſerint, vaſſallos ſuos caſatos ſecum non retineant; ſed cum comite, cujus pagenſes ſunt, ire permittant.* 2d capitulary in the year 812. art. 7. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 494.

† 1 Capitul. of the year 812. art. 5. *de hominibus noſtris, & epiſcoporum & abbatum qui vel beneficia vel talia propria habent, &c.* edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 490.

‡ In the year 812. chap. 1. edition of Baluzius. pag. 490. *ut omnis homo liber quatuor manſos veſtitos de proprio ſuo, ſive de alicujus beneficio habet, ipſe ſe præparet, & ipſe in hoſtem pergat, ſive cum ſeniore ſuo.*

BOOK XXX. Chap. 17. establiſhment of the French monarchy.

And yet the Abbe du Bos (b) pretends that, when mention is made in the capitularies, of tenants who depended on a particular lord, no others are meant than bondmen; and he grounds his opinion on the law of the Viſigoths, and the practice of that nation. It is much better to rely on the capitularies themſelves; that which I have juſt quoted, ſays expreſly the contrary. The treaty between Charles the bald and his brothers, takes notice alſo of freemen, who might chuſe to follow either a lord or the king; and this regulation is conformable to a great many others.

(b) Tom. iii. book 6. chap. 4. p. 299.

We may therefore conclude, that there were three ſorts of military ſervices; that of the king's vaſſals, who had no other vaſſals under them; that of the biſhops or of the other clergy, and their vaſſals and, in fine, that of the count, who commanded the freemen.

Not but the vaſſals might be alſo ſubject to the count; as thoſe who have a particular command are ſubordinate to him, who is inveſted with a more general authority.

We even find that the count and the king's commiſſaries might oblige them to pay the fine, when they had not fulfilled the engagements of their fief. In like manner if the king's vaſſals (c) committed any outrage, they were ſubject to the correction of the count, unleſs they choſe to ſubmit rather to that of the king.

(c) Capitulary of the year 882. art. 11. *apud verum palatium*, edition of Baluzius, tom. ii. pag. 289.

CHAP.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of the double ſervice.

IT was a fundamental principle of the monarchy that whoſoever was ſubject to the military power of another perſon, was ſubject alſo to his civil juriſdiction. Thus the capitulary (d) of Lewis the Debonnaire in the year 815, makes the military power of the count, and his civil juriſdiction over the freemen, keep always an equal pace. Thus the *placita* * of the count who carried the freemen againſt the enemy, were (e) called the placita of the freemen; from whence undoubtedly came this maxim, that the queſtions relating to liberty could be decided only in the count's placita, and not in thoſe of his officers. Thus the count never led the vaſſals (f) belonging to the biſhops or to the abbots againſt the enemy, becauſe they were not ſubject to this civil juriſdiction. Thus he never commanded the rear-vaſſals belonging to the king's vaſſals. Thus the gloſſary † of the Engliſh laws informs us, that thoſe to whom ‡ the Saxons gave the name of *Coples*, were by the Normans called *counts*, or *companions*, becauſe they ſhared the judiciary fines with the king. Thus we ſee, that at all times the duty of a vaſſal § towards his lord, was to bear arms ‖, and to try his peers in his court.

(d) Art. 1. 2. and the council in *verno palatio* of the year 845. art. 8. edition of Baluzius, tom. ii. pag. 17.

(e) Capitularies, book 4th of the collection of Anzegiſe, art. 57. and the 5th capitulary of Lewis the Pious in the year 819. art. 14. edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 615.

(f) See the 8th note of the preceding chapter.

* Or aſſizes.

† It is to be found in the collection of William Lambard, *de priſcis Anglorum legibus*.

‡ In the word *Satrapia*.

§ This is well explained by the aſſizes of Jeruſalem, chap. 221 & 222.

‖ The advowees of the church *(advocati)* were equally at the head of their placita, and of their militia.

Book XXX. Chap. 18.

One of the reaſons which produced this connexion between the judiciary right and that of leading the forces againſt the enemy, was becauſe the perſon who led them exacted at the ſame time the payment of the fiſcal duties, which conſiſted in ſome carriage ſervices due by the freemen, and in general in certain judiciary profits, of which we ſhall treat hereafter.

The lords had the right of adminiſtering juſtice in their fief, by the ſame principle as the counts had it in their counties. And indeed, the counties in the ſeveral variations that happened at different times, always followed the variations of the fiefs; both were governed on the ſame plan, and by the ſame principles. In a word, the counts in their counties were lords, and the lords in their ſeignories were counts.

It has been a miſtake to conſider the counts as civil officers, and the dukes as military commanders. Both were equally civil * and military officers: the whole difference conſiſted in the duke's having ſeveral counts under him, though there were counts who had no duke over them, as we learn from Fredegarius (f).

(f) Chronicle, chap. 78. in the year 636.

It will be imagined perhaps that the government of the Franks muſt have been very ſevere at that time, ſince the ſame officers were inveſted with a military and civil power, nay, even with a fiſcal authority, over the ſubjects; which in the

* See the 8th formulary of Marculfus, book i. which contains the letters given to a duke, patrician, or count; and inveſts them with the civil juriſdiction, and the fiſcal adminiſtration.

pre-

preceding books I have obſerved to be diſtinguiſhing marks of deſpotiſm. Book XXX. Chap. 18.

But we muſt not believe that the counts pronounced judgment by themſelves, and adminiſtered juſtice in the ſame manner as the baſhaws in Turky; in order to judge affairs, they aſſembled a kind of aſſizes, where the principal men appeared.

To the end we may thoroughly underſtand what relates to the judicial proceedings, in the formula's, in the laws of the barbarians, and in the capitularies, it is proper to obſerve that the functions of the count, of the *Grafio* or fiſcal judge, and the *Centenarius*, were the ſame; that the judges, the *Rathimburghers*, and the ſheriffs, were the ſame perſons under different names. Theſe were the count's aſſiſtants, and were generally ſeven in number; and as he was obliged to have twelve perſons to judge †, he filled up the number with the principal men ‖.

But whoever had the juriſdiction, the king, the count, the *Grafio*, the *Centenarius*, the lords, or the clergy, they never tried cauſes alone; and this uſage, which derived its origin from the foreſts of Germany, was ſtill continued even after the fiefs had aſſumed a new form.

With regard to the fiſcal power, its nature was ſuch, that the count could hardly abuſe it. The rights of the prince in reſpect to the freemen, were ſo ſimple, that they conſiſted only, as we have al-

† See concerning this ſubject the capitularies of Lewis the Pious, added to the Salic law, art. 2. and the formula of judgments given by Du Cange in the word *boni homines*.

‖ *Per bonos homines*, ſometimes there were none but principal men. See the appendix to the formularies of Marculſus, ch. 51.

ready

Book XXX. Chap. 19.

ready obſerved, in certain carriages which were * demanded of them on ſome public occaſions. And as for the judiciary rights, there were laws which prevented (ᵉ) miſdemeanors.

(ᵉ) See the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 89. and the law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 52. §. 9.

CHAP. XIX.

Of compoſitions among the barbarous nations.

SINCE it is impoſſible to have any tolerable notion of our political law, unleſs we are thoroughly acquainted with the laws and manners of the German nations, I ſhall therefore pauſe here a while, in order to inquire into thoſe manners and laws.

It appears by Tacitus, that the Germans knew only two capital crimes; they hanged traitors, and drowned cowards; theſe were the only public crimes among thoſe people. When a man † had injured another, the relations of the perſon injured took ſhare in the quarrel, and the offence was cancelled by a ſatisfaction. This ſatisfaction was made to the perſon offended when capable of receiving it; or to the relations if they had been injured in common, or if by the deceaſe of the party injured, the ſatisfaction had devolved to them.

In the manner mentioned by Tacitus, theſe ſatisfactions were made by the mutual agreement of the parties; hence in the codes of the barbarous nations theſe ſatisfactions are called compoſitions.

* And ſome tolls on rivers, of which I have ſpoke already.

† *Suſcipere tam inimicitias, ſeu patris ſeu propinqui, quàm amicitias neceſſe eſt: nec implacabiles durant; luitur enim etiam homicidium certo armentorum ac pecorum numero, recipitque ſatisfactionem univerſa domus.* Tacit. de morib. Germ.

The

The law * of the Friſians is the only one I find that has left the people in that ſituation, in which every family at variance was in ſome meaſure in the ſtate of nature, and in which being unreſtrained either by a political or civil law, they might give a looſe to their revenge, till they had obtained ſatisfaction. Even this law was moderated; a regulation was made (h), that the perſon whoſe life was ſought after, ſhould be unmoleſted in his own houſe, as alſo in going and coming from church, and from the court where cauſes were tried. Book XXX. Chap. 19.

(h) Addition ſapientum, tit. i. §. 1.

The compilers of the Salic laws cite (i) an ancient uſage of the Franks, by which a perſon who had dug a corpſe out of the ground in order to ſtrip it, ſhould be baniſhed from ſociety, till the relations had conſented to his being readmitted. And as before that time ſtrict orders were iſſued to every one, even to the offender's own wife, not to give him a morſel of bread, or to receive him under their roof; ſuch a perſon was in reſpect to others, and others in reſpect to him, in a ſtate of nature, till an end was put to this ſtate by a compoſition.

(i) Salic law, tit. lviii. §. 1. tit. xvii. §. 3.

This excepted, we find that the ſages of the different barbarous nations thought of determining by themſelves, what would have been too long and too dangerous to expect from the mutual agreement of the parties. They took care to fix the value of the compoſition which the party injured was to receive. All thoſe barbarian laws are in this reſpect moſt admirably exact; the ſeveral caſes

* See this law in the 2d title on murders; and Vulemar's addition on robberies.

are

Book XXX. Chap. 19.

are minutely * diſtinguiſhed, the circumſtances are weighed, the law ſubſtitutes itſelf in the place of the perſon injured, and inſiſts upon the ſame ſatisfaction as he himſelf would have demanded in cold blood.

By the eſtabliſhing of thoſe laws, the German nations quitted that ſtate of nature, in which they ſeem to have lived in Tacitus's time.

(k) Book i. tit. 7. §. 15.

Rotharis declares in the law of (k) the Lombards, that he had increaſed the compoſitions anciently accuſtomed for wounds, to the end that the wounded perſon being fully ſatisfied, all enmities ſhould ceaſe. And indeed, as the Lombards, from a very poor people, were grown rich by the conqueſt of Italy, the ancient compoſitions were become frivolous, and reconcilements prevented. I do not queſtion but this was the motive, which obliged the other chiefs of the conquering nations, to make the different codes of laws now extant.

The principal compoſition was that which the murderer paid to the relations of the deceaſed. The difference of (l) conditions produced a difference in the compoſitions: Thus in the law of the Angli, there was a compoſition of ſix hundred ſous for the murder of an *adeling*, two hundred for that of a freeman, and thirty for killing a bondman. The largeneſs therefore of the compoſition for the life of a man, was one of his chief privileges; for beſide the diſtinction it made of his perſon, it

(l) See the law of the Angli, tit. i. §. 1. 2. & 4 ibid. tit. v. §. 6. the law of the Bavarians, tit. i. chap. 8. & 9. and the law of the Friſians, tit. xv.

* The Salic laws are admirable in this reſpect; ſee eſpecially the titles 2, 3, 4, 5 6, and 7, which related to the ſtealing of cattle.

like-

likewiſe eſtabliſhed a greater ſecurity in his favour among rude and boiſterous nations. Book XXX. Chap. 19.

This we are made ſenſible of by the law of the (m) Bavarians: it gives the names of the Bavarian families who received a double compoſition, becauſe they were the firſt * after the Agilolfings. The Agilolfings were of the ducal race, and it was cuſtomary with this nation to chuſe a duke out of that family; theſe had a quadruple compoſition. The compoſition for a duke exceeded by a third, that which had been eſtabliſhed for the Agilolfings: *becauſe he is a duke*, ſays the law, *a greater honour is paid to him than to his relations.*

(m) Tit. ii. chap. 20.

All theſe compoſitions were valued in money. But as thoſe people, eſpecially when they lived in Germany, had very little ſpecie, they might pay it in cattle, corn, moveables, arms, dogs, hawks †, lands, *&c.* The law itſelf ‖ frequently determined the value of thoſe things; which explains how it was poſſible for them to have ſuch a number of pecuniary puniſhments with ſo very little money.

Theſe laws were therefore employed in exactly determining the difference of wrongs, injuries, and crimes; to the end that every one might know how far he had been injured or offended, the reparation he was to receive, and eſpecially that he was to receive no more.

* Hozidra, Ozza, Sagana, Habilingua, Anniena. Ibid.

† Thus the law of Ina valued life by a certain ſum of money, or by a certain portion of land. *Leges Inæ regis, titulo de villico regio, de priſcis Anglorum legibus.* Cambridge 1644.

‖ See the law of the Saxons, which makes this ſame regulation for ſeveral people, chap. 18. See alſo the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 36. § 11. the law of the Bavarians, tit. 1. § 10, & 11. *Si aurum non habet, donet aliam pecuniam, mancipia, terram*, &c.

In

BOOK XXX. Chap. 19.

In this light it is eaſy to conceive, that a perſon who had taken revenge after having received ſatisfaction, was guilty of an heinous crime. This contained a public as well as a private offence: it was a contempt of the law of itſelf; a crime which the legiſlators * never failed to puniſh.

There was another crime, which above all others was conſidered as dangerous, when † thoſe people loſt ſomething of their ſpirit of independence, and when the kings endeavoured to eſtabliſh a better civil adminiſtration: this was the refuſing to give or to receive ſatisfaction. We find in the different codes of the laws of the Barbarians, that the legiſlators were peremptory ‖ on this article. In effect, a perſon who refuſed to receive ſatisfaction, wanted to preſerve his right of proſecution; he who refuſed to give it, left the right of proſecution to the perſon injured; and this is what the ſages had reformed in the inſtitutions of the Germans, whereby people were invited but not compelled to compoſitions.

I have juſt now made mention of a text of the Salic law, in which the legiſlator left the party of-

* See the law of the Lombards, book i. tit. 25. § 21. ibid. book i. tit. 9. §. 8. & 34. ibid. §. 38. and the capitulary of Charlemain in the year 802. chap. 32. containing an inſtruction given to thoſe whom he ſent into the provinces.

† See in Gregory of Tours, book vii. chap. 47. the detail of a proceſs, wherein a party loſes half the compoſition that had been adjudged to him, for having done juſtice to himſelf, inſtead of receiving ſatisfaction, whatever injury he might have afterwards received.

‖ See the law of the Saxons, chap. 3. & 4. the law of the Lombards, book i. tit. 37. §. 1. & 2. and the law of the Alemans, tit. 45. §. 1. & 2. This laſt law gave leave to the party injured to right himſelf upon the ſpot, and in the firſt tranſport of paſſion. See alſo the capitularies of Charlemain in the year 779. chap. 22. in the year 802. chap. 32. and alſo that of the year 805. chap. 5.

fended

fended at liberty to receive or to refuſe ſatisfaction; it is the law * by which a perſon who had ſtripped a dead body, was expelled from ſociety, till the relations upon receiving ſatisfaction petitioned for his being readmitted. It was owing to the reſpect they had for ſacred things, that the compilers of the Salic laws did not meddle with the ancient uſage.

It would have been abſolutely unjuſt to grant a compoſition to the relations of a robber killed in the fact, or to the relations of a woman who had been repudiated for the crime of adultery. The law (n) of the Bavarians allowed no compoſition in the like caſes, but puniſhed the relations who ſought revenge.

(n) See the decree of Taſſillon, *de popularibus legibus*, art. 3. 4. 10. 16. 19. the law of the Angli, tit. 7. §. 4.

It is no rare thing to meet with compoſitions for involuntary actions in the codes of the laws of the Barbarians. The law of the Lombards is generally very prudent; it (o) ordained, that in thoſe caſes the compoſition ſhould be according to the perſon's generoſity; and that the relations ſhould no longer be permitted to purſue their revenge.

(o) Book i. tit. ix. §. 4.

Clotharius the ſecond made a very wiſe decree: he forbad (p) the perſon robbed to receive any clandeſtine compoſition, and without an order from the judge. We ſhall preſently ſee the motive of this law.

(p) Pactus pro tenore pacis inter Childebertum & Clotarium, anno 593. & decretio Clotarii 2. regis circa annum 595. chap. 11.

* The compilers of the law of the Ripuarians ſeem to have ſoftened this. See the 85th title of thoſe laws.

CHAP.

CHAP. XX.

Of what was afterwards called the juriſdiction of the lords.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 20.

BEſide the compoſition which they were obliged to pay to the relations for murders, or injuries, they were alſo under a neceſſity of paying a certain duty, which the codes of the barbarian laws call * *fredum*. We have no term in our modern language to expreſs it; yet I intend to treat of it at large; and in order to give an idea of it, I begin with defining it a recompence for the protection granted againſt the right of proſecution. Even to this day, *fred*, in the Swediſh language, ſignifies peace.

The adminiſtration of juſtice among thoſe rude and unpoliſhed nations, was nothing more than granting to the perſon who had committed an offence, a protection againſt the proſecution of the party offended, and obliging the latter to accept of the ſatisfaction due to him: inſomuch that among the Germans, contrary to the practice of all other nations, juſtice was adminiſtered in order to protect the criminal againſt the party injured.

The codes of the Barbarian laws have given us the caſes in which the *freda* might be demanded. When the relations could not proſecute, they allow of no *fredum*; and indeed, when there was no

* When it was not determined by the law, it was generally the third of what was given for the compoſition, as appears in the law of the Ripuarians, chap. 89. which is explained by the third capitulary of the year 813. Edition of Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 512.

proſecution, there could be no compoſition for a protection againſt it. Thus, in the law of the (q) Lombards, if a perſon happened to kill a freeman by chance, he paid the value of the man killed, without the *fredum*; becauſe as he had killed him involuntarily, it was not the caſe in which the relations were allowed the right of proſecution. Thus in the law of the (r) Ripuarians, when a perſon was killed with a piece of wood, or with any inſtrument made by man, the inſtrument or the wood were deemed culpable, and the relations ſeized upon them for their own uſe, but were not allowed to demand the *fredum*.

(q) Book i. tit. 9. § 17. edition of *Lindenbrock.*

(r) Tit. 70.

In like manner, when a beaſt happened to kill a man, the (s) ſame law eſtabliſhed a compoſition without the *fredum*, becauſe the relations of the deceaſed were not offended.

(s) Tit. 46. See alſo the law of the Lombards, book i. chap. 21. §. 3. Lindenbrock's edition, *ſi caballus cum pede*, &c.

In fine, it was ordained by the (t) Salic law, that a child who had committed a fault before the age of twelve, ſhould pay the compoſition without the *fredum*: as he was not yet able to bear arms, he could not be in the caſe in which the party injured, or his relations, had a right to demand ſatisfaction.

(t) Tit. 28. §. 6.

It was the criminal that paid the *fredum* for the peace and ſecurity, of which he had been deprived by his crime, and which he might recover by protection. But a child did not loſe this ſecurity; he was not a man; and conſequently could not be expelled from human ſociety.

This *fredum* was a local right in favour of the perſon who was * judge of the diſtrict. Yet the

* As appears by the decree of Clotharius II. in the year 595. *fredus tamen judici in cujus pago eſt reſervetur.*

Book XXX. Chap. 20. (n) Tit. 85.

law of the Ripuarians (n) forbad him to demand it himſelf: it ordained, that the party who had gained the cauſe ſhould receive it and carry it to the exchequer, to the end that there might be a laſting peace, ſays the law, among the Ripuarians.

The greatneſs of the *fredum* was proportioned to the degree of * protection: thus the *fredum* for the king's protection was greater than what was granted for the protection of the count, or of the other judges.

Here I ſee the origin of the juriſdiction of the lords. The fiefs comprized very large territories, as appears from a vaſt number of records. I have already proved that the kings raiſed no taxes on the lands belonging to the diviſion of the Franks; much leſs could they reſerve to themſelves any duties on the fiefs. Thoſe who obtained them, had in this reſpect a full and perfect enjoyment, reaping every poſſible emolument from them. And as one of the moſt conſiderable † emoluments was the judiciary profits *(freda)*, which were received according to the uſage of the Franks, it followed from thence, that the perſon ſeized of the fief, was alſo ſeized of the juriſdiction, the exerciſe of which conſiſted of the compoſitions made to the relations, and of the profits accruing to the lord; it was nothing more than ordering the payment of the compoſitions of the law, and demanding the legal fines.

* *Capitulare incerti anni*, chap. 57. in Baluzius, tom. i. pag. 515. and 'tis to be obſerved, that what was called *fredum* or *faida*, in the monuments of the firſt race, is known by the name of *bannum* in thoſe of the ſecond race, as appears from the capitulary *de partibus Saxoniæ*, in the year 789.

† See the capitulary of Charlemain, *de villis*, where he ranks theſe *freda* among the great revenues of what was called *villæ*, or the king's demeſnes.

We find by the formularies containing confirmation of the perpetuity of a fief in favour of a feudal lord (*), or of the privileges of fiefs in favour of (i) churches, that the fiefs were poſſeſſed of this right. This appears alſo from an infinite number of charters (z) mentioning a prohibition to the king's judges or officers of entering upon the territory in order to exerciſe any act of judicature whatſoever, or to demand any judiciary emolument. When the king's judges could no longer make any demand in a diſtrict, they never entered it; and thoſe to whom this diſtrict was left, performed the ſame functions as had been exerciſed before by the judges.

(*) See the 3d, 8th, and 17th, formula, book i. of Marculfus.

(z) See the 2d, 3d, and 4th formula of Marculfus, book i.

The king's judges are forbidden alſo to oblige the parties to give ſecurity for their appearing before them: it belonged therefore to the perſon who had received the territory in fief, to demand this ſecurity. They mention alſo, that the king's commiſſaries ſhall not inſiſt upon being accommodated with a lodging; in effect, they no longer exerciſed any function in thoſe diſtricts.

(i) See the collections of thoſe charters, eſpecially that at the end of the 5th volume of the hiſtorians of France, publiſhed by the Benedictin monks,

The adminiſtration therefore of juſtice, both in the old and new fiefs, was a right inherent in the very fief itſelf, a lucrative right which conſtituted a part of it. For this reaſon it has been conſidered at all times in this light; from whence this maxim aroſe, that juriſdictions are patrimonial in France.

Some have thought that the juriſdictions derived their origin from the manumiſſions made by the kings and lords, in favour of their bondmen. But the German nations, and thoſe deſcended from them, are not the only people who manumitted their bondmen, and yet they are the only people that eſtabliſh-

Book XXIX. Chap. 20.

ed patrimonial juriſdictions. Beſides, we find by the formularies * of Marculfus that there were freemen dependent on theſe juriſdictions in the earlieſt times: the bondmen were therefore ſubject to the juriſdiction, becauſe they were upon the territory; and they did not give riſe to the fiefs for having been annexed to the fief.

Others have taken a ſhorter cut: the lords, ſay they, and this is all they ſay, uſurped the juriſdictions. But are the nations deſcended from Germany the only people in the world that uſurped the rights of princes? We are ſufficiently informed by hiſtory, that ſeveral other nations have encroached upon their ſovereigns; and yet we find no other inſtance of what we call the juriſdiction of the lords. The origin of it is therefore to be traced in the uſages and cuſtoms of the Germans.

(z) Treatiſe of village juriſdictions.

Whoever has the curioſity to look into Loyſeau(z) will be ſurpriſed at the manner in which this author ſuppoſes the lords to have proceeded, in order to form and uſurp their different juriſdictions. They muſt have been the moſt artful people in the world; they muſt have robbed and plundered, not after the manner of a military nation, but as the country juſtices and the attornies rob one another. Thoſe brave warriors muſt be ſaid to have formed a general ſyſtem of politics throughout all the provinces of the kingdom, and in ſo many other countries in Europe: Loyſeau makes them reaſon, as he himſelf reaſoned in his cloſet.

* See the 3d, 4th, and 14th of the firſt book, and the charter of Charlemain, in the year 771, in Martenne, tom. i. Anecdot. collect. 11. *præcipientes jubemus ut ullus judex publicus . . . . . homines ipſius eccleſiæ et monaſterii ipſius Morbacenſis tam ingenuos quam et ſervos, & qui ſuper eorum terras manere*, &c.

Once

Once more: if the juriſdiction wss not a dependance of the fief, how come we every where (a) to find, that the ſervice of the fief was to attend the king or the lord both in their courts and in the army?

Book XXIX. Chap. 21.

(a) See Monſ. du Cange on the word *hominium*.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the territorial juriſdiction of the churches.

THE churches acquired a very conſiderable property. We find that our kings gave them great ſeigniories, that is, great fiefs; and we find juriſdictions eſtabliſhed at the ſame time in the demeſnes of thoſe churches. From whence could ſo extraordinary a privilege derive its origin? It muſt certainly have been in the nature of the grant? the church land had this privilege, becauſe it had not been taken from it. A ſeignory was given to the church; and it was allowed to enjoy the ſame privileges, as if it had been granted to a vaſſal. It was alſo ſubjected to the ſame ſervice as it would have paid to the ſtate if it had been given to a layman, according to what we have already obſerved.

The churches had therefore the right of demanding the payment of compoſitions in their territory; and of inſiſting upon the *fredum*; and as thoſe rights neceſſary implied that of hindering the king's officers from entering upon the territory, to demand theſe *freda* and to exerciſe acts of judicature, the right which eccleſiaſtics had of adminiſtering juſtice in their own territory, was called *immunity*, in the ſtyle of the formularies (b) of the charters, and of the capitularies.

(b) See the 3d and 4th formulary of Marculfus, book i.

Book XXX. Chap. 21.

The law of the Ripuarians * forbids the freedmen † of the churches, to hold the aſſembly ‡ for adminiſtering juſtice in any other place than in the church where they were manumitted. The churches had therefore juriſdictions even over freemen, and held their *placita* in the earlieſt times of the monarchy.

I find in the lives of the ſaints (c), that Clovis gave to a certain holy perſon a power over a diſtrict of ſix leagues, and exempted it from all manner of juriſdiction. This, I believe, is a falſity, but it is a falſity of a very ancient date; both the truth and the fiction contained in that life are relative to the cuſtoms and laws of thoſe times, and 'tis theſe cuſtoms (d) and laws we are inveſtigating.

(c) Vita S. Germeri Epiſcopi Toloſani apud Bollandianos 16 Maii.

(d) See alſo the life of S. Melanius, and that of S. Deicola.

Clotharius II. orders § the biſhops or the nobility who are poſſeſſed of eſtates in diſtant parts, to chuſe upon the very ſpot thoſe who are to adminiſter juſtice, or to receive the judiciary emoluments.

(e) Ibid. art. 5.

The ſame prince (e) regulates the judiciary power between the eccleſiaſtic courts and his officers. The capitulary of Charlemain in the year 802 preſcribes to the biſhops and abbots, the qualifications neceſſary for their officers of juſtice. Another capitulary (f) of the ſame prince inhibits the royal officers, to exerciſe any juriſdiction over ‖ thoſe who are em-

(f) In the law of the Lombards book ii. tit. 44. chap. 2. Lindenbrock's edition.

* *Ne alibi niſi ad eccleſiam, ubi relaxati ſunt, mallum teneant,* tit. 58. §. 1. See alſo §. 19. Lindenbrock's edition.

† Tabulariis.

‡ Mallum.

§ In the council of Paris, in the year 615. *Epiſcopi vel potentes, qui in aliis poſſident regionibus, judices vel miſſos diſcuſſores de aliis provinciis non inſtituant, niſi de loco qui juſtitiam percipiant & aliis reddant. art.* 19. ſee alſo the 12th art.

‖ *Servi Aldiones, libellarii antiqui, vel alii novitèr facti.* ibid.

ployed

ployed in manuring church-lands, except they entered into that ſtate by fraud, and to exempt themſelves from contributing to the public charges. The biſhops aſſembled at Rheims made a declaration, * that the vaſſals belonging to the reſpective churches are within their immunity. The capitulary of Charlemain in the year 806 ‡ ordains that the churches ſhould have both criminal and civil juriſdiction over thoſe who live upon their lands. In fine, as the capitulary (ſ) of Charles the Bald diſtinguiſhes between the king's juriſdiction, that of the lords, and that of the church; I ſhall ſay nothing farther † upon this ſubject.

(ſ) In the year 857. *in ſynodo apud Cariſiacum* art. 9. edition of Baluzius, pag. 96.

CHAP. XXII.

That the juriſdictions were eſtabliſhed before the end of the ſecond race.

IT has been pretended that the vaſſals uſurped the juriſdiction in their ſeigniories, during the confuſion of the ſecond race. Thoſe who chuſe rather to form a general propoſition than to examine

* Letter in the year 858. art. 7. in the capitularies, p. 108. *Sicut illæ res et facultates, in quibus vivunt clerici, ita et illæ ſub conſecratione immunitatis ſunt, de quibus debent militare vaſſalli.*

‡ It is added to the law of the Bavarians, art. 7. See alſo the 3d art. Lindenbrock's edition. pag. 444. *Imprimis omnium jubendam eſt ut habeant eccleſiæ earum juſtitias, & in vitâ illorum qui habitant in ipſis eccleſiis & poſt, tam in pecuniis quam et in ſubſtantiis earum.*

† See the letter written by the biſhops aſſembled at Rheims in the year 858. art. 7. in the capitularies, Baluzius's edition, pag. 108. *Sicut illæ res & facultates in quibus vivunt clerici, ita et illæ ſub conſecratione immunitatis ſunt de quibus debent militare vaſſalli*, &c.

Book XXX. Chap. 22.

it, found it eaſier to ſay that the vaſſals did not poſſeſs, than to diſcover how they came to poſſeſs. But the juriſdictions do not owe their origin to uſurpations; they are derived from the primitive eſtabliſhment, and not from its corruption.

(h) Tit. iii. chap. 13. Linden-brock's edition

"He who kills a freeman, ſays (h) the law of "the Bavarians, ſhall pay a compoſition to his re- "lations, if he has any; if not, he ſhall pay it to "the duke, or to the perſon under whoſe protection "he had put himſelf in his life-time." 'Tis well known what it was to put one's ſelf under the protection of another for a benefice.

(i) Tit. 85.

"He who has been robbed of his bondman, ſays "the law of the Alemans (i), ſhall have recourſe "to the prince to whom the robber is ſubject; to "the end that he may obtain a compoſition.

"If a *centenarius*, ſays * the decree of Childe- "bert, finds a robber in another hundred than his "own, or in the limits of our faithful vaſſals, and "does not drive him out, he ſhall be anſwerable "for the robber, or purge himſelf by oath." There was therefore a difference between the diſtrict of the centenarii and that of the vaſſals.

This decree † of Childebert explains the conſtitution of Clotharius in the ſame year, which being given for the ſame caſe and fact, differs only in the

* In the year 595, art. 11. & 12, edition of the capitularies by Baluzius, pag. 19. *Pari conditione convenit ut ſi una centena in alia centena veſtigium ſecuta fuerit et invenerit, vel in quibuſcunque fidelium noſtrorum terminis veſtigium miſerit, & ipſum in aliam centenam minimé expellere potuerit, aut convictus reddat latronem*, &c.

† *Si veſtigius comprobatur latronis, tamen præſentia nihil longé mulctando; aut ſi perſequens latronem ſuum comprehenderit, integram ſibi compoſitionem accipiat. Quod ſi in truſte invenitur, medietatem compoſitionis truſtis adquirat, & capitale exigat a latrone*, art. 2 & 3.

terms;

terms; the conſtitution calling in *truſte*, what by the decree is ſtiled *in terminis fidelium noſtrorum*. Meſſieurs Bignon and Ducange (k) who pretend that *in truſte* ſignified another king's demeſne, are miſtaken in their conjecture.

(k) See the Gloſſary on the word *truſtis*.

Pepin, king of Italy, in a conſtitution ‡ that had been made as well for the Franks as for the Lombards, after impoſing penalties on the counts and other royal officers for prevarications or delays in the adminiſtration of juſtice, ordains * that if it happens that a Frank or a Lombard poſſeſſed of a fief is unwilling to adminiſter juſtice, the judge to whoſe diſtrict he belongs, ſhall ſuſpend the exerciſe of his fief, and in the mean time, either the judge or his commiſſary ſhall adminiſter juſtice.

It appears by a capitulary (l) of Charlemain, that the kings did not levy the *freda* in all places. Another (m) capitulary of the ſame prince ſhews the feudal laws, and feudal court to have been already eſtabliſhed. Another of Lewis the Debonnaire ordains, that when a perſon poſſeſſed of a fief, does not adminiſter juſtice †, or hinders it from being adminiſtered, the king's commiſſaries ſhall live up-

(l) The third of the year 812. art. 10.

(m) The ſecond of the year 813. Baluzius's edition.

‡ Inſerted in the law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 52. §. 14. it is the capitulary of the year 793. in Baluzius, pag. 544. art. 10.

* *Et ſi forſitan Francus aut Longobardus habens beneficium juſtitiam facere noluerit, ille judex in cujus miniſterio fuerit, contradicat illi beneficium ſuum, interim dum ipſe aut miſſus ejus juſtitiam faciat.* See alſo the ſame law of the Lombards, book ii. tit. 52. §. 2. which relates to the capitulary of Charlemain of the year 779. art. 21.

† Capitulare quintum anni 819. art. 9o. Baluzius's edition. pag. 617. *Ut ubicumque miſſi, aut epiſcopum, aut abbatem, aut alium quemlibet honore præditum invenerint, qui juſtitiam facere noluit vel prohibuit, de ipſius rebus vivant quamdiu in eo loco juſtitias facere debent.*

on

BOOK XXX. Chap. 22.

on him at diſcretion, till juſtice be adminiſtered. I ſhall likewiſe quote two ‖ capitularies of Charles the Bald, one of the year 861; where we find the particular juriſdictions eſtabliſhed, with judges and ſubordinate officers; and the other * of the year 864, where he makes a diſtinction between his own ſeignories and thoſe of private perſons.

We have not the original grants of the fiefs, becauſe they were eſtabliſhed by the partition, which is known to have been made among the conquerors. It cannot therefore be proved by original contracts, that the juriſdictions were at firſt annexed to the fiefs: but in the formularies of the confirmations, or of the tranſlations of thoſe fiefs in perpetuity, we find, as already hath been obſerved, that the juriſdiction was there eſtabliſhed; this judiciary right muſt certainly have been inherent in the fief, and one of its chief privileges.

We have a far greater number of records, that eſtabliſh the patrimonial juriſdiction of the clergy in their diſtricts, than there are to prove that of the benefices or fiefs of the feudal lords; for which two reaſons may be aſſigned. The firſt, that moſt of the records now extant were preſerved or collected by the monks, for the uſe of their monaſteries. The ſecond, that the patrimony of the ſeveral churches having been formed by particular grants, and by a kind of exception to the order eſtabliſhed, they

‖ *Edictum in Carifiaco in* Baluzius, tom. ii. pag. 152. *unuſquiſque advocatus pro omnibus de ſuâ advocatione . . . . . in convenientia ut cum miniſterialibus de ſuâ advocatione quos invenerit contra hunc bannum noſtrum feciſſe . . . . caſtiget.*

* *Edictum Piſtenſe*, art. 18. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 181. *Si in fiſcum noſtrum vel in quamcunque immunitatem aut alicujus potentis poteſtatem vel proprietatem confugerit*, &c.

were

were obliged to have charters granted to them; whereas the conceſſions made to the feudal lords being conſequences of the political order, they had no occaſion to demand, and much leſs to preſerve, a particular charter. Nay, the kings were oftentimes ſatisfied with making a ſimple delivery with the ſcepter, as appears from the life of St. Maur.

But the third formulary * of Marculfus ſufficiently proves, that the privilege of immunity, and conſequently that of juriſdiction, were common to the clergy and the laity, ſince it is made for both. The ſame may be ſaid of the conſtitution of Clotharius II. †

CHAP. XXIII.

General idea of the Abbe Du Bos's *book on the eſtabliſhment of the* French *monarchy in* Gaul.

BEfore I finiſh this book, it will not be improper to write a few ſtrictures on the Abbe duBos's performance, becauſe my notions are perpetually contrary to his; and if he has hit on the truth, I muſt have miſſed it.

This performance has impoſed upon a great many, becauſe it is penned with art; becauſe the point in queſtion is conſtantly ſuppoſed; becauſe the more it is deficient in proofs, the more it abounds in probabilities; and, in fine, becauſe an infinite number of conjectures are laid down as principles,

* Lib. 1. *Maximum regni noſtri augere credimus monimentum, ſi beneficia opportuna locis eccleſiarum aut cui volueris dicere, benevola deliberatione concedimus.*

† I have already quoted it in the preceding chapter, *Epiſcopi vel potentes.*

and

and from thence other conjectures are inferred as conſequences. The reader forgets he has been doubting, in order to begin to believe. And as a prodigious fund of erudition is interſperſed, not in the ſyſtem, but around it, the mind is taken up with the appendages, and neglects the principal. Beſides, ſuch a vaſt multitude of reſearches hardly permit one to imagine that nothing has been found; the length of the way makes us think that we are arrived at our journey's end.

But when we examine the matter thoroughly, we find an immenſe coloſſus with earthen feet; and it is the earthen feet that render the coloſſus immenſe. If the Abbe du Bos's ſyſtem had been well grounded, he would not have been obliged to write three tedious volumes to prove it; he would have found every thing within his ſubject; and without wandering on every ſide in queſt of what was extremely foreign to it, even reaſon itſelf would have undertaken to range this in the ſame chain with the other truths. Our hiſtory and laws would have told him; *Do not take ſo much trouble, we ſhall be your vouchers.*

CHAP. XXIV.

The ſame ſubject continued. Reflection on the main part of the ſyſtem.

THE Abbe du Bos endeavours by all means to explode the opinion that the Franks made the conqueſt of Gaul. According to his ſyſtem our kings were invited by the people, and only ſubſtituted themſelves in the place, and ſucceeded to the rights, of the Roman emperors.

This

This pretenſion cannot be applied to the time when Clovis, upon his entering Gaul, took and plundered the towns; neither is it applicable to the period when he defeated Syagrius the Roman commander, and conquered the country which he held; it can therefore be referred only to the period when Clovis, already maſter of a great part of Gaul by open force, was called by the choice and affection of the people to the ſovereignty over the reſt. And it is not enough that Clovis was received, he muſt have been called; the Abbe du Bos muſt prove that the people choſe rather to live under Clovis, than under the domination of the Romans, or under their own laws. The Romans belonging to that part of Gaul not yet invaded by the Barbarians, were, according to this author, of two ſorts; the firſt were of the Armorican confederacy, who had driven away the emperor's officers, in order to defend themſelves againſt the Barbarians, and to be governed by their own laws; the ſecond were ſubject to the Roman officers. Now does the Abbe produce any convincing proofs that the Romans who were ſtill ſubject to the empire, called in Clovis? not one. Does he prove that the republic of the Armoricans invited Clovis; or even concluded any treaty with him? not at all. So far from being able to tell us the fate of this republic, he cannot even ſo much as prove its exiſtence; and notwithſtanding he pretends to trace it from the time of Honorius to the conqueſt of Clovis, notwithſtanding he relates with a moſt admirable exactneſs all the events of thoſe times; ſtill this republic remains inviſible in ancient authors. For there is a wide difference between proving by a paſſage of Zozy-

Book XXX. Chap. 24.

mus (n) that under the emperor Honorius, the * country of Armorica and the other provinces of Gaul revolted and formed a kind of a republic; and ſhewing us that notwithſtanding the different pacifications of Gaul, the Armoricans formed a particular republic, which continued till the conqueſt of Clovis: and yet this is what he ſhould have demonſtrated by ſtrong and ſubſtantial proofs, in order to eſtabliſh his ſyſtem. For when we behold a conqueror entering a country, and ſubduing a great part of it by force and open violence, and ſoon after we find the whole country ſubdued, without any mention in hiſtory of the manner of its being effected, we have ſufficient reaſon to believe that the affair ended as it began.

(n) Hiſt. lib. vi.

When we find he has miſtaken this point, it is eaſy to perceive that his whole ſyſtem falls to the ground; and as often as he infers a conſequence from theſe principles, that Gaul was not conquered by the Franks, but that the Franks were invited by the Romans, we may ſafely deny it.

This author proves his principle, by the Roman dignities with which Clovis was inveſted: he inſiſts that Clovis ſucceeded to Chilperic his father in the office of *magiſter malitiæ*. But theſe two offices are merely of his own creation. S. Remigius's letter to Clovis, on which he grounds his opinion (o) is only a congratulation upon his acceſſion to the crown. When the intent of a writing is ſo well known, why ſhould we give it another turn?

(o) Tom. ii. book 3. chap 18. pag. 270.

Clovis, towards the end of his reign, was made conſul by the emperor Anaſtaſius: but what right

* *Totuſque tractus Armoricus aliæque Galliarum provinciæ.* Ibid.

could

could he receive from an authority that laſted only one year? It is very probable, ſays our author, that in the ſame diploma the emperor Anaſtaſius made Clovis proconſul. And, I ſay, it is very probable he did not. With regard to a fact for which there is no foundation, the authority of him who denies is equal to that of him who affirms. But I have alſo a reaſon for denying it. Gregory of Tours, who mentions the conſulate, ſays never a word concerning the proconſulate. And even this proconſulate could have laſted only about ſix months. Clovis died a year and a half after he was created conſul; and we cannot pretend to make the proconſulate an hereditary office. In fine, when the conſulate, and, if you will, the proconſulate were conferred upon him, he was already maſter of the monarchy, and all his rights were eſtabliſhed. BOOK XXX. Chap. 24.

The ſecond proof alledged by the Abbe du Bos, is the renunciation made by the emperor Juſtinian, in favour of the children and grand-children of Clovis, of all the rights of the empire over Gaul. I could ſay a great deal concerning this renunciation. We may judge of the regard ſhewn to it by the kings of the Franks, from the manner in which they performed the conditions of it. Beſides, the kings of the Franks were maſters, and peaceable ſovereigns of Gaul; Juſtinian had not one foot of ground in that country; the weſtern empire had been deſtroyed a long time before; and the eaſtern empire had no right to Gaul, but as repreſenting the emperor of the weſt. Theſe were rights to rights; the monarchy of the Franks was already founded; the regulation of their eſtabliſhment was made; the reciprocal rights of the

Book XXX. Chap. 22.

the perſons and of the different nations who lived in the monarchy, were agreed on; the laws of each nation were given and even reduced into writing. What could therefore that foreign renunciation avail to a government already eſtabliſhed?

What can the Abbe mean by making ſuch a parade of the declamations of all thoſe biſhops, who amidſt the confuſion, and total ſubverſion of the ſtate, endeavour to flatter the conqueror? What elſe is implied by flattering, but the weakneſs of him who is obliged to flatter? What does rhetoric and poetry prove, but the uſe of thoſe very arts? Is it poſſible to help being ſurpriſed at Gregory of Tours, who after mentioning the aſſaſſinations committed by Clovis, ſays, that God laid his enemies every day at his feet, becauſe he walked in his ways? Who doubts but the clergy were glad of Clovis's converſion, and that they even reaped great advantages from it? But who doubts at the ſame time that the people experienced all the miſeries of conqueſt, and that the Roman government ſubmitted to that of the Franks? The Franks were neither willing nor able to make a total change; and few conquerors were ever ſeized with ſo great a degree of madneſs. But to render all the Abbe du Bos's conſequences true, they muſt not only have made no change amongſt the Romans, but they muſt have even changed themſelves.

I could undertake to prove, by following this author's method, that the Greeks never conquered Perſia. I ſhould ſet out with mentioning the treaties which ſome of their cities concluded with the Perſians:

Perſians: I ſhould mention the Greeks who were in Perſian pay, as the Franks were in the pay of the Romans. And if Alexander entered the Perſian territories, beſieged, took, and deſtroyed the city of Tyre, it was only a particular affair like that of Syagrius. But, behold the Jewiſh pontiff goes forth to meet him. Liſten to the oracle of Jupiter Hammon. Recollect how he had been predicted at Gordium. See what a number of towns crowd, as it were, to ſubmit to him; and how all the Satraps and grandees come to pay him obeiſance, He puts on the Perſian dreſs; this is Clovis's conſular robe. Does not Darius offer him one half of his kingdom? Is not Darius aſſaſſinated like a tyrant? Do not the mother and wife of Darius weep at the death of Alexander? Were Quintus Curtius, Arrian, or Plutarch, Alexander's cotemporaries? Has not the invention of * printing afforded us great lights, which thoſe authors wanted? Such is the hiſtory of the *eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy in Gaul.*

CHAP. XXV.

Of the French *Nobility.*

THE Abbe du Bos maintains, that at the commencement of our monarchy there was only one order of citizens among the Franks. This aſſertion, ſo injurious to the noble blood of our principal families, is equally affronting to the three great houſes which ſucceſſively governed this realm. The origin of their grandeur would not therefore have been loſt in the obſcurity of time.

* See the preliminary diſcourſe of the Abbe du Bos.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 25.

Hiſtory might point out the ages when they were plebeian families; and to make Childeric, Pepin, and Hugh Capet gentlemen, we ſhould be obliged to trace their pedigreee among the Romans or Saxons, that is, among the conquered nations.

This author grounds ([d]) his opinion on the Salic law. By that law, he ſays, it plainly appears, that there were not two different orders of citizens among the Franks: it allowed a compoſition * of two hundred ſous for the murder of any Frank whatſoever; but among the Romans it diſtinguiſhed the king's gueſt, for whoſe death it gave a compoſition of three hundred ſous, from the Roman proprietor to whom it granted a hundred, and from the Roman tributary to whom it gave only a compoſition of forty-five. And as the difference of the compoſitions formed the principal diſtinction, he concludes that there was but one order of citizens among the Franks, and three among the Romans.

([d]) See the eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy, vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. pag. 304.

It is aſtoniſhing that his very miſtake did not ſet him right. And indeed, it would have been very extraordinary that the Roman nobility who lived under the domination of the Franks, ſhould have a larger compoſition, and been perſons of much greater importance than the moſt illuſtrious among the Franks, and their greateſt generals. What probability is there, that the conquering nation ſhould have ſo little reſpect for themſelves, and ſo great a regard for the conquered people? Beſides, our author quotes the laws of other barbarous nations, which prove that they had different orders

* He cites the 44th title of this law, and the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 7. and 36.

of

of citizens. Now it would be a matter of aſtoniſhment that this general rule ſhould have failed only among the Franks. Hence he ought to have concluded either that he did not rightly underſtand, or that he miſapplied, the paſſages of the Salic law; which is actually the caſe.

Upon opening this law, we find that the compoſition for the death of an Antruſtio *, that is, of the king's vaſſal, was ſix hundred ſous; and that for the death of a Roman, who was the (e) king's gueſt, was only three hundred. We find there likewiſe that (f) the compoſition for the death of an ordinary Frank (g) was two hundred ſous; and for the death of an ordinary Roman (h), was only one hundred. For the death of a Roman (i) tributary, who was a kind of bondman or freedman, they paid a compoſition of forty-five ſous: but I ſhall take no notice of this, no more than of the compoſition for the murder of a Frank bondman or of a Frank freedman, becauſe this third order of perſons is out of the queſtion.

(e) Salic law, tit. 44. §. 6.
(f) Ibid. tit. 44. §. 4.
(g) Tit. 44. §. 1.
(h) Tit. 44. §. 15.
(i) Salic law, tit. 44. §. 7.

What does our author do? He is quite ſilent with reſpect to the firſt order of perſons among the Franks, that is the article relating to the Antruſtio's; and afterwards upon comparing the ordinary Frank, for whoſe death they paid a compoſition of two hundred ſous, with thoſe whom he diſtinguiſhes under three orders among the Romans, and for whoſe death they paid different

* *Qui in truſte dominicâ eſt*, tit. 44. §. 4. and this relates to the 13th formulary of Marculfus, *de regis Antruſtione*. See alſo the title 66. of the Salic law, §. 3. and 4. and the title 74. and the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 11. and the capitulary of Charles the Bald *apud Cariſiacum*, in the year 877. chap. 20.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 25.

compoſitions, he finds that there was only one order of citizens among the Franks, and that there were three among the Romans.

As the Abbe is of opinion that there was only one order of citizens among the Franks, it would have been lucky for him that there had been only one order alſo among the Burgundians, becauſe their kingdom conſtituted one of the principal branches of our monarchy. But in their codes * we find three ſorts of compoſitions, one for the Burgundian or Roman nobility, the other for the Burgundians or Romans of a middling condition, and the third for thoſe of a lower rank in both nations. He has not quoted this law.

It is very extraordinary to ſee in what manner he evades ([k]) thoſe paſſages which preſs him hard on all ſides. If you ſpeak to him of the grandees, lords, and the nobility: theſe, he ſays, are mere diſtinctions of reſpect, and not of order; they are things of courteſy, and not legal privileges; or elſe, he ſays, thoſe people belonged to the king's council; nay, they poſſibly might be Romans: but ſtill there was only one order of citizens among the Franks. On the other hand, if you ſpeak to him of ſome Franks of an inferior rank ([l]), he ſays, they are bondmen; and thus he interprets the decree of Childebert. But I muſt ſtop here a little, to enquire farther into this decree. Our

([k]) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. and 5.

([l]) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy vol. iii. chap. 5. pag. 319. and 320.

* *Si quis quelibet caſu dentem optimati Burgundioni vel Romano nobili excuſſerit, ſolidos viginti quinque cogatur exſolvere; de mediocribus perſonis ingenuis tam Burgundionibus quam Romanis ſi dens excuſſus fuerit, decem ſolidis componatur; de inferioribus perſonis, quinque ſolidos.* art. 1, 2, and 3. of tit. 26. of the law of the Burgundians.

author

author has rendered it famous by availing himſelf of it in order to prove two things; the one (m) that all the compoſitions we meet with in the laws of the Barbarians were only civil fines added to corporal puniſhments, which intirely ſubverts all the antient records; the other, that all freemen were judged directly and immediately by the king (n), which is contradicted by an infinite number of paſſages and authorities informing us of the (o) judiciary order of thoſe times.

This decree, which was made in an aſſembly * of the nation, ſays, that if the judge finds a notorious robber, he muſt command him to be tied, in order to be carried before the king, *ſi Francus fuerit*; but if he is a weaker perſon (*debilior perſona*), he ſhall be hanged on the ſpot. According to the Abbe du Bos, *Francus* is a freeman, *debilior perſona* is a bondman. I ſhall defer entering for a moment into the ſignification of the word *Francus*, and begin with examining what can be underſtood by theſe words, *a weaker perſon*. In all languages whatſoever, every compariſon neceſſarily ſuppoſeth three terms, the greateſt, the leſs degree, and the leaſt. If none were here meant but freemen and bondmen, they would have ſaid *a bondman*, and not *a man of leſs power*. Therefore *debilior perſona* does not ſignify a bondman but a perſon of a ſuperior condition to a bondman. Upon this ſuppoſition, *Francus* cannot mean a freeman but a powerful

Book XXIX. Chap. 25.

(m) Ibid. vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. pag. 307, and 308.

(n) Ibid. pag. 309. and in the following chapter, pag. 319. and 320.

(o) See the 28th book of this work, chap. 28. and the 31ſt book chap. 8.

* *Itaque Colonia convenit & ita bannivimus, ut unuſqne judex criminoſum latronem ut audierit, ad caſam ſuam ambulet & ipſum ligare faciat; ita ut ſi Francus fuerit, ad noſtram præſentiam dirigatur; & ſi debilior perſona fuerit, in loco pendatur.* Capitulary, of Baluzius's edition, tom. i. pag, 19.

Book XXX. Chap. 5.

man; and this word is taken here in that acceptation, becauſe among the Franks there were always men who had a greater power than others in the ſtate, and it was more difficult for the judge or count to chaſtiſe them. This explication agrees very well with many capitularies (p), where we find the caſes in which the criminals were to be carried before the king, and thoſe in which it was otherwiſe.

(p) See the 28th book of this work chap. 28. and the 31ſt book, chap. 8.

It is mentioned in the life of Lewis the Debonnaire (q), written by Tegan, that the biſhops were the principal cauſe of the humiliation of that emperor, eſpecially thoſe who had been bondmen, and ſuch as were born among the Barbarians. Tegan thus addreſſes Hebo, whom this prince had drawn from the ſtate of ſervitude, and made archbiſhop of Rheims. "*What recompence* * *did* "*the emperor receive from you for ſo many benefits?* "*He made you a freeman, but did not enoble you,* "*becauſe he could not give you nobility after having* "*given you your liberty.*"

(q) Chap. 43, and 44.

This diſcourſe which proves ſo ſtrongly the two orders of citizens, does not at all confound the Abbe du Bos. He anſwers thus (r): *The meaning of this paſſage is not, that Lewis the Debonnaire was incapable of introducing Hebo into the order of the nobility. Hebo, as archbiſhop of Rheims, muſt have been of the firſt order, ſuperior to that of the nobility.* I leave the reader to judge, whether this be not the meaning of that paſſage; I leave him to judge whether there can be any queſtion here concerning a precedency of the clergy over the nobi-

(r) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. pag. 3, 6.

* *O qualem remunerationem reddidiſti ei! fecit te liberum, non nobilem, quod impoſſibile eſt poſt libertatem.* Ibid.

lity.

lity. *This paſſage proves only*, continues the ſame writer (r), *that the free-born ſubjects were qualified as noblemen; in the common acceptation noblemen and men who are free-born have for this long time ſignified the ſame thing.* What! becauſe ſome of our burghers have lately aſſumed the quality of noblemen, ſhall a paſſage of the life of Lewis the Debonnaire be applied to this ſort of people? *And perhaps*, continues he ſtill (s), *Hebo had not been a bondman among the Franks, but among the Saxons, or ſome other German nation, where the people were divided into ſeveral orders.* Then becauſe of the Abbe du Bos's *perhaps* there muſt have been no nobility among the nation of the Franks. But he never applied a *perhaps* ſo badly. We have ſeen that Tegan * diſtinguiſhes the biſhops, who had oppoſed Lewis the Debonnaire, ſome of whom had been bondmen, and others of a barbarous nation. Hebo belonged to the former and not to the latter. Beſides, I do not ſee how a bondman, ſuch as Hebo, can be ſaid to have been a Saxon or a German: a bondman has no family, and conſequently no nation. Lewis the Debonnaire manumitted Hebo; and as bondmen after their manumiſſion, embraced the law of their maſter, Hebo was become a Frank, and not a Saxon or German.

Book XXX. Chap. 25.

(r) Ibid

(s) Ibid.

I have been hitherto acting offenſively; it is now time to defend myſelf. It will be objected to me, that indeed the body of the Antruſtio's formed a diſtinct order in the ſtate, from that of the freemen:

* *Omnes epiſcopi moleſti fuerunt Ludovico, & maximè ii quos è ſervile conditione honoratos habebat, cum his qui ex barbaris nationibus ad hoc faſtigium perducti ſunt. De gejis Ludovici Pii*, cap. 43, and 44.

BOOK XXX. Chap. 25.

but as the fiefs were at firſt precarious, and afterwards for life; this could not form a nobleneſs of deſcent ſince the privileges were not annexed to an hereditary fief. This is the objection which induced Mr. de Valos to think, that there was only one order of citizens among the Franks; an opinion which the Abbe du Bos has borrowed of him, and which he has abſolutely ſpoiled with ſo many bad arguments. Be that as it may, it is not the Abbe du Bos that could make this objection. For after having given three orders of Roman nobility, and the quality of the king's gueſt for the firſt, he could not pretend to ſay, that this title was a greater mark of a noble deſcent than that of Antruſtio. But I muſt give a direct anſwer. The Antruſtio's or truſty men were not ſuch, becauſe they were poſſeſſed of a fief, but they had a fief given them becauſe they were Antruſtio's or truſty men. The reader may pleaſe to recollect what has been ſaid in the beginning of this book. They had not at that time, as they had afterwards, the ſame fief: but if they had not that, they had another, becauſe the fiefs were given at their birth, and becauſe they were often granted in the aſſemblies of the nation, and, in fine, becauſe as it was the intereſt of the nobility to receive them, it was likewiſe the king's intereſt to grant them. Theſe families were diſtinguiſhed by their dignity of truſty men, and by the privilege of being qualified to ſwear allegiance for a fief.

(u) Ch. 23. In the following book (u), I ſhall demonſtrate from the circumſtances of time that there were freemen, who were permitted to enjoy this great privilege, and conſequently to enter into the order of nobility.

This was not the caſe at the time of Gontram, and his nephew Childebert; but ſo it was at the time of Charlemain. But though in that prince's reign the freemen were not incapable of poſſeſſing fiefs, yet it appears by the above-cited paſſage of Tegan, that the freedmen were abſolutely excluded. Will the Abbe du Bos (x), who carries us to Turky, to give us an idea of the ancient French nobility; will he, I ſay, pretend that they ever complained among the Turks of the elevation of people of low birth to the honors and dignities of the ſtate, as they complained under Lewis the Debonnaire and Charles the Bald? there was no complaint of that kind under Charlemain, becauſe this prince always diſtinguiſhed the ancient from the new families; which Lewis the Debonnaire and Charles the Bald did not. Book XXX. Chap. 25.

(x) Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy vol. iii. book 6. chap. 4. pag. 302.

The public ſhould not forget the obligation it owes to the Abbe du Bos for ſeveral excellent performances. It is by theſe works, and not by his hiſtory of the eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy we ought to judge of his merit. He committed very great miſtakes, becauſe he had more in view the count of Boulainvilliers's work, than his own ſubject.

From all theſe ſtrictures I ſhall draw only one reflection; if ſo great a man was miſtaken, how cautiouſly ought I to tread?

BOOK

BOOK XXXI.

Theory of the feudal laws among the Franks, *in the relation they bear to the revolutions of their monarchy.*

CHAP. I.

Changes in the offices and in the fiefs. Of the mayors of the palace.

Book XXXI. Chap. 1.

THE counts at firſt were ſent into their diſtricts only for a year; but they ſoon purchaſed the continuation of their offices. Of this we have an example in the reign of Clovis's grandchildren. A perſon named Peonius (a) was count in the city of Auxerre; he ſent his ſon Mummolus with money to Gontram, to prevail upon him to continue him in his employment; the ſon gave the money for himſelf, and obtained the father's place. The kings had already begun to ſpoil their own favours.

(a) Gregory of Tours, book iv. chap. 42.

Though by the laws of the kingdom the fiefs were precarious, yet they were neither given nor taken away in a capricious and arbitrary manner; nay, they were generally one of the principal ſubjects debated in the national aſſemblies. It is natural however to imagine that corruption had ſeized this,

this, as well as the other article; and that the poſſeſſion of the fiefs, like that of the counties, was continued for money.

I ſhall ſhew in the courſe of this book (b), that, independently of the grants which the princes made for a certain time, there were others in perpetuity. The court wanted to revoke the former grants; this occaſioned a general diſcontent in the nation, and was ſoon followed with that famous revolution in the French hiſtory, whoſe firſt epocha was the amazing ſpectacle of the execution of Brunechild.

(b) Chap. 7.

That this queen, who was daughter, ſiſter, and mother to ſo many kings, a queen to this very day celebrated for public monuments worthy of a Roman Ædile or Proconſul, born with an admirable genius for affairs, and endowed with qualities ſo long reſpected, ſhould ſee herſelf (c) of a ſudden expoſed to ſo ſlow, ſo ignominious and cruel a torture, by (d) a king whoſe authority was but indifferently eſtabliſhed in the nation, would appear very extraordinary, had ſhe not incurred that nation's diſpleaſure for ſome particular cauſe. Clotharius reproached (e) her with the murder of ten kings: but two of them he had put to death himſelf; the death of ſome of the others was owing to chance, or to the villainy of another queen; and a nation that had permitted Fredegunda (f) to die in her bed, that had even oppoſed the puniſhment of her flagitious crimes, ought to have been very indifferent with reſpect to thoſe of Brunechild.

(c) Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 42.

(d) Clotharius II. ſon of Chilperic, and father of Dagobert.

(e) Fredegarius's chr cle, chap. 42.

(f) See Gregory of Tours, book viii. chap. 31.

She was put upon a camel, and led ignominiouſly through the army: a certain ſign that ſhe had given great offence to thoſe troops. Fredegarius

Book XXXI. Chap. 1.

relates, that Protarius*, Brunechild's favourite, ſtripped the lords of their property, and filled the exchequer with the plunder ;. that he humbled the nobility, and that no perſon could be ſure of continuing in any office or employment, The army conſpired againſt him, and he was ſtabbed in his tent ; but Brunechild either by revenging † his death, or by purſuing the ſame plan, became every day more odious ‡ to the nation.

Clotharius, ambitious of reigning alone, inflamed moreover with the moſt furious revenge, and ſure of periſhing if Brunechild's children got the upper hand, entered into a conſpiracy againſt himſelf ; and whether it was owing to ignorance, or to the neceſſity of his circumſtances, he became Brunechild's accuſer, and made a terrible example of that princeſs.

Warnacharius had been the very ſoul of the conſpiracy formed againſt Brunechild ; being at that time mayor of Burgundy, he made § Clotharius conſent, that he ſhould not be diſplaced while he lived. By this ſtep the mayor could no longer be in the ſame caſe, as the French lords before that period ; and this authority began to render itſelf independent of the regal dignity.

* *Sæva illi fuit contra perſonas iniquitas, fiſco nimium tribuens, de rebus perſonarum ingenioſe fiſcum vellens implere . . . . ut nullus reperiretur qui gradum quem arripuerat potuiſſet adſumere.* Fredeg. Chron. cap. 27. in the year 605.

† Ibid. cap. 28. in the year 607.

‡ Ibid, cap. 41. in the year 613. *Burgundiæ Farones, tam epiſcopi quam cæteri Leudes, timentes Brunechildem et odium in eam habentes, conſilium inientes,* &c.

§ Ibid. cap. 42. in the year 613. *Sacramento a Clothario accepto ne unquam vitæ ſuæ temporibus degradaretur.*

It

It was Brunechild's unhappy regency, which had exaſperated the nation. So long as the laws ſubſiſted in their full force, no one could grumble at having been deprived of a fief, ſince the law did not beſtow it upon him in perpetuity. But when fiefs came to be acquired by avarice, by bad practices and corruption, they complained of being diveſted by irregular means, of things that had been irregularly acquired. Perhaps if the public good had been the motive of the revocation of thoſe grants, nothing would have been ſaid: but they pretended a regard to order, while they were openly abetting the principles of corruption; the fiſcal rights were claimed, in order to laviſh the public treaſure; and grants were no longer the reward or the encouragement of ſervices. Brunechild, from a corrupt ſpirit, wanted to reform the abuſes of the ancient corruption. Her caprices were not owing to weakneſs; the vaſſals and the great officers thinking themſelves in danger, prevented their own, by her ruin.

We are far from having all the records of the tranſactions of thoſe days; and the writers of chronicles, who underſtood very near as much of the hiſtory of their time, as our peaſants know of ours, are extremely barren. Yet we have a conſtitution of Clotharius, given * in the council of Paris for the reformation of † abuſes, which ſhews that this prince put a ſtop to the complaints that had occaſioned the revolution. On the one

* Some time after Brunechild's execution, in the year 615. See Baluzius's edition of the capitularies, pag. 21.

† *Quæ contra rationis ordinem acta vel ordinata ſunt, ne in antea, quod avertat divinitas, contingant, diſpoſuerimus, Chriſto præſule, per hujus edicti tenorem generaliter emendare.* Ibid. art. 16.

hand,

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 1.

hand, he confirms ‡ all the grants that had been made or confirmed by the kings his predeceſſors; and on the other, he ordains § that whatever had been taken from his vaſſals, ſhould be reſtored to them.

This was not the only conceſſion the king made in that council; he enjoined that whatever had been innovated, in oppoſition to the privileges of the clergy, ſhould be redreſſed * ; and he moderated the influence of the court in the † elections of biſhops. He even reformed the fiſcal affairs; ordaining that all the new ‖ cenſus's ſhould be aboliſhed, and that they ſhould not levy any §§ toll eſtabliſhed ſince the death of Gontram, Sigebert, and Chilperic; that is, he aboliſhed whatever had been done during the regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild. He forbad the driving of his cattle to ** graze in private people's grounds; and we ſhall preſently ſee that the reformation was ſtill more general, ſo as to extend even to civil affairs.

‡ Ibid. art. 16.

§ Ibid. art. 17.

* *Et quod per tempora ex hoc prætermiſſum eſt vel debinc perpetualiter obſervetur.*

† *Ita ut epiſcopo decedente in loco ipſius qui a Metropolitano ordinari debet cum principalibus, a clero et populo eligatur; et ſi perſona condigna fuerit, per ordinationem principis ordinetur; vel certe ſi de palatio eligitur, per meritum perſonæ & doctrinæ ordinetur.* Ibid. art. 1.

‖ *Ut ubicumque cenſus novus impiè additus eſt, emendetur.* art. 8.

§§ Ibid. art. 9.

** Ibid. art. 21.

CHAP.

CHAP. II.

How the civil government was reformed.

HItherto the nation had given marks of impatience and levity, with regard to the choice or conduct of her maſters; ſhe had regulated their differences, and obliged them to come to an agreement amongſt themſelves. But now ſhe did what before was quite unexampled; ſhe caſt her eyes on her actual ſituation, examined the laws cooly, provided againſt their inſufficiency, repreſſed violence, and moderated the regal power. Book XXXI. Chap. 2.

The bold and inſolent regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild, had leſs ſurpriſed than rouſed the nation. Fredegunda had defended her horrid cruelties, her poiſonings and aſſaſſinations by a repetition of the ſame crimes; and had behaved in ſuch a manner that her outrages were rather of a private than public nature. Fredegunda did more miſchief: Brunechild threatened more. In this criſis, the nation was not ſatisfied with rectifying the feudal ſyſtem; ſhe was alſo determined to ſecure her civil government. For the latter was rather more corrupt than the former; a corruption the more dangerous as it was more inveterate, and connected rather with the abuſe of manners than with that of laws.

The hiſtory of Gregory of Tours exhibits, on the one hand, a fierce and barbarous nation; and on the other, kings remarkable for the ſame ferocity of temper. Thoſe princes were bloody, iniquitous, and cruel, becauſe ſuch was the character of the whole

Book XXXI. Chap 2.

whole nation. If Chriſtianity appeared ſometimes to ſoften their manners, it was only by the circumſtances of terror with which this religion alarms the ſinner: the church ſupported herſelf againſt them by the miraculous operations of her ſaints. The kings would not commit ſacrilege, becauſe they dreaded the puniſhments inflicted on that ſpecies of guilt: but this excepted, either in the riot of paſſion, or in the coolneſs of deliberation, they perpetrated the moſt horrid crimes and barbarities, where the divine vengeance did not appear ſo immediately to overtake the criminal. The Franks, as I have already obſerved, bore with cruel kings, becauſe they were of the ſame diſpoſition themſelves; they were not ſhocked at the iniquity and extortions of their princes, becauſe this was the national characteriſtic. There had been many laws eſtabliſhed, but it was uſual for the king to defeat them all, by a kind of letters called *precepts**, which rendered them of no effect; they were ſomewhat ſimilar to the reſcripts of the Roman emperors, whether it be that our kings borrowed this uſage of thoſe princes, or whether it was owing to their own natural temper. We ſee in Gregory of Tours, how they perpetrated murder in cool blood, and put the accuſed to death, unheard; how they gave *precepts* † for illicit marriages; for transferring ſucceſſions; for depriving relations of their right; and, in fine, marrying con-

* They were orders which the king ſent to the judges to do or to tolerate things contrary to law.

† See Gregory of Tours, book iv. pag. 227. Both our hiſtory and the charters are full of this; and the extent of theſe abuſes appears eſpecially in Clotharius's conſtitution, inſerted in the edition of the capitularies made to reform them. Baluzius's edition, pag. 7.

ſecrated

ſecrated virgins. They did not indeed aſſume the whole legiſlative power, but they diſpenſed with the execution of the laws. BOOK XXXI. Chap. 2.

Clotharius's conſtitution redreſſed all theſe grievances; no one (b) could any longer be condemn'd without being heard; relations (c) were made to ſucceed according to the order eſtabliſhed by law; all *precepts* for marrying religious women were declared null; (d) and thoſe who had obtained and made uſe of them, were ſeverely puniſhed. We might know perhaps more exactly his determinations with regard to theſe *precepts*, if the thirteenth and the two next articles of this decree had not been loſt through the injury of time. We have only the firſt words of this thirteenth article, ordaining that the *precepts* ſhall be obſerved, which cannot be underſtood of thoſe he had juſt aboliſhed by the ſame law. We have another conſtitution (e) by the ſame prince, which is relative to his decree, and corrects in the ſame manner, every article of the abuſes of the *precepts*.

(b) Art. 22
(c) Ibid. art. 6.
(d) Ibid.
(e) In Baluzius's edition of the capitularies, tom. i. pag. 7.

True it is that Baluzius finding this conſtitution without date, and without the name of the place where it was given, attributes it to Clotharius I. But I ſay it belongs to Clotharius II. for three reaſons; 1. it ſays that the king will preſerve the immunities * granted to the churches, by his father and grandfather. What immunities could the churches receive from Childeric grandfather of Clotharius I. who was not a Chriſtian, and who lived

* In the preceding book I have made mention of theſe immunities, which were grants of judicial rights, and contained prohibitions to the regal judges to perform any function in the territory, and were equivalent to the erection or grant of a fief.

Book XXXI. Chap. 2.

even before the foundation of the monarchy? But if we attribute this decree to Clotharius II. we ſhall find his grandfather to have been this very Clotharius I. who made immenſe donations to the church, with a view of expiating the murder of his ſon Cramne, whom he had ordered to be burnt, together with his wife and children.

2. The abuſes redreſſed by this conſtitution, were ſtill ſubſiſting after the death of Clotharius I. and were even carried to their higheſt extravagance during the weak reign of Gontram, the cruel adminiſtration of Chilperic, and the execrable regencies of Fredegunda and Brunechild. Now can we imagine that the nation would have borne with grievances ſo ſolemnly proſcribed, without complaining of their continual repetition? Can we imagine ſhe would not have taken the ſame ſtep as ſhe did afterwards under Childeric II. (f) when upon a repetition of the old grievances, ſhe preſſed (g) him to ordain that the law and cuſtoms in regard to judicial proceedings ſhould be complied with, as formerly.

(f) He began to reign towards the year 670.

(g) See the life of S. Leger.

In fine, as this conſtitution was made to redreſs grievances, it cannot relate to Clotharius I. ſince there were no complaints of that kind in his reign, and his authority was perfectly eſtabliſhed throughout the kingdom, eſpecially at the time in which they place this conſtitution; whereas it agrees extremely well with the events that happened during the reign of Clotharius II. which produced a revolution in the political ſtate of the kingdom. Hiſtory muſt be illuſtrated by the laws, and the laws by hiſtory.

CHAP.

CHAP. III.

Authority of the mayors of the palace.

I Took notice that Clotharius II. had promiſed not to deprive Warnacharius of his mayor's place during life; a revolution productive of another effect. Before that time the mayor was the king's officer, but now he became the officer of the people; he was choſen before by the king, and now by the nation. Before the revolution Protarius had been made mayor by Theodoric, and * Landeric by Fredegunda; but (h) after that the mayors were choſen by the nation †

Book XXXI. Chap. 3.

(h) Geſta regum Francorum, cap. 36.

We muſt not therefore confound, as ſome authors have done, theſe mayors of the palace with ſuch as were poſſeſſed of this dignity before the death of Brunechild; the king's mayor's with thoſe of the kingdom. We ſee by the law of the Burgundians, that among them the office of mayor was not one of the (i) moſt reſpectable in the ſtate; nor was it one of the moſt eminent (k) under the firſt kings of the Franks.

(i) See the law of the Burgundians in *præfat.* and the ſecond ſupplement to this law, tit. 13.

Clotharius removed the apprehenſions of thoſe who were poſſeſſed of employments and fiefs; and when after the death of Warnacharius ‖ he aſked the lords

* Inſtigante Brunechilde, Theodorico jubente, &c. Fredegarius, chap. 27. in the year 605.

† See Fredegarius, chronicle, chap. 54. in the year 626, and his anonymous continuator, chap. 101. in the year 695. and chap. 105. in the year 715. Aimoin, book iv. chap. Eginhard, life of Charlemain, chap. 48. *Geſta rerum Francorum*, chap. 45.

(k) See Gregory of Tours, book ix. chap. 36.

‖ *Eo anno Clotarius cum proceribus & leudibus Burgundiæ Trecaſſinis conjugitur, cum eorum eſſet ſollicitus ſi vellent jam Warnachario diſceſſo*

Book XXXI. Chap. 3.

lords aſſembled at Troyes, who is it they would put in his place; they cried out they would chuſe no one, but ſuing for his favour committed themſelves entirely into his hands.

Dagobert reunited the whole monarchy in the ſame manner as his father; the nation had a thorough confidence in him, and appointed no mayor. This prince finding himſelf at liberty, and elated by his victories, reſumed Brunechild's plan. But he ſucceeded ſo ill, that the vaſſals of Auſtraſia let themſelves be beaten by the Sclavonians, and returned home; ſo that the marches of Auſtraſia were left a prey to the Barbarians.

He determined then to make an offer to the Auſtraſians, of reſigning that country, together with a provincial treaſure, to his ſon Sigebert, and to put the government of the kingdom and of the palace into the hands of Cunibert biſhop of Cologne, and of the duke Adalgiſus. Fredegarius does not enter into the particulars of the conventions then made; but the king confirmed them all by charters, and † Auſtraſia was immediately ſecured from danger.

Dagobert finding himſelf near his end, recommended his wife Nentechildis, and his ſon Clovis

diſceſſo alium in ejus honoris gradum ſublimare: ſed omnes unanimiter denegantes ſe nequaquam velle majorem domus eligere, regis gratiam obnixe petentes, cum rege tranſegere. Fredegarius, chronicle, chap. 54. in the year 626.

* *Iſtam victoriam quam Winidi contra Francos meruerunt, non tantùm Sclavinorum fortitudo obtinuit, quantùm dementatio Auſtraſiorum, dum ſe cernebant cum Dagoberto odium incurriſſe, & aſſidue expoliarentur.* Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 68. in the year 630.

† *Deinceps Auſtraſii eorum ſtudio limitem & regnum Francorum contra Winidos utiliter defenſaſſe noſcuntur.* Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 75. in the year 632.

to

to the care of Æga. The vaſſals of Neuſtria and Burgundy choſe (b) this young prince for their king. Æga and Nentechildis had the government of (c) the palace; they reſtored (d) whatever Dagobert had taken; and complaints ceaſed in Neuſtria and Burgundy, as they had ceaſed in Auſtraſia.

(b) Fredegarius's Chronicle chap. 79. in the year 638.

(c) Ibid.

(d) Ibid. chap. 80. in the year 639.

(e) Ibid. chap. 89 in the year 641.

After the death of Æga, the queen Nentechildis (e) engaged the lords of Burgundy to chuſe Floachatus for their mayor. The latter diſpatched letters to the biſhops and chief lords of the kingdom of Burgundy, by which he promiſed to preſerve their honors and dignities * for ever, that is, during life. He confirmed his word by oath. This is the period, at which †the author of the treatiſe of the mayors of the palace fixes the adminiſtration of the kingdom by thoſe officers.

Fredegarius being a Burgundian, has entered into a more minute detail, as to what concerns the mayors of Burgundy, at the time of the revolution of which we are ſpeaking, than with regard to the mayors of Auſtraſia and Neuſtria. But the conventions made in Burgundy were, for the very ſame reaſons, agreed to in Neuſtria and Auſtraſia.

The nation thought it ſafer to lodge the power in the hands of a mayor whom ſhe choſe herſelf, and to whom ſhe might preſcribe conditions, than in thoſe of a king whoſe power was hereditary.

* Ibid. cap. 89. *Floachatus cunctis ducibus a regno Burgundiæ ſeu & pontificibus, per epiſtolam etiam et ſacramentis firmavit unicuique gradum honoris et dignitatem, ſeu et amicitiam, perpetuo conſervare.*

† *Deinceps à temporibus Clodovei qui fuit filius Dagoberti inclyti regis, pater verò Theodorici, regnum Francorum decidens per majores domus, cœpit ordinari.* De majoribus domus regiæ.

CHAP. IV.

Of the genius of the nation in regard to the mayors.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 4.

A Government, in which a nation that had an hereditary king, choſe a perſon to exerciſe the regal authority, ſeems very extraordinary: but independently of the circumſtances of the times, I apprehend that the notions of the Franks in regard to this article were derived from a higher ſource.

The Franks were deſcended from the Germans, of whom Tacitus * ſays, that in the choice of their king they were determined by his noble extraction; and in that of their leader, by his valour. This give us an idea of the kings of the firſt race, and of the mayors of the palace; the former were hereditary, the latter elective.

No doubt but thoſe princes, who ſtood up in the national aſſembly, and offered themſelves as the conductors of a public enterpriſe to ſuch as were willing to follow them, united, generally in their own perſon, both the power of the mayor and the king's authority. By the ſplendor of their deſcent they had attained the regal dignity; and their military abilities having recommended them to the command of armies, they roſe to the power of mayor. By the regal dignity our firſt kings preſided in the courts and aſſemblies, and enacted laws with the national conſent; by the dignity of duke

* *Reges ex nobilitate, duces ex virtute ſumunt.* De moribus Germanorum.

or leader, they undertook expeditions, and commanded the armies.

In order to be acquainted with the genius of the primitive Franks in this reſpect, we have only to caſt an eye on the conduct (f) of Argobaſtes, a Frank by nation, on whom Valentinian had conferred the command of the army. He confined the emperor to his own palace; where he would ſuffer nobody to ſpeak to him concerning either civil or military affairs. Argobaſtes did at that time what was afterwards practiſed by the Pepins.

(f) See Sulpicius Alexander in Gregory of Tours, book 2.

CHAP. V.

In what mannner the mayors obtained the command of the armies.

So long as the kings commanded their armies in perſon, the nation never thought of chuſing a leader. Clovis and his four ſons were at the head of the Franks, and led them on through a ſeries of victories. Theobald ſon of Theodobert, a young, weak, and ſickly prince, was the firſt (g) of our kings that confined himſelf to his palace. He refuſed to undertake an expedition into Italy againſt Narſes, and had * the mortification to ſee the Franks chuſe themſelves two chiefs, who led them againſt the enemy. Of the four ſons of Clotharius I. Gontram † was the leaſt fond of commanding his armies; the other kings followed

(g) In the year 552.

* *Leutharis verò et Butilinus, tametſi id regi ipſorum minime placebat, belli cum eis ſocietatem inierunt.* Agathias, book 1. Gregory of Tours, book 4. chap. 9.

† Gontram did not even march againſt Gondovald, who ſtiled himſelf ſon of Clotarius, and claimed his ſhare of the kingdom.

Book XXXI. Chap. 5.

this example; and, in order to intruſt the command without danger into other hands, they conferred it upon ſeveral chiefs or dukes *.

Innumerable were the inconveniences which thence aroſe; all diſcipline was loſt, no one would any longer obey. The armies were dreadful only to their own country; they were loaden with ſpoils, before they had reached the enemy. Of theſe miſeries we have a very lively picture in Gregory of Tours (h). *How ſhall we able to obtain a victory*, ſaid Gontram (i), *we who do not ſo much as keep what our anceſtors acquired? Our nation is no longer the ſame.* . . . . . : Strange, that it ſhould be on the decline ſo early as the reign of Clovis's grandchildren!

(h) Gregory of Tours book 8. chap. 30. and book x. chap. 3.

(i) Ibid. book 8. chap. 30.

It was therefore natural they ſhould determine at laſt upon an only duke, a duke inveſted with an authority over this prodigious multitude of feudal lords and vaſſals, who were now become ſtrangers to their own engagements; a duke who was to eſtabliſh the military diſcipline, and to put himſelf at the head of a nation unhappily practiſed in making war againſt itſelf. This power was conferred on the mayors of the palace.

The original function of the mayors of the palace, was the management of the king's houſhold. They had afterwards, in conjunction (k) with other officers, the political government of fiefs; and at length they obtained the ſole diſpoſal of them.

(k) See the ſecond ſupplement to the law of the Burgundians, tit. 13. and Gregory of Tours, book 9. chap. 36.

* Sometimes to the number of twenty, See Gregory of Tours, book 5, chap. 27. book 8. chap. 18. and 30. book 10. chap. 3. Dagobert, who had no mayor in Burgundy, obſerved the ſame policy, and ſent againſt the Gaſcons ten dukes and ſeveral counts who had no dukes over them, Fredegarius's chronicle, chap. 78, in the year 636.

They

They had alſo the adminiſtration of military affairs and the command of the armies; employments neceſſarily connected with the other two. In thoſe days it was much more difficult to raiſe than to command the armies; and who but the diſpenſer of favours could have this authority? In this martial and independent nation, it was prudent to invite, rather than to compel; prudent to give away or to promiſe the fiefs, that ſhould happen to be vacant by the death of the poſſeſſor; prudent in fine, to reward continually, and to raiſe a jealouſy with regard to preferences. It was therefore right, that the perſon who had the ſuperintendency of the palace, ſhould alſo be general of the army.

CHAP. VI.

Second epocha of the humiliation of our kings of the firſt race.

AFTER the execution of Brunechild, the mayors were adminiſtrators of the kingdom under the ſovereigns; and though they had the conduct of the war, yet the kings were always at the head of the armies, and the mayor and the nation fought under their command. But the victory (l) of duke Pepin over Theodoric and his mayor, completed * the degradation of our princes; and that (m) which Charles Martel obtained over Chilperic and his mayor Rainfroy, confirmed it. Auſtraſia triumphed twice over Neuſtria and Burgundy; and the mayoralty of Auſtraſia being annexed

(l) See the annals of Metz, year 687. and 688.

(m) Annals of Metz, year 719.

* *Illis quidem nomina regum imponens, ipſe totius regni habens privilegium, &c.* Annals of Metz, year 695.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 6.

nexed as it were to the family of the Pepins, this mayoralty and family became greatly ſuperior to all the reſt. The conquerors were then afraid leſt ſome perſon of credit ſhould ſeize the king's perſon, in order to exicite diſturbances. For this reaſon they kept * them in the royal palace as in a kind of priſon, and once a year ſhewed them to the people. There they made ordinances, but † theſe were ſuch as were dictated by the mayor; they anſwered ambaſſadors, but the mayor made the anſwers. This is the time mentioned by ‡ hiſtorians of the government of the mayors over the kings whom they held in ſubjection.

The extravagant paſſion of the nation for Pepin's family went ſo far, that they choſe one of his grandſons who was yet ‖ an infant for mayor; and put him over one Dagobert, that is one phantom over another.

* *Sedemque illi regalem ſub ſuâ ditione conceſſit.* Ibid. anno 719.

† *Ex chronico Centulenſi*, lib. 2. *ut reſponſa quæ erat edoctus, vel potius juſſus, ex ſua velut poteſtate redderet.*

‡ Annals of Metz, anno 691. *Anno principatus Pippini ſuper Theodoricum* . . . . . Annals of Fuld, or of Lauriſham, *Pippinus dux Francorum obtinuit regum Francorum per annos* 27. *cum regibus ſibi ſubjectis.*

‖ *Poſthæc Theudoaldus filius ejus (Grimoaldi) parvulus in loco ipſius, cum prædicto rege Dagoberto, major-domus palatii effectus eſt.* The anonymous continuator of Fredegarius in the year 714. chap. 104.

CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

Of the great offices and fiefs under the mayors of the palace.

THE mayors of the palace were far from reviving the precariouſneſs of poſts and employments; for indeed their power was owing to the protection which in this reſpect they had granted to the nobility. Hence the great offices were continued to be given for life, and this uſage was every day more firmly eſtabliſhed. Book XXXI. Chap. 7.

But I have ſome particular reflections to make here in reſpect to fiefs: and in the firſt place I do not queſtion but moſt of them became hereditary from this time.

In the treaty of Andeli (n), Gontram and his nephew Childebert engage to maintain the donations made to the vaſſals and churches by the kings his predeceſſors; and leave is given to the * wives, daughters and widows of kings, to diſpoſe by will and in perpetuity of whatever they hold of the exchequer.

(n) Cited by Gregory of Tours, book 9. See alſo the edict of Clotharius II. in the year 615. art. 16.

Marculfus wrote his formularies at the time (o) of the mayors. We find ſeveral † in which the kings make donations both to the perſon and to his heirs: and as the formularies repreſent the

(o) See the 24th and the 34th of the firſt book.

* *Ut ſi quid de agris fiſcalibus vel ſpeciebus atque præſidio pro arbitrii ſui voluntate facere aut cuiquam conferre voluerint, fixâ ſtabilitate perpetuò conſervetur.*

† See the 14th formula of the firſt book, which is equally applicable to the fiſcal eſtates given directly and in perpetuity, or given at firſt as a benefice, and afterwards in perpetuity: *Sicut ab illo aut a fiſco noſtro fuit poſſeſſa.* See alſo the 17th formula, ibid.

com-

Book XXXI. Chap. 7.

common actions of life, they prove that part of the fiefs were become hereditary towards the end of the firſt race. They were far from having in thoſe days the idea of an unalienable demeſne; this is a modern thing, which they knew neither in theory nor practice.

In proof hereof we ſhall preſently produce poſitive facts; and if we can point out a time in which there were no longer any benefices for the army, nor any funds for its ſupport; we muſt certainly conclude that the ancient benefices had been alienated. The time I mean is that of Charles Martel, who founded ſome new fiefs, which we ſhould carefully diſtinguiſh from thoſe of the earlieſt date.

When the kings began to make grants in perpetuity, either through the corruption which crept into the government, or by reaſon of the conſtitution itſelf, which continually obliged thoſe princes to confer rewards; it was natural they ſhould begin with giving the perpetuity of the fiefs, rather than of the counties. For to deprive themſelves of ſome acres of land was no great matter; but to renounce the right of diſpoſing the great offices, was diveſting themſelves of their very power.

CHAP. VIII.

In what manner the allodial eſtates were changed into fiefs.

THE manner of changing an allodial eſtate into a fief, may be ſeen in a formulary of Marculfus (p). The owner of the land gave it to

(p) Book 1. formulary 13.

to the king, who reſtored it to the donor by way of uſufruit, or benefice, and then the latter nominated his heirs to the king.

In order to find out the reaſons which induced them thus to change the nature of the *allodia*, I muſt trace the ſource of the ancient privileges of our nobility, a nobility who for theſe eleven centuries have been ready to undergo every hardſhip, and to ſpill their blood in their country's ſervice.

They who were ſeized of fiefs enjoyed very great advantages. The compoſition for the injuries done them was greater than that of freemen. It appears by the formularies of Marculfus, that it was a privilege belonging to the king's vaſſal, that whoever killed him ſhould pay a compoſition of ſix hundred ſous. This privilege was eſtabliſhed by the Salic law (q), and by that of the Ripuarians (r); and while theſe two laws ordained a compoſition of ſix hundred ſous for the murder of the king's vaſſal, they gave but (s) two hundred ſous for the murder of a perſon freeborn, if he was a frank or Barbarian living under the Salic law; and only a hundred for a Roman.

This was not the only privilege belonging to the king's vaſſals. When (t) a man was ſummoned in court, and did not make his appearance, nor obey the judges orders, he was appealed before the king; and if he perſiſted in his contumacy, he was excluded from * the Royal protection, and no one was allowed to entertain him, or even to give him

(q) Tit. 44. See alſo the titles 66. § 3. and 4. and tit. 74.

(r) Tit. 2.

(s) See alſo the law of the Ripuarians, tit. 7. and the Salic law, tit. 44. art. 1. and 4.

(t) Salic law, tit. 59. and 76.

* *Extra ſermonem regis.* Salic law, tit. 59. and 76.

a morſel

Book XXXI. Chap. 8.

a morſel of bread. Now if he was a perſon of an ordinary condition, his goods (u) were confiſcated; but if he was the king's vaſſal, they were not (x). The firſt by his contumacy was deemed ſufficiently convicted of the crime, the ſecond was not; the former (y) for the ſmalleſt crimes was obliged to undergo the trial by boiling water, the latter (z) was condemned to this trial only in the caſe of murder: In fine, the king's vaſſal (a) could not be compelled to ſwear in court againſt another vaſſal. Theſe privileges augmented daily, and the capitulary of Carlomannus (b) does this honour to the king's vaſſals, that they ſhall not be obliged to ſwear in perſon, but only by the mouth of their own vaſſals. Beſides, when a perſon who had theſe honours did not repair to the army, his puniſhment was to abſtain from fleſh-meat and wine as long as he had been abſent from the ſervice; but a freeman (c) who neglected to follow his count was fined * ſixty ſous, and reduced to a ſtate of ſervitude till he paid it.

(u) Ibid. tit. 59. §1.
(x) Ibid. tit. 76. §1.
(y) Ibid. tit. 56 and 59.
(z) Ibid tit. 76. §1.
(a) Ibid. tit. 76. §2.
(b) Apud Vernis Palatium, in the year 883. art. 4. and 11.
(c) Capitulary of Charlemain, in the year 812. art. 1. and 3.

It is very natural therefore to think that thoſe Franks who were not the king's vaſſals, and much more the Romans, became fond of entering into the ſtate of vaſſalage; and that they might not be deprived of their demeſnes, they deviſed the uſage of giving their *allodium* to the king, of receiving it from him afterwards as a fief, and of nominating their heirs. This uſage was continued, and took place eſpecially during the times of confuſion under the ſecond race, when every man being in want of a protector, was deſirous to incor-

* *Heribannum.*

porate

porate himſelf with † the other lords, and to enter as it were, into the feudal monarchy, becauſe the political no longer exiſted.

This continued under the third race, as we find by ſeveral ‖ charters; whether they gave their *allodium*, and reſumed it by the ſame act; or whether it was declared an *allodium*, and afterwards acknowledged as a fief. Theſe were called *fiefs of reſumption*.

This does not imply that thoſe who were ſeized of fiefs adminiſtered them with prudence and œconomy; for though the freemen grew deſirous of being poſſeſſed of fiefs, yet they managed this ſort of eſtates as uſufruits are managed in our days. This is what induced Charlemain, the moſt vigilant and attentive prince we ever had, to make a great many regulations (c), to hinder the fiefs from being degraded in favour of allodial eſtates. It proves only that in his time moſt benefices were ſtill only for life, and conſequently that they took more care of the *allodia* than of the benefices; but it is no argument that they did not chuſe rather to be the king's bondmen than freemen. They might have reaſons for diſpoſing of a particular portion of a fief, but they were not willing to be ſtripped even of their dignity.

I know likewiſe that Charlemain complains in a certain capitulary (d) that in ſome places there were people who gave away their fiefs in property, and redeemed them afterwards in the ſame manner. But I do not ſay, that they were not fonder of the property

(c) Second capitulary of the year 802, art. 10 and the 7th capitulary of the year 803, art. 3, the 1ſt capitulary *incerti anni*, art. 49. the 5th capitulary of the year 806. art. 7, the capitulary of the year 779, art. 29, and the capitulary of Lewis the Pious, in the year 829. art. 1.

(d) The 5th of the year 806, art. 8.

† *Non infirmis reliquit hæredibus*, ſays *Lambert d'Ardres* in Du Cange, on the word *alodis*.

‖ See thoſe quoted by Du Cange, in the word *alodis*, and thoſe produced by Galland, in his treatiſe of allodial lands, pag. 14. and the following.

Book XXXI. Chap. 9.

than of the uſufruit; I mean only, that when they could convert an *allodium* into a fief, which was to deſcend to their heirs, and is the caſe of the formulary above-mentioned, they had very great advantages in doing it.

CHAP. IX.

How the Church-lands were converted into fiefs.

THE uſe of the fiſcal lands ſhould have been only to ſerve as a donation, by which the kings were to encourage the Franks to undertake new expeditions, and by which on the other hand theſe fiſcal lands were increaſed. This, as I have already obſerved, was the ſpirit of the nation; but theſe donations took another turn. There is ſtill extant (s) a ſpeech of Chilperic, grandſon of Clovis, in which he complains that almoſt all theſe lands had been already given away to the church. *Our exchequer*, ſays he, *is impoveriſhed, and our riches are tranſferred to the clergy* *; *none reign now but biſhops, who live in grandeur, while ours is quite eclipſed.*

(s) In Gregory of Tours, book vi. chap. 46.

This was the reaſon that the mayors, who durſt not attack the lords, ſtripped the churches; and one of the † motives alledged by Pepin for entering Neuſtria, was his having been invited thither by the clergy, to put a ſtop to the encroachments of the kings, that is, of the mayors, who deprived the church of all her poſſeſſions.

* This is what induced him to annul the teſtaments made in favour of the clergy, and even the donations of his father; Gontram re-eſtabliſhed them, and even made new donations. Gregory of Tours, book vii. chap. 7.

† See the annals of Metz, year 687. *Excitor imprimis querelis ſacerdotum & ſervorum Dei, qui me ſæpius adierunt ut pro ſublatis injuſtè patrimoniis*, &c.

The

The mayors of Auſtraſia, that is the family of the Pepins, had behaved towards the clergy with more moderation than thoſe of Neuſtria and Burgundy. This is evident from our chronicles (f), in which we ſee the monks perpetually extolling the devotion and liberality of the Pepins. They themſelves had been poſſeſſed of the firſt places in the church. *One crow does not pull out the eyes of another*; as (g) Chilperic ſaid to the biſhops.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 9.

(f) See the annals of Metz.

(g) In Gregory of Tours.

Pepin ſubdued Neuſtria and Burgundy; but as his pretence for deſtroying the mayors and kings was the grievances of the clergy, he could not ſtrip the latter, without acting contrary to his own declaration, and ſhewing that he made a jeſt of the nation. However, the conqueſt of two great kingdoms and the deſtruction of the oppoſite party, afforded him ſufficient means of ſatisfying his generals.

Pepin made himſelf maſter of the monarchy, by protecting the clergy; his ſon Charles Martel could not maintain his power, but by oppreſſing them. This prince finding that part of the regal and fiſcal lands had been given either for life, or in perpetuity to the nobility, and that the church by receiving both from rich and poor, had acquired a great part even of the allodial eſtates, he reſolved to ſtrip the clergy; and as the fiefs of the firſt diviſion were no longer in being, he formed a ſecond *. He took for himſelf and for his officers the church-lands, and the churches themſelves: thus he remedied an evil which differed from ordi-

* *Karolus plurima juri eccleſiaſtico detrahens prædia fiſco ſociavit, ac deinde militibus diſpertivit.* Ex Chronico Centulenſi, lib. ii.

Book XXXI. Chap. 10

nary diſeaſes, as its extremity rendered it the more eaſy to cure.

CHAP. X.

Riches of the Clergy.

SO great were the donations made to the clergy, that under the three races of our princes they muſt have ſeveral times received the full property of all the lands of the kingdom. But if our kings, the nobility, and the people, found the way of giving them all their eſtates, they found alſo the method of getting them back again. The ſpirit of devotion eſtabliſhed a great number of churches under the firſt race; but the military ſpirit was the cauſe of their being given away afterwards to the ſoldiery, who divided them amongſt their children. What a nnmber of lands muſt have then been taken from the clergy's *menſalia!* The kings of the ſecond race opened their hands, and made new donations to them; but the Normans, who came afterwards, plundered and ravaged all before them, wreaking their vengeance chiefly on the prieſts and monks, and devoting every religious houſe to deſtruction. For they charged thoſe eccleſiaſtics with the ſubverſion of their idols, and with all the oppreſſive meaſures of Charlemain, by which they had been ſucceſſively obliged to take ſhelter in the north. Theſe were animoſities which the ſpace of forty or fifty years had not been able to obliterate. In this ſituation what a loſs muſt the clergy have ſuſtained! There were hardly eccleſiaſtics left to demand the eſtates of which they had been

been deprived. There remained therefore for the religious piety of the third race, foundations enough to make, and lands to beſtow. The opinions which were broached and ſpread in thoſe days, would have deprived the laity of all their eſtates, if they had been but honeſt enough. But, if the clergy were actuated by ambition, the laity were not without theirs; if dying perſons gave their eſtates to the church, their heirs would fain reſume them. We meet with continual quarrels between the lords and the biſhops, the gentlemen and the abbots; and the clergy muſt have been very hard preſſed, ſince they were obliged to put themſelves under the protection of certain lords, who granted them a momentary defence, and afterwards joined their oppreſſors.

But a better adminiſtration having been eſtabliſhed under the third race, gave the clergy leave to augment their poſſeſſions; when the Calviniſts ſtarted up, and having plundered the churches, they turned all the ſacred plate into ſpecie. How could the clergy be ſure of their eſtates, when they were not even ſafe in their perſons? They were debating on controverſial ſubjects, while their archives were in flames. What did it avail them to demand back of an impoveriſhed nobility, thoſe eſtates which were no longer in the poſſeſſion of the latter, but had been conveyed into other hands by different mortgages. The clergy have been long acquiring, and have often refunded, and ſtill there is no end of their acquiſitions.

CHAP. XI.

State of Europe *at the Time of* Charles Martel.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 11.

CHARLES Martel, who undertook to ſtrip the clergy, found himſelf in a moſt happy ſituation. He was both feared and beloved by the ſoldiery; whoſe intereſt he promoted, having the pretence of the war againſt the Saracens. He was hated indeed by the clergy, but (b) he had no need of their aſſiſtance. The pope, to whom he was neceſſary, ſtretched out his arms to him. Every one knows the famous * embaſſy he received from Gregory III. Theſe two powers were ſtrictly united, becauſe they ſupported each other: the pope ſtood in need of the Franks to aſſiſt him againſt the Lombards and the Greeks; the Franks had occaſion for the pope, to ſerve for a barrier againſt the Greeks, and to embarraſs the Lombards. It was impoſſible therefore for the enterprize of Charles Martel to miſcarry.

(b) See the annals of Metz.

S. Eucherius, biſhop of Orleans, had a viſion which frightened all the princes of that time. I ſhall produce on this occaſion the letter (c) written by the biſhops aſſembled at Rheims to Lewis king of Germany, who had invaded the territories of Charles the Bald: becauſe it will give us an inſight into the ſituation of things in thoſe times, and the temper of the people. They ſay (d), "That S. Eu-

(c) Anno 858. apud Cariſiacum; Baluzius's edition, tom. 1, pag. 101.

(d) Ibid. art. 7. pag. 109.

* *Epiſtolam quoque, decreto Romanorum principum, ſibi prædictus præſul Gregorius miſerat, quod ſeſe populus Romanus relicta imperatoris dominatione, ad ſuam deſenſionem & invictam clementiam convertere voluiſſet.* Annals of Metz, year 741. *Eo pacto patrato, ut a partibus imperatoris receſſerit.* Fredegarius.

cherius

" cherius having been ſnatched up into heaven, " ſaw Charles Martel tormented in the bottom of " hell by order of the ſaints, who are to ſit with " Chriſt at the laſt judgment; that he had been " condemned to this puniſhment before his time, " for having ſtript the church of her poſſeſſions, " and thereby charged himſelf with the ſins of all " thoſe who founded theſe livings; that King Pe- " pin held a council upon this occaſion, and had " ordered all the church-lands he could recover to " be reſtored; that as he could get back only a " part of them, becauſe of his diſputes with *Vai-* " *fre*, duke of *Aquitaine*, he iſſued out letters " called *precaria* * for the remainder, and made a " law that the laity ſhould pay a tenth part of " the church lands they poſſeſſed, and twelve de- " niers for each houſe; that Charlemain did not " give the church-lands away; on the contrary, " that he publiſhed a capitulary, by which he en- " gaged both for himſelf and for his ſucceſſors ne- " ver to make any ſuch grant; that all they ſay is " committed to writing, and that a great many of " them heard the whole related by Lewis the De- " bonnaire, the father of thoſe two kings."

King Pepin's regulation, mentioned by the biſhops, was made in the council held at Leptines (k). The church found this advantage in it, that ſuch as had received thoſe lands, held them no longer but

(k) In the year 743. ſee the 5th book of the capitularies, art. 3. Baluzius's edition, pag. 825.

* *Precaria, quòd precibus uteudum conceditur*, ſays Cujas in his notes upon the firſt book of fiefs. I find in a diploma of king Pepin, dated the 3d year of his reign, that this prince was not the firſt who eſtabliſhed theſe *precaria*; he cites one made by the mayor *Ebroin*, and continued after his time. See the diploma of this king, in the 5th tom. of the hiſtorians of France by the Bnedictins, art. 6.

Book XXXI. Chap. 11.

in a precarious manner; and moreover that ſhe received the tythe or tenth part and twelve deniers for every houſe that had belonged to her. But this was only a palliative, which did not remove the diſorder.

Nay it met with oppoſition, and Pepin was obliged to make another capitulary (l), in which he enjoins thoſe who held any of thoſe benefices to pay this tythe and duty, and even to keep up the houſes belonging to the biſhopric or monaſtery, under the penalty of forfeiting thoſe poſſeſſions. Charlemain * renewed the regulation of Pepin.

(l) That of Metz, in the year 736, art. 4.

That part of the ſame letter which ſays, that Charlemain promiſed both for himſelf and for his ſucceſſors, never to divide again the church-lands among the ſoldiery, is agreeable to the capitulary of this prince, given at Aix la Chapelle, in the year 803, with a view of removing the apprehenſions of the clergy upon this ſubject. But the donations already made were ſtill in † force. The biſhops very juſtly add, that Lewis the Debonnaire followed the example of Charlemain, and did not give away the church-lands to the ſoldiery.

And yet the old abuſes were carried to ſuch a pitch, that the laity under the children (m) of Lewis

(m) See the conſtitution of Lotharius I. in the law of the Lombards book iii. law 1. §. 43.

* See his capitulary in the year 803, given at Worms, Baluzius's edition, pag. 411. where he regulates the *precarious* contract; and that of Franckfort, in the year 794, pag. 267. art. 24 in relation to the repairing of the houſes; and that of the year 800, pag. 339

† As appears by the preceding note, and by the capitulary of Pepin king of Italy, where it ſays, that the king would give the monaſteries in fief to thoſe who would ſwear allegiance for fiefs: it is added to the law of the Lombards, book iii. tit. 1. §. 30. and to the Salic laws, collection of Pepin's laws in Echard, pag. 195, tit. 26. art. 4.

the

the Debonnaire preferred eccleſiaſtics to benefices, or turned them out of their livings, without the conſent * of the biſhops. The benefices (n) were divided amongſt the next heirs, and when they were held in an indecent manner, the biſhops (o) had no other remedy left than to remove the relics.

Book XXXI. Chap. 12.

(n) Ibid. §. 44.

(o) Ibid.

By the capitulary (p) of Compiegne, it is enacted, that the king's commiſſary ſhall have a right to viſit every monaſtery, together with the biſhop, by the conſent, and in preſence of the perſon who holds it; and this ſhews that the abuſe was general.

(p) Given the 28th year of the reign of Charles the Bald, in the year 868. Baluzius's edition. pag. 2, 3.

Not that there were laws wanting for the reſtitution of the church lands. The pope having reprimanded the biſhops for their neglect in regard to the re-eſtabliſhment of the monaſteries, they wrote to Charles the Bald (q) that they were not affected with this reproach, becauſe they were not culpable; and they reminded him of what had been promiſed, reſolved, and decreed in ſo many national aſſemblies. Accordingly they quoted nine.

(q) *Conſiſtum apud Bonoilum*, the 16th year of Charles the Bald, in the year 856. Baluzius's edition, pag. 78.

Still they went on diſputing; till the Normans came and made them all agree.

CHAP. XII.

Eſtabliſhment of the tithes.

THE regulations made under king Pepin had given the church rather hopes of relief, than effectually relieved her; and as Charles Martel found all the landed eſtates of the kingdom in the hands

* *Cum conſilio & conſenſu ipſius qui locum retinet.*

Book XXXI. Chap. 12.

of the clergy, Charlemain found all the church lands in the hands of the ſoldiery. The latter could not be compelled to reſtore a voluntary donation; and the circumſtances of that time rendered the thing ſtill more impracticable than it ſeemed to be of its own nature. On the other hand, chriſtianity ought not to have been loſt for want of miniſters *, churches, and inſtruction.

This was the reaſon of Charlemain's eſtabliſhing (f) the tithes, a new kind of property, which had this advantage in favour of the clergy, that as they were given particularly to the church, it was eaſier in proceſs of time to know when they were uſurped.

(f) Law of the Lombards, book 3. tit 3. §. 1. and 2.

Some have attempted to make this eſtabliſhment of an earlier date; but the authorities they produce ſeem rather, I think, to prove the contrary. The conſtitution of Clotharius † ſays only that they ſhall not raiſe certain ‡ tithes on church-lands: ſo far then was the church from exacting tithes at

* In the civil wars which broke out at the time of Charles Martel, the lands belonging to the Church of Rheims were given away to laymen; *the clergy were left to ſhift as well as they could*, ſays the life of Remigius, Surius, tom. 1. pag. 279.

† It is that on which I have deſcanted in the 4th chapter of this book, and which is to be found in Baluzius's edition of the capitularies, tom. 1. art. 11. pag. 9.

‡ *Agraria & paſcuaria vel decimas porcorum eccleſiæ concedimus, ita aut actor aut decimator in rebus eccleſiæ nullus accedat.* The capitulary of Charlemain in the year 800. Baluzius's edition, pag. 336, explains extremely well what is meant by that ſort of tithe from which the church is exempted by Clotharius; it was the tithe of the ſwine which were put into the king's foreſts to fatten; and Charlemain enjoins his judges to pay it, as well as other people, in order to ſet an example: it is plain, that this was a right of ſeigniory or œconomy.

that

that time, that its whole pretenſion was to be exempted from paying them. The ſecond council (g) of Macon, which was held in 585, and ordains the payment of tithes, ſays indeed that they were paid in ancient times; but it ſays alſo, that the cuſtom of paying them was then aboliſhed.

(g) *Canone 5. ex tomo 1. conciliorum antiquorum Galliæ, operâ Jacobi Sirmundi.*

No one queſtions but that the clergy opened the bible before Charlemain's time, and preached the gifts and offerings of the Leviticus. But I dare ſay, that before that prince's reign, though the tithes might have been preached up, they were never eſtabliſhed.

I took notice that the regulations made under king Pepin had ſubjected thoſe who were ſeized of church-lands in fief, to the payment of tithes, and to the repairing of the churches. It was a great point to oblige by a law, whoſe equity could not be diſputed, the principal men of the nation to ſet the example.

Charlemain did more; and we find by the capitulary (h) *de Villis*, that he obliged his own demeſnes to the payment of the tithes: this was ſtill a more ſtriking example.

(h) Art. 6. Baluzius's edition, pag. 332. it was given in the year 800.

But the commonalty are rarely influenced by example to ſacrifice their intereſts. The ſynod of (i) Frankfort furniſhed them with a more cogent motive to pay the tithes. A capitulary was made in that ſynod, wherein it is ſaid, that in the laſt * famine the ſpikes of corn were found to contain no ſeed, the infernal ſpirits having devoured it all, and that thoſe ſpirits had been heard to reproach

(i) Held under Charlemain, in the year 794.

* *Experimento enim didicimus in anno quo illa valida famis irrepſit, ebullire vacuas annonas a dæmonibus devoratas, & voces exprobrationis auditas*, &c. Baluzius's edition, pag. 267. art. 23.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 12.

proach them with not having paid the tithes; in conſequence of which it was ordained that all thoſe who were ſeized of church-lands, ſhould pay the tithes; and the next conſequence was that the obligation extended to all.

Charlemain's project did not ſucceed at firſt; for it ſeemed too heavy a burthen *. The payment of the tithes among the Jews was connected with the plan of the foundation of their republic; but here it was a burthen quite independent of the other charges of the eſtabliſhment of the monarchy. We find by the regulations (k) added to the law of the Lombards the difficulty there was in cauſing the tithes to be accepted by the civil laws; and as for the oppoſition they met with before they were admitted by the eccleſiaſtic laws, we may eaſily judge of it from the different canons of the councils.

(k) Among others, that of Lotharius book 3. tit. 3. chap. 6.

The people conſented at length to pay the tithes, upon condition that they might have a power of redeeming them. This the conſtitution of Lewis the Debonnaire (l), and that of the emperor Lotharius (m) his ſon, would not allow.

(l) In the year 829. art. 7. in Baluzius tom. 1. pag. 663.

The laws of Charlemain, in regard to the eſtabliſhment of tithes, were a work of neceſſity, not of ſuperſtition; a work in ſhort, in which religion only, was concerned.

(m) In the law of the Lombards book 3. tit. 3. § 8.

His famous diviſion of the tithes into four parts, for the repairing of the churches, for the poor, for the biſhop, and for the clergy, manifeſtly

* See amongſt the reſt the capitulary of Lewis the Debonnaire, in the year 829. Baluzius's edition, pag. 663. againſt thoſe who to avoid paying tithes neglected to cultivate the lands, &c. art. 5. *Nonis quidem & decimis, unde & genitor noſter et nos frequenter in diverſis placitis admonitionem fecimus.*

proves

proves that he wanted to reſtore the church to that fixt and permanent ſtate of which ſhe had been diveſted. Book XXXI. Chap. 13.

His will † ſhews that he was deſirous of repairing the miſchief done by his grandfather Charles Martel. He made three equal ſhares of his moveable goods; two of theſe he would have divided each into one-and-twenty parts, for the one-and-twenty metropolitan churches of his empire; each part was to be ſubdivided between the metropolitan, and the ſuffragan biſhops. The remaining third he diſtributed into four parts, one he gave to his children and grand-children, another was added to the two thirds already bequeathed, and the other two were aſſigned to charitable uſes. It ſeems as if he looked upon the immenſe donation he was making to the church, leſs as a religious act, than as a political diſtribution.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the elections of biſhops and abbots.

AS the church was grown poor, the kings reſigned the right of (n) nominating to biſhopricks and other eccleſiaſtic benefices. The princes gave themſelves leſs trouble about the eccleſiaſtic miniſters; and the candidates were leſs ſollicitous in applying to their authority. Thus the church received a kind of compenſation for the poſſeſſions ſhe had loſt.

(n) See the capitulary of Charlemain in the year 803. art. 2. Baluzius's edition, pag. 379. and the edict of Lewis the Pious, in the year 834, in Goldaſt. Conſtit. Imperial, tom. 1.

† It is a kind of codicil produced by Eginhard, and different from the will itſelf, which we find in Goldaſtus and Baluzius.

Hence

Book XXXI. Chap. 14.

Hence if Lewis the Debonnaire * left the people of Rome in poſſeſſion of the right of chuſing their popes, it was owing to the general ſpirit that prevailed in his time: he behaved in the ſame manner to the ſee of Rome as to other biſhoprics.

CHAP. XIV.

Of the fiefs of Charles Martel.

I Shall not pretend to determine whether Charles Martel in giving the church-lands in fief, made a grant of them for life or in perpetuity. All I know is, that under Charlemain §, and Lotharius I †. there were poſſeſſions of that kind which deſcended to the next heirs, and were divided amongſt them.

I find moreover that one part of them ‡ was given as *allodia*, and the other as fiefs.

I took notice that the proprietors of the allodia were ſubject to the ſervice all the ſame as the poſſeſſors of the fiefs. This, without doubt, was

* This is mentioned in the famous canon, *ego Ludovicus*, which is a palpable forgery; it is in Baluzius's edition, pag. 591. in the year 817.

§ As appears by his capitulary, in the year 801. art. 17. in Baluzius, tom. 1. pag. 360.

† See his conſtitution, inſerted in the code of the Lombards. book 3. tit. 1. §. 44.

‡ See the above conſtitution, and the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 846. chap. 20. *in Villa Sparnaco*, Baluzius's edition, tom. 2. pag. 31. and that of the year 853. chap. 3, and 5. in the ſynod of Soiſſons, Baluzius's edition. tom. 2. pag. 54. and that of the year 854. apud Attiniacum, chap. 10. Baluzius edition, tom. 2. pag. 70. See alſo the firſt capitulary of Charlemain, *incerti anni*, art. 49, and 56. Baluzius's edition, tom. 1. pag. 519.

partly

partly the reaſon that Charles Martel made grants of allodial lands, as well as of fiefs.

CHAP. XV.

The ſame Subject continued.

WE muſt obſerve, that the fiefs having been changed into church-lands, and theſe again into fiefs, they borrowed ſomething of each other. Thus the church lands had the privileges of fiefs, and theſe had the privileges of church-lands. Such were the * honorary rights of churches, which began at that time. And as thoſe rights have been ever annexed to the judiciary power, in preference to what is ſtill called the fief, it follows that the patrimonial juriſdictions were eſtabliſhed at the ſame time with thoſe very rights.

CHAP. XVI.

Confuſion of the royalty and mayoralty. The ſecond race.

THE connexion of my ſubject has made me invert the order of time, ſo as to ſpeak of Charlemain before I had mentioned the famous epocha of the tranſlation of the crown to the Carlovingians under king Pepin: a revolution which, contrary to the nature of ordinary events, is more remarked perhaps in our days than when it happened.

The kings had no authority; they had only an empty name. The regal title was hereditary, and

* See the capitularies, book 5. art. 44. and the edict of Piſtes in the year 869. art. 8. and 9. where we find the honorary rights of the lords eſtabliſhed, in the ſame manner as they are at this very day.

that

Book XXXI. Chap. 16.

that of mayor elective. Though it was latterly in the power of the mayors to place any of the Merovingians on the throne, they had not yet taken a king of another race; and the ancient law which fixed the crown in a particular family, was not yet eraſed out of the hearts of the Franks. The king's perſon was almoſt unknown in the monarchy; but the royalty was eſtabliſhed. Pepin, ſon of Charles Martel, thought it would be proper to confound thoſe two titles, a confuſion which would leave it a moot point, whether the new royalty was hereditary or not; and this was ſufficient for him, who to the regal dignity had joined a great power. The mayor's authority was then blended with that of the king. In the mixture of theſe two authorities a kind of reconciliation was made; the mayor had been elective, and the king hereditary: the crown at the beginning of the ſecond race was elective, becauſe the people choſe; it was hereditary, becauſe they always choſe in the ſame family *.

Father le Cointe, in oppoſition to the authority of all ancient records (°), denies † that the pope authoriſed this great change; and one of his reaſons is, that he would have committed an injuſtice. A fine thing to ſee an hiſtorian judge of facts from the circumſtances of duty; at this rate we ſhould have no hiſtory at all.

(°) The anonymous chron. in the year 752. and Chronic. Centul. in the year 754.

* See the will of Charlemain, and the diviſion which Lewis the Debonnaire made to his children in the aſſembly of the ſtates held at Quierzy, produced by Goldaſt, *quem populus eligere velit, ut patri ſuo ſuccedat in regni hæreditate.*

† *Fabella quæ poſt Pippini mortem excogitata eſt, æquitati & ſanctitati Zachariæ papæ plurimum adverſatur. . . . .* Eccleſiaſtic annals of the French, tom. 2. pag. 319.

Be

Book XXXI. Chap. 16.

Be that as it may, it is very certain that immediately after duke Pepin's victory, the Merovingians ceaſed to be the reigning family. When his grandſon Pepin was crowned king, it was only a ceremony the more, and a phantom the leſs; he acquired nothing thereby but the royal ornaments, there was no change made in the nation.

This I have ſaid in order to fix the moment of the revolution, that we may not be miſtaken in looking upon that as a revolution which was only a conſequence of it.

When Hugh Capet was crowned king at the beginning of the third race, there was a much greater change, becauſe the kingdom paſſed from a ſtate of anarchy to ſome kind of government; but when Pepin aſcended the throne, there was only a tranſition from one government to another of the ſame nature.

When Pepin was crowned king, there was only a change of name: but when Hugh Capet was crowned, there was a change in the nature of the thing, becauſe by uniting a great fief to the crown, the anarchy ceaſed.

When Pepin was crowned, the title of king was united to the higheſt office; when Hugh Capet was crowned, it was annexed to the greateſt fief.

CHAP. XVII.

A particular circumſtance in the election of the kings of the ſecond race.

WE find by the formulary (P) of Pepin's coronation, that Charles and Carloman were alſo anointed; and that the French nobility bound

(P) Vol. 5th of the hiſtorians of France by the Benedictins, pag. 9.

Book XXXI. Chap. 17.

bound themſelves, on pain of interdiction and excommunication, never to chuſe a prince * of another family.

It appears by the wills of Charlemain and Lewis the Debonnaire, that the Franks made a choice among the king's children; which agrees with the abovementioned clauſe. And when the empire was transferred from Charlemain's family, the election, which before had been conditional, became ſimple and abſolute; ſo that the ancient conſtitution was altered.

Pepin perceiving himſelf near his end, aſſembled (q) the lords both temporal and ſpiritual at S. Denis, and divided his kingdom between his two ſons Charles and Carloman. We have not the acts of this aſſembly; but we find what was there tranſacted, in the author of the ancient hiſtorical collection, publiſhed by Caniſius, and in (r) the writer of the annals of Metz, according to (f) the obſervation of Baluzius. Here I meet with two things in ſome meaſure contradictory; that he made this diviſion with the conſent of the nobility, and afterwards that he made it by his paternal authority. This proves what I ſaid, that the people's right in the ſecond race was to chuſe in the ſame family; it was properly ſpeaking, rather a right of excluſion, than that of election.

(q) In the year 768.

(r) Tom. 2. lectionis antiquæ.

(f) Edition of the capitularies, tom. 1. pag. 188.

This kind of elective right is confirmed by the records of the ſecond race. Such is this capitulary of the diviſion of the empire made by Charlemain among his three children, in which after ſettling

* *Ut nunquam de alterius lumbis regem in ævo præſumant eligere ſed ex ipſorum.* Vol. 5th of the hiſtorians of France, pag. 10.

their ſhares, he ſays (t) "*That if one of the three* "*brothers happens to have a ſon, ſuch as the people* "*ſhall be willing to chuſe as a fit perſon to ſucceed* "*to his father's kingdom, his uncles ſhall conſent* "*to it.*"

This ſame regulation is to be met with in the partition (u), which Lewis the Debonnaire made among his three children, Pepin, Lewis, and Charles in the year 837, at the aſſembly of Aix la Chapelle: and likewiſe in another * partition, made twenty years before, by the ſame emperor, in favour of Lotharius, Pepin, and Lewis. We may likewiſe ſee the oath which Lewis the Stammerer took at Compeigne, at his coronation. *I Lewis, by the divine mercy, and the people's election* (x) *appointed king do promiſe* . . . . What I ſay is confirmed by the acts of the council of Valence (y) held in the year 890, for the election of Lewis ſon of Boſon to the kingdom of Arles. Lewis was there elected, and the principal reaſon they give for chuſing him is, that he was of the imperial family †, that Charles the Fat had conferred upon him the dignity of king, and that the emperor Arnold had inveſted him by the ſcepter, and by the miniſtry of his ambaſſadors. The kingdom of Arles, like the other diſmembered or dependent kingdoms of Charlemain, was elective and hereditary.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 17.

(t) In the 1ſt capitulary of the year 806. Baluzius's edition, pag. 439. art. 5.

(u) In Goldaſt. Imperial. Conſtitut. tom. 2. pag. 19.

(x) Capitulary of the year 877. Baluzius's edition, pag. 272.

(y) In father Labbe's councils, tom. 9. col. 424. and in Dumont's Corp. Diplomati. tom. 1. art. 36.

* Baluzius's edition, pag. 574. art. 14. *Si vero aliquis illorum decedens legitimos filios reliquerit, non enter eos poteſtas ipſa dividatur, ſed potius populus pariter conveniens, unum ex eis quem dominus voluerit eligat, & hunc ſenior frater in loco fratris & filii ſuſcipiat.*

† By the mother's ſide.

CHAP. XVIII.

Charlemain.

Book XXXI. Chap. 18.

CHarlemain's attention was to reſtrain the power of the nobility within proper bounds, and to hinder them from oppreſſing the freemen and the clergy. He balanced the ſeveral orders of the ſtate, and remained perfect maſter of them all. The whole was united by the ſtrength of his genius. He led the nobility continually from one expedition to another; giving them no time to form conſpiracies, but employing them entirely in the execution of his deſigns. The empire was ſupported by the greatneſs of its chief: the prince was great, but the man was greater. The kings his children were his firſt ſubjects, the inſtruments of his power, and patterns of obedience. He made admirable regulations; and, what was ſtill more admirable, he took care to ſee them executed. His genius diffuſed itſelf through every part of the empire. We find in this prince's laws a ſpirit of forecaſt and ſagacity that comprizes every thing, and a certain force that appears irreſiſtible. All pretexts (z) for evading the performance of duties are removed, neglects are corrected, abuſes reformed or prevented. He knew how to puniſh, but he underſtood much better how to pardon. He was great in his deſigns, and ſimple in the execution of them. No prince ever poſſeſſed in a higher degree the art of performing the greateſt things with eaſe, and the moſt difficult with expedition. He was continually viſiting the ſeveral parts of his vaſt empire, and made them feel the weight

(z) See his 3d capitulary of the year 811. pag. 486. art. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. and the 1ſt capitulary of the year 812. pag. 490. art. 1. and the capitulary of the year 812. pag. 494. art. 9. and 11.

weight of his hand wherever he fell. New difficulties ſprung up on every ſide, and on every ſide he removed them. Never prince had more reſolution in facing dangers; never prince knew better how to avoid them. He mocked all manner of perils, and particularly thoſe to which great conquerors are generally ſubject, namely conſpiracies. This ſurpriſing prince was extremely moderate, of a very mild character, plain and ſimple in his behaviour. He loved to converſe freely with the lords of his court. He indulged perhaps too much his paſſion for the fair-ſex; a failing however which in a prince who always governed by himſelf, and who ſpent his life in a continual ſeries of toils, may merit ſome allowance. He was wonderfully exact in his expences; adminiſtering his demeſnes with prudence, attention, and œconomy. A father (a) might learn from his laws how to govern his family; and we find in his capitularies the pure and ſacred ſource from whence he derived his riches. I ſhall add only one word more: he gave orders that * the eggs in the bartons on his demeſnes and the ſuperfluous garden ſtuff ſhould be ſold; a moſt wonderful œconomy in a prince, who had diſtributed among his people all the riches of the Lombards, and the immenſe treaſures of thoſe Huns that had plundered the whole world.

(a) See the capitulary *de Villis*, in the year 800. his 2d capitulary of the year 813. art. 6. and 19. and the 5th book of the capitularies, art. 303.

* Capitul. *de villis*, art 39. See this whole capitulary, which is a maſter-piece of prudence, good adminiſtration, and œconomy.

CHAP. XIX.

The ſame Subject continued.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 19.

THIS great prince was afraid leſt thoſe whom he intruſted in diſtant parts with the command, ſhould be inclined to revolt; and thought he ſhould find more docility among the clergy. For this reaſon he erected a great number of biſhopricks in Germany (b), and endowed them with very large fiefs. It appears by ſome charters that the clauſes containing the prerogatives of thoſe fiefs, were not different from ſuch as were commonly inſerted in thoſe grants †; though at preſent we find the principal eccleſiaſtics of Germany inveſted with a ſovereign power. Be that as it may, theſe were ſome of the contrivances he uſed againſt the Saxons That which he could not expect from the indolence and ſupineneſs of a vaſſal, he thought he might promiſe himſelf from the ſedulous attention of a biſhop. Beſides a vaſſal of that kind, far from making uſe of the conquered people againſt him, would rather ſtand in need of his aſſiſtance to ſupport himſelf againſt his people.

(b) See among others the foundation of the archbiſhoprick of Bremen in the capitulary of the year 789. Baluzius's edition. pag. 245.

CHAP. XX.

Lewis the Debonnaire.

WHEN Auguſtus Cæſar was in Egypt, he ordered Alexander's tomb to be opened;

† For inſtance the prohibition to the king's judges againſt entering upon the territory to demand the *freda*, and other duties, I have ſaid a good deal concerning this in the preceding book.

and

and upon their aſking him whether he was willing they ſhould open the tombs of the Ptolemy's, he made anſwer that he wanted to ſee the king, and not the dead. Thus, in the hiſtory of the ſecond race, we are continually looking for Pepin and Charlemain; we want to ſee the kings, and not the dead.

A prince who was the ſport of his paſſions, and a dupe even to his virtues; a prince who never underſtood rightly either his own ſtrength or weakneſs; a prince who was incapable of making himſelf either feared or beloved; a prince in fine, who with few vices in his heart, had all manner of defects in his underſtanding, took the reins of the empire into his hands which had been held by Charlemain.

At a time when the whole world is in tears for the death of his father, at a time of ſurprize and alarm, when the ſubjects of that extenſive empire, all call upon Charles, who is no more; at a time when he is advancing with all expedition to take poſſeſſion of his father's throne, he ſends ſome truſty officers before him, in order to ſeize the perſons of thoſe who had contributed to the irregularity of his ſiſters. This ſtep was productive of the moſt terrible cataſtrophes (c). It was imprudent and precipitate. He began with puniſhing domeſtic crimes, before he reached the palace; and with alienating the minds of his ſubjects, before he aſcended the throne.

His nephew, Bernard king of Italy being come to implore his clemency, he ordered his eyes to be put out, which proved the cauſe of that prince's death a few days after, and created Lewis a great many

(c) The anonymous author of the life of Lewis the Debonnaire in Ducheſne's collection, tom. 2. pag. 295.

Book XXXI. Chap. 20

enemies. His apprehenſion of the conſequence induced him to ſhut his brothers up in a monaſtry; by which means the number of his enemies increaſed. Theſe two laſt tranſactions were afterwards laid to his charge in a judicial manner*, and his accuſers did not fail to tell him, that he had violated his oath, and the ſolemn promiſes which he had made to his father on the day of his coronation.

After the death of the empreſs Hermengardis, by whom he had three children, he married Judith, and had a ſon by that princeſs; but ſoon mixing all the indulgence of an old huſband, with all the weakneſs of an old king, he flung his family into a diſorder, which was followed with the downfal of the monarchy.

He was continually altering the partitions he had made among his children. And yet theſe partitions had been confirmed each in their turn by his own oath, and by thoſe of his children and the nobility. This was as if he wanted to try the fidelity of his ſubjects; it was endeavouring by confuſion, ſcruples, and equivocation to puzzle their obedience; it was confounding the different rights of thoſe princes, and rendering their titles dubious, eſpecially at a time when there were but few fortreſſes, and when the principal bulwark of authority was the fealty ſworn and accepted.

The emperor's children, in order to preſerve their ſhares, courted the clergy, and granted them privileges till then unheard. Theſe privileges were

* See his trial and the circumſtances of his depoſition, in Duchеſne's collection, tom. 2, pag. 133.

ſpecious;

ſpecious; and the clergy in return were made to warrant the revolution in favour of thoſe princes. Agobard (c) repreſents to Lewis the Debonnaire, his having ſent Lotharius to Rome, in order to have him declared emperor; and that he had made a diviſion of his dominions among his children, after having conſulted heaven by three days faſting and praying. What defence could ſuch a weak prince make againſt the attack of ſuperſtition? 'Tis eaſy to perceive the ſhock which the ſupreme authority muſt have twice received from his impriſonment, and from his public penance; they would fain degrade the king, and they degraded the regal dignity.

(c) See his letters.

We find a difficulty at firſt to conceive how a prince who was poſſeſſed of ſeveral good qualities, who had ſome knowledge, who had a natural diſpoſition to virtue, and who in ſhort was the ſon of Charlemain, could have ſuch a number of enemies ‡, ſo impetuous and implacable as even to inſult him in his humiliation, and to be determined upon his ruin: and indeed they would have utterly completed it, if his children, who in the main were more honeſt than they, had been ſteady in their deſign, and could have agreed amongſt themſelves.

CHAP. XXI.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE ſtrength and ſolidity for which the kingdom was indebted to Charlemain, ſtill ſubſiſted

‡ See his trial and the circumſtances of his depoſition, in Du Cheſne's collection, tom. 2. p. 331. See alſo his life written by Tegan: *Tanto enim odio laborabat, ut tæderet eos vita ipſius*, ſays this anonymous author in Du Cheſne, tom. 2. p. 307.

Book XXXI. Chap. 21.

ſiſted under Lewis the Debonnaire in ſuch a degree as enabled the ſtate to ſupport its grandeur, and to command reſpect from foreign nations. The prince's underſtanding was weak, but the nation was warlike. His authority declined at home, though there ſeemed to be no diminution of power abroad.

Charles Martel, Pepin, and Charlemain were in ſucceſſion rulers of the monarchy. The firſt flattered the avarice of the ſoldiers; the other two that of the clergy.

In the French conſtitution, the whole power of the ſtate was lodged in the hands of the king, the nobility, aud clergy. Charles Martel, Pepin, and Charlemain, joined ſometimes their intereſt with one of thoſe parties to check the other, and generally with both; but Lewis the Debonnaire could gain the affection of neither. He diſobliged the biſhops by publiſhing regulations which had the air of ſeverity, becauſe he carried things to a greater length than was agreeable to their inclination. Very good laws may be ill-timed. The biſhops in thoſe days, being accuſtomed to take the field againſt the Saracens *, and the Saxons, had very little of the ſpirit of religion. On the other hand, as he had no longer any confidence in the nobility, he promoted mean people, turning the nobles out of their employments at court †, to make

* Tegan ſays that what ſeldom happened under Charlemain was a common practice under Lewis.

† Being deſirous to check the nobility, he promoted one Bernard to the place of chamberlain, by which the great lords were exaſperated to the higheſt pitch.

make room for ſtrangers and upſtarts. By theſe means the affections of the two great bodies of the nobility and clergy were alienated from their prince, the conſequence of which was a total deſertion. Book XXXI. Chap. 22.

CHAP. XXII.

The ſame Subject continued.

BUT what chiefly contributed to weaken the monarchy, was the extravagance of this prince in alienating the crown demeſnes *. And here it is that we ought to liſten to the account of Nitard, one of our moſt judicious hiſtorians, a grandſon of Charlemain, ſtrongly attached to Lewis the Debonnaire, and who wrote his hiſtory by order of Charles the Bald.

He ſays, "that one Adelhard for ſome time "gained ſuch an aſcendant over the emperor, that "this prince conformed to his will in every thing; "that at the inſtigation of this favourite, he had "granted the crown lands † to every body that "aſked them, by which means the ſtate was "ruined." ‡ Thus he did the ſame miſchief throughout the empire, as I (*) obſerved he had done in Aquitaine; the former Charlemain redreſſed; but the latter was paſt all remedy.

(*) See book xxx. c. 13.

* *Villas regias quæ erant ſui & avi & tritavi, fidelibus ſuis tradidit eas in poſſeſſiones ſempiternas: fecit enim hoc diu tempore.* Tegan de Geſtis Ludovici Pii.

† *Hinc libertates, hinc publica in propriis uſibus diſtribuere ſuaſit.* Nitard, lib. 4. prope finem.

‡ *Rempublicam penitùs annullavit.* Ibid.

The

Book XXXI. Chap. 23.

The ſtate was reduced to the ſame debility in which Charles Martel found it upon his acceſſion to the mayoralty; and ſo deſperate were its circumſtances, that no exertion of authority was any longer capable of ſaving it.

The treaſury was ſo exhauſted, that in the reign of Charles the Bald, no one could continue [b] in his employments, nor be ſafe in his perſon, without paying for it. When they had it in their power to deſtroy the Normans [c], they took money to let them eſcape: and the firſt advice which Hincmar gives to Lewis the Stammerer, is to aſk of the aſſembly of the nation, a ſufficient allowance to defray the expences of his houſhold.

(b) Hincmar, let. 1. to Lewis the Stammerer.

(c) See the fragment of the chronicle of the monaſtery of S. Sergius in Angers, in Du Cheſne, tom. ii. p. 40.

CHAP. XXIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

THE clergy had reaſon to repent the protection they had granted to the children of Lewis the Debonnaire. This prince, as I have already obſerved, had never given [d] any of the church-lands by *precepts* to the laity; but it was not long before Lotharius in Italy, and Pepin in Aquitaine, quitted Charlemain's plan, and reſumed that of Charles Martel. The clergy had recourſe to the emperor againſt his children, but they themſelves had weakened the authority to which they appealed. In Aquitaine ſome condeſcenſion was ſhewn, but none in Italy.

(d) See what the biſhops ſay in the ſynod of the year 845. *apud Teudonis villam*, art.

The civil wars with which the life of Lewis the Debonnaire had been embroiled, were the ſeed of thoſe which followed his death. The three brothers Lotharius, Lewis, and Charles, endeavoured each to bring over the nobility to their party. To ſuch

as were willing therefore to follow them they granted church-lands by *precepts*; ſo that to gain the nobility, they ſacrificed the clergy.

We find in the capitularies *, that thoſe princes were obliged to yield to the importunity of demands, and that what they would not often have freely granted, was extorted from them: we find that the clergy thought themſelves more oppreſſed by the nobility than by the kings. It appears that Charles the Bald † became the greateſt enemy of the patrimony of the clergy, whether he was moſt incenſed againſt them for having degraded his father on their account, or whether he was the moſt timorous. Be that as it may, we meet with § continual quarrels

* See the ſynod in the year 845, *apud Teudonis villam*, art. 3. & 4. which gives a very exact deſcription of things; as alſo, that of the ſame year, held at the palace of *Vernes*, art. 12. and the ſynod of Beauvais alſo in the ſame year, art. 3, 4, and 6. and the capitulary in *Villa Sparnaco*, in the year 846. art. 20. and the letter which the biſhops aſſembled at Rheims wrote in 858, to Lewis king of Germany, art. 8.

† See the capitulary in *Villa Sparnaco*, in the year 846. The nobility had ſet the king againſt the biſhops, inſomuch that he expelled them from the aſſembly; a few of the canons enacted in council were picked out, and the prelates were told that theſe were the only ones which ſhould be obſerved; nothing was granted them that could be refuſed. See art. 20, 21, and 22. See alſo the letter which the biſhops aſſembled at Rheims wrote in the year 858 to Lewis king of Germany, and the edict of Piſtes, in the year 864. art. 5,

§ See this very capitulary in the year 846, *in Villa Sparnaco*. See alſo the capitulary of the aſſembly held *apud Marſnam*, in the year 847. art. 4. wherein the clergy reduced themſelves to demand only the reſtitution of what they had been poſſeſſed of under Lewis the Debonnaire. See alſo the capitulary of the year 851. *apud Marſnam*, art. 6, & 7. which confirms the nobility and clergy in their ſeveral poſſeſſions, and that *apud Bonoilum*, in the year 856, which is a remonſtrance of the biſhops to the king, becauſe the evils, after ſo many laws, had not been redreſſed; and, in fine, the letter which the biſhops aſſembled at Rheims wrote in the year 858, to Lewis king of Germany, art. 8,

Book XXXI. Chap. 23.

quarrels in the capitularies; between the clergy who demanded their eſtates, and the nobility who refuſed or deferred to reſtore them; and the kings acting as mediators.

The ſituation of affairs at that time is a ſpectacle really deſerving of pity. While Lewis the Debonnaire made immenſe donations out of his demeſnes to the clergy; his children diſtributed the church-lands among the laity. The ſame prince with one hand enriched, and with another oftentimes ſtripped the clergy. The latter had no fixt ſtate; one moment they were plundered, another they received ſatisfaction: but the crown was continually loſing.

Towards the cloſe of the reign of Charles the Bald, and from that time forward, there was an end of the diſputes of the clergy and laity, concerning the reſtitution of church lands. The biſhops indeed breathed out ſtill a few ſighs in their remonſtrances to Charles the Bald, which we find in the capitulary of the year 856, and in the letter (°) they wrote to Lewis king of Germany, in the year 858: but they propoſed things, and challenged promiſes, ſo often eluded, that we plainly ſee they had no longer any hopes of obtaining their deſire.

(°) Art. 8.

(ᵖ) See the capitulary of the year 852, art. 6. & 7.

All that could be expected then, was (ᵖ) to repair in general the injuries done both to church and ſtate. The kings engaged not to deprive the nobility of their freemen, and not to give away any more church lands by *precepts* *; ſo that the intereſts

* Charles the Bald, in the ſynod of Soiſſons, ſays, *that he had promiſed the biſhops not to iſſue out any more precepts relating to church-lands.* Capitularies of the year 853. art. 11. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. p. 56.

of

of the clergy and nobility ſeemed then to be united.

The dreadful depredations of the Normans, as I have already obſerved, contributed greatly to put an end to thoſe quarrels.

The authority of our kings diminiſhing every day, both for the reaſons already given, and thoſe which I ſhall mention hereafter, they imagined they had no better reſource left, than to reſign themſelves into the hands of the clergy. But the eccleſiaſtics had weakened the power of the kings, and theſe had diminiſhed the influence of the eccleſiaſtics.

In vain did Charles the Bald and his ſucceſſors call in the church to ſupport the ſtate, and to prevent its ruin; in vain did they avail themſelve of the * reſpect which the commonalty had for that body, to maintain that which they ſhould alſo have for their prince; in vain did they endeavour (q) to give an authority to their laws by that of the canons; in vain did they join the eccleſiaſtic (r) with the civil puniſhments; in vain to counterbalance the authority of the count (s) did they give to each biſhop the title of their commiſſary in the ſeveral provinces: it was impoſſible for the clergy to

(q) See the capitulary of Charles the Bald de Cariſiaco, in the year 857. Baluzius's edition, tom. ii. pag. 81 §. 1, 2, 4, & 7.

(r) See the ſynod of Piſtes in the year 802. art. 4. and the capitulary of Lewis II. *apud Vernis palatium*, in the year 883. art. 4, & 5.

* See the capitulary of Charles the Bald, apud Saponarias, in the year 859. art. 3. "Venilon, whom I made archbiſhop of "Sens, has conſecrated me; and I ought not to be expelled the "kingdom by any body," *Saltem ſine audientia & judicio epiſcoporum, quorum miniſterio in regem ſum conſecratus, & qui throni Dei ſunt dicti, in quibus Deus ſedet, & per quos ſua decernit judicia, quorum paternis correctionibus & caſtigatoriis judiciis me ſubdere ſui paratus & in præſenti ſum ſubditus.*

(s) Capitulary of the year 876, under Charles the Bald, in Synodo Pontigonenſi. Baluzius's edition, art. 12.

repair

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 24.

repair the miſchief they had done; and a terrible misfortune, which I ſhall preſently mention, proved the ruin of the monarchy.

CHAP. XXIV.

That the Freemen were rendered capable of holding Fiefs.

I Said that the freemen were led againſt the enemy by their count, and the vaſſals by their lord. This was the reaſon that the ſeveral orders of the ſtate balanced each other; and though the king's vaſſals had other vaſſals under them, yet they might be over-awed by the count, who was at the head of all the freemen of the monarchy.

The freemen (t) were not allowed at firſt to do homage for a fief; but in proceſs of time this was permitted: and I find that this change was made during the period that elapſed from the reign of Gontram to that of Charlemain. This I prove by the compariſon which may be drawn between the treaty of Andely (u), ſigned by Gontram, Childebert, and queen Brunechild, and the * partition made by Charlemain amongſt his children, as well as a like partition by Lewis the Debonnaire. Theſe three acts contain nearly the ſame regulations with regard to the vaſſals; and as they determine the very ſame points, under almoſt the ſame circumſtances, the ſpirit as well as the letter of thoſe three treaties in this reſpect are very much alike.

(t) See what has been ſaid already, book xxx. laſt chapter towards the end.

(u) In the year 587. in Gregory of Tours, book 9.

But as to what concerns the freemen, there is a capital difference. The treaty of Andely does not

* See the following chapter, where I ſhall ſpeak more diffuſively of thoſe partitions; and the notes in which they are quoted.

ſay

ſay that they might do homage for a fief; whereas we find in the diviſions of Charlemain and Lewis the Debonnaire expreſs clauſes to empower them to do homage. This ſhews that a new uſage had been introduced after the treaty of Andely, whereby the freemen were become capable of this great privilege. Book XXXI. Chap. 25.

This muſt have happened when Charles Martel, after diſtributing the church-lands to his ſoldiers, partly in fief, and partly as *allodia*, made a kind of revolution in the feudal laws. 'Tis very probable that the nobility who were ſeized already of fiefs, found a greater advantage in receiving the new grants as *allodia*; and that the freemen thought themſelves happy in accepting them as fiefs.

CHAP. XXV.

THE PRINCIPAL CAUSE OF THE HUMILIATION OF THE SECOND RACE.

Changes in the allodia.

CHARLEMAIN in the partition (w) mentioned in the preceding chapter, ordained, that after his death, the vaſſals belonging to each king, ſhould be permitted to receive benefices in their own ſovereign's dominion, and not in thoſe (x) of another; whereas they * might keep their allodial eſtates in any of their dominions. But he adds †, that every freeman might, after the

(w) In the year 806. between Charles, Pepin and Lewis; it is quoted by Goldaſt, and by Baluzius, tom. 1. p. 439.

(x) Art. 9. pag. 443. which is agreeable to the treaty of Andely in Gregory of Tours, book ix.

* Art. 10. and there is no mention made of this in the treaty of Andely.

† In Baluzius. tom. 1. 574. *Licentiam habeat unuſquiſque liber homo qui ſeniorem non habuerit, cuicumque ex his tribus fra-tribus*

Book XXXI. Chap. 25.

the death of his lord, do homage in any of the three kingdoms to whom he pleaſed, as well as he that never had been ſubject to a lord. We find the ſame regulations in the partition which Lewis the Debonnaire made among his children in the year 817.

But though the freemen had done homage for a fief, yet the count's militia was not thereby weakened: the freeman was ſtill obliged to contribute for his *allodium*, and to get people ready for the ſervice belonging to it, at the proportion of one man to four manors; or elſe to procure a man that ſhould do the duty of the fief in his ſtead. And when ſome abuſes had been introduced upon this head, they were redreſſed, as appears by the conſtitutions * of Charlemain, and by that (y) of Pepin king of Italy, which explain each other.

(y) In the year 793. inſerted in the law of the Lombards, book 3. tit. 9. chap. 9.

The remark made by hiſtorians, that the battle of Fontenay was the ruin of the monarchy, is very true: but I beg leave to caſt an eye on the unhappy conſequences of that day.

Some time after that battle, the three brothers Lotharius, Lewis and Charles, made a treaty (z), wherein I find ſome clauſes which muſt have altered the whole political ſyſtem of the French government.

(z) In the year 847. quoted by *Aubert Le Mire*, and Baluzius, tom. ii. p. 42. *Conventus apud Marſnam.*

tribus voluerit, ſe commendandi, art. 9. See alſo the diviſion made by the ſame emperor in the year 837. art. 6. Baluzius's edition, pag. 686.

* In the year 811. Baluzius's edition, tom. 1. pag. 486. art. 7. and 8. and that of the year 812. ibid. pag. 490. art. 1. *Ut omnis liber homo qui quatuor manſos veſtitos de proprio ſuo, ſive de alicujus beneficio, habet, ipſe ſe præparet, & ipſe in hoſtem pergat ſive cum ſeniore ſuo*, &c. See alſo the capitulary of the year 807. Baluzius's edition, tom. 1. pag. 458.

In

In the declaration *, which Charles made to the people, of that part of the treaty relating to them, he ſays, that † every freeman might chuſe whom he pleaſed for his lord, whether the king or any of the nobility. Before this treaty the freeman might do homage for a fief; but his *allodium* ſtill continued under the immediate power of the king, that is, under the count's juriſdiction; and he depended on the lord to whom he vowed fealty, only on account of the fief which he had obtained. After that treaty, every freeman had a right to ſubject his *allodium* to the king, or to any other lord, as he thought proper. The queſtion is not in regard to thoſe who put themſelves under the protection of another for a fief, but to ſuch as changed their allodial into a feudal land, and withdrew themſelves, as it were, from the civil juriſdiction, to enter under the power of the king, or of the lord whom they thought proper to chuſe.

Thus it was that thoſe who formerly were only under the king's power, as freemen under the count, became inſenſibly vaſſals one of another, ſince every freeman might chuſe whom he pleaſed for his lord, the king, or any of the nobility.

2. If a man changed an eſtate, which he poſſeſſed in perpetuity into a fief, this new fief could no longer be only for life. Hence we ſee, a ſhort time after, a ‡ general law for giving the

* *Adnunciatio.*

† *Ut unuſquiſque liber homo in noſtro regno ſeniorem quem voluerit in nobis & in noſtris fidelibus accipiat*, art. 2. of the Declaration of Charles.

‡ Capitulary of the year 877. tit. 53. art. 9. and 10. apud Cariſiacum, *ſimiliter & de noſtris vaſſallis faciendum eſt*, &c.

This

Book XXXI. Chap. 24.

fiefs to the children of the preſent poſſeſſor: it was made by Charles the Bald, one of the three contracting princes.

What has been ſaid concerning the liberty every freeman had in the monarchy, after the treaty of the three brothers, of chuſing whom he pleaſed for his lord, the king or any of the nobility, is confirmed by the acts ſubſequent to that time.

In the reign † of Charlemain, when the vaſſal had received a preſent of a lord, were it worth only a ſol, he could not afterwards quit him. But, under Charles the Bald, the vaſſals ‡ might follow what was agreeable to their intereſts, or their inclination, with intire ſafety; and ſo ſtrongly does this prince explain himſelf on this ſubject, that he ſeems rather to encourage them in the enjoyment of this liberty, than to reſtrain it. In Charlemain's time, benefices were rather perſonal than real; afterwards they became rather real than perſonal.

This capitulary relates to another of the ſame year, and of the ſame place, art. 3.

* Capitulary of Aix la Chapelle, in the year 813. art. 16. *quod nullus ſeniorem ſuum dimittat poſtquam ab eo acceperit valente ſolidum unum*; and the capitulary of Pepin, in the year 783. art. 5.

† See the capitulary *de Cariſiaco*, in the year 856. art. 10. and 13. Baluzius's edition. tom. 2. pag. 83. in which the king, together with the lords ſpiritual and temporal, agreed to this; *Et ſi aliquis de vobis ſit cui ſuus ſenioratus non placet, & illi ſimulat ad alium ſeniorem meliùs quam ad illum acaptare poſſit, veniat ad illum, & ipſe tranquille & pacifico animo donat illi commeatum . . . & quod Deus illi cupierit ad alium ſeniorem acaptare potuerit, pacifici habeat.*

CHAP.

CHAP. XXV.

Changes in the fiefs.

THE ſame changes happened in the fiefs, as in the *allodia*. We find by the capitulary (a) of Compeigne, under king Pepin, that thoſe who had received a benefice from the king, gave a part of this benefice to different bondmen; but theſe parts were not diſtinct from the whole. The king revoked them when he revoked the whole; and at the death of the king's vaſſal, the rear-vaſſal loſt alſo his rear-fief; and a new beneficiary ſucceeded, who likewiſe eſtabliſhed new rear-vaſſals. Thus it was the perſon, and not the rear-fief, that depended on the fief; on the one hand, the rear-vaſſal returned to the king, becauſe he was not tied for ever to the vaſſal; and the rear-fief returned alſo to the king, becauſe it was the fief itſelf, and not a dependance of it.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 25

(a) In the year 757. art. 6. Baluzius's edition, pag. 181.

Such was the rear-vaſſalage, while the fiefs were during pleaſure; and ſuch was it alſo while they were for life. This was altered when the fiefs deſcended to the next heirs, and the rear-fiefs the ſame. That which was held before immediately of the king, was held now mediately; and the regal power was thrown back, as it were, one degree; ſometimes two, and oftentimes more.

We find in the books (b) of fiefs, that though the king's vaſſals might give away in fief, that is, in rear-fief to the king, yet theſe rear-vaſſals or petty vavaſors, could not give alſo in fief; ſo that whatever they had given, they

(b) Book 1. chap. 1.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 25.

might always reſume. Beſides, a grant of that kind did not deſcend to the children like the fiefs, becauſe it was not ſuppoſed to have been made according to the feudal laws.

If we compare the ſituation in which the rear-vaſſalage was at the time when the two Milaneſe ſenators wrote that book, to what it was under king Pepin, we ſhall find that the rear-fiefs preſerved * their primitive nature longer than the fiefs.

But when thoſe ſenators wrote, ſuch general exceptions had been made to this rule, as had almoſt aboliſhed it. For if a perſon (c) who had received a fief of a rear-vaſſal, happened to follow him upon an expedition to Rome, he was intitled to all the privileges of a vaſſal. In like manner, if he had given money to the rear-vaſſal to obtain the fief, the latter could not take it from him, nor hinder him from tranſmitting it to his ſon, till he returned him his money: in fine, this rule (d) was no longer obſerved by the ſenate of Milan.

(c) Book 1. of fiefs, chap. 1.

(d) Ibid.

CHAP. XXVI.

Another change which happened in the fiefs.

IN Charlemain's time (e) they were obliged, under great penalties, to repair to the general meeting in caſe of any war whatſoever; they admitted of no excuſes, and if the count exempted any one he was liable himſelf to be puniſhed. But the treaty of the three brothers (f)

(e) Capitulary of the year 802. art. 7. Baluzius's edtion pag. 365.

(f) Apud Marſnam, in the year 817. Baluzius's edition, pag. 42.

* At leaſt in Italy and Germany.

made

made a reſtriction * upon this head, which reſcued the nobility, as it were, out of the king's hands; they were no longer obliged to ſerve in time of war, but when the war was defenſive. In others, they were at liberty to follow their lord, or to mind their own buſineſs. This treaty relates to another †, concluded five years before, between the two brothers, Charles the Bald and Lewis king of Germany, by which theſe princes releaſe their vaſſals from ſerving them in war, in caſe they ſhould attempt hoſtilities againſt each other; an agreement which the two princes confirmed by oath, and at the ſame time, made their armies ſwear to it. BOOK XXXI. Chap. 26.

The death of an hundred thouſand French, at the battle of Fontenay, made the remains of the nobility imagine, that by the private quarrels of their kings, about their reſpective ſhares, their whole body ſhould be exterminated, and that the ambition and jealouſy of thoſe princes would end in the deſtruction of all the beſt families of the kingdom. A law was therefore paſſed, that the nobility ſhould not be obliged to ſerve their princes in war, unleſs it was to defend the ſtate againſt a foreign invaſion. This law (z) obtained for ſeveral ages.

(z) See the law of Guy king of the Romans among thoſe which were added to the Salic law, and to that of the Lombards tit. 6. § 2. in *Echard.*

* *Volumus ut cujuſcumque noſtrûm homo in cujuſcumque regno ſit, cum ſeniore ſuo in hoſtem, vel aliis ſuis utilitatibus, pergat, niſi talis regni invaſio quam* LAMTUVERI *dicunt, quod abſit, acciderit, ut omnis populus illius regni ad eam repellendam communiter pergat.* art. 5. ibid. pag. 44.

† *Apud argentoratum*, in Baluzius, capitularies, tom. 2. pag. 39.

CHAP. XXVII.

Changes which happened in the great offices, and in the fiefs.

Book XXXI. Chap. 27.

THE many changes introduced into the fiefs, in particular caſes, ſeemed to ſpread ſo wide as to be productive of a general corruption. I took notice, that in the beginning ſeveral fiefs had been alienated in perpetuity; but thoſe were particular caſes, and the fiefs in general preſerved their nature; ſo that if the crown loſt ſome fiefs, it ſubſtituted others in their ſtead. I obſerved likewiſe, that the crown had never alienated the great offices in perpetuity*.

But Charles the Bald made a general regulation, which equally affected the great offices, and the fiefs. He ordained, in his capitularies, that the (h) counties ſhould be given to the children of the count, and that this regulation ſhould alſo take place in reſpect to the fiefs.

(h) See his capitulary of the year 877. tit. 53. art. 9. and 10. *apud Carifiacum*; this capitulary is relative to another of the ſame year and place, art. 3.

We ſhall ſee preſently that this regulation received a more conſiderable extent, inſomuch that the great offices and fiefs went even to diſtant relations. From thence it followed, that moſt of the lords, who before this time had held immediately of the crown, held now only mediately. Thoſe counts who formerly adminiſtered juſtice in the king's *placita*, and who led the freemen

* Some authors pretend that the county of Toulouſe had been given away by Charles Martel, and paſſed by inheritance down to Raymond the laſt count; but if this be true, it was owing to ſome circumſtances, which might have been an inducement to chuſe the counts of Toulouſe from among the children of the laſt poſſeſſor.

againſt

againſt the enemy, found themſelves ſituated between the king and his freemen; and the king's power was removed farther off another degree.

Again it appears from the capitularies (l), that the counts had benefices annexed to their counties, and vaſſals under them. When the counties became hereditary, the count's vaſſals were no longer the immediate vaſſals of the king; and the benefices annexed to the counties were no longer the king's benefices: the counts grew powerful, becauſe the vaſſals, whom they had already under them, enabled them to procure others.

In order to be convinced how much the monarchy was thereby weakened towards the end of the ſecond race, we have only to caſt an eye on what happened at the beginning of the third, when the multiplicity of rear-fiefs flung the great vaſſals into deſpair.

It was a cuſtom (m) of the kingdom, that when the elder brothers had given ſhares to their younger brothers, the latter paid homage to the elder; ſo that thoſe ſhares were held of the lord paramount only as a rear-fief. Philip Auguſtus, the duke of Burgundy, the counts of Nevers, Boulogne, S. Paul, Dampierre, and other lords declared (n) that henceforward, whether the fief was divided by ſucceſſion, or otherwiſe, the whole ſhould be held always of the ſame lord, without any intermediation. This ordinance was not generally followed; for as I have elſewhere obſerved, it was impoſſible to make general ordinances at that time; but many of our cuſtoms were regulated by them.

(l) The 3d capitulary of the year 812. art. 7. and that of the year 815. art. 6. on the Spaniards. The collection of the capitularies, book 5. art. 223. and the capitulary of the year 869. art. 2. and that of the year 877. art. 13. Baluzius's edition.

(m) As appears from Otho of Friſingen, *of the actions of Frederic*, book 2. chap. 29.

(n) See the ordinance of Philip Auguſtus in the year 1209. in the new collection.

CHAP. XXXVIII.

Of the nature of the fiefs after the reign of Charles the Bald.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 28.

WE have obſerved, that Charles the Bald ordained that when the poſſeſſor of a great office or of a fief left a ſon at his death, the office or fief ſhould devolve to him. It would be a difficult matter to trace the progreſs of the abuſes which from thence reſulted, and of the extenſion given to that law in each country. I find in the books (°) of fiefs, that towards the beginning of the reign of the emperor Conrad II. the fiefs ſituated in his dominions did not deſcend to the grandchildren: they deſcended only to one of the laſt poſſeſſor's children *, who had been choſen by the lord: thus the fiefs were given by a kind of election, which the lord made among the children.

(°) Book 1. tit. 1.

In the ſeventeenth chapter of this book, we have explained in what manner the crown was in ſome reſpects elective, and in others hereditary, under the ſecond race. It was hereditary, becauſe, the kings were always taken from that family, and becauſe the children ſucceeded; it was elective, by reaſon the people choſe from amongſt the children. As things of a ſimilar nature move generally alike, and one political law is conſtantly relative to another, the ſame ſpirit was followed † in the ſucceſſion of fiefs, as had been obſerved

* *Sic progreſſum eſt, ut ad filios deveniret in quem Dominus hoc vellet beneficium confirmare.* Ibid.

† At leaſt in Italy and Germany.

in

in the ſucceſſion to the crown. Thus the fiefs were transmitted to the children by the right of ſucceſſion, as well as of election; and each fief was become both elective and hereditary, like the crown. Book XXXI. Chap. 29.

This right of election in the perſon of the lord, was not ſubſiſting * at the time of the authors (a) of the books of fiefs, that is, in the reign of the emperor Frederic I.

(a) Gerardus Niger and Aubertus de Orto.

CHAP. XXIX.

The ſame Subject continued.

IT is mentioned in the books of fiefs, that when † the emperor Conrad ſet out for Rome, the vaſſals in his ſervice preſented a petition to him, that he would pleaſe to make a law, that the fiefs which deſcended to the children, ſhould deſcend alſo to the grandchildren; and that he whoſe brother died without legitimate heirs, might ſucceed to the fief which had belonged to their common father: This was granted.

In the ſame place it is ſaid, (and we are to remember, that thoſe writers ‡ lived at the time of the emperor Frederic I.) "that the ancient civi-" lians § had always been of opinion, that the "ſuc-

* *Quod hodie ita ſtabilitum eſt, ut ad omnes æqualiter veniat,* book 1. of the fiefs, tit. 1.

† *Cum verò Conradus Romam proficiſceretur, petitum eſt a fidelibus qui in ejus erant ſervitio, ut, lege ab eo promulgatâ, hoc etiam ad nepotes ex filio producere dignaretur, & ut frater fratri ſine legitimo hærede defuncto in beneficio quod eorum patris fuit, ſuccedat,* book 1. of fiefs, tit. 1.

‡ Cujas has proved it extremely well.

§ *Sciendum eſt quòd beneficium advenientes ex latere, ultra fratres patrueles non progreditur ſucceſſione ab antiquis ſapientibus conſtitutum, licet*

Book XXXI. Chap. 29.

" ſucceſſion of fiefs in a collateral line did not " extend farther than to couſin germans by the " father's ſide, though of late it was carried as " far as the ſeventh degree, and by the new code " they had extended it in a direct line *in infinitum*." It is thus that Conrad's law was inſenſibly extended.

All theſe things being ſuppoſed, the bare peruſal of the hiſtory of France is ſufficient to demonſtrate, that the perpetuity of fiefs was eſtabliſhed earlier in this kingdom than in Germany. Towards the commencement of the reign of the emperor Conrad II. in 1024, things were upon the ſame footing ſtill in Germany, as they had been in France under the reign of Charles the Bald, who died in 877. But ſuch were the changes made in this kingdom after the reign of Charles the Bald, that Charles the Simple found himſelf unable to diſpute with a foreign houſe his inconteſtable rights to the empire; and, in fine, that in Hugh Capet's time the reigning family, ſtripped of all its demeſnes, was no longer in a condition to maintain the crown.

The weak underſtanding of Charles the Bald produced an equal weakneſs in the French monarchy. But as his brother Lewis king of Germany and ſome of that prince's ſucceſſors were men of better parts, their government preſerved its vigour much longer.

But what do I ſay? perhaps the flegmatic conſtitution, and, if I dare uſe the expreſſion, the immutability of ſpirit peculiar to the German nation

licet moderno tempore uſque ad ſeptimum geniculum ſit uſurpatum quod in maſculis deſcendentibus novo jure in infinitum extenditur. Ibid.

made a longer ſtand than the volatile temper of the French, againſt that diſpoſition of things, which perpetuated the fiefs, by a natural tendency, in families. BOOK XXXI. Chap. 29.

Beſides, the kingdom of Germany was not laid waſte, and annihilated, as it were, like that of France, by that particular kind of war with which it had been harraſſed by the Normans and Saracens. There were leſs riches in Germany, fewer cities to plunder, leſs extent of coaſt to ſcour, more marſhes to get over, more foreſts to penetrate. As the dominions of thoſe princes were leſs in danger of being ravaged and torn to pieces, they had leſs need of their vaſſals, and conſequently leſs dependance on them. And in all probability, if the emperors of Germany had not been obliged to be crowned at Rome, and to make continual expeditions into Italy, the fiefs would have preſerved their primitive nature much longer in that country.

CHAP. XXX.

In what manner the empire was transferred from the family of Charlemain.

THE empire, which in prejudice to the branch of Charles the Bald, had been already given to the * baſtard line of Lewis king of Germany, was transferred to a foreign houſe by the election of Conrad, duke of Franconia, in 912. The reigning branch in France being hardly able to diſpute a few villages, was much leſs in a ſituation to conteſt the empire. We have an agreement which

* Arnold, and his ſon Lewis IV.

paſſed

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 31.

paſſed between Charles the Simple and the emperor Henry I. who had ſucceeded to Conrad. It is called the compact of Bonn (b). Theſe two princes met in a veſſel which had been placed in the middle of the Rhine, and ſwore eternal friendſhip. They uſed on this occaſion an excellent *middle term*. Charles took the title of king of Weſt France, and Henry that of king of Eaſt France. Charles contracted with the king of Germany, and not with the emperor.

(b) In the year 926. quoted by Aubert le Mire, Cod. donationum piarum. chap. 27.

CHAP. XXXI.

In what manner the crown of France *was tranſferred to the houſe of* Hugh Capet.

THE inheritance of the fiefs, and the general eſtabliſhment of rear-fiefs, extinguiſhed the political, and formed a feudal government. Inſtead of that prodigious multitude of vaſſals who were formerly under the king, there were now a few only, on whom the others depended. The kings had ſcarce any longer a direct authority; a power which was to paſs through ſo many and through ſuch great powers, either ſtopt or was loſt before it reached its term. Thoſe great vaſſals would no longer obey; and they even made uſe of their rear-vaſſals to withdraw their obedience. The kings deprived of their demeſnes, and reduced to the cities of Rheims and Laon, were left expoſed to their mercy; the tree ſtretched out its branches too far, and the head was withered. The kingdom found itſelf without a demeſne, as the empire is at preſent. The crown was therefore given to one of the moſt potent vaſſals.

The

The Normans ravaged the kingdom; they ſailed in open boats or ſmall veſſels, entered the mouths of rivers, and laid the country waſte on both ſides. The cities of Orleans * and Paris put a ſtop to thoſe plunderers, ſo that they could not advance farther, either on the Seine, or on the Loire. Hugh Capet, who was maſter of thoſe cities, held in his hands the two keys of the unhappy remains of the kingdom; the crown was conferred upon him as the only perſon able to defend it. It is thus the empire was afterwards given to a family, whoſe dominions form ſo ſtrong a barrier againſt the Turks.

The empire went from Charlemain's family, at a time when the inheritance of fiefs was eſtabliſhed only as a mere condeſcendence. It even appears that this inheritance obtained much later (a) among the Germans than among the French; which was the reaſon that the empire, conſidered as a fief, was elective. On the contrary, when the crown of France went from the family of Charlemain, the fiefs were really hereditary in this kingdom; and the crown, as a great fief, was alſo hereditary.

But it is very wrong to refer to the very moment of this revolution, all the changes which happened, either before or afterwards. The whole was reduced to two events; the reigning family changed, and the crown was united to a great fief.

(a) See above, c. xxx. p. 199.

* See the capitulary of Charles the Bald, in the year 877, *apud Carifiacum*, on the importance of Paris, S. Denis, and the caſtles on the Loire, in thoſe days.

CHAP.

CHAP. XXXII.

Some conſequences of the perpetuity of fiefs.

Book XXXI. Chap. 32.

FROM the perpetuity of fiefs, it followed, that the right of ſeniority or primogeniture was eſtabliſhed among the French. This right was quite unknown under the firſt race ([d]); the crown was divided among the brothers, the *allodia* were ſhared in the ſame manner; and as the fiefs whether precarious, or for life, were not an object of ſucceſſion, there could be no partition in regard to thoſe tenures.

([d]) See the Salic law, and the law of the Ripuarians in the title of *allodia*.

Under the ſecond race, the title of emperor, which Lewis the Debonnaire enjoyed, and with which he honoured his eldeſt ſon Lotharius, made him think of giving this prince a kind of ſuperiority over his younger brothers. The two kings were obliged to wait upon the emperor every year to carry him preſents, and to receive much greater from him; they were alſo to conſult with him upon common affairs. This is what inſpired Lotharius with thoſe pretences which met with ſuch bad ſucceſs. When Agobard † wrote in favour of this prince, he alledged the emperor's own regulation, who had aſſociated Lotharius to the empire, after he had conſulted the Almighty by three days faſt, and by the celebration of the holy myſteries; after the nation had ſworn allegiance to him, which they could not refuſe without

* See the capitulary of the year 817, which contains the partition made by Lewis the Debonnaire among his children.

† See his two letters upon this ſubject, the title of one which is *de diviſione imperii*.

perjur

perjuring themſelves; and after he had ſent Lotharius to Rome to be confirmed by the pope. Upon all this he lays a ſtreſs, and not upon his right of primogeniture. He ſays, indeed, that the emperor had deſigned a partition among the younger brothers, and that he had given the preference to the elder; but ſaying he had preferred the elder, was ſaying at the ſame time that he might have given the preference to his younger brothers.

But as ſoon as the fiefs became hereditary, the right of ſeniority was eſtabliſhed in the feudal ſucceſſion; and for the ſame reaſon in that of the crown, which was the great fief. The ancient law of partitions was no longer ſubſiſting; the fiefs being charged with a ſervice, the poſſeſſor muſt have been enabled to diſcharge it. The right of primogeniture was eſtabliſhed, and the reaſon of the feudal law was ſuperior to that of the political or civil inſtitution.

As the fiefs deſcended to the children of the poſſeſſor, the lords loſt the liberty of diſpoſing of them; and, in order to indemnify themſelves, they eſtabliſhed what they called the right of redemption, whereof mention is made in our cuſtoms, which at firſt was paid in a direct line, and by uſage came afterwards to be paid only in a collateral line.

The fiefs were ſoon rendered transferable to ſtrangers, as a patrimonial eſtate. This gave riſe to the right of fines of alienation, which were eſtabliſhed almoſt throughout the kingdom. Theſe rights were arbitrary in the beginning; but when the practice of granting ſuch permiſſions was become general, they were fixed in every diſtrict.

The

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 32.

The right of redemption was to be paid at every change of heir, and at firſt was paid even in a direct line (e). The moſt general cuſtom had fixed it to one year's income. This was burthenſome and inconvenient to the vaſſal, and affected in ſome meaſure the fief itſelf. It was often agreed * in the act of homage, that the lord ſhould no longer demand more than a certain ſum of money for the redemption, which, by the changes incident to money, became afterwards of no manner of importance. Thus the right of redemption is in our days reduced almoſt to nothing, while that of the fines of alienation is continued in its full extent. As this right concerned neither the vaſſal nor his heirs, but was a fortuitous caſe which no one was obliged to forſee or expect; theſe ſtipulations were not made, and they continued to pay a certain part of the price.

(e) See the ordinance of Philip Auguſtus, in the year 1209. on the fiefs.

When the fiefs were for life, they could not give a part of a fief to hold in perpetuity as a rear-fief; for it would have been abſurd that a perſon who had only the uſufruit of a thing ſhould diſpoſe of the property of it. But when they became perpetual, this was † permitted, with ſome reſtrictions made by the cuſtoms ‡, which was what they call diſmembering their fief.

* We find ſeveral of theſe conventions in the charter, as in the regiſter-book of Vendome, and that of the abbey of S. Cyprian in Poitou, of which Mr. Galland has given ſome extracts, page 55.

† But they could not abridge the fiefs, that is, aboliſh a portion of it.

‡ They fixed the portion which they could diſmember.

The

The perpetuity of feudal tenures having eſtabliſhed the right of redemption, the daughters were rendered capable of ſucceeding to a fief, in default of male iſſue. For when the lord gave the fief to his daughter, he multiplied the caſes of his right of redemption, becauſe the huſband was obliged to pay it as well as the wife *. This regulation could not take place in regard to the crown; for as it was not held of any one, there could be no right of redemption over it.

The daughter of William V. count of Toulouſe, did not ſucceed to the county. But Eleanor ſucceeded to Aquitaine, and Mathildis to Normandy; and the right of the ſucceſſion of females ſeemed ſo well eſtabliſhed in thoſe days, that Lewis the Young, after his divorce from Eleanor, made no difficulty in reſtoring Guyenne to her. But as theſe two laſt inſtances followed cloſe to the firſt, the general law by which the women were called to the ſucceſſion of fiefs, muſt have been introduced much later † into the county of Toulouſe, than into the other provinces of France.

The conſtitution of ſeveral kingdoms of Europe has been directed by the ſtate of feudal tenures at the time when thoſe kingdoms were founded. The women ſucceeded neither to the crown of France nor to the empire, becauſe at the eſtabliſhment of

* This was the reaſon that the lords obliged the widow to marry again.

† Moſt of the great families had their particular laws of ſucceſſion. See what M. de la Thaumaſſiere ſays, concerning the families of Berry.

thoſe two monarchies, they were incapable of ſucceeding to fiefs. But they ſucceeded in kingdoms, whoſe eſtabliſhment was poſterior to that of the perpetuity of the fiefs, ſuch as thoſe founded by the Normans, thoſe by the conqueſts made on the Moors; and others, in fine, which beyond the limits of Germany, and in later times, received in ſome meaſure, a ſecond birth, by the eſtabliſhment of Chriſtianity.

When the fiefs were at will, they were given to ſuch as were capable of doing ſervice for them, and therefore were never beſtowed on minors; but * when they became perpetual, the lords took the fief into their own hands, till the pupil came of age, either to increaſe their own emoluments, or to train the pupil to the uſe of arms. This is what our cuſtoms call *the guardianſhip of a nobleman's children*, which is founded on principles different from thoſe of tutelage, and is entirely a diſtinct thing from it.

When the fiefs were for life, it was cuſtomary to vow fealty for a fief; and the real delivery, which was made by a ſcepter, ſecured the fief, as it is now ſecured by homage. We do not find that the counts, or even the king's commiſſaries, received the homage in the provinces; nor is this ceremony to be met with in the commiſſions

* We ſee in the capitulary of the year 877, *apud Cariſiacum*, art. 3. Baluzius's edition. tom. 2. pag. 269. the moment in which the kings cauſed the fiefs to be adminiſtered in order to preſerve them for the minors; an example followed by the lords, and which gave riſe to what we have mentioned by the name of *the guardianſhip of a nobleman's children*.

of

of thoſe officers, which have been handed down to us, in the capitularies. They ſometimes, indeed, made all the king's ſubjects take an oath of allegiance *; but ſo far was this oath from being of the ſame nature as the ſervice afterwards eſtabliſhed by the name of homage, that it was only a † ceremony, or leſs ſolemnity, occaſionally uſed, either before or after, that act of obeiſance; in ſhort, it was quite a diſtinct thing from homage.

The counts and the king's commiſſaries made thoſe vaſſals (p) whoſe fidelity was ſuſpected, give occaſionally a ſecurity which was called *firmitas*; but this could not be an homage, ſince the kings (q) gave it to one another.

And though the abbot *Suger* (r) makes mention of a chair of Dagobert, in which according to the teſtimony of antiquity, the kings of France were accuſtomed to receive the homage of the nobility; it is plain that he expreſſes himſelf agreeably to the ideas and language of his own time.

When the fiefs deſcended to the heirs, the acknowledgment of the vaſſal, which at firſt was

(p) Capitularies of Charles the Bald, in the year 860. *poſt reditum a Confluentibus*, art. 3. Baluzius's edition, pag. 145.

(q) Ibid. art. 3.

(r) Lib. de adminiſtratione ſuâ.

* We find the formula thereof in the ſecond capitulary of the year 802. See alſo that of the year 854, art. 13. and others.

† M. Du Cange in the word *hominium*, pag. 1163. and in the word *fidelitas*, pag. 474. cites the charters of the ancient homages where theſe differences are found, and a great number of authorities which may be ſeen. In paying homage, the vaſſal put his hand into that of his lord, and took his oath; the oath of fealty was made by ſwearing on the goſpels. The homage was performed kneeling, the oath of fealty ſtanding. None but the lord could receive homage, but his officers might take the oath of fealty. See Littleton, ſect. 91. and 92. of homage, that is, fidelity and homage.

BOOK XXXI. Chap. 33.

only an occaſional ſervice, became a regular duty. It was performed in a more ſolemn manner, and attended with more formalities, becauſe it was to be a perpetual monument of the reciprocal duties of the lord and vaſſal.

I ſhould be apt to think, that homages began to be eſtabliſhed under king Pepin, which is the time I mentioned that ſeveral benefices were given in perpetuity; but I ſhould not think thus without caution, and only upon a ſuppoſition that the authors of the ancient annals (e) of the Franks were not ignorant pretenders, who in deſcribing the fealty profeſſed by Taſſillo, duke of Bavaria, to king Pepin, ſpoke * according to the uſages of their own time.

(e) Anno 757. chap. 17.

CHAP. XXXIII.

The ſame Subject continued.

WHEN the fiefs were either precarious or for life, they ſeldom had a relation to any other than the political laws; for which reaſon in in the civil inſtitutions of thoſe times there is very little mention made of the laws of fiefs. But when they were become hereditary, when there was a power of giving, ſelling, and bequeathing them, they had a relation both to the political and the civil laws. The fief, conſidered as an ob-

* *Taſſilo venit in vaſſatico ſe commendans, per manus ſacramenta juravit multa & innumerabilia, reliquiis ſanctorum manus imponens, & fidelitatem promiſit regi Pippinio.* One would think that here was an homage and an oath of fealty. See the penultimate note above.

ligation

ligation of performing military ſervice, depended on the political law; conſidered as a kind of commercial property, it depended on the civil law. This gave riſe to the civil regulations concerning feudal tenures. Book XXXI. Chap. 33.

When the fiefs were become hereditary, the laws relating to the order of ſucceſſion muſt have been relative to the perpetuity of fiefs. Hence this rule of the French law, *eſtates of inheritance do not aſcend* (a), was eſtabliſhed in ſpite of the Roman and Salic (b) laws. It was neceſſary that ſervice ſhould be paid for the fief; but a grandfather or a great uncle would have been too old to perform any ſervice; therefore this rule took place at firſt only in regard to the feudal tenures, as we learn of Boutillier (c).

(a) Book 4. *de feudis*, tit. 59.
(b) In the title of *allodia*.
(c) *Somme Rurale*, book 1. tit. 76. pag. 447.

When the fiefs became hereditary, the lords who were to ſee that ſervice was paid for the fief, inſiſted that the * females who were to ſucceed to the feudal eſtate, and I fancy ſometimes the males, ſhould not marry without their conſent; inſomuch that the marriage-contracts became in reſpect to the nobility both a feudal and a civil regulation. In an act of this kind under the lord's inſpection, regulations were made for the ſucceſſion, with a view that the heirs might pay ſervice for the fief: hence none but the nobility at firſt had the liberty of diſpoſing of ſucceſſions by marriage-contract, as (d) Boyer and (e) Aufrerius have juſtly obſerved.

(d) Deciſion 155. No. 8, & 204. & No. 38.
(e) In Capell. Thol, deciſſion 453.

* According to an ordinance of S. Lewis, in the year 1246. to ſettle the cuſtoms of Anjou and Maine; thoſe who ſhall have the care of the heireſs of a fief ſhall give ſecurity to the lord, that ſhe ſhall not be married without his conſent.

Book XXXI. Chap. 33.

It is needleſs to mention that the power of redemption, founded on the old right of the relations, a myſtery of our ancient French juriſprudence which I have not time to develop, could not take place with regard to the fiefs, till they were become hereditary.

Italiam, Italiam . . . . . .

I finiſh my treatiſe of fiefs at a period, where moſt authors commence theirs.





INDEX.

INDEX.

Note. The letters i. ii. denote the volumes, the figures the pages.

A.

ABORTION: why the women of America procured it. ii. 140

Accuſations allowed in old Rome: uſeful in a republic, i. 116. The abuſe made of them under the emperors, i. 117. Public, i. 291. Cauſe of their being put a ſtop to, i. 152. Of hereſy and magic, the circumſpection they require, i. 274. Abuſe that may be made of them, i. 275, *& ſeq.*

Accuſed; in what manner they eſcaped condemnation at Rome, i. 111

Accuſers: in what caſe they were puniſhed at Athens, i. 293 Guards appointed to watch them, *ibid.* Falſe: in what manner they were puniſhed, *ibid.*

Actions of men; how they are judged in a monarchy, i. 43, *& ſeq.* Cauſe of the great actions of the ancients, i. 48, *& ſeq.* *Ex bona fide*, by whom deviſed, 1. 111

Adoption, when uſeful, i. 64 When not, i. 77

Adulation, when forbid by honor, i. 43

Adultery (accuſation of) public among the Romans, i. 70. In what manner it was aboliſhed, i. 151, 152. (A woman) accuſed by her children, ii. 222

Advocate of the public proſecutor, ii. 328. His function, *ibid.*

Africa: State of the people who inhabit its coaſts, ii. 25. Reaſon of their being barbarians, *ibid.* Nature of their commerce, *ibid.* The circuit of their coaſt, ii. 50, *& ſeq.*

Agriculture: in what light it was conſidered by the ancient Greeks, i. 55. By whom exerciſed among that nation, *ibid.* and ii. 145. Encouraged among the Chineſe and Perſians. i. 335, 336

Alcibiades, admired by the univerſe: for what reaſon, i. 61

Alexander, reflection on his project, i. 209. What he did to preſerve his conqueſts, i. 210. His conqueſts in the Indies, ii. 41. Founds Alexandria, ii. 42. His navigation on the Indies, and the Indian ſea, ii. 49, *& ſeq.* His empire divided, i. 179
Alienation of the great offices and fiefs, ii. 502, *& ſeq.*
Allodia. How changed into fiefs and why, ii. 460, *& ſeq.* Advantage of this change, ii. 464
Ambaſſadors of princes, to what laws they were ſubject, ii. 247
Ambition invades the hearts of ſome people, when virtue is baniſhed, i. 30. Pernicious in a republic, i. 36. Whether it be uſeful in a monarchy, i. *ibid.*
America, by whom diſcovered, ii. 77. Conſequence of this diſcovery, with regard to the reſt of the world, ii. 80. And with regard to Spain, ii. 81. Nature of its ſoil, i. 406. Why there are ſo many ſavages in that country, *ibid.*
Amortization, (right of,) ii. 205
Amphictyons, their law contradictory to itſelf, ii. 353
Annuitants, reaſons that induce the ſtate to grant them a ſingular protection, ii. 120
Anonymous (letters,) whether any notice ought to be taken of them, i. 298
Antipater, the law which he enacted at Athens, i. 21. Advantage of that law, *ibid.*
Antruſtio, what was underſtood by that word, ii. 435. The compoſition which was ſettled for the murder of an Antruſtio, *ibid.* Whether the order of Antruſtio's formed a body of nobility, ii. 439
Appeal, how it was looked upon by the Romans, ii. 288
Appeal of falſe judgment; what it was formerly, ii. 288. It included felony, *ibid.* how they proceeded therein, ii. 305, *& ſeq.* and 307. Who were forbid to make it, ii. 309. It was to be made upon the ſpot, ii. 320. Of default of juſtice, when it was permitted, ii. 311. In what manner it was introduced, ii. 313. Whether battle was allowed in this caſe, *ibid. & ſeq.* Followed with an appeal of falſe judgment, ii. 315. To the king, ii. 312. Whether they could appeal the king's court of falſe judgment, ii. 310. A law of Charles VII. concerning appeals, ii. 365
Appius (the Decemvir) how he eludes his own laws in the affair of Virginia, i. 116
Arabs, their character, ii. 66. *& ſeq.* Their ancient and preſent commerce, *ibid.* Their liberty, i. 413
Arcadians, nature of the country they inhabit, i. 53
Areopagite ſeverely puniſhed for killing a ſparrow, i. 101
Areopagus, a court of judicature at Athens, i. 69. Several judgments paſſed by this court, i. 101. Its functions, i. 112
Argives, their cruelty condemned by the Athenians, i. 123

Ariana, its ſituation, ii. 40
Ariſtocracy, what it is, i. 12, and 18. When it is happy, i. 18 Which is the beſt, i. 21. and the moſt imperfect, *ibid.* Things pernicious in an ariſtocracy, i. 73, *& ſeq.* and 165 *& ſeq.* In what manner it is corrupted, i. 165
Ariſtotle, his opinion concerning the virtues of ſlaves, i. 47. In regard to natural ſlaves, i. 355. In regard to muſic, i. 54, *& ſeq.* In regard to artiſans, i. *ibid.* In regard to the ſpirit's waxing old, i. 70. In regard to monarchies, i. 240. In regard to the number of citizens, ii. 147
Arms; effect ariſing from the change of arms, ii. 278. Fire arms; the bearing of them how puniſhed at Venice, ii. 251
Arreſt or decree given upon an appeal: origin of the formulary employed therein, ii. 323
Artaxirxes: why he put his children to death, i. 89
Artiſans, how they came to be made freemen, i. 54
Arts, what Xenophon ſays of thoſe who exerciſe them, i. 54 Their uſe, ii. 143. Their influence on the number of the inhabitants of a country, ii. 144
As Roman, its value, ii. 111 *& ſeq.*
Aſia, what kind of trade was formerly carried on in that country, ii. 28. Revolutions that have happened in Aſia. *ibid.* Quality and effects of its climate, i. 391 *& ſeq.* Its climate different from that of Europe, i. 393. How often ſubdued, i. 394
Aſſembly of the people: why the number of citizens that compoſe it ought to be fixed, i. 12
Aſſizes, ii. 311 and 347
Aſſociations of cities, when neceſſary, i. 186
Aſſyrians, conjecture in regard to their communication with diſtant countries, ii. 29
Aſylums, in the temples, ii. 201. Numerous in Greece, *ibid.* Abuſe made of them, *ibid.* Eſtabliſhed by Moſes; for whom, *ibid. & ſeq.* Refuſed to the Saxons, ii. 272. Every man ought to have his own houſe for an aſylum, ii. 357
Atheiſt, why he always talks of religion, ii. 197
Athens, (law of) to put ſtrangers to death who concerned themſelves in the aſſemblies of the people, i. 12. and the uſeleſs people, when the city was beſieged, ii. 362. (people of) how they choſe their magiſtrates and ſenators, i. 13, and 16. Their ability in this reſpect, i. 13. How they gave their ſuffrages, i. 17. (People of) divided into four claſſes, i. 15. Their character, i. 437. Number of her forces in the war againſt the Perſians, i. 30. Her ſtate under Demetrius Phalereus, and under Demoſthenes, *ibid. & ſeq.* Overcome at Cheronea; conſequences of that defeat, i. 31. Her maritime power, ii. 35. The uſe ſhe made of it, *ibid. & ſeq.* Cauſe of her corruption, i. 164

Atbualpa (Inca) in what manner he was treated by the Spaniards, ii. 248
Attica, its ſoil had an influence on the government, i. 400
Attila, his empire diſſolved, i. 179
Attorney (the king's) an office eſtabliſhed at Majorca, ii. 330
Avarice poſſeſſes people's hearts, when virtue is baniſhed, i. 30 Whether it can be deſtroyed by the laws which aboliſh the property of land, i. 87. Of princes, ii. 75
Avaricious: why they hoard up gold preferable to any other metal, ii. 98
Auguſtus (the emperor) abſtains from reforming the manners and luxury of women, i. 42, and 154. His laws againſt celibacy, ii. 150. His ſpeech to thoſe who wanted him to repeal thoſe laws, ii. 151. He permits the free-born citizens who were not ſenators to marry freed-women, ii. 156. He durſt not take the name of Romulus, i. 434. In what manner he appeaſes the Romans, i. 435
Auſtria (the houſe of) its fortune, ii. 77
Authority unlimited, how dangerous in a republic to confer it upon a ſingle citizen, i. 19. Caſe wherein it may be uſeful, i. *ibid.* Of magiſtrates in different deſpotic governments and monarchies, i. 93. Paternal, uſeful for the preſervation of morals, i. 71. How far it was extended among the Romans and Lacedæmonians, *ibid.* When it ended at Rome, *ibid.*

B.

BACTRIA (Grecian kings of) their navigation in the Indies, and the diſcoveries they made, ii. 47, 48
Bailiffs, their functions, before and after the revival of the Roman law, ii. 242, *& ſeq.*
Bank of St. George; by whom directed, i. 18, *& ſeq.*
Bankers, in what their art conſiſts, i. 105, *& ſeq.* The aſſiſtance a ſtate may derive from them, ii. 117
Banks. To what kind of commerce they are adapted, ii. 11, & *ſeq.* Cauſe of the lowering of gold and ſilver, ii. 8
Bantam (king of) is the univerſal heir to all his ſubjects, i. 8 What follows from thence, *ibid.* Great number of girls in his dominions, ii. 14
Barbarians different from ſavages, i. 408. Their behaviour after conquering the Romans, ii. 379, and 385. (Communication with them) prohibited by the Romans, ii. 165. Their laws, ii. 269, *& ſeq.* Whether they were confined to a certain diſtrict, ii. 273. How they came to be loſt, ii. 2 *& ſ*
Barbariſm of nations, is the cauſe of the ſcarcity of ſpecie, ii.
Baſhaws: why always expoſed to the fury of their maſter, i.

Abſolute in their government, i. 94. How they determine diſputes, i. 107
Baſil (the emperor) odd judgments paſſed by this prince i. 130, *& ſeq.*
Baſtards. More degraded in republics than in monarchies, ii. 136. Laws of the Romans againſt them, *ibid.* Judgment on thoſe laws, *ibid.* Upon what occaſion they were admitted into the number of citizens, *ibid.* In what caſe they inherited, *ibid.*
Baſton, or ſtick, uſed inſtead of the ſword, ii. 275. Blow with a baſton, what compoſition was allowed for it, ii. 276. Why it was reckoned an affront, *ibid.* A weapon peculiar to villains, *ibid.*
Bayle. His opinion concerning atheiſm and idolatry, ii. 172 Concerning the Chriſtian religion, ii. 178
Bayonne. A generous letter from the governor of this city to Charles IX. i. 45, *& ſeq.*
Beaumanoir. At what time he wrote, ii. 241, 273, 275, 281. Judgment of his work, ii. 241, and 306. Whence comes the difference between his principles and thoſe of Deſontaines, ii. 306
Beggars, how they come to have ſo many children, ii. 139
Beings, all have their laws, i. 1. Particular intelligent beings. Their laws, i. 2
Believre, (Preſident de) his ſpeech to king Lewis XIII. at the trial of the duke *de la Valette.* i. 113
Benefice, a term oppoſite to that of property, in the law of the Lombards, ii. 402
Bengal (Bay of) how diſcovered, ii. 47
Betis, a river of Spain, ii. 57
Betrothing: regulation of the Papian law upon this ſubject, ii. 155
Biſhops: their power under the kings of the Viſigoths, ii. 272 Petition to be exempted from ſerving in war, ii. 404. Their great riches under the kings of the firſt race, ii. 464
Blind man; why not allowed to plead at Rome, ii. 366
Bonzes deſtroyed in China; why, i. 146
Box on the ear, why reckoned an affront, ii. 367
Brunechild (queen) her great parts, ii. 443. Cauſe of her misfortunes, ii. 443, *& ſeq.* Her regency. The revolution which it occaſioned, ii. 444, *& ſeq.* Conſequence of this revolution, *ibid.* Her execution, ii. 443
Brutes have their laws, i. 1. Whether we know theſe laws, i. 3 (ſenſation of) to what it is relative, i. 4. Whether they have poſitive laws, *ibid.*
Burgundians, (laws of the) ii. 270. They received no great changes, and why, ii. 271. See the words *Code* and *Gundebald.*
Buying, (trade of) ii. 88, *& ſeq.*

C.

CÆSAR; his laws in regard to debtors, ii. 90. And in regard to married people, ii. 151
Calumniators, common under the emperor Arcadius, i. 114. Encouraged by Sylla, i. 288
Camoens: a Portugueſe poet. Subject of his poem, ii. 76
Canaanites: cauſe of their deſtruction, i. 187
Candor, neceſſary in the making of laws, ii. 369
Cape of Good Hope: why they could not double it, ii. 51. By whom diſcovered, ii. 76
Capitularies: their origin, ii. 274, and 286. (Falſe one) attributed to Charlemain, ii. 284. Added to the laws of the Lombards, ii. 286
Carthage: Cauſe of her ruin, i. 31. Policy of the Romans in reſpect to this city, *ibid.* Effect of her deſpair, *ibid.* Her maritime power, ii. 54. How ſhe increaſed her riches, *ibid.* Her colonies, *ibid.* Her wars with Marſeilles, ii. 59. Her different ſtates, i. 60. Her ſenate, of what kind of perſons it was compoſed, i. 260
Carthaginians: their commerce, ii. 56. Their treaty with the Romans, ii. 59. Whether they were acquainted with the compaſs, ii. 58
Carvilius Ruga: why he repudiated his wife, i. 388, *& ſeq.* Whether he is the firſt that did it, i. 387
Cato the Cenſor: his motive for ſupporting the Voconian and Oppian laws, ii. 260
Cauſes reſerved to the king by the laws of the Barbarians, ii. 311
Cauſes criminal, by whom tried among the Romans, i. 256
Celibacy (the ſpirit of) is introduced into the empire, ii. 158, *& ſeq.* and ii. 178. Reflections on celibacy, ii. 203, 204
Cenſors at Rome, their functions, i. 19, and 70. and ii. 149. Their privileges, i. 76
Cenſorſhip: its effect among the Romans; in what manner aboliſhed, i. 176. and ii. 149, *& ſeq.* Re-eſtabliſhed by Cæſar and Auguſtus, ii. 151. Upon what occaſion, *ibid.*
Cenſus: its effect, i. 65. Whether there was a general cenſus in the ancient French monarchy, ii. 400. Whether it was the ſame thing as the cenſus of the Romans, ii. 395. What it was, and on whom raiſed, ii. 397. Whether it was paid by freemen, ii. 399
Centenarii: their functions, ii. 403. How eſtabliſhed, i. 404. Their diſtricts, *ibid.*
Cernè: its ſituation, ii. 54
Champions employed in judicial combats, ii. 282
Change of religion, ii. 203
Charlemain, divides his empire among his children, ii. 480. The laws

laws he gives to the Saxons, ii. 270. His will, ii. 475. His conduct in the government, ii. 482, *& ſeq.* His reaſons for eſtabliſhing a great number of biſhopricks in Germany, ii. 484. His elogium, ii. 482, *& ſeq.* Badly imitated by his ſon, ii. 485. The ſtate of Europe before and ſince his time, ii. 488. His ſucceſſors; in what manner they loſt the throne, ii. 493, *& ſeq.* His family: in what manner they loſt the empire, ii. 507, *& ſeq.*

Charles Martel: ſtate of Europe in his time, ii. 468. He ſtrips the clergy, ii. *ibid.* Encouraged by the pope, ii. *ibid.* Gives away the church lands, both as allodia, and as fiefs, ii. 477

Charles V. (emperor) his power, ii. 77

Charles XII. Letter from this prince to the ſenate of Sweden, i. 84 Cauſe of his ruin, i. 208. Compared to Alexander, i. 209

Childeric, why driven from the throne, i. 425

Children, who is obliged to provide for them, ii. 132. Their condition, when they are the fruit of marriage, ii. 133. And when they are not, *ibid.* Of different mothers, whether they ought to inherit alike, ii. 135. Cuſtom of Japan upon this head, *ibid.* In what caſe it is advantageous to have a great many, ii. 139. Why they are more numerous in ſea-ports, ii. 141. Honors paid at Rome to thoſe who had moſt, ii. 153, *& ſeq.* Expoſing of them, in uſe in China, why, ii. 144. Laws of the Romans, and the practice of the Germans, in reſpect to this article, ii. 162, *& ſeq.* Obliged to maintain their father, ii. 223. Whether it be not contrary to humanity, to puniſh them for the crime of their father, i. 303. Whether the law of nature ordains that they ſhall be heirs to their father, ii. 214

China; idea of its government, i. 449, *& ſeq.* Reflection upon this ſubject, i. 181. Difference between the character we have of it from the miſſionaries, and that which is given by mercantile people, *ibid. & ſeq.* Fathers are puniſhed here for the faults of their children, i. 134. Luxury is pernicious in this country; why, i. 146, *& ſeq.* Cauſe of its revolutions, i. 147. Its rights, i. 447, and 449. Provinces of China raiſed from beneath the waters, i. 404. (Legiſlators of) the principal object they had in view, i. 446. How they obtained it, *ibid. & ſeq.* Cuſtom of China in regard to the cultivation of lands, i. 335. Particular quality of its climate, i. 182. (Emperors of) the intereſt they have in governing well, i. 183. (Commerce of) compared to that of Europe, ii. 80

Chineſe, their character, i. 440. Whether they can loſe their laws, i. 448. They are great cheats, i. 451

Chivalry (ſyſtem of) how it was formed, ii. 280

Chriſtopher Columbus: his propoſal rejected by the court of France, ii. 84. Reflection upon this ſubject, ii. *ibid.*

Cicero, finds fault with the laws which made the ſuffrages ſecret, i. 16.

i. 16. A ſaying of his, concerning commerce, ii. 4. And concerning the agrarian laws, ii. 240
Cinq-Mars: upon what pretence he was brought to trial, i. 280
Citizen Roman, could not be put to death, i. 128. Nor be tried but by the people of Rome, i. 265
Civil (State) its definition, i. 8. (Law) diſtinct from the canon law, ii. 227, 228, and from the law of nations, ii. 246. (Laws) their influence on marriages, ii. 232. Their principle, ii. 229. Whether the general diſpoſitions of the civil law are applicable every where, ii. 251
Civility of the people of China, i. 446
Claudius (the emperor) appropriated to himſelf the deciſion of all cauſes; the conſequence thereof, i. 114. He derogates from the Voconian law, ii. 266
Clemency: whoſe peculiar characteriſtic it is, i. 135. Its effects, i. 136
Clergy (power of the) when it is dangerous or proper, i. 23. Serves as a barrier in ſome countries againſt the torrent of arbitrary power, *ibid.* How great it was under the kings of the firſt race, i. 431. (Riches of the) ſometimes exceſſive, and ſometimes reduced to nothing, ii. 465, 466. Supported by duke Pepin, and depreſſed by his ſon, and other kings, ii. 469, *& ſeq.* Stript by the nobility, ii. 491. They declare againſt judicial combats, ii. 209
Climate: its influence on the conſtitution of body, and on the laws, i. 326, *& ſeq.* On ſobriety, i. 336. On political ſervitude, i. 336. On the diverſions of different nations, ii. 193. On religion, ii. 194. Cold, ſtate of people that live there, i. 326, *& ſeq.* Warm: its effects with regard to the body, i. *ibid.* Of England: its effects, i. 342, *& ſeq.* Effect of the climate on the laws of the Germans, i. 344
Clovis: cauſe of his cruelty againſt his family, i. 429. Made conſul by the emperor, ii. 430
Coal pits, their uſe, ii. 142
Code of the Burgundians; a law of theirs upon hoſpitality, ii. 3, and upon robbery, ii. 221
Coin baſe, why eſtabliſhed among the Romans, ii. 67
Coiners falſe, declared guilty of high treaſon, i. 280
Colchis: its preſent ſituation compared to the ancient, ii. 27 Where there are any traces to be found of its commerce, ii. 28
Colonies, new, different from the ancient, ii. 78. Their trade, *ibid.* The deſign of it, *ibid.* Laws of Europe upon this head, ii. 79. Whether it be a diſadvantage to them to be diſtant from the mother country, *ibid.* Effect of this diſtance, *ibid.*
Comitia by tribes, i. 253
Commerce prohibited among the Venetian nobility, i. 75. Ought to be favoured by the laws, i. 79. Whether the great num-

ber of inhabitants is any obſtruction to it, i. 139. Its influence upon manners, ii. 1, and 2. Unites nations, but diſunites individuals, ii. 2. Effect ariſing from a total privation of it, ii. 3. Its relation to the conſtitution of the government, ii. 4. Its enterpriſes: whether ſmall republics are capable of great enterpriſes, ii. 5. Its freedom: in what it conſiſts, ii. 12. It requires a multiplication of the civil laws, ii. 17, *& ſeq.* Whether it be advantageous that princes ſhould engage in it, ii. 17. Example to this purpoſe from the Caſtilians and Portugueſe, ii. 18, *& ſeq.* Whether it can be carried on by the nobility, ii. 18. Whether it be advantageous to all nations, ii. 20. Cauſe of the poverty of ſome nations, ii. 21. Example of Poland, ii. 22. Cauſe of its revolutions, ii. 27. Of the ancients; in what it differed from ours, ii. 28, and 50. In what ſeas it was carried on, ii. 50. Its ſtate before the time of Alexander, ii. 32. Changes its face under this prince, ii. 39. And after the deſtruction of the Romans, ii. 70. Under the Saracens, ii. 73. Is revived in Europe, ii. 74, *& ſeq.* Is in its own nature uncertain, ii. 93. Whether it be proper for one nation to exclude another from trading with it, ii. 10, *& ſeq.* Judgment of the conduct of the Japaneſe in this reſpect, ii. 10. Whether it be proper for a ſtate to lay itſelf under an obligation of ſelling its manufactures only to a ſingle nation, *ibid.* Example drawn from the Poles, *ibid.*

Commerce low; notion the Greeks had of it, i. 55

Commiſſaries, or the king's deputies, their authority, ii. 311

Community of goods between man and wife; its advantage, i. 157

Compaſs. In what manner navigation was carried on before its invention, ii. 31. Whether it was poſſible to ſurround Africa without this aſſiſtance, ii. 32. Whether it was known to the ancients, ii. 49. The invention of it; its effect, ii. 76

Compoſitions, in uſe among the barbarians. What they were, ii. 410, *& ſeq.* For murder, ii. 412, *& ſeq.* Laws concerning them, ii. 412. Different according to the difference of perſons, ii. 412. In what caſe they were refuſed, ii. 415

Condemned perſons: what was done with their goods at Rome, i. 112

Confiſcation of merchandiſes. Charter of the Engliſh upon this ſubject, ii. 14. Law of Spain upon this ſubject, *ibid.* The practice of Europe and Aſia upon this article, *ibid.*

Confiſcation of goods. In what ſtate uſeful, i. 92. In what caſe permitted among the Romans, i. *ibid.* Of the effects of Jews who embrace chriſtianity, on what founded, ii. 74. Opinion of Bodin, in regard to confiſcations, i. 93

Conqueror, his rights over conquered people, i. 196, *& ſeq.* Judgment of the pretended generoſity of ſome conquerors, i. 217

Conqueſt

Conqueſt, its aim, i. 7. Its uſe, in regard to the conquered ſtates, i. 201. (Right of) from whence derived, i. 196. What power it gives to the conqueror, i. 197. How that power ought to be regulated, *ibid.* Methods of preſerving it, i. 21[illegible]. Vaſtly large; ſuppoſeth deſpotic power, i. 216. Its effects in regard to the conquering ſtates, i. 206. Thoſe of the people of the north, of Aſia, and of Europe, have had different effects, i. 39[illegible]

Conſtantine, changes he made in the military government, i. 13[illegible] His laws in regard to marriages, ii. 156, 157. Spirit of thoſe laws, ii. 159. Ordains that people ſhould reſt on the ſabbath, ii. 1[illegible]

Conſulate Roman, its power, i. 247, *& ſeq.* Reduced by the Plebeians, i. *ibid.*

Conſuls Roman, what cauſes they decided, i. 25[illegible]

Continency public, neceſſary in a popular ſtate, i. 148. Attention of legiſlators upon this article, i. 148. Its effects with regard to propagation, ii. 149, *& ſeq.*

Contumacy; how puniſhed in the firſt ages of the French monarchy, ii. 461, 46[illegible]

Converſation: why truth is neceſſary in converſation, i. 4[illegible]

Copper, different proportions between copper and ſilver, ii. 9[illegible] and 112, 11[illegible]

Corinth, its advantageous ſituation, ii. 37. Corruption of its inhabitants, *ibid.*

Cornelian (laws) change which Cæſar made in them, i. 19[illegible]

Corps: the digging it out of the ground in order to ſtrip it, how puniſhed by the Salic law, ii. 41[illegible]

Corruption: ſeveral ſorts of it; i. 123. Of governments, with what it begins, i. 160. Its bad effects, i. 17[illegible]

Coſts: in what manner the payment of coſts was introduced into law ſuits, ii. 32[illegible]

Coucy (Lord of) his ſaying in regard to the Engliſh, i. 19[illegible]

Council: Whether the prince's council in a monarchy have the confidence of the people, i. 24. A kind of contraſt between the prince's council, and his courts of judicature, i. 11[illegible]

Country, (love of) what it requires, i. 48. To what government it is peculiar, i. 49. Its effects, i. 58, and 59. Prevailed among the Cretans, i. 1[illegible]

Counts. Their functions, ii. 403, 406, *& ſeq.* What difference between them and the dukes, ii. 408. In what manner they adminiſtered juſtice, ii. 409. How long their employment laſted, ii. 442. Their power increaſed, ii. 456. Court of monarchs, deſcription which hiſtorians give of it. i. 34. Ceremony of politeneſs, i. 44. What a court air conſiſts in, i. [illegible]

Creation: ſuppoſeth invariable laws, i. [illegible]

Creator: cannot govern the world without rules, *ibid.* Cru[illegible]

Credit of ſtate. How it ought to be maintained by the miniſters, ii. 119
Crimes public of their own nature, i. 33. And yet divided into really public, and private, *ibid.* Private, why ſo called, i. 33. Inexpiable, ii. 183. Four ſorts of, i. 271. Againſt nature, placed in the ſame rank with magic and hereſy, i. 277
Cromwell hindered the eſtabliſhment of a democracy among the Engliſh, i. 29
Cruelty, why it is more common in deſpotic governments, i. 118 and 119
Cumæ; Ariſtodemus enervates on purpoſe the courage of its inhabitants, i. 208
Cunning; when permitted by honor, i. 43
Cuſtoms ancient, advantages there is in obſerving them, i. 69. New ones, are generally abuſes, *ibid.* Different: whether a monarch ought to tolerate them, i. 104. Relative to ſlavery and liberty, i. 456. Local; their origin, ii. 288. Their antiquity, ii. 289. Ordinances of king Pepin in regard to the *local cuſtoms*, *ibid.* Whether they were the ſame thing as the laws of the barbarians, *ibid.* Their authority at the time of king Pepin, and after him, *ibid.* Of France, different in each lordſhip, ii. 346. Origin of this difference, *ibid.* How they were preſerved, *ibid.* Written, ii. 348
Cuſtom Houſes: in what places eſtabliſhed, and with what view, ii. 13. Difficulty attending them: cauſe of the deſtruction of commerce, *ibid. & ſeq.* Of Cadiz, ii. 86
Cynete (the inhabitants of) what Polybius ſays of them, i. 53
Czar, (Peter I.) forbids the preſenting any petitions to him, i. 299. Changes the cuſtoms of the Muſcovites, i. 443 At what place he joined the two ſeas, ii. 30

D

Darius, his navigation on the Indus, ii. 40. How long it laſted, ii. 42
Debtors inſolvent, ſold at Athens and at Rome, i. 294. Severe laws againſt them, *ibid.* Effect of thoſe laws, ii. 307. Laws in their favour, *ibid.*
Debts, whether it be for the advantage of a ſtate to be indebted to itſelf, ii. 117. Public (payment of them) ii. 119
Decemvirs. Their deſign, i. 128. Their power, i. 250. On what occaſion created, *ibid.*
Decimal laws, by whom abrogated, ii. 160
Decorum: its rules ought not to be neglected, i. 44
Decretals: they communicate their judiciary forms to all courts, ii. 337. Idea of their origin, ii. 370
Deity has his laws, i. 1. Whether we ought to avenge the deity's cauſe, i. 272

Delicacy of courtiers, from whence it ariſes, i. 45
Demeſne, or crown lands, neceſſity of it, ii. 242. Whether it be unalienable or not, *ibid.* Unalienable was unknown at the commencement of the monarchy, ii. 459. Of what kind it was under the ſecond race, ii. 394
Demetrius Phalereus, numbers the citizens of Athens, i. 30
Democracy, What it is, i. 11. State of the people under this government, i. 12. Its fundamental laws, *ibid.* Its principle, i. 28. In what manner it is corrupted, i. 159, *& ſeq.* Whether it can make conqueſts, i. 199, *& ſeq.*
Denarius Roman, its value, ii. 111, *& ſeq.*
Depopulations, ii. 147. How they may be remedied, ii. 166
Depoſitary of the laws neceſſary in a monarchy, i. 24. Of what kind it ſhould be, *ibid.* Whether the prince's council are a proper depoſitary, *ibid.* Why there is any ſuch thing in a deſpotic government, *ibid.*
Deputies or *Commiſſaries* from the king, their authority, ii. 311 *& ſeq.*
Deſerters puniſhed with death, whether this be an effectual puniſhment, i. 11
Deſpotic prince, an enemy to honour, i. 37. Why ſo, *ibid.* The ſource of his power, i. 38. Extent of his power, i. 39. Inconveniencies ariſing from his government, *ibid.* In ſome countries he is univerſal heir to all his ſubjects, i. 86. Inconveniencies whence ariſing, *ibid. & ſeq.*
Deſpotic government. Its nature and conſequences, i. 25. Its fundamental laws, *ibid.* Its principle, i. 38, and 83. How it is corrupted, i. 170. Idea of this government, i. 8 Why ſo extended, i. 90. An obſtacle to commerce, i. 9 *& ſeq.* Its diſtinctive properties, i. 178. Its end or view i. 221. How it provides for its ſecurity, i. 189. How may be ſoftened, i. 302, *& ſeq.* What kind of tax is proper for it, i.
Dictator Roman, compared to the ſtate inquiſitor of Venice i. 19. Judgment of thoſe two magiſtracies, *ibid. & ſeq.* power, i.
Dictatorſhip, why it could be only of a ſhort duration, i.
Diſputes between the clergy and nobility, with regard to property, ii.
Diſtinctions betwixt the Romans and Franks, eſtabliſhed by Salic law, ii. 274, &
Diſtributions made among the people, in what caſe, i. 74. Dangerous;
Divorce; in what caſe it may be agreeable to nature, ii. Different from repudiation, i. 384. Definition of both, Law of the Maldivians upon this ſubject, i. 385. Of Mexico, *ibid.* Law of the Romans upon this ſubject, i.

Doctrines: we ſhould avoid drawing dangerous conſequences from them, ii. 189. Falſe; ſometimes uſeful, ii. 100
Dominion: whether mankind could have an idea of it before the eſtabliſhment of ſociety, i. 6
Domitian (the emperor:) the effect which his cruelties had in regard to the people, i. 39. He orders the vines in Gaul to be pulled up, ii. 65
Dowries of women; different in different governments, i. 157
Duels: (puniſhment againſt,) ii. 283
Duties: effect of their weight, i. 79
See taxes.

E

Eaſt: (people of the) why their empires are ſo eaſy to govern, i. 26. Why they are ſo attached to their religion and cuſtoms, i 332
Edict of Piſtes: its epocha, ii. 279. By whom publiſhed, *ibid.* Inferences drawn from this edict, ii. 280
Education (laws of) to what they ought to be relative, i. 42 Different in each government, *ibid.* Their principle, *ibid.* In monarchies: when it commences, the virtues it teaches, i. 42, *& ſeq.* To what laws it ought to conform, i. 4, *& ſeq.* In deſpotic governments, i. 47. Of what ſort it ought to be, *ibid. & ſeq.* Of the ancients, compared with ours, i. 48. Its difference in the different periods of youth, *ibid.* In a republic; of what importance it is, i. 49. That which prevailed at Cumæ, i. 208, *& ſeq.*
Egypt: its ancient commerce, ii. 39. Trade eſtabliſhed there by the Greeks, *ibid.* Continues its trade under the Saracens, ii. 72
Election to benefices, relinquiſhed by the kings, ii. 475. Of popes, left to the people of Rome, ii. 476. (Right of) to the crown, poſſeſſed by the great men of the kingdom under the ſecond race, how exerciſed, ii. 480
England, its direct end or view, i. 221. Principles of its conſtitution, i. 233, *& ſeq.* Effects of this conſtitution with regard to the character and manners of the Engliſh, i. 456, *to the end.* From whence it took the idea of its government, i. 237. Its ſpirit with reſpect to commerce, ii. 8. Its conduct contrary to that of other nations, *ibid.* In what manner judgment is paſſed in that country, i. 109. (Laws of) in relation to particular merchandiſes, ii. 12
Engliſh, what they have done to favour their liberty, i. 23. In what caſe they were liable to loſe it, i. 237. What ſort of people they would be if they were to loſe it, i. 23. Why they could not eſtabliſh a democracy, i. 29. They were obliged to return to a regal government, *ibid.* The uſe they

have made of religion, commerce, and liberty, ii. 8. More eaſy to overcome at home than abroad, i. 193
Embezzlement of the public money; why natural in deſpotic governments, i. 92. Laws againſt it, i. 453
Employments: Whether they ſhould be venal, i. 100. Opinion of ſome authors in regard to the venality of employments, *ibid.*
Ephori, magiſtrates of Sparta, their functions, i. 76
Epidamnians: How they regulated their commerce, i. 52
Equality, (the love of) what it is, its effect, i. 59. How it is excited, i. 61. Whether it is to be found in monarchies, *ibid.* How eſtabliſhed in a democracy, i. 62. Among families, neceſſary in an ariſtocracy, i. 77. Ceaſes among men as ſoon as they enter into ſociety, i. 7, and 162, 163. Extreme, as dangerous as the defect of equality, i. 159. Real: whether it be eaſy to eſtabliſh, i. 65. When it can be ſuppreſſed, i. 66. Dependent on frugality, *ibid.* Of the people of a republic, compared with that of the ſubjects of a deſpotic prince, i. 108
Eſchetage (right of) its origin, ii. 71
Eſſenes, a particular ſect among the Jews, ii. 179
Eſtabliſhment of the French monarchy, a book criticiſed in this work, ii. 389, and 427. Refutation of this author's ſyſtem, 428, *& ſeq.*
Eunuchs, are conſidered as citizens only by a kind of fiction, i. 367. Raiſed to magiſtracies, i. 368. Married; and why, *ibid.*
Europe, its power, ii. 80. Its ſtate after the deſtruction of the Romans, ii. 162. How it was re-peopled after Charlemain, ii. 164. Its actual ſtate, ii. 165. Leſs ſubject to revolutions than Aſia, i. 394
Exchange, what it is, ii. 95, and 98. Idea of it by the example of Holland, ii. 100, *& ſeq.* Different ſituations of it: whether the ſtate loſes or gains in ſuch a ſituation of the exchange, ii. 102, *& ſeq.* It tends to a certain proportion, ii. 105. The various turns in its courſe, ii. 101. Its utility, ii. 115. Advantage which merchants have in ſending money abroad when the exchange is below par, ii. 105. In what caſe money may be ſent abroad, when the exchange is at par. *ibid.* (Letters of) by whom invented, ii. 75
Excluſion from the ſucceſſion to the throne, in what caſe it may be applied to the next heir, ii. 249
Executive (power) in what manner it limits the legiſlative power, i. 230. To whom it ought to be intruſted, i. 229. To whom it was intruſted among the Romans, i. 254. How it is employed, i. 221

INDEX.

F

Faith, Punic, ii. 56
Family, particular, how it ought to be governed, i. 42, and 47. What perſons compoſe it, ii. 133. (Names of) their advantage over other names, ii. 134
Famines frequent in China, i. 183
Fannian (law) the deſign of it, i. 156
Farmers of the revenues; whether they are advantageous to a ſtate, i. 325. Whether it be proper to render this profeſſion honorable, *ibid.*
Farming of the cuſtoms is deſtructive to trade, becauſe of its exactions, ii. 13
Farming of the revenues; whether it is preferable to the adminiſtration of them, i. 323, *& ſeq.*
Fatality blind, whether it be the cauſe of the productions of this world, i. 2
Fathers, whether their conſent is neceſſary for marriage, ii. 136. In what country they are puniſhed for the crimes of their children, i. 134
Fear, how it induces mankind to aſſociate, i. 6. The principle of deſpotic government, i. 38
Fecundity more conſtant in brutes than in the human ſpecies, ii. 132
Fertility of a country favourable to a monarchical government, i. 409. Its effects, i. 403
Feſtivals too common at Athens, ii. 193. Ought to be relative to commerce, *ibid.*
Feudal (laws) at what time they appeared, ii. 373. Their effects, *ibid. & ſeq.* Their ſource, ii. 374
Feudatory (princes) preſerve a deſpotic ſtate, i. 216
Fiduciary bequeſt forbidden by the ancient Roman law, ii. 258
Fiefs: whether they were known to the ancient Germans, ii. 376. Advantage of them, ii. 461. Changes made in them, ii. 499. At will, ii. 402. Why they became hereditary, i. 104. Of reſumption, ii. 463. Nature of them after the reign of Charles the Bald, ii. 502. Perpetuity of them eſtabliſhed in France earlier than in Germany, ii. 506. Why they have changed leſs in Germany than in France, ii. *ibid.* Civil laws upon this ſubject, ii. 516. Their origin, ii. 517. What it is to *diſmember a fief*, ii. 513. (Inheritance of) its antiquity, ii. 459. Its effects in regard to the regal power, ii. 499. And in regard to the laws on ſucceſſions, ii. 517. In regard to the laws of the barbarians, ii. 285, and to marriages, ii. 517, *& ſeq.*
Fines, uſed in judgments, ii. 274, 285, 306, 312, 314. In caſes of appeal, ii. 321

Fines of alienation, (right of) how eſtabliſhed, ii. 512
Fiſcal (goods) what is underſtood by them, ii. 402
Florins, Dutch money, its value, ii. 101
Foe, legiſlator of the Indians. explication of his doctrine, ii. 189
Fontenay (battle of) the conſequences of it in regard to the feudal laws, ii. 496
Force general, in whoſe hands it may be placed, i. 8. Defenſive of ſtates, i. 190. Offenſive, i. 195. Relative of ſtates, i. 194
Formoſa (an iſland) at what age the religion of that country permits the women to bring their children into the world, ii. 145
Fortune, law of honor upon this ſubject, i. 46
France, number of its inhabitants under Charles IX. ii. 164. Law made in this country to encourage propagation, ii. 166. How it was governed formerly, ii. 276. (Trade of) whether it is proper it ſhould be carried on by the nobility, ii. 18, and 19. Judgment of the practice of this kingdom upon this head, *ibid.*
Frankneſs, neceſſary in morals, i. 43, *& ſeq.*
Franks, Ripuarian, united with the Salian Franks, ii. 269. Their conqueſts, ii. 270, and 378. How they diſpoſed of them, ii. 379. Whether they were friends of the Romans, ii. 275. Whether there were ſeveral orders of citizens among them, ii. 434, *& ſeq.* See the word *Ripuarians*.
Freedom: what it is, ii. 416, *& ſeq.* In what caſe it could be demanded, and in what caſe it could not, *ibid.*
Freedmen, their duty towards their patrons, i. 366. How they were treated at Rome, i. 367. More honoured ſometimes than the freemen, i. 367. Of the Volſci, their boldneſs, i. 366. Employed in the ſea ſervice, by the Romans, ii. 62
French, why they never could preſerve their conqueſts in Italy, i. 207
Frugality, taken ſometimes for avarice, i. 30. (The love of) its effect, i. 59, *& ſeq.* How it may be excited, i. 61. Ways to maintain it, i. 66, *& ſeq.*

G

Gallantry, in what caſe allowed by honor, i. 43. Its origin, ii. 278. What it is, *ibid.* How it increaſed, ii. 279
Gaul conquered by the Germans, ii. 378. Diviſion which the conquerors made of it, ii. 379
Geneva (law of it) with regard to the children of debtors who die inſolvent, ii. 15
Genoa, republic, propoſed as a model, i. 18
Germans, how they practiſed hoſpitality, ii. 3. Their laws in relation to puniſhments, i. 133, and ii. 91. Kind of ſlavery admitted amongſt them, i. 356. Their marriages, i. 424.

How they were declared of age, i. 425, *& ſeq.* What authority they gave to their princes, i. 430. Reconcilements eſtabliſhed amongſt them, ii. 188. Their ſenſibility in regard to the point of honour, ii. 277, *& ſeq.* Influence of their manners on their laws, ii. 273, and 296, *& ſeq.* Their ancient manners, ii. 374

Germany, (empire of) why elective, ii. 509. Its government compared with that of Holland, *&c.* i. 187

Girls; why inclined to marry, ii. 139. Whether the number of them exceeds that of boys, ii. 141. Sold in China, ii. 144. Succeed to certain crowns, and not to others, ii. 513. Reaſon of this difference, ii. 514. Since what time they have ſucceeded to fiefs in default of male iſſue, ii. 513. A law which obliges them to declare their pregnancy to the magiſtrate, ii. 221

Glory or magnanimity, whether it is to be found in a deſpotic government, i. 83

God, author of the laws, by which he has created the world, i. 2

Gold, whether it be real wealth to have a great quantity of it, ii. 81, and 85. Prohibition of uſing it in ſuperfluities, ii. 85. Cauſe of the greater or leſſer quantity of gold and ſilver, ii. 93. Coaſt, whether the Carthaginians ſailed as far as this coaſt, ii. 57

Goods, or eſtate, (diviſion of) in what caſe it may be unequal amongſt children, i. 79. Ceſſion of, or bankruptcy; in what government it may take place, i. 91. Whether it was eſtabliſhed at *Rome*, *ibid.* Eccleſiaſtic, or church lands, how they were converted into fiefs, ii. 464. (Diviſion of) i. 104

Government, the moſt conformable to nature, i. 8. To a ſingle town, i. 178. How many ſpecies there are, i. 11. Definition of each, *ibid.* Its nature, different from its principle, i. 27. Its principle, what it is, i. 27, *& ſeq.* From whence the principle of each government is derived, i. 28. Moderate, how it is ſupported, i. 38. Whether deſpotic power is ſupported in the ſame manner, *ibid.* Cauſe of its corruption, i. 160. Eaſy to princes who leave all management of affairs to a miniſter, i. 25. Example of a pope to this purpoſe, *ibid.* Domeſtic, to whom it is intruſted in the Eaſt, i. 383. Its influence in the political government, i. 125

Gown or long robe, (dignity of) what rank it holds in France, ii. 19

Gracchi; they change the conſtitution of Rome, i. 260

Grandeur real, i. 194. Relative, *ibid.* (Idea of) annexed to the military profeſſion, i. 46

Gravina: his definition of the political and civil ſtate, i. 8

Greeks, their exerciſes, i. 55. Effects thereof, i. 56. Their particular inſtitutions, i. 49. Reflection on theſe inſtitutions, i. 52.

i. 52. In what manner they pronounced judgment, i. 110. Their policy to hinder too great a multiplication of inhabitants, ii. 145, 146. (Firſt) what ſort of people they were, ii. 188
Grillon refuſes to aſſaſſinate the duke of Guiſe, i. 45
Guardians of morals, i. 70. Of the laws, *ibid.*
Guardianſhip of a nobleman's children different from tutelage, ii. 514, 515. In what it conſiſts, *ibid.*
Gundebald, his conſtitution, how received, ii. 287
Gymnic exerciſes, the only employment of the Greeks, i. 55. Their effect upon people's minds, *ibid.* and i. 70. What opinion the Romans had of them, i. 172

H.

Hannibal; his conqueſts fatal to the Carthaginians, i. 203. Motives of Hanno's conduct in regard to him, *ibid.* The Carthaginians complain of him to the Romans, i. 31
Hanno: his navigation on the ocean, ii. 54. Whether this relation be worthy of credit, ii. 55. Anſwer to the objections made againſt it, ii. 56
Harmony neceſſary between the civil laws, &c. and thoſe of religion, ii. 184
Heirs (orders of) inſtituted by Juſtinian, ii. 182. Civil laws of the Tartars upon this ſubject, i. 415
Helotes, their employment among the Lacedæmonians, i. 55
Henry VIII. his laws, i. 282, and ii. 220
Himilco, a Carthaginian chief; he runs his ſhip a-ground, ii. 59. His navigation and ſettlements, *ibid.*
Hobbes: his opinion refuted, i. 6
Holland, regulates the exchange of other nations, ii. 100
Hollanders or Dutch; their ſentiments in the Eaſt-Indies, ii. 77, and 84
Homage of vaſſals, ii. 514. How eſtabliſhed, ii. 515
Honeſt men: whether the lower ſort of people can be honeſt, when the leading men of the nation are knaves, i. 34. What is neceſſary to make a man honeſt, i. 35
Honorifical (rites) in the churches. Their origin, ii. 477
Honor: principle of monarchical government, i. 36. What it is, *ibid.* Uſeful though falſe, i. 36. Whether it takes place in deſpotic governments, i. 36, 37. Laws of, *ibid.* and 46. Its whims, i. 37, and 45. What actions it authoriſes or juſtifies, i. 43. The aim of military people, i. 98. (Point of) its origin, ii. 275
Hoſpitals, their utility, ii. 169. Deſtroyed in England by *Henry* VIII. ii. 169. At Rome, ii. 170
Hoſpitality leſs practiſed in trading countries than among the barbarians, ii. 3. Hoſpitality of the ancient Germans, *ibid.* Laws of the Burgundian code upon this ſubject, *ibid.*

Hugh Capet, how he obtained the crown of France, ii. 508
Human (laws) their nature, ii. 219
Hungary: nobility of this country how ſerviceable to the houſe of Auſtria, i. 169, *& ſeq.*
Hunting: its influence on manners, i. 56
Huſbands: advantage they might have at Rome, i. 437, and ii. 154, *& ſeq.* And by the laws of the Viſigoths, i. 455. Puniſhed among the Romans for the incontinency of their wives, ii. 245. One wife permitted to have many huſbands, i. 373. Their privileges, ii. 153, *& ſeq.*

I.

Japan: its trade compared to that of Poland, i. 22, and 23. The impotency of its laws, i. 224. Its rigorous laws, i. 344. How rigidly theſe laws are obſerved, i. 224, and ii. 183
Japaneſe, with what nations they trade, ii. 10. Their education, i. 124. What they think of pecuniary puniſhments, i. 133. What kind of children have a right to inherit in their country, ii. 135. Cruelty of their emperors, i. 125, *& ſeq.* They hate chriſtianity, ii. 215. Why, *ibid.*
Jaxartes, change which happened to this river, ii. 30.
Ichthyophagi, ii. 41
Idolatry, ii. 172, *& ſeq.*
Jealouſy (two ſorts of) definition of each, i. 383
Jews (ancient) their commerce, and how they loſt it, ii. 31, 32. How far their fleets went, *ibid.* The end of their law, i. 220
Jews (modern) commerce was transferred to their nation, ii. 73. They invent letters of exchange, ii. 75. Expoſed to the caprice of other nations, ii. 73, 74. Ill uſed in England, ii. 73. Laws of the Viſigoths againſt them, ii. 283
Illuſion, neceſſary in laying duties on merchandiſes, i. 312, *& ſeq.*
Immunities, common both to clergy and laity, ii. 427. Of churches; what is underſtood thereby, ii. 421
Impoſts. See Taxes.
Impunity eſtabliſhed under ſome Greek emperors, i. 36
Incontinency contrary to nature, i. 382. Public; the conſequence of luxury, i. 156
Indemnity, in what caſe granted by the public to private people, ii. 241. Right of indemnity, ii. 205
India, trade which the Romans had with that country, ii. 24. Whether it was advantageous to them, ii. 67. What trade we carry on there, ii. 24. Nature of the trade of that country, *ibid.* How far the Greeks penetrated into that country, ii. 47. Whether they were the firſt that traded thither, ii. 39. Anciently ſuppoſed to be uninhabitable, ii. 41. Different ways to go there, ii. 33. The ancient paſſage to that country, *ibid.*

ibid. & *ſeq.* At what time of the year they ſet out upon an India voyage, ii. 48. How long they were upon the voyage, ii. 49. Conſtruction of the veſſels of that country, ii. 33

Indians: their manners, ii. 24. Their character, ii. 40. Their prejudices, ii. 192. Contradiction in their character, i. 331. Cauſe of their inaction, i. 323. And of their lazineſs, ii. 335. Obliged to marry, ii. 137. Why their laws are ſo mild, i. 345

Indus, river, ii. 40, and 41

Induſtry, means of encouraging it, i. 336. Of mankind, improves the earth, i. 405

Inequality, in a democracy, what may be the motive of eſtabliſhing it, i. 65. Exceſſive, the ſource of diſorders in ariſtocracy, i. 73

Infranchiſement of ſlaves, the great circumſpection it requires, i. 365

Inheritances: in what caſe it may be forbidden to have two, i. 63

Inquiſition, (court of) whence it took its laws, ii. 272. Abuſe of this court, ii. 232

Inquiſitor of Venice, i. 19, and 225

Inquiſitors of Spain, *&c.* a remonſtrance made to them, ii. 211, 213

Inſtitutions of a ſingular nature, eſtabliſhed among the Cretans, i. 49 and 50. In what caſe they may be of ſervice, i. 52

Inſtitutions of St. Lewis, how long they laſted, ii. 330. Reflection on theſe inſtitutions, *ibid.* and 333, *& ſeq.* Whether the work now extant under this name be that of St. Lewis, ii. 331. Why it is attributed to him, ii. 333, 334. Defect of this compilement, ii. 335. Its good effects, 336

Inſult offered by a prince to his ſubjects, the bad conſequence that may attend it, i. 301

Inſurrection: what it was, and by what people it was practiſed, i. 171, *& ſeq.*

Intereſt (lending upon): whether it be bad in itſelf, ii. 120. Whether it be the ſame thing as uſury, ii. 121. Greater by ſea than by land, ii. 122. Reduced after the diſcovery of America, ii. 94

Interpretation of laws: in what caſe it does not take place, i. 109

Intriguing, in what caſe dangerous, i. 17. Forbidden at Rome, and upon what occaſion, i. 127

Iſlanders. See *Iſlands.*

Iſlands, (inhabitants of) their genius, i. 404

Judges: from what order they were choſen at Rome, i. 173, and 260. Change made in this article by the Gracchi, *ibid.* Of what condition they ought to be, i. 225. Exception againſt a certain number of them, i. 224. At what time they began to judge alone, ii. 343. The king's judges, ii. 419

Judgments given by the prince; the ſource of abuſes, i. 114. They ought to be fixed and regulated according to the letter of the

the law, i. 118. Extraordinary, i. 255, 256. How they were paſſed at Rome, i. 109. How in England, *ibid.* How in France, when the cuſtom of judicial combats prevailed, ii. 308, *& ſeq.* Manner of paſſing judgment in a monarchy, i. 110. By commiſſioners, i. 296. By peers, aboliſhed, ii. 342, *& ſeq.* By the croſs, at what time they were in uſe, ii. 272. By whom aboliſhed, *ibid.*

Judicial power, to whom it ought to be given, i. 224. How it may be ſoftened, *ibid.* Whether it ſhould be united to the legiſlative power, i. 231, *& ſeq.* To whom committed amongſt the Romans, i. 255

Julian laws, againſt adulterers, i. 154. Againſt high treaſon, i. 281. Limitations made to this law, in regard to crimes of high treaſon, i. 281, and 282

Julian, the emperor, cauſes a famine at Antioch, ii. 96. His great qualities, ii. 180

Juriſdiction of the lords, ii. 408. Of the counts, ii. 409. Extent of the latter, *ibid.* Territorial, how the clergy obtained it, ii. 421. Extent of the latter, *ibid.* Patrimonial, ii. 420. Privilege of juriſdictions, its origin, ii. 418. Annexed to the fiefs, ii. 419. Its antiquity, ii. 423. Whether it was uſurped by the lords, ii. 420, and 423

Juſtice (relations of) antecedent to laws, i. 3. Examples of theſe relations, *ibid.* (Set forms of) neceſſary to liberty, ii. 423. In what caſe they are contrary to it, *ibid.* In what ſenſe there are too few of theſe forms, i. 107. (Set forms of) at the time of the judicial combats, ii. 281, *& ſeq.* and 283, *& ſeq.*

Juſtinian, (emperor) puts a ſtop to the ordinary courſe of juſtice, i. 115. Conſequences thereof, *ibid.* He aboliſhes a law of Conſtantine, ii. 156. Laws of his enacting, contrary to the Papian law, ii. 161. His laws on divorce, ii. 230. On the ſucceſſion of women, ii. 267

K.

Kings of Rome, their authority, i. 242, *& ſeq.* Of the Franks, what they made uſe of for a diadem, i. 424. Their marriages, *ibid.* When they became of age, i. 425. Why the time of majority changed, i. 427, 428. Formalities obſerved at their becoming of age, i. 426, 427. At what age they were capable of governing by themſelves, *ibid.*

Knights Roman, obtained the judicial power, i. 260, *& ſeq.* Bad effect of this innovation, *ibid.* They were made farmers of the public revenues, i. 262

INDEX.

L.

Lacedæmonians: laws which Lycurgus gave them, i. 49 and 50. Happy with their laws, i. 177. They ſubmit the laſt to the Macedonians, i. 50. End or view of their government, i. 220. How many citizens were obliged to be at their aſſemblies, i. 12. Irregular puniſhments eſtabliſhed at Lacedæmon, i. 119. Character of theſe people, i. 437

Lands, (diviſion of) why eſtabliſhed by ancient legiſlators, i. 62. In what caſe it may take place, *ibid.* and 68. How it may be ſupported, i. 62 and 64. Whether it was proper to make a new one when the old one was confounded, i. 140. Reeſtabliſhed by Servius Tullius, ii. 255. Between the Barbarians and the Romans, ii. 382. How it was regulated, *ibid.* Whether it is ſufficient that this diviſion be equal in Democracies, i. 68. (Cultivation of) its advantage, ii. 86. Its relation to liberty, i. 402. State of the people who do not cultivate their lands, i. 382. (Sale of) judgment of the laws by which it is prohibited in certain countries, ii. 116. Given to the church, ii. 389. Cenſual, ii. 399. Which are beſt peopled, ii. 142

Law, (Mr.) his ignorance both of a republican and a monarchical conſtitution, i. 24

Laws: what they are, i. 1. Of all beings, *ibid.* Poſſible before there were intelligent beings, i. 2. Primitive of particular intelligent beings, i. 3. Natural, i. 5. Poſitive, i. 7. Political and civil, they ought to be adapted to the people for whom they are made, i. 9, and 452. Civil, more ſimple in deſpotic government, than in monarchies, i. 103. Sometimes contrary to the laws of nature, ii. 220. Criminal, leſs ſimple in monarchies and in republics, than in deſpotic governments, i. 107. Penal in point of religion, ii. 211. Their effect, ii, 212. Divine, ii. 219. Sumptuary, i. 139. Ancient French, i. 120. Perſonal of the Barbarians, ii. 273. Origin of theſe laws, *ibid.* Relative to the eſtabliſhed government, i. 9. To the principle of each government, i. 27. To the diſtempers of the climate, i. 338. To the occupations of mankind, i. 406. To manners and cuſtoms, i. 452. Other relations of laws, i. 9. Conſidered in their relation to commerce, ii. 1. To money, ii. 88. To the number of inhabitants, ii. 131. By which mankind are governed, ii. 218. (Multiplicity of) uſeleſs in a deſpotic government, i. 83, and 104, *& ſeq.* Uſeful in a monarchy, i. 123. They ſupply the place of virtue in a monarchy, i. 33. (Stile of) what ſort it ought to be, ii. 364, *& ſeq.* (Compoſition of) rules to be obſerved therein, *ibid. & ſeq.* How they become uſeleſs, ii. 368. Whether thoſe which appear the ſame, have always

always the ſame effects, ii. 353. Whether they have always the ſame motive, ii. 355. Good, whether they always produce good effects, ii. 445. Whether they ought to correct every thing, i. 437. Contrary to the views of the legiſlator, ii. 352. Which deprive a ſingle perſon of liberty, to preſerve it for the whole community, i. 291. Prohibiting people from keeping above a certain ſum of money in their houſes, ii. 353

Law of Nations: whether all countries have one, i. 8. Why it is not ſo ſtrictly obſerved by deſpotic princes, as by others, i. 84. The only one followed by people who do not cultivate the earth, i. 408

Laws of the Lombards, ii. 270. Idea of thoſe laws, ii. 281

Laws of judicial combats, ii. 280, *& ſeq.*

Lazineſs of people; its cauſe and effects, i. 438, 439. Of the ſoul, from whence it riſes, ii. 184

Learning, dangerous in a deſpotic government, i. 47

Legiſlative body: whether it ought to be always aſſembled, i. 229. Whether it can aſſemble of itſelf, i. 230. Whether it has a right to ſtay the executive power, i. 231. Whether it ſhould have a right of judging the executive power, i. *ibid.* Its authority, with regard to the levying of money, i. 235 With regard to the armies, *ibid.* To whom intruſted at Rome, i. 251

Legiſlators: how they confine man to his duty, i. 4. With what ſpirit they ought to compoſe their laws, i. 58, and ii. 350. What they ought to do in regard to the vices of the climate, i. 333. What regard they ought to have for the nature of things, ii. 369. Their paſſions influence their laws, ii. 372

Lending at intereſt, ii. 120. Whether it be lawful, ii. 121, and 126. By contract, ii. 122. See *Intereſt*.

Lenity: the effect of mediocrity: in what government it is to be found, i. 119

Lepers; law of Rotharis againſt them, i. 339

Leproſy: in what countries it was known, i. 328. Laws of the Jews upon this ſubject, from whence borrowed, *ibid.* By whom brought into Europe, *ibid. & ſeq.*

Lewis (Saint) aboliſhes judicial combats, ii. 316. Changes the law proceedings of his time, *ibid. & ſeq.* His inſtitutions, ii. 317, and 333. See *Inſtitutions*. His regulations with regard to the courts of the barons, ii. 317. How they were received, ii. 318. He cauſes the books of the Roman law to be tranſlated, i. 334

Liberty: abuſe of it, i. 318. Reſtored to the Romans by Sylla, i. 29. Natural to the northern nations, ii. 26. Inſupportable to ſome nations, i. 434. Extreme, dangerous, i. 164. Of commerce, in what it conſiſts, ii. 13. How deſtroyed, *ibid.* Political; its different ſignifications, i. 218. In what

what it conſiſts, i. 219, *& ſeq.* Its relation to the conſtitution of the government, i. 218, and 219. Its relation to the ſubject, i. 268, *& ſeq.* Civil, whether it always follows political liberty; *ibid.* Philoſophical, in what it conſiſts; i. 269

Licinian (law): the deſign of it, i. 156, and ii. 125

Litigious people, roughly handled in Turkey, and why, i. 107

Lords, obliged to adminiſter juſtice, ii. 313, and 425, *& ſeq.* How appealed of default of juſtice, ii. 314. How they pleaded againſt their vaſſals, *ibid. & ſeq.* Obliged to defend the judgment of their court before the lord paramount, ii. 322. How they loſt their privileges, ii. 345. Whether they judged alone, ii. 409. Origin of their juriſdiction, ii. 418

Lot (ſuffrage of): defective in itſelf, i. 15. Amended by great legiſlators, *ibid.* Ought not to take place in an ariſtocracy; i. 18

Lucca, for how long the magiſtrates of this republic are choſen; i. 21

Luxury: ſupport of commerce in a monarchical government, ii. 4. (Source of) ii. 28, and i. 137, *& ſeq.* (Effect of) *ibid.* (Commerce of) anciently eſtabliſhed in Aſia, ii. 28. (Proportion of) to the riches of the ſtates, i. 157, *& ſeq.* To the bigneſs of towns, i. 138. (Bad effect of) in a democracy, i. 139. Its progreſs among the Romans, i. 140. Neceſſary in a monarchy, i. 141, and 142. And in deſpotic ſtates, i. 143. Neceſſary in England and France, i. 145. Of religion, ii. 208

Lycians: idea of their republic, i. 188, *& ſeq.* Their republic compared to that of Holland, *ibid.*

Lycurgus: judgment of his laws, i. 49. What they ſuppoſe, i. 53. Their effects, i. 71. Compared to Mr. Penn, i. 51

Lydians: ſubdued by Cyrus, how treated by this prince, i. 207

Lye, puniſhed with death in Japan, i. 124. Why to give the lye is reckoned an affront; ii. 276

Lyſander; how he treats the Athenians whom he had taken priſoners, i. 123. The reaſon he gives for his conduct, *ibid.*

M.

Machiavel: to what cauſe he attributes the loſs of the liberty of Florence, i. 111

Machiaveliſm: we begin to recover from it every day, ii. 75

Machines, uſeful for ſupplying the labour of man, i. 355. Whether thoſe which render the manufactures more ſimple, are always uſeful, ii. 144

Magicians odious to ſociety, i. 275. A certain magician puniſhed in conſequence of a revelation made to a biſhop, i. 216

Magiſtracy, how its power may be compounded, i. 19. Its duration, how fixed by the legiſlator, i. 20. Whether a ſubject ſhould be forced to accept of it, i. 97. Civil, and military, i. 99

Magiſtrates ſubject to the laws in a democracy, i. 28. Of Athens, examined before and after their magiſtracy, i. 16. In what government a ſingle magiſtrate may be allowed, i. 116

Mahomet: his law in regard to reconciliations, ii. 187. To the uſe of wine, i. 337. Whether this law is proper for northern climates, ii. 338

Mahometans: why they puſhed on their conqueſts with ſo much eaſe, i. 337. Zealous for their religion, ii. 216. They pervert the uſe of ſlavery, i. 346. Their contemplative life, ii. 181

Majorafgo, or primogeniture, (right of) ought not to take place in an ariſtocracy, i. 77

Majority, or becoming of age, begins earlier in warm countries, i. 91

Man, (laws of) how they are invariable, i. 5. His ſtate conſidered as that of an intelligent being, *ibid.* Conſidered after the eſtabliſhment of ſociety, i. 6. Born to pleaſe his fellow-creatures, i. 44. Of honour, what is underſtood by this word in a monarchy, i. 45

Manners or cuſtoms: what they are, i. 445, and 446. They are unalterable in China, i. 442. How they may be changed, i. 443

Manors, ii. 393, 394

Manufactures, always uſeful, ii. 145

Maritime affairs, how conſidered by the Romans, ii. 62. And how by us, ii. 63

Marriage between the neareſt relation and the heireſs, why ordained among ſome nations, i. 63. Where it took place, *ibid.* Between brother and ſiſter ordained at Athens, *ibid.* And with what view, *ibid.* Between patricians and plebeians forbidden by a law, i. 73. Defect of that law, *ibid.* Why eſtabliſhed, ii. 132. Its advantage for propagation, *ibid.* What induces people to it, ii. 139. How deſpotic princes abuſe it, i. 89. Subject to the laws of religion, and to the civil laws, ii. 232, 233. Conſent of parents neceſſary for contracting it, ii. 136, 137. Forbidden, ii. 234. Laws of the Romans to encourage it, ii. 148, 149. Of ſavages, and paſtoral people, i. 409. Till what age they were allowed to marry at Rome, ii. 155

Marſeilles, its ſituation, ii. 6. Character of its inhabitants, *ibid.* Rival of Carthage, ii. 59. Why ſo faithful to the Romans, ii. 60. Its riches are increaſed by the ruin of Carthage and Corinth, *ibid.* Its conſtitution commended, i. 164

Mayor: (dignity of) rendered for life, ii. 444. Its origin, ii. 454. How united to the regal dignity, ii. 478. The king's mayors

mayors diſtinct from the mayors of the kingdom, ii. 451. Mayors of the palace; their original authority, ii. 456. What they were afterwards, and at the end of the firſt race, ii. 451, and 427, *& ſeq.* Their behaviour towards the nobility, ii. 456, *& ſeq.*

Medals plated: what they are, ii. 114

Men (number of) relative to the cultivation of lands, i. 407 Free to whom this name was uſually given, ii. 403. Their ſituation in the French monarchy, i. 391. Their military ſervice, ii. 403. Rights of the prince in reſpect to them, ii. 409. How they were ſeized of fiefs, ii. 319, and 420

Merchants: in what government they have need of a ſafe-guard, i. 314. Why they are more enterpriſing in republics than in monarchies, ii. 5. (Companies of) in what government they are proper, ii. 11 and 12. Whether they are always advantageous, *ibid.* Conſtrained in favour of commerce, ii. 11. Whether it is proper they ſhould be admitted to purchaſe a title of nobility, ii. 19

Metal, moſt proper for a common meaſure, ii. 89

Metempſychoſis, ii. 191, and 194

Metius Suffetius. Dictator of Alba; his puniſhment, i. 128

Migration of the northern nations, i. 402

Military employments; whether they ought to be joined with civil employments in the ſame perſon, i. 98. Government eſtabliſhed by the emperors, i. 129. (Men) ought to be checked, i. 98, 99

Militia French, in the earlieſt times of the monarchy, ii. 405

Mills (water) ii. 144

Mine of precious ſtones, diſcovered in China; why ſhut up, i. 146. Of ſilver in Spain, ii. 57. In the Pyrenean mountains, *ibid.* Of Germany and Hungary, i. 355, and ii. 85. Of America, ii. 80, *& ſeq.* and 93. How the Indians extract the gold from thence, ii. 82. Calculation of their product, ii. 83. Their uſe, *ibid. & ſeq.*

Miniſters abler in monarchies than in deſpotic governments, i. 40. They ought not to be judges, i. 115

Minority, long at Rome, i. 71. Why, *ibid.*

Minos: his laws; what they ſuppoſe, i. 53. His maritime power, ii. 35

Moderation, what it is, i. 33, and 72. Neceſſary in an ariſtocracy, *ibid.* Of the Romans, in puniſhments, i. 129

Modeſty violated in the puniſhment of crimes, among a certain people, and under Tiberius, i. 287. Of ſlaves, ſecured by the laws, i. 357, 358. Natural, i. 382

Monarchs, compared to the ſea, i. 23. Happier than deſpotic princes, i. 82. Whether they ought to ſit in judgment themſelves, ii. 112, 113, and 242. How they ought to govern, i. 298, 299. Regard which they owe to their ſubjects, i. 300

Monarchy: its laws relative to the conſtitution, i. 21, 22. Nature of its government, *ibid.* & *ſeq.* Its advantage over republics, i. 79. And over a deſpotic government, i. 80. Its excellency, *ibid.* Its diſtinctive properties, i. 178. Its end or view, i. 221. Its felicity, i. 298, 299. Neceſſity of the intermediate powers &c. in a monarchy, i. 21, and 81. Neceſſity of a depoſitary of the laws in a monarchy, i. 24. How it is corrupted, i. 166. Conſequences of this corruption, i. 167. How it provides for its ſecurity, i. 190. Whether it ought to make conqueſts, i. 202. Whether the ancients had a right idea of it, ii. 266, and 269. How monarchies were formed, ii. 267. Univerſal, whether it be advantageous to a prince to aim at it, i. 192. Ancient monarchies of Greece, i. 241. How the three powers were there diſtributed, *ibid.* Monarchy of the kings of Rome, i. 242, 243. How the three powers were there diſtributed, *ibid.* French, why hereditary, ii. 509

Monaſteries, ii. 206

Money: whether the raiſing of it ought to be intruſted to the executive power, i. 235. Its neceſſity, ii. 88, 89. Its uſe, ii. 88, & *ſeq.* Ancient of the Athenians, ii. 89. And of the Romans, *ibid.* Ideal and real; definition of each, ii. 92. Ideal; why ſubſtituted in the place of real, *ibid.* Real, in what caſe preferable to the other, *ibid.* (Variations of) dangerous, ii. 93, and 109, 110. (Recoining of) its effects with regard to the exchange, ii. 107. With regard to the ſtate, ii. 109. With regard to the ſpecie, *ibid.* Altered under ſeveral emperors, ii. 114. Proceedings of the Romans with reſpect to money, ii. 110, and 114

Monks: cauſe of their attachment to their order, i. 59. Of their being inclinable to ſeverity, i. 119. Deſtroyed by Henry VIII. ii. 169. In what country their inſtitution began, i. 334

Monſoons: they prevail in the Indian ſeas, ii. 48

Morality (laws of) recal mankind to themſelves, i. 4. Are agreeable to thoſe of religion, ii. 178

Morals, never ſo pure in monarchies as in republican governments, i. 43. What crimes are prejudicial to them, i. 271, & *ſeq.* How they ought to be puniſhed, *ibid.* Leſs ſtrict now than formerly, i. 149, 150. Morals or manners of nations, what they are, i. 445. (Change of) cauſe of revolutions, i. 441. Diſtinct from laws and cuſtoms, i. 445

Mortmain (lands in) their origin, ii. 388

Moſes: wiſdom of his laws, ii. 201. His laws with regard to ſlaves, i. 364

Mother Country; conſidered in relation to its colony, ii. 78. Its trade with the colony, *ibid.*

Moveable effects: in what they conſiſt, ii. 20. To whom they belong, *ibid.*

Mountains of ſilver, ii. 57
Murder, how puniſhed among the ancient Greeks, ii. 188
Muſcovites, why they ſell themſelves, i. 353
Muſcovy: its laws an obſtruction to its trade, ii. 115. Its conſtitution in regard to the ſucceſſion of the empire, i. 88. (Emperors of) endeavour to moderate their deſpotic power, i. 86
Muſic; its influence on manners, i. 53, *& ſeq.* On ſouls, i. 57. Neceſſary among the Greeks, i. 56

N.

Nations; how they ought to act towards one another in peace and war, i. 7, 8
Navigation, of the ancients, how far it proceeded, ii. 49. Its progreſs, *ibid. & ſeq.* Of Europe, its influence on the number of inhabitants of Europe, ii. 165. On rivers forbidden by an ancient law of the Gebres, ii. 196
Negroes: whether we have a right to inſlave them, i. 352. Of the coaſt of Africa; what ſort of money they make uſe of, ii. 96
Nero (the emperor) forms a project of aboliſhing all impoſts, i. 324. Whether he aboliſhed the duty of the five and twentieth part ariſing from the ſale of ſlaves, i. 311
Nobility: they are inveſted with the whole authority in an ariſtocracy, i. 18. A ſenate is neceſſary among them, *ibid.* Functions of this ſenate, *ibid.* How they reſtrain the people in an ariſtocratical government, i. 32. How they may reſtrain themſelves, *ibid.* Whether they ought to affect external diſtinctions, i. 72. Whether it is proper that they ſhould collect the taxes in an ariſtocracy, i. 74. Means of making them avoid extreme poverty and exorbitant riches, i. 76, 77. What ſhare they ought to have in the diſtribution of the three powers, i. 228, *& ſeq.* By whom they ought to be tried, i. 232. Diſtinct from the *ingenui* and the ſlaves, i. 370. They are eſſential to a monarchy, i. 22. The relation they ſtand in to the monarch, *ibid.* What is moſt ſtrongly recommended to them by honor, i. 46. Whether they ought to be permitted to trade, i. 75. and ii. 18. They are the child and parent of honor, i. 78. They are the bond that connects the prince and his people, *ibid.* They are the ſupport of the throne, i. 169. French: their ſituation, ii. 18, 19. Their antiquity, ii. 43
Nobleneſs requiſite in our virtues, i. 42, 43
Normans: their depredations put an end to the diſputes of the clergy and the nobility, ii. 49
North (people of the) compared to thoſe of the ſouth, i. 32 *& ſeq.* and ii. 25, 26. Their temperament, i. 326, 32 How they are actuated by love, i. 330. They make a admirable

admirable ſtand againſt the Romans, and at length ſubvert that empire, i. 332. Why ſo much inhabited, i. 402

O.

Oath, how greatly regarded by the Romans, i. 174. (Trial by) in what caſe it might be uſed, i. 453. By what laws it was authorized, ii. 291, *& ſeq.* Maintained by the clergy, ii. 299, *& ſeq.*

Obedience, different in moderate and deſpotic governments, i. 39. recommended by honor, in monarchies, i. 45. What limits are preſcribed to it by honor, *ibid.* The moſt paſſive, in what government it is requiſite, i. 39. What it ſuppoſes both in him that commands, and in him that obeys, i. 47

Oeconomy, the ſupport of commerce in republics, ii. 4, 5. (Commerce of) in what it conſiſts, ii. 4. Whether it is proper for monarchies, ii. 4, 5. Nations that have carried on this commerce, ii. 6. Their origin, ii. 7. Whether it is proper to reſtrain this commerce, ii. 9, 10. Inſtitutions adapted to this commerce, ii. 11

Old men honoured at Sparta, i. 69

Oppian law; the deſign of it, i. 156. Ferment in the ſenate upon the womens inſiſting on the revocation of this law, *ibid.*

Orders of a deſpotic prince, can neither be eluded, nor contradicted, i. 40

Oſtraciſm: according to what laws it ought to be conſidered, ii. 244, and 354. Againſt whom it was uſed at Athens, ii. 245. Miſchief it did at Syracuſe, ii. 354

Oxus (river) its ancient ſtate, ii. 29. Why it runs no longer into the Caſpian ſea, ii. 30

P.

Paper; its uſe in regard to money, ii. 90

Papian (law) enacted under Auguſtus, ii. 152. Softened by Tiberius, ii. 157. The deſign of it, ii. 265, 266.

Paradox of the ancients in regard to manners explained, i. 53, *& ſeq.*

Paraguay, propoſed for a model, i. 51

Paramount (lords) how the right of juriſdiction devolved to them, ii. 307, *& ſeq.* Appeal to their court, ii. 312, *& ſeq.*

Pardon of a criminal, muſt never be mentioned to the king of Perſia, i. 40. The power of granting it, to whom it belongs, i. 113. How it is loſt, *ibid.* Its effects, *ibid.* (Letters of) i. 132

Parliament (French): what kind of juriſdiction it had formerly, ii. 337. Made a permanent, or fixed body, *ibid.* Origin of different parliaments, *ibid.*

Paſſions deſcend from father to ſon, i. 49

Patricians: their prerogatives under the kings of Rome, i. 245.

raiſe the jealouſy of the Plebeians, *ibid.* Humbled by Servius Tullius, i. 246

Peace: in what caſe it would be the firſt law of nature, i. 5. Between the Muſcovites and the Turks; motive which hurried the Turks to conclude it, i. 85. Between Gelo king Syracuſe, and the Carthaginians, i. 201. The natural effect of commerce, ii. 2

Peers were made a party in the appeal, ii. 305. Why, *ibid.* Their fate, if overcome, ii. 306, 307. Appealed of default of juſtice, ii. 313, 314

Pegu: principal points of the religion of this country, ii. 179

Pen (Mr.) compared to Lycurgus, i. 51. The principal aim of his laws, *ibid.*

Penance; ii. 182

Peneſtes, ſlaves of the Theſſalians, i. 55

People, in a democracy inveſted with the ſovereign power, i. 11. What they ought to do of themſelves, i. 12. What by miniſters, *ibid.* They ought to have the chooſing of their miniſters, i. 13. And of the ſenators, *ibid.* Their diſcernment, *ibid.* and i. 227. Whether they are capable of the adminiſtration themſelves, i. 14. (The lower ſort of) ought to be directed by thoſe of a higher rank, i. 17. They act through paſſion, *ibid.* How the diviſion of the people into certain claſſes influences the government, i. 14. Whether they have a right to make laws, and on what occaſion, i. 17. In an ariſtocracy, what ſhare they ought to have in the government, i. 18. Of whom they receive the laws, i. 32. They ought to know what becomes of the public money, i. 74. Difficult for them to be virtuous in a monarchy, i. 34. Under a deſpotic prince they are in a ſtate of ſlavery, i. 83, *& ſeq.* Cauſe of their corruption, i. 164. Their ſafety is the ſupreme law, ii. 249

Pepin: (king) under what pretence he makes himſelf maſter of Neuſtria and Burgundy, ii. 465. He becomes maſter of the monarchy, *ibid.* His laws in favour of the clergy, ii. 469. Divides his kingdom among his children, ii. 480

Pepins (the family of the) ſhew a regard to the clergy, ii. 465

Periecians, manured the land for the Cretans,, i. 55

Perſia (kings of) their orders are irrevocable, i. 40. (Cuſtom of) with regard to going out of the kingdom, i. 303

Perſians: the extent of their empire, ii. 39. Their power reduced by the Greeks, *ibid.* Their commerce, ii. 40, 41

Phœnicians, their trade; it renders them neceſſary to all other nations, ii. 32

Philo: explication of a paſſage of this author, concerning the marriage of the Athenians and Lacedæmonians, i. 63, 64

Phyſicians, puniſhed at Rome for their negligence, ii. 362

Plague, common in Egypt, i. 340. Laws to prevent its ſpreading, *ibid.* Behaviour of the Turks in this reſpect, *ibid.*
Plato: judgment of his laws, i. 50, and 53. His opinion of muſic confirmed by Ariſtotle, i. 54. Of preſents, i. 95. Of the venality of employments, i. 100. His complaints in regard to trade, ii. 2. What he ſays of trading towns, ii. 17
Plebeians: they were made capable of being raiſed to public offices at Rome, i. 14. And yet rarely choſen, *ibid.* and 174. At what time they obtained the privilege of judging the patricians, i. 249. How they made themſelves maſters of the ſuffrages, i. 248, 249
Plebiſcita; what they were, i. 252
Plutarch: his opinion in regard to muſic, ii. 54. What he ſays of the Thebans, i. 57
Poets ſatyrical, puniſhed with death by the decemvirs, i. 128
Poland: judgment of its government, i. 21. View or end of its laws, i. 221. Its riches and commerce, ii. 22
Police: its regulations diſtinct from the civil laws, i, 220. The deſign of it, *ibid.*
Politeneſs neceſſary in our behaviour, i. 44. From whence it is derived, *ibid.* When it is moſt generally to be found, *ibid.* It is as pleaſing to thoſe by whom, as to thoſe towards whom, it is practiſed, *ibid.*
Political law; its definition, i. 7. State, what it is, i. 8. Power, what it comprehends, *ibid.* Body, depoſitary of the laws in a monarchy, i. 24. Laws, diſtinct from the civil laws and the laws of nations, ii. 246
Polygamy; its relation to the climate, i. 371. Occaſion of luxury, i. 372. Whether it is uſeful to mankind, i. 375. Bad effect of polygamy, *ibid. & ſeq.* When once eſtabliſhed in a country, it ought not to be aboliſhed without ſome precautions, ii. 231
Pontificate, diſtinct from the empire, ii. 208
Pork, forbidden in certain countries, uſed in others, ii. 195
Port free: uſeful in an œconomical commerce, ii. 12
Portions or dowries of women, different in different governments, i. 156, 157
Portugueſe: they diſcover the moſt ſouthern point of Africa, ii. 76. Their trade to the Eaſt-Indies, *ibid.* They meet the Spaniards, ii. 77
Poverty of the people, ii. 3, 4. How many ſorts there are, *ibid.* Effects of each, *ibid.* Of the ſubjects, whether it contributes to render families more numerous, ii. 140. In what it conſiſts, ii. 22
Power different in a deſpotic prince and a monarch, i. 40. Has need of being checked, i. 220. Three ſorts of power in a ſtate, i. 221. Whether they ought to be united in the ſame perſon or the ſame body, i. 222. Effect of this union, i. 223.

Effect of their diſunion, i. 234, 235. To whom they ought to be intruſted, i. 227, *& ſeq.* How diſtributed in England, i. 221, *& ſeq.* How in the Roman republic, i. 247, and 261. In the Roman province, i. 264, *& ſeq.*

Power of reſolving and rejecting, what is underſtood by it, i. 228 In whoſe hands it ought to be lodged, *ibid. & ſeq.* Its uſe, *ibid.* and 233

Power of redemption; dangerous in an ariſtocracy, i. 77. Uſeful in monarchies, i. 78. At what time it could take place, i. 518.

Prætors: they deviſe new forms of actions, i. 111. They ſucceed the conſuls as judges, i. 255. How they adminiſtered juſtice, i. 256

Precepts: what is underſtood by them, ii. 448. Their uſe, *ibid.* By whom aboliſhed, i. 449. Abuſe made of them, ii. 490, *& ſeq.*

Precepts of religion, diſtinct from counſels, ii. 178

Prerogatives of the nobility, whether it is proper they ſhould be communicated to the common people, i. 78

Preſents: uſed in deſpotic governments, i. 94, 95. Odious in monarchies and republics, i. 95. Law of the Romans upon this ſubject, *ibid.*

Preſumption of the law, ii. 368. Of the judges, *ibid.*

Price of things: how it may be fixed, ii. 95

Pride, is the cauſe of our politeneſs, i. 44. Of courtiers, its cauſe, and different degrees, i. 44, 45. Dangerous in a government, i. 438

Prieſts, why inſtituted, ii. 203. Their functions, *ibid.* Separate from the reſt of mankind, *ibid.*

Primogeniture, ſee *ſeniority*,

Princes, the ſource of all power in a monarchy, i. 22. In what their real power conſiſts, i. 191. Whether it is proper for them to engage in commerce, ii. 17. Their authority in fixing the value of money, ii. 98. Their reſpective ſituation, compared to one another, ii. 246

Principle of government, diſtinct from its nature, i. 27. Of democracy, i. 28. Of ariſtocracy, i. 31. Of monarchy, i. 35. Of deſpotic government, i. 38. Effects reſulting from the goodneſs and corruption of the principles of government, i. 58, *& ſeq.* How they are corrupted, ii. 59, *& ſeq.* Means of preſerving them, i. 176, and 180, *& ſeq.*

Priſoners: (a deſpotic prince) loſes all his authority, i. 84

Privileges, neceſſary in monarchies, i. 105. Excluſive, are not always proper in trade, ii. 11, 18.

Probity of the Roman people, i. 120

Proceedings at law formerly public, ii. 323, 324. How they became ſecret, *ibid.* Epocha of this change, ii. 324

Proceſs between the Portugueſe and Spaniards, on what occaſion, ii. 77. By whom adjudged, *ibid.*

Profeſſions: each has its particular lot, i. 325. Whether it is proper that every ſon ſhould continue in the ſame profeſſion as his father, ii. 19. Whether this will make him ſucceed better in it, *ibid.*

Proof negative, in what law admitted, ii. 291, *& ſeq.* and 301. Rejected by the ſpiritual courts, ii. 304. Is the occaſion of the cuſtom of judicial combats, ii. 294, and 304. Inſufficiency of this proof, ii. 300, *& ſeq.*

Propagation of mankind: how it may be encouraged by legiſlators, ii. 144. Of religion; obſtacles it may meet with, ii. 216

Proſcriptions, i. 290

Proſecutor public, unknown to the ancients, ii. 327, *& ſeq.* His function, *ibid.* At what time this office began, ii. 329

Proſtitution, contrary to propagation, ii. 132, *& ſeq.*

Public (good) in what caſe it ought to take place of that of the individual, ii. 240

Puniſhments: their difference in regard to the nobleman and the peaſant, i. 120. In what caſe aboliſhed at Rome, *ibid.* and 129. Exceſſive, their imperfection, i. 124. They are connected with the nature of the government, i. 127. Divided into three claſſes, i. 129. Their nature ought to be proportioned to that of the crimes, i. 130, *& ſeq.* and 270. Pecuniary, i. 133. Corporal, *ibid.* Againſt the incontinency of women, i. 154. Of death; why lawful, i. 349. Againſt celibacy, ii. 154. Againſt unlawful marriages, ii. 157. Different againſt falſe witneſſes, ii. 357. Reaſon of this difference, *ibid. & ſeq.* Conduct of legiſlators in reſpect to puniſhments, i. 118. Too frequent are the forerunners of revolutions in China, *ibid.* In what countries puniſhments ought to be more or leſs ſevere, i. 118, 119. Abuſe that may be made of them, i. 122, 123. Of breaking on the wheel, why invented, i. 122. Whether it has the deſired effect, *ibid.* Whether they ought to be the ſame for thoſe who only rob, and thoſe who add murder to robbery, i. 132. Their effects, i. 447, 448

Q.

Queſtions in regard to the relation of laws to the principal of government, i. 97. Solution of theſe queſtions, *ibid. & ſeq.* Perpetual, what they were, i. 152, and 259, 260. Effect of their eſtabliſhment, in regard to the domeſtic tribunal, i. 152. Of fact, by whom determined at Rome, i. 256. Of right, by whom judged, *ibid.*

Queſtors, choſen at Rome to adminiſter juſtice, i. 239. What ſhare the ſenate had in the nomination of the queſtors, *ibid.* Of paricide, *ibid.*

R.

Rack or torture of criminals; whether it is abſolutely neceſſary, i. 132. This practice rejected in England, *ibid.* and ii. 337
Raguſa: how long the magiſtrates of this city continued in their office, i. 20, 21
Rallery in the mouth of a monarch is often attended with bad conſequences, i. 300
Reaſon, whether there is a primitive one, i. 2. Of laws; of what kind it ought to be, ii. 366, *& ſeq.*
Recalls to the ſucceſſion, inſtituted by the Salic law, i. 418, *& ſeq.*
Receivers puniſhed in the ſame manner as the thief, ii. 358, *& ſeq.* Whether this law is juſt, ii. 359
Reconciliations eſtabliſhed by religion, ii. 187
Redemption (right of) how eſtabliſhed, ii. 512
Regal laws at Rome, whether they were proper for the republic, i. 121. Why preſerved by the decemvirs, *ibid.*
Regiſters public: their original, ii. 345. Called *olim*, ii. 337
Relations: laws are relations derived from the nature of things, i. 1. That of God to the univerſe, i. 2. Of his laws to his wiſdom and power, *ibid.* Of juſtice, antecedent to the laws by which they are eſtabliſhed, i. 3
Religion (laws of) remind man of his duty to God, i. 4. (Force of) in a deſpotic government, i. 24, 25, 40, and 86. Cauſe of this force, *ibid.* What crimes attack it directly, i. 271. Its influence on the propagation of the human ſpecies, ii. 160. And on government in general, ii. 173. Falſe religions; which of them may be reckoned the beſt, ii. 171. Chriſtian, what ſort of government is moſt agreeable to it, ii. 174. Advantage it has over all others, even in regard to this life, *ibid.* In particular over the Mahometan religion, *ibid.* Proteſtant, what government it is moſt agreeable to, ii. 176. Why it is more ſpread in the North, ii. 77. Of Calvin, *ibid.* Of Luther, *ibid.* Of the Tartars under Jenghiz-Khan, ii. 184. Of the iſle of Formoſa, *ibid.* Of the Indians, ii. 185. Mahometan, agreeable to deſpotic government, ii. 174. Falſe ones, are ſometimes corrected by the civil laws, ii. 186. (Laws of) how they have the effect of civil laws, ii. 188. What they ought to inſpire, ii. 192. (Local laws of) ii. 194. (Tranſplanting of a) from one country to another, ii. 195. Motives of attachment to different religions, ii. 197. (Toleration of) ii. 208. Commanded in certain nations, ii. 216. (Laws of) ſubordinate to the laws of nature, ii. 227. Whether they can regulate the civil law, ii. 229. Its influence on marriages, ii. 232, *& ſeq.*
Remonſtrances, whether they are permitted in a deſpotic government, i. 39. Their uſe in monarchies, i. 80

Renunciation of the ſucceſſion to the crown, ii. 249, 250
Repreſentatives choſen by the people, i. 226. For what reaſon, *ibid.* Advantage accruing from thence, *ibid.* Whether they ought to be accountable to their conſtituents, i. 227. What they ought to be choſen for, i. 230
Republic, is divided into ariſtocracy and democracy, i. 11, 12. Definition of each of theſe governments, *ibid.* How it becomes a monarchy, i. 19. If a ſmall one, whether it can often change its magiſtrates, i. 20, 21. The neglect of its laws is a mark of imminent deſtruction, i. 29. Whether the people in a republic are always virtuous, i. 41. How it is corrupted, i. 165. Means of remedying its corruption, i. 173. Its diſtinctive properties, i. 177. Whether it be to her advantage to enlarge her territory, *ibid.* Whether ſhe can preſerve her conqueſts, i. 264. Trading, an excellent law for her, i. 67. Of Greece, how many ſorts there were, *ibid.* Spirit of thoſe republics, i. 175. Why they flouriſhed ſo long, i. 186. Confederate; what is meant by it, i. 185. Advantages ariſing from it, *ibid.* Whether one of the confederate ſtates can conclude an alliance without the conſent of the others, i. 188. Whether it can make a conqueſt over another, i. 202. Ancient republics, a great fault they were ſubject to, i. 226, 227
Repudiation (right of) granted to men and not to women, i. 384. Injuſtice of this inequality, *ibid.* Common at Athens between man and wife, i. 386. In what caſe it cannot take place, i. 385. When the Romans made uſe of it, i. 387. For what cauſe the emperors permitted women to uſe this privilege, i. 455
Reſcripts of the Roman emperors, ii. 370. Fault found with them, *ibid.* Refuſed by ſome princes, *ibid.* Aboliſhed and re-eſtabliſhed, *ibid.*
Retaliation, (puniſhment of) in what countries it is moſt uſed, i. 134. Limitations made therein by the law of the twelve tables, *ibid.*
Revealing of conſpiracies, in what caſe neceſſary, i. 288. The crime of not revealing puniſhed in Japan, i. 289
Revenge forbidden after ſatisfaction received, ii. 414
Revenues of the ſtate, how they ought to be fixed, i. 305
Revolution of a ſtate, how it happens, i. 69. Whether it is always attended with war, i. 82. Reſtore the laws to their due vigour, i. 247
Rewards exceſſive, mark of the decline of ſtates, i. 96, 97. Judgment of thoſe which were granted by certain emperors, *ibid.*
Rhodes: end or view of its laws, i. 221
Rice employs a great number of men, ii. 142
Richelieu: (cardinal) his opinion concerning the choice of miniſters, i. 34, 35. Inſinuates deſpotic government, i. 80, and 82

Riches: whether they are always the cauſe of the corruption of manners, i. 66, 67. In what caſe this happens, *ibid.* Of the Roman people, i. 74. To what uſe they were applied in the Greek republics, i. 141. Of a ſtate, their effect and cauſe, i. 306, 307. and ii. 28. Of woman, the cauſe of luxury, ii. 267

Ripuarian Franks: their law different from that of the Salian Franks, ii. 291

Robbery: how puniſhed at Rome in freemen, ii. 358, 359. And in ſlaves, ii. 359. Open, puniſhed differently from the private, ii. 359, *& ſeq.* Reaſon and origin of this proceeding, ii. 360, *& ſeq.*

Robe. See *Gown.*

Romans, how divided by Servius Tullius, i. 14. How they ſecure their liberty, i. 240. They loſe their liberty under the decemvirs, i. 250. How they recover it, i. 251. Particular cauſes that procured and aſcertained their liberty, i. 294, 295. They retire to the Janiculum, and upon what occaſion, i. 295. Their laws in regard to divorce, i. 386. Force of an oath among theſe people, i. 174. Their genius with reſpect to maritime affairs, ii. 62. With reſpect to commerce, ii. 63. Motives of their wars againſt the Carthaginians, ii. 63. Their political conſtitution contrary to commerce, ii. 64. Extent of their empire, ii. 65. They avoid trading with Barbarians, *ibid.* Their internal and external commerce, ii. 66, *& ſeq.* Their proceedings with reſpect to money, ii. 110. In what circumſtances they changed the value of their ſpecie, ii. 112. Their laws on uſury and lending at intereſt, ii. 123, *& ſeq.* State and number of the ſeveral nations before they were conquered, ii. 147. Effects of their conquering the univerſe, ii. 148. Their laws to promote the propagation of the human ſpecies, *ibid.* Their deſtruction plunges the univerſe once more into barbariſm, ii. 163. Their laws on ſucceſſions, ii. 253. From whence they are derived, *ibid. & ſeq.* They ſhew themſelves wiſer than the Greeks in the puniſhment of tyrants, i. 290. How they put a ſtop to the devaſtations of the Barbarians, ii. 253. Their ſituation under the Franks, ii. 383

Rome: the number of citizens that compoſed its meetings, was not fixed; inconveniency which thence aroſe, i. 12. Was at firſt a friend of ariſtocracy, i. 19. How judgment was pronounced in this city in criminal matters, i. 110. View of its government, i. 220. Its ſtate under the kings, i. 242, *& ſeq.* And after their expulſion, i. 245

Romulus: his laws in regard to the expoſing of children, ii. 161

Rules by which the world is governed, i. 2. What theſe are, *ibid.* General, in regard to commerce, ii. 5

INDEX.

S.

Sacred (laws) allowed the plebeians the privilege of chooſing tribunes, i. 237
Sacrileges ſimple, puniſhments eſtabliſhed againſt them, i. 271. Secret, whether they ought to be puniſhed, *ibid.* Bad application of the name of ſacrilege, i. 279
Salic lands: what is underſtood by them, i. 417, *& ſeq.* Whether they are the ſame thing as fiefs, i. 422
Salic laws: explication of a paſſage of theſe laws, i. 416, *& ſeq.* At what time they were compiled, ii. 269. Changes they received, *ibid. & ſeq.* Difference between them and the laws of the Viſigoths, *&c.* ii. 274. Whether they were eſtabliſhed in Burgundy and among the Goths, ii. 279, *& ſeq.*
Salt: trade with it in Africa, ii. 88
Samnites: how they made ſo long a ſtand againſt the Romans, i. 50. Their origin, i. 158. A cuſtom of theirs with regard to marriage, *ibid.*
Saracens invade Gaul, ii. 283
Sardinia (king of) his behaviour to thoſe who refuſed to accept of public employments, i. 97
Sardinia iſland: its ancient ſtate, i. 403. And under the Carthaginians, *ibid.*
Satisfactions ordained by the laws of the Barbarians, ii. 410, *& ſeq.* Laws againſt thoſe who refuſe to give or to accept of ſatisfaction, ii. 413, 414. See *Compoſition.*
Savages extremely timid, i. 5
Saxons: their laws in regard to the ſatisfaction for injuries, ii. 91. Laws given them by Charlemain, ii. 270. Their character, ii. 272
Scarcity relative of gold and ſilver, ii. 98. Effect of this ſcarcity, ii. 98, 99. Real, ii. 98
School of honour, where it is, i. 42
Sea, Caſpian; what the ancients thought of it, ii. 46. Red, what people traded there, ii. 32. Indian, when diſcovered, ii. 32. Seleucidian, ii. 45. Of Antiochus, *ibid.*
Security of the ſubject; on what laws it depends, i. 269. Crimes that diſturb it, how puniſhed, i. 271
Seizing of the perſons of merchants: law of Solon upon this ſubject, ii. 14. Whether this be a good law, *ibid.* In what caſe it is right, i. 15
Seleucus Nicator: what project he formed: this project examined, ii. 30
Semiramis: concluſion which may be drawn from her immenſe treaſures, ii. 28
Senate: neceſſary in a democracy, i. 13, Whether it is proper that they ſhould have the power to enact laws, i. 17. Of Rome,

Rome, how their decrees had the force of a perpetual law, i. 18. Their authority under the kings, i. 243. And after their expulsion, i. 356. Of Athens, distinct from the Areopagus, i. 69. Of Syracuse, i. 163

Senators: whether they ought to have a right of replacing the deficient members of the senate, i. 19. Whether they ought to be for life, i. 70

Seniority or primogeniture (right of) ought not to take place in an aristocracy, i. 77. How it was established among the Franks, ii. 510

Service (double) of the vassals to their counts, ii. 407

Servitude. See *Slavery.*

Servitudes: they were common in France, ii. 384, *& seq.*

Servius Tullius: division he made of the Roman people, i. 14. He alters the constitution of Rome, i. 244

Sexes (the difference of) invites mankind to associate, i. 6. Their inequality relative to climates, i. 370

Shame: its effect upon mankind, i. 122, and 135

Shipwreck and escheatage (the right of) how established, ii. 71

Ships. See *Vessels.*

Siam: what notion its inhabitants have of the supreme good, i. 333

Signor (grand.) See *Sultan.*

Sinking fund, ii. 119

Sixtus Quintus: whether he did right in reviving the public accusation of adultery, i. 152

Slavery: how many sorts there are, i. 356. Civil, what it is, i. 347. Under what government it is most tolerable, *ibid.* Contrary both to the civil law and that of nature, i. 350. (Right of) its origin, i. 348, *& seq.* and 353. Political, its influence on civil slavery, i. 353. Useless amongst us, and why, i. 355, *& seq.* (Abuse of) i. 357. Of the Helotes, i. 356. Why natural to southern nations, i. 390, 391, and ii. 26. Domestic, in what it consists, i. 370. Of women, is connected with despotic government, i. 378. Why, *ibid.* & *seq.* Of Asia, compared with the liberty of Europe, i. 398, *& seq.* Cause of both, *ibid.*

Slaves: whether there are any by nature, i. 354. Whether there is any one virtue belonging to them, i. 347, and 347. Great number of them, dangerous, i. 336, and 365. The arming of slaves in what case dangerous, i. 359. Plato's laws deprive them of the privilege of natural defence, ii. 220. Punished by the Roman laws for the murder of their master, tho' they had no concern in it, i. 362. Freed, in order to accuse their master, i. 287, *& seq.* They could not be witnesses, i. 287. Effects of the lenity of their masters towards them, i. 361. What was the cause of the war of the slaves, i. 26

Slowneſs of execution, when uſeful, i. 80, and 112
Smuggling: what renders it common, i. 312. Puniſhments againſt it, why ſo rigorous, *ibid.* How and why puniſhed in Japan, i. 315
Society: how men are inclined to live in ſociety, i. 6. Effect of ſociety, eſtabliſhed amongſt men, i. 7. The political law eſtabliſhed in every ſociety, *ibid.* Among ſavages, i. 408, *& ſeq.* Among Barbarians, i. 408
Soil: its influence on laws, i. 400
Soldiers: had the privileges of married men at Rome, ii. 158
Solomon: what ſort of people he employed in navigation, ii. 32. How long his fleets were upon their voyage, ii. 33
Solon: diviſion he made of the people of Athens, i. 15. His regulations for the election of the magiſtrates of Athens, i. 16. His laws on teſtaments, i. 62. On idleneſs, i. 68. On thoſe who eſpouſed neither ſide in public inſurrections, ii. 351. Reflection on the latter, *ibid.* His judgment of his own laws, i. 452
Sophi of Perſia, dethroned in our days, why, i. 40
Sovereign, in what government he may be a judge, i. 111, *& ſeq.*
Soul: its immortality, by whom denied and defended, ii. 189. How far propagated among certain people, ii. 190, 191. Conſequence that has been drawn from it, ii. 189, 190
South (people of the) compared to thoſe of the north, i. 327, *& ſeq.* How they are affected by love, i. 329, *& ſeq.* Contradictions in their character, i. 331
Spain (old) opinion of the ancients concerning its riches, ii. 57. What we are to think thereof, *ibid.* Its ſilver mines, the profits ariſing from them, *ibid.* Her conqueſts in America, ii. 77. What ſhe did to preſerve them, i. 180. Whether her conqueſts have increaſed her power, ii. 81, *& ſeq.* Her trade to the Weſt-Indies, ii. 86. She attempted to eſtabliſh arbitrary power in Flanders, but miſcarried, i. 180. A law publiſhed in Spain in 1740. Judgment of this law, ii. 14
Spaniards: their devaſtations in America, i. 51. Their character and honeſty, i. 440. Their conduct towards the Mexicans and the Indians, i. 201, and ii. 137
Sparta. See *Lacedæmonians.*
Spectacles public: the influence they had on the Roman people, i. 251
Speeches indiſcreet, puniſhed as high-treaſon, i. 283. Behaviour of ſome emperors upon this ſubject, i. 284
Spies: whether they are neceſſary in a monarchy, i. 296, 297
Spirit general of a nation, what it is, i. 116, 117. How it ought to be reſpected, i. 117. Of commerce, its effect upon mankind, i. 2. Of the laws of Japan, i. 125. Of the Roman ſenate, i. 127. Of equality, contrary to the ſpirit of extreme equality, i. 163. In what they both conſiſt, *ibid.*

States,

States, marks of their proſperity, ii. 90. They may alter, and how many ways, i. 246. They have each a particular view, i. 220

Sterility of lands, its effects, i. 403

Stick. See *Baſton*.

Stoics: praiſe of this ſect, ii. 180

Strabo: what he ſays in regard to the marriages between brother and ſiſter at Sparta, i. 64

Subjects, are inclined to love their prince, i. 297

Subordination of young people to old men, uſeful for the preſervation of morals, i. 70. Of citizens to magiſtrates, gives force to the laws, *ibid.* Of children to their parents, i. 71

Subſidies. See *Taxes*.

Subſtitution, dangerous in an ariſtocracy, i. 77. Its influence on commerce, i. 78. Vulgar, i. 454. Pupillary, *ibid.* In uſe among the Romans, ii. 355

Subtilty: a fault to be avoided in the compoſing of laws, ii. 366

Succeſſion to the empire, whether it is fixed in a deſpotic government, i. 88. Judgment of the conduct which a prince, who ſucceeds to the crown in a deſpotic country, obſerves towards his brothers, i. 89. Which is the beſt order of ſucceſſion, i. 88, *&c. ſeq.* Order of ſucceſſion in monarchies, ii. 242. On what law it is founded, ii. 343. Whether it may ſometimes be changed, ii. 248, 249. To the crown of France, confined to the male line by the Salic law, i. 422, 423. To fiefs, how regulated, ii. 502, *&c. ſeq.*

Suffrages: two ways of giving them, i. 15. Whether they ought to be public or ſecret, i. 16. How thoſe of the nobility and the ſenators ought to be given, i. 17. Given for money, i. 162

Suicide: how puniſhed among the Greeks and Romans, ii. 356. Motive of both, *ibid. &c. ſeq.* Common under the firſt Roman emperors, ii. 333. Laws againſt ſuicide, i. 341. Frequent in England, i. 341, *&c. ſeq.*

Sultan, or grand ſignor, whether he is obliged to keep his word, i. 40. His right of three *per cent.* on the value of inheritances, i. 87. Reflection on the cruel juſtice of ſome Sultans, i. 119

Summons perſonal of the lord before the ſovereign, when it took place, ii. 322. When it ceaſed, *ibid.*

Sumptuary (laws): in democracies put a ſtop to luxury, i. 139. In ariſtocracies, i. 141. In monarchies, i. 142. In what caſe they are uſeleſs, i. 142, *&c. ſeq.* Of Arragon, i. 144. Of Sweden, *ibid.* Of the Romans, i. 150

Superſtition: its power, i. 412

Sylla: judgment of his laws, i. 28, *&c. ſeq.*

Syracuſe: its misfortune, i. 163, *&c. ſeq.* Cauſe of its corruption, i. 164

Syria (kings of) what trade they chooſe, ii. 45. Their conduct towards the Jews different from that of Alexander, i. 213

T.

Tables (laws of the twelve) too cruel, i. 128, *& ſeq.* Regulation of theſe laws with regard to appeals to the people, i. 257. Whether they had regulated the lending of money upon intereſt, ii. 125. Their regulations with regard to debtors, ii. 351. With regard to robbers, ii. 363

Tacitus: his miſtake in regard to the lending of money upon intereſt, ii. 125

Tarquin the proud, how he raiſed himſelf to the throne, i. 245 The conduct he obſerved, and the effect thereof, *ibid.*

Tartars aſcend the throne of China, i. 215. Means they uſe to preſerve it, *ibid. & ſeq.* Effect of their conqueſt with regard to themſelves, i. 395. And with regard to the conquered country, *ibid. & ſeq.* Their law of nations, i. 415. Changes which their devaſtations have cauſed in Aſia, ii. 29

Taxes: order neceſſary in raiſing them, i. 79. How they were raiſed at Rome, i. 265. Greatneſs of taxes, whether in itſelf good, i. 306. On what it depends, i. 313. Taxes in countries where pure villainage is eſtabliſhed, i. 307. Taxes in countries where pure villainage is not eſtabliſhed, i. 309. Their proportion to liberty, i. 315. And to deſpotic power, *ibid.* In what government they are capable of increaſe, i. 317. Exceſſive, their original, 318, *& ſeq.* Their effect *ibid.* Exempting from taxes, how practiſed in Aſia and Europe, i. 322, *& ſeq.* Whether the Barbarians paid any for their lands, under the kings of the Franks, ii. 388, *& ſeq.* What taxes the Barbarians raiſed upon the conquered people, ii. 392. Perſonal tax, i. 309. Proportion to be obſerved therein, *ibid.* To what government it is moſt agreeable, i. 317. Taxes on merchandiſes, i. 308, *& ſeq.* Method of collecting them, i. 310, *& ſeq.* Proportion to be obſerved therein, i. 325. Advantageous to the ſtate, i. 312, *& ſeq.* Taxes on liquors, differently raiſed in different kingdoms, i. 311. Taxes on civil contracts, or ſtamp duties, reflection on this tax, i. 313. Danger there is ſometimes in taxing the value of merchandiſes, ii. 96. Faults that ought to be avoided in the raiſing of taxes in an ariſtocracy, i. 92

Temper ſociable, its effects, i. 438

Temples: their origin, ii. 200. Their effect with regard to religion, ii. 200, 201

Teſtament or will, forbidden among the primitive Romans, ii. 255. Afterwards permitted, *ibid.* With what formalities, *ibid.* Of the Roman ſoldiers, ii. 256, *& ſeq.* To what perſons it was forbidden, ii. 257, *& ſeq.* Stile uſed by the Romans

Romans in their teſtaments, ii. 258. In what caſe it was valid, *ibid.* In what not, ii. 259. When permitted among the Athenians, ii. 256

Thebans: what they did to ſoften the manners of their youth, i. 57

Theologians: their opinion concerning uſury and commerce, ii. 75

Theophilus (the emperor) orders a ſhip to be burnt that had been laden with merchandiſes for his wife, ii. 17

Theophraſtus: his opinion in regard to muſic, i. 54

Thoughts: puniſhed as overt-acts, i. 282

Tiberius oppoſes the reformation of luxury, i. 142, 143. His behaviour in regard to ſome Roman ladies guilty of adultery, i. 155. He revives the domeſtic tribunal, *ibid.* He orders ſpecie to be given out of the treaſury to thoſe who wanted it, upon binding their lands, ii. 91. He adds to the rigour of the Papian law, ii. 156

Tithes impoſed on thoſe who were ſeized of church lands, ii. 470, *& ſeq.* Their eſtabliſhment, ii. 471, *& ſeq.* Oppoſition they met with, ii. 473. Their antiquity, *ibid.* Their application, ii. 474

Trade. See *Commerce.*

Tranquillity of the ſubject: how thoſe crimes, which diſturb it, ought to be puniſhed, i. 273

Treaſure (the king's) ii. 377

Trial by combat or legal duel: by what laws admitted, ii. 293. Its origin, *ibid, & ſeq.* and 299. Particular laws upon this ſubject, ii. 295, 300, and 280. How it gained ground, ii. 299. It pleaſes the taſte of the nobility, ii. 303. Cauſe of the diſuſe of the Salic and Roman laws, ii. 273. Its influence on the juriſprudence of thoſe days, ii. 274, and 281. Formalities obſerved therein, ii. 274, *& ſeq.* and 322. Bounds preſcribed to this uſage, ii. 283. In what caſe they could not inſiſt upon it, ii. 284. At what age they were allowed to fight, ii. 285. Between the party and one of the witneſſes, ii. 288. In what manner it was aboliſhed, ii. 316

Trial, by boiling-water, or ordeal, admitted among the Salic Franks with reſtrictions, ii. 295. In what caſe it was uſed, ii. 299. Formalities obſerved therein, ii. 298. Reflections on theſe trials, ii. 299. Changes they received, ii. 304

Trials or proof by witneſſes, ii. 345. How it was reſtrained, *ibid.* See *Judgments.*

Tribunals, or courts of judicature, in what government neceſſary, i. 115. Foundation of the contraſt that is generally obſerved between the prince's council and the ordinary tribunals, *ibid. & ſeq.* Domeſtic, uſed by the Romans, i. 150. The deſign of them, i. 150. Their forms, *ibid.* Cauſe of their ſuppreſſion, i. 151

Tribunes of the people, neceſſary in an ariſtocracy, i. 74. Cicero's opinion upon this ſubject, i. 81. At what time they were eſtabliſhed, i. 249. Their functions, *ibid.*
Tribunes (military) at what time eſtabliſhed, i. 255
Tribute. See *Taxes.*
Troops regular, whether it is advantageous to maintain a ſtanding body of them, i. 320
Truces eſtabliſhed by religion, ii. 186
Truth neceſſary in converſation, i. 43. Whether for its own ſake, *ibid.*
Turkey: whether it be true that juſtice is adminiſtered better there than in other countries, i. 107
Turnaments: their effect with regard to gallantry, ii. 280
Tutelage, or guardianſhip, in what caſe it ought to be given to the mother of the pupil, i. 454. In what caſe to the next heir, *ibid.*
Tyranny: the Romans get rid of their tyrants, without being able to ſhake off the yoke of tyranny, i. 29
Tyrants: how they raiſe themſelves upon the ruins of a republic, i. 162. Puniſhed by the Greeks, i. 290
Tyre, the nature of its commerce, ii. 4, and 30. Its ſettlements on the coaſts of the ocean, ii. 31. Its colonies, *ibid.* Rival of every trading nation, ii. 39

V.

Valerian (law) the deſign of it, 120, 121, and 257. Miſunderſtood in the affair of Coriolanus, i. 258. Effect of it with regard to the government, i. 259
Valette (duke of) judged by Lewis XIII. i. 113
Value reciprocal of money, and of the things it ſignifies, ii. 90. Double of ſilver, ii. 98. Poſitive and relative, ii. 99. Manner of fixing the relative value, *ibid.*
Vanity uſeful to government, i. 438
Vaſſalage, its origin, ii. 375. Rear-vaſſalage, its nature before the fiefs became hereditary, ii. 499, *& ſeq.* What it was afterwards, *ibid.*
Vaſſals, their duty to their lords, ii. 308, *& ſeq.* What they were among the ancient Germans, ii. 376. Single combat between a vaſſal and his lord, ii. 309. How they pleaded againſt their lords, ii. 314. Penalty againſt thoſe who appealed their lord wrongfully, ii. 315. Of biſhops, by whom led into the field, ii. 404. Great vaſſals, ii. 319. Rear vaſſals, *ibid.* At what time they were excuſed from going to the aſſembly, ii. 500. The king's vaſſals who they were, ii. 401. The eſtates they were impowered to poſſeſs, *ibid.* Their military ſervice, ii. 403
Vegetables conform better than brutes to the laws of nature, i. 3

Venice, republic, ſtands in need of a permanent magiſtracy, i. 20, and 76. What kind of magiſtracy this is, i. 17. Moderation preſcribed by the laws to the nobility of this republic, i. 141. Diſtribution of the three powers in this republic, i. 224. Its commerce with the Eaſt-Indies, how it dropped, ii. 76

Veſſels or ſhips, our manner of computing their burden, different from that of the ancients, ii. 26. Of the Indies and the red ſea, different from thoſe of the Greeks or Romans, ii. 32. Effect of this difference, *ibid. & ſeq.* Of the Indies, compared to thoſe at preſent made uſe of, ii. 33. Their mechaniſm different according to the different ports, *ibid.* Calculation of the lading of a veſſel by its largeneſs, ii. 35

Veſtal virgins, enjoy the rights of children, ii. 158

Victory; its aim, i. 7

Villains; their manner of fighting, ii. 276. and 281. Whether they could appeal their lord's court of falſe judgment, ii. 320. In what caſe they had this privilege, ii. 321

Villainage; at what time it was eſtabliſhed, i. 307. and 383. Whether there was any general regulation to eſtabliſh it, ii. 378

Vines pulled up in Gaul, ii. 65. Replanted, *ibid.* They employ a great many men, ii. 142

Virtue is the principle of a popular government, i. 28. Want of virtue among the Romans, the cauſe of their ſlavery, i. 29. The only ſupport known by the Greek legiſlators, of a popular government, *ibid.* Effect of the want of this virtue, 30. Neceſſary in an ariſtocracy, i. 31, *& ſeq.* Whether it is the principle of a monarchical government, i. 33. What virtues we are taught by education in a monarchy, i. 43. Whether ſlaves can have any ſhare of it, i. 47. What is meant by this word, i. 48, and 58. Whether it is found with inequality, i. 72. Its effect with regard to puniſhments, i. 129

Viſigoths: their laws on commerce, ii. 72. On adultery, ii. 245. Change in their laws, ii. 270, *& ſeq.* Judgment of their laws, ii. 272. They were preſerved in Spain, ii. 281

Uniformity: in what things it is neceſſary, ii. 371

Union among the noble families, neceſſary in an ariſtocracy, i. 77

Voconian (law) the purport of it, ii. 224. Its epocha, ii. 259. The remains of it, *ibid.* Supported by Cato the elder, ii. 260. The deſign of it, i. 261. How obſerved. ii. 261

Volga: miſtake of the ancients with regard to this river, ii. 4

Uſury: why natural in deſpotic government, i. 91. Confounded with commerce, ii. 72, 73. Is lowered after the diſcovery of the Indies, ii. 75. What naturalized it at Rome, ii. 17. Arbitrary among the Romans, *ibid. & ſeq.* Ordinary r

of uſury among them, ii. 124, 125. Diſturbance it made, ii. 123, 124. Laws upon this ſubject, ii. 124, 126. The laws which forbid it, are not favourable to thoſe who want to borrow, ii. 126

W.

Wants (the ſenſe of) as natural to man, as that of his weakneſs, i. 6. Of the poor, how a ſtate may ſupply them. ii. 169

War betwixt different nations, whence it ariſes, i. 7. Between the individuals of a ſociety, *ibid.* Cauſe of the laws eſtabliſhed amongſt mankind, *ibid.* Civil, whether it is always attended with a revolution, i. 82. Its object, i. 7. Oftener permitted to ſmall than to large ſocieties, i. 196. (Right of) from whence derived, *ibid.*

Weakneſs, the firſt ſenſation of man, conſidered before the eſtabliſhment of ſociety, i. 5. Of neighbouring ſtates, not to make uſe of it in order to precipitate their ruin, i. 194.

Will: the conjunction of wills is neceſſary to form a civil ſtate, i. 8. Of the ſovereign is the ſovereign himſelf, i. 12. Of a deſpotic prince, ought infallibly to produce its effect, i. 39

Will. See *Teſtament.*

Winds (trade) the ancients made uſe of them, as of a kind of compaſs, ii. 48

Wine, forbidden in Arabia, i. 337. And why, *ibid.* To what country it is agreeable, i. 338. (Exceſs of) differently puniſhed, i. 338. Sending it to Barbarians, forbidden by the Romans, ii. 65. A tax upon wine, raiſed by Chilperic, ii. 389

Witneſſes: in what manner they were rejected at the time of judicial combats, ii. 286. How they avoided fighting, ii. 287. How many are required in reaſon to condemn a man, i. 270. Falſe, how puniſhed, ii. 357, *& ſeq.*

Women: their condition in a monarchy, i. 148. In deſpotic governments, i. 149. Their conduct, how careful the Greeks were of it, i. 150. To whom the tutelage of women was given among the Romans, i. 153. And among the Germans, *ibid.* When it expired, ii. 154. Their diſſolute conduct a pretence for accuſations againſt the great, i. 156, 157. Their frugality, laws made at Rome to preſerve it, i. 156. Whether they ought to have the government of families, i. 158. Whether they are fit to govern an empire, *ibid.* Cuſtoms of the Indies upon this ſubject, i. 159. Example of ſtates governed by women, *ibid.* They paſs into the family of the huſband, ii. 133. Whether this be an univerſal cuſtom, *ibid.* A law which hinders them from inheriting, ii. 224, *& ſeq.* From whence derived, *ibid.* In what caſe

case they inherited, ii. 254, *& seq.* and 260, *& seq.* Their confinement, neceſſary in the Eaſt, i. 377. Its influence on their morals, i. 379. Indian women, their wantonneſs, i. 381. Different orders of married women, ii. 135. Women that burn themſelves at the death of their huſbands, ii. 191. Lent by their huſbands to another man, ii. 245. In what caſe, when they heard no tidings of their huſbands, they were allowed to marry again, ii. 230. They were not allowed to fight, ii. 285. Nor to appeal to a judicial combat without the authority of their huſbands, *ibid. & ſeq.*

Words. See *Speeches.*

World: whether its laws are invariable, and why, i. 2. The intelligent world does not follow its laws exactly, i. 3. Phyſical world, *ibid.*

Worſhip (external) its influence on religion, ii. 200, 201. Its magnificence relative to the luxury of the ſtate, ii. 206. Its purity independent of its magnificence, ii. 207

Writings ſatyrical, puniſhed by the decemvirs, i. 128. And by the emperors, i. 285. In what light they are looked upon in different governments, i. 386

FINIS.

ERRATA.

Vol. I. p. 209. l. 15. after *his*, inſert *own.*
p. 262. l. 13. from the bottom, for *ingenious*, read *ingenuus.*
p. 328. l. 7. from the bottom, for *organs*, r. *organ.*
Vol. II. p. 235. l. 7. for *to*, r. *for.*
p. 259. l. 3. from the bottom, after *ſpoken*, inſert *of.*
p. 261. l. 14. for *canſuls*, r. *conſors.*
p. 312. l. 15. from the bottom, for *his*, r. *this.*
p. 226. l. 7. from the bottom, dele *no.*
p. 426. l. 13. after *but*, inſert *if.*

